# 2nd ICPCR Committee



CONFIRMATION LETTER.doc





7689-14672-1-RV.docx

Address: Jalan Tri Dharma No. 5, Faculty of Pharmacy | Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan 20155, North Sumatera, Indonesia Website: <u>http://ocs.usu.ac.id/ICPCR</u> | E-Mail: <u>icpcr@usu.ac.id</u> | Phone: +6261 822 3558 | Mobile: +62 852 7557 5652

Yth. Peserta 2<sup>nd</sup> ICPCR

Dengan Hormat

Berdasarkan hasil evaluasi Scopus di tahun 2019, "Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research" dinyatakan tidak terindeks di Scopus. Sehubungan hal tersebut, panitia telah mengupayakan jurnal terindeks di scopus yang dapat berkerjasama untuk mempublikasi paper yang dihasilkan pada 2<sup>nd</sup> ICPCR.

Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences (OAMJMS) bersedia bekerjasama dengan 2<sup>nd</sup> ICPCR. Accepted paper akan diterbitkan sebagai sebagai Special Thematic Issue dengan biaya publikasi €(Euro) 220 (~ Rp. 3.650.000).

Bagi peserta yang bersedia melanjutkan ke proses publikasi di Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences, diwajibkan untuk dapat memenuhi persyaratan berikut:

- 1. Memperbaiki artikel sesuai dengan template/contoh jurnal OAMJMS
- Melakukan konfirmasi persetujuan untuk melanjutkan publikasi ke OAMJMS (form Terlampir)
- 3. Mengirimkan No WhatsApp ke email panitia

Kami mengharapkan surat konfirmasi serta data no Whatsapp dari Author ke email panitia **icpcr@usu.ac.id** dalam waktu tidak lebih dari 3x 24 jam. Proses mekanisme Publikasi Jurnal dan Pembayaran akan diinformasikan lebih lanjut.

Salam hormat,

Chairman

Prof. Dra. Azizah Nasution, M.Sc., Ph.D., Apt

## COST EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS OFCANDESARTAN THERAPY IN COMPARISON TO CANDESARTAN-AMLODIPINETHERAPY ON HYPERTENSIVE OUTPATIENTS

Faridah Baroroh<sup>1\*</sup>, Andriana Sari<sup>2</sup>, Noviana Masruroh<sup>3</sup> <sup>1,2,3</sup> Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta \*Email :<u>faridah@pharm.uad.ac.id</u>

# ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The achievement of optimal hypertensiontherapyrequires cost-effective medicine. This study **aims** to determine the cost effectiveness of candesartan therapy compared to candesartan-amlodipinetherapy on hypertensive outpatients.

**Method:** This is a prospective cohort study that compares candesartan therapy to candesartanamlodipine therapy at a public hospital from payers' perspective. The outcome is the percentage of targeted blood pressure decrease after three months of therapy. The cost effectivenessanalysis uses the IncrementalCost Effectiveness Ratio (ICER) based on the ratio of cost difference to the outcome in both therapy groups.

**Results:** As many as111 patients participated in this research, comprising 40 candesartan therapy patients and 71 patients with the combination of candesartan-amlodipine. Of the participants, 63.96% were female, 57.66% were aged 60 or older, and 56.32% had diabetes mellitus as the most common complication. Results show that the average direct medical cost per patientfor a therapyof three months with candesartan was IDR1,050,536  $\pm$  730,007 andIDR760,040  $\pm$  614,290 for a candesartan-amlodipinetherapy.The mean decline of systolic and diastolicblood pressure under candesartan therapy is less than that of candesartan-amlodipine, although without any significant difference (p>0.05).It follows that the effectiveness of candesartan therapy is thereby more cost-effective with an ICER value of IDR580,993/%.

**Conclusion:** Hypertension therapy by candesartan is more cost-effective than candesartanamlodipine therapy with a cost addition of IDR580,993.

Keywords: cost effectiveness analysis, candesartan, candesartan-amlodipine, hypertension

### INTRODUCTION

The widely ranging prices of various antihypertension brands of angiotensin-convertingenzymeinhibitors (ACEIs) and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) play an important role in hypertensiontreatment management, particularly concerning comorbidities such as diabetes and heart failure. A health service provider has to be sensible procuring drugsand determining the most effective cost according to the financial state of the patient so as to lessen the economic burden of the patient or the health care system [1].

Candesartan is an angiotensin II receptor antagonistantihypertensive drug with a biphenyl derivative molecular structure. The active mechanism of candesartan inhibits the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) at level 1 of the angiotensin receptor (AT 1) for

Commented [A1]: aimed

Commented [A3]: showed

Commented [A2]: Cost Effectiveness Ratio (CER) and

angiotensin II (AT II). In an RAAS reaction, AT II produces the strongest effect as vasoconstrictor. Candesartan reduces the adverse effects of angiotensin II endotheliumby decreasing the release of vasoconstrictors which enhance arterial elasticity. Candesartan boasts its distinct advantage in increasing arterial elasticity when compared to other conventional antihypertensionmedicine such as diuretics (Ds),  $\beta$ -blockers (BABs) and even calcium channel blockers (CCBs)[2].

A therapywith the combination of candesartan and amlodipinelowersthe risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs) by 38% with a significant value of p=0.025, compared to when the patients re simply treated with non-amlodipine and candesartan drugs [3].

Hypertension therapytakes a long time which consequently demands a great cost. The diverse effectivenessof antihypertensives and the broad price range of antihypertensivetypes also impact on the treatment cost. According to survey, candesartan or the combination of candesartan-amlodipineis the most common therapyor antihypertensive drug administered tohypertensive outpatients under the national health cover (JKN) at public hospitals. Considering this situation, research has been undertaken to examine the cost effectivenessof candesartanin comparison to candesartan-amlodipinein the medication of hypertensive outpatients.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study has gained ethical approval for research number 011802023 from the Research Ethics Committee of Universitas Ahmad Dahlan. The investigation employs a prospective cohort method comparing the outcome of candesartan therapy to that of candesartan-amlodipine at a public hospital from payers' perspective. The cost effectiveness analysis utilizes the Incremental Cost Effectiveness Ratio (ICER) which is the ratio of cost difference to the outcome in both therapy groups. The calculated cost is the direct medical cost, or the average total therapy cost of hypertensive patients for three months. The assessed outcome is the targeted decline of blood pressure after three months of therapy, the proportion of patients with which is then counted against the total number of patients each therapy group.

Inclusion criteria: Outpatientsdiagnosed with hypertension(ICD10: I10),aged ≥18, male or female with or without another disease, consuming candesartan or candesartan-amlodipine antihypertensives, and listed as JKN/BPJS members

Exclusion criteria: Patientswho do not ingest the same drug during observations, do not undergo regular control, or have incomplete data of medical records, blood rates and costs.

Beside using ICER,data analysis also identifies any notable difference in blood pressure decrease by t-test if the data are normally distributed, or by Mann-Whitneytest if otherwise.

### RESULTS

Hypertensive outpatients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria amounted to 111 patients, consisting of 71 patients and earlier candes art antherapy and 40 patients under candes art anamlodipine therapy. Detailed characteristics of the patients are described in Table 1.

Table1. Patient characteristics			
Characteristic	n=111	(%)	
Sex			
Male	40	36.04	
Female	71	63.96	
Age (years)			
< 60	47	42.34	
$\geq 60$	64	57.66	
Complication			
Arrhythmia	1	1.15	
CHF	3	3.45	
CAD	8	9.20	
CKD	3	3.45	
DM	49	56.32	
Dyslipidemia	4	4.60	
DM, Dyslipidemia	7	8.05	
Gerd	2	2.30	
OA	10	11.49	
Non-Complication F=Congestive Heart Failure,	24	21.62	

CHF=Congestive Heart Failure, CAD=Chronic Artery Disease, CKD=Chronic Kidney Disease, DM=Diabetes Mellitus, Gerd = Gastro Esophageal, OA = Osteoarthritis.

# Therapy cost

The therapy cost in this research is the total direct medical costof hypertensive outpatients outpatients under the national health cover (payers' perspective) receiving the antihypertensive scandes artan and candes artan-amlodipine at a public hospital in Yogyakarta for three months from February to May 2018. The cost components in this study are antihypertensive and non-antihypertensive drug cost, administration cost, laboratory cost, and medical examination cost. The mean direct medical cost of hypertensive outpatient therapy can be seen in Table2.

Tabl	e2. Average direct medical cost		 (	Commented [A5]: please refer to the AJPCR format
Therapy	Average cost (IDR)	p value		
Candesartan	$1,050,536 \pm 730,007$			
Candesartan- Amlodipine	$760,\!040\pm 614,\!290$	0.005		

Table II depicts that the direct medical cost per patient for a three-monthcandesartan therapy amounts to IDR1,050,536  $\pm$  730,007, greater than that of the candesartanamlodipine combination at IDR760,040  $\pm$  614,290.The huge standard deviation (SD) value stems from the sizeable range of the cost. The Mann-Whitneytest indicates significant difference in the average total therapy cost between candesartan-amlodipine and candesartan with a p value of 0.005.

### Therapyeffectiveness

#### Commented [A4]: please refer to the AJPCR format

The effectiveness of candesartan and candesartan-amlodipine hypertension therapyis gauged by the decrease to the targeted blood pressure based on the Evidence-Based Guideline for the Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults(JNC VIII), as can be observed in Table3.

Table3	. Therapy effect	iveness	
Average blood	Candesartan	Candesartan-	р
pressure decrease	Cunucsuntun	Amlodipine	value
Systole (mm/Hg)	8.50	13.24	0.259
Diastole (mm/Hg)	5.25	5.92	0.645
Effectiveness (%)	85.00	84.50	

It transpires that the effectiveness of candesartan therapyfor hypertensive outpatients in terms of average systolic blood pressure decline at 8.50 mm/Hg is less than that of candesartan-amlodipineat 13.24 mm/Hg.However, the Mann-Whitneytest discerns no substantial distinction between candesartan and candesartan-amlodipinein this respect as p=0.259.

Similarly, the diastolic blood pressure reduction in the candesartan therapy (5.25 mm/Hg) is lower than that in the candesartan-amlo dipinetreatment (5.92 mm/Hg), but no meaningful disparity emerges from The Mann-Whitneytest either (p=0.645).

The therapy effectivenessmeasured in this study, which is subsequently taken into account in the cost effectivenessanalysis, is presented as the percentage of blood pressure loss according to target after three months of therapy. Table III points out that the therapy effectiveness of candesartan (85.00%) is greater than that of candesartan-amlodipine (84.50%).

### Cost effectiveness analysis

The cost effectivenessanalysis of hypertension therapyin this research is done by ICER, which is the difference between cost and effectivenessin each hypertension therapy group, obtained by comparing the total cost to be directly spent with the output, which is the effectivepercentage of targeted blood pressure decrease after three months of therapywith candesartan and candesartan-amlodipine antihypertensives. The ICERvalue of hypertensive outpatientstreated with candesartan and candesartan-amlodipineis featured inTable4.

Therapy	Average cost (IDR)	Therapy effectiveness (%)	ICERvalue (IDR/%)	
Candesartan	$1,\!050,\!536 \pm 730,\!007$	85.00	590.002	
Candesartan-Amlodipine	$760,\!040 \pm 614,\!290$	84.50	580,993	

1 66 1\*

It is notable from TableIV that the ICERvalue stands at IDR580,993/%, signifying that hypertension therapyby candesartan is more cost-effective JDR580,993 for every percent.

### DISCUSSION

Descriptive analysis of patient characteristics in this study reveals that the incidence rate of hypertensionin female patients is greater than in their male counteIDRarts is 57.66%

Commented [A6]: please refer to the AJPCR format

Commented [A7]: please refer to AJPCR format

higher in patients aged 60 or above than in those under 60 years old. Following menopause, ceased production ofendogenous estrogensprevents the female body from maintaining vasodilatationthat controls blood pressure, thus causing the high prevalence of hypertensionin women [4].

Other diseases, including chronic ones, that potentially exacerbate organ damage due to hypertensionmay occur as comorbidities or complications. Among patients this research, the most frequentis diabetes mellitus (DM)at 56.32%. In DM type 2patients, high insulin levels can accelerate the production of corticotrophin and cortisol hormones by the reins, which in turn triggers neurological stress that leads to rising blood pressure in the arteries. It can be stated that DM and hypertensionhave a linear relationship[5].

On average, the direct medical cost of candesartan therapy administered to a patient for three months is significantly higher than that of candesartan-amlodipine at p= 0.005. In this case, the mean total direct cost of a 3-month candesartan-amlodipine therapy is IDR760,040  $\pm$  614,290. Compared to other research [6] in which the average monthly cost of candesartanamlodipineantihypertensives given to hypertensive patients with comorbidities varies between IDR 167,700 and IDR 200,280, this study found that the mean total cost ranges from IDR 297,667to IDR 878, 479 per month, affected by the cost of antihypertensives (p<0,001), nonantihypertensives (p<0,001), and the treatment of comorbidities (p=0,001).

On the other hand, therapy by candesartan is less effective than by the combination of candesartan-amlodipinein terms of systolic and diastolic blood pressure reduction, but the Mann-Whitneytest does not indicate any marked difference between both. Nevertheless, in regard to achieving the targeted blood pressure loss after three months, the effectiveness of candesartan therapyis superior to that of candesartan-amlodipine. In comparison, another study[7]shows considerable decline of systolic and diastolicblood pressure following four and eight weeks of candesartan and amlodipinetherapy respectively, although amlodipine and candesartan are not meaningfully dissimilar in reducing blood pressure in this context.

All in all, according to the resulting ICER, hypertensive therapyby candesartan is more cost-effective than by candesartan-amlodipine with a difference of IDR580,993. To compare with, prior research[8]divulges that hypertension therapyby combined candesartan-amlodipine is firmly more cost-effective than by candesartan-diltiazem with an ICER of IDR-23,187.40/%.

# CONCLUSION

Hypertensiontherapy by candesartan is more cost-effective than by candesartan-amlodipine with an ICERvalue of IDR580,993/%.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thank you to KEMENRISTEKDIKTI for funding this research.

### **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS**

FaridahBaroroh (principal investigator): concept of study, data analysis, statistical analysis, discussion, manuscript draft, manuscript edit, manuscript review.

Andriana Sari: study design, list review, discussion, manuscript draft, manuscript edit, manuscript review.

NovianaMasruroh: data collection, data input, manuscript draft, manuscript edit, manuscript review.

# CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

# REFERENCES

- Gujjarlamudi HB, Jose A, Dupaguntla R. Cost analysis of ACE inhibitors and ARBs used in essential hypertension. Asian Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology 2018; 4 (3): 275-279
- Ashcheulova T, Gerasimchuk N, Kovalyova O, Honchar O. Beneficial effects of combined therapy with lacidipine and candesartan in obese hypertensive patients. Romanian Journal of Internal Medicine 2018; 0, 0, 1-14
- Koyanagi R, Hagiwara N, Yamaguchi J, Watanabe EK, Haruta S, Takagi A, Ogawa H. Efficacy of the combination of amlodipine and candesartan in hypertensive patients with coronary artery disease: A subanalysis of the HIJ-CREATE study. Journal of Cardiology 2013; 62: 217–223
- 4. Barton M and Meyer MR. Postmenopausal hypertension mechanism and therapy. Hypertension 2009; 54 (1): 11-18
- 5. Lingga, Lanny, 2012, BebasHipertensiTanpaObat, 39-40, AgroMediaPustaka, Jakarta.
- 6. Baroroh F and Fathonah SS. Biayamediklangsungterapihipertensipatientsrawatjalandi RumahSakit X Yogyakarta. JurnalFarmasiSainsdanPraktis 2017; 3 (2): 6-13
- RaghuramanIDR, Carney C, Mullahy H, Ogunseitan O, Wang D. Effects of candesartan versus amlodipine on capillary rarefaction, pulse wave velocity and central blood pressure in patients with essential hypertension. Journal of Non Invasive Vascular Investigation 2017; 2:008
- Baroroh F and Sari A. Analisiseffectivenessbiayaterapikombinasicandesartanamlodipindibandingkandengankombinasi diltiazempadapatientshipertensirawatjalan.Jurnal Pharmacy 2017; 14 (2): 188-198

Commented [A8]: please write in the abbreviated form (refer to the AJPCR guidelines)

Commented [A9]:

Commented [A10]:

n Access Ma	cedonian Journal of Medi	al Sciences			Ç
ck to Submissi	ons				
/ Baroroh et	al. / Cost Effectiveness Ana	sis of Candesartan Therapy in Con	nparison to Candes	artan-Amlodi	ipine Li
rkflow Pu	blication				
Submission	Review Copyediting	Production			
Cubaciasia	- Files				
Submissio	n Files			C	እ Search
<ul> <li>17605</li> </ul>	fbaroroh, 25.doc		November	Published	Article
			9, 2019	Text	
				Download	l All Files
Pre-Reviev	/ Discussions			Add di	scussion
Name		From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
Comments for the Editor	s for the Editor	fbaroroh	-	0	
		2019-11-09		-	





Faridah Baroroh (Author):

The editing of your submission, "COST EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSIS OF CANDESARTAN THERAPY IN COMPARISON TO CANDESARTAN-AMLODIPINE THERAPY ON HYPERTENSIVE OUTPATIENTS," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

Submission URL: https://www.id-press.eu/mjms/authorDashboard/submission/4022