8/5/2017 Similarity Report

The Social Readiness to Implement Mobile

As of: Aug 5, 2017 12:36:27 PM 1,905 words - 0 matches - 0 sources

Similarity Index
0 %
0%

Mode: Similarity Report ▼

paper text:

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266795305 The Social Readiness to Implement Mobile Learning in Indonesia Conference Paper · December 2012 DOI: 10.13140/2.1.3027.7125 CITATIONS 0 READS 153 1 author: Dwi Sulisworo Ahmad Dahlan University 40 PUBLICATIONS 29 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects: Developing character based education at frontier area of Indonesia View project Development of module for the courses of thermodynamics-based technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPCK) View project All content following this page was uploaded by Dwi Sulisworo on 13 October 2014. The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file. It? I I ti { The Social Readiness to Implement Mobile Learning in Indonesia Dwi Sulisvroro Physics Education Department, Ahmad Dahian university, Indonesia Email: dwi@uad.ac.iC obstrccf Mobiie learning through fie use of wireless mobile technology allows atyone to dccess information and learning mateilals from anywhere and at anytime. As o resuit, iecrners flove control of when they wont to learn and irom which iocotio n they vtant to learn.'fhe chorocteristics of mobile technology such os ubiquity, smaller sizes and comporolively cheaper prices, and the widespread use of wireless networks can pruvide users with assistance more fiex-ibly, anytinc and on_t'whore. The internef userpene trotion in Indoncsio around)i.5x ttf total Itnpulation ttr around ot millionspeoptewhichincreose T.lxfrompreviousyearisoJorgc opportunityformobiJc,leorning implementation. From those number, 58 nrillion.s peoples occe.ss internef through rnobiJe gadget- Kr'ylvords: m ob ile I earning, ne tiz c n, in re rItc t, d i git a] imm igro n r 1 Trends of h{cbile Technolog}' Mobile devices are generally srnall, pcrtable and coinpact. This device is mcre suitaoie for pocket or purse. Unlike a laptop cornputer that relative nlore expensive, hear, y and requires a lot of electriciti, mobile devices are relative;; cheaper, lighter, atici cen ile u.;e'd for a lolg tinie du* ro epicient eiecfficity or can use disposable battsries or rechaige. Bltt Ivith ihe small screen sizes on mobiie devices makes some people question their worth a.s meci.ia e-learning-tsrit t-his screen fbr users convenience. Some of these devices have good audio that ailcws students to question is answered with the emergence of various rnobiie devices that also provides a wicie repext the sulj661 matter insteaC of reading mat::iai uii the screen. In rrew developments, lhis tool is increasingly adapt to the various user needs that can be iitilizeii ir-i the e le;rrning and also enable to send much amounr of and quickly inforinaiiott; something unavailable on the conventi0nal corrrPuter. broad ;rrpr.ts. The literature review considered. the choice of the appropriate to lls for in Itlsrning Thc chalges of the rnobiie and wireless ICT utiliry in teachirrg anci learnitIS art cxter) sive and in rs as tolron.s: teblet IrC, iPoC, device, Personal Digrtat Assisrants (PDAs;. mci.rie pho*ts ar; '.! SMS. an.! wireless inirastrtrcture. Nowadays, some devices (e.g., nrobile phones) have bten enjoy"1. widely. uhile devices such as laptop computers reached the critical point as tl-ie tools used by'the learner. 19re availability of technology is fundamental in this process, but rrot quite sufficient to provide an ellective learning envirorrlirent. Five categor;cs of techirology, that shoulj be ccnsidere. I in the inplementation of m-learning, namely: transpor*r, piatform, shipping. media tethhologies and proglamming languages, as shown in table 1 icoi\l c-coMEx 20t2 itll I 'lable 1 Technology Categories Transport Ltevelopmeut Media options Platfonn options Delivery ____ options _*_fllgg3gl. _ Optior s GPRS Flaslt 3GC Intr-ared WML []luetooLh Voice XML PC Iltorvnload HI'ML XI{TML Video l'ot ket PC tvAt, Audio tllcs Winrtows CE E ru.ril Phorre c:rlls Synrbian SM.\ 1'eleco rt te re nc i trg ['.rlrn OS MM5 Voice recognition .12IvII.I HTTP TV broadcast l'ogo 2 lv[oLr:l.le Learning Definition 'l'he crtrreltt perspective on mobile learning can be divideci into foul categories Is fbllows' (.r) l'echno centric. This perspective donrir).ites it'r tritity stitidies, flere mobile learning is sern as learning to use technology with protrile t'tevices, such as PDAs, mobile phones, il)od. PlayStation Portable etc. (5) (onnectionwi.th e-learning. Clr.rractcristics rrt this IX'rsl,ective is l.o see luobile learnirrg as iln e)(ension of e-learning. This defirrition is sorllel:imes not clearly illustratr: the dis:ringuishing feature ol mobile le.rrning. It neerls to be claritierl is whether indted Inobile trezuning just sirrply e learnitrg ttse thobilc techlrology. (r:). Angmenting formal eilucation. In sturlies of nobile trezuning formul etlrtcation is otie n chnracterizect as face-to-face te:lching or il stereotype of the lecture- Related to lcarning thitt not only in the classroont, indeed there has Lreetr a form of distance education is tiorre with the correspondence tncl hrs bcert running for many years. Mobile learning is an improvement of the educational ntodel that is not nlerely a classroonl approach. (.t) .student-centered learning. In the bt'ginning study of this area, the eoncept of mot; lie leaning d.evices associated with the technology and its potential to enable lifelong learning. This perspective focus on student or learner mobiliry and not just on rhe tectrnol:gy used. Learner is the center and important element in the implementation of mobile learning. I'he irr-ri.rlementation of m-learning contbitres the use of rnobile Lechnologies to lacilitate r5e transfer and acquisition of knowledge, and the learning process. Similar to e-L,earning. m-[.earn ing ccruld be utili zecl at clifferent scales. In certain enviroltmettts, tl-l,eartling can be used for a spr:cific cornponent of a topic of learning; however in the other environmellt, m-learning is user-l as a way ol learning. In both cases, technologies are used as mobile. With the definirion of 1 Learning :md m-Learning, thorc are mxny sintilarities tierweten tlle t\uo processes; clt:ariy learning is a key comp.onent. The goal of this le arning applic:ttions, r-egarclless olthe technology ruseci, is to give chances to learner in the process ol knowledge acquisition. Ik:th itl e-leartring an(I tr).leiirning use electronic technnlogy, although there are diffelences in tite tlevicc typc and the nredia fype used, bul e-Learning and nr-l.earning are really studies in technoL:gy integrition i rrto eciucation ai environments. It is clr:ar that the theories behind the secorrel lbrnr of s[ur.iy usett is the satne; inlegration of technolog;y in learning ca.n irnprove the learning experience. In many ways, tn'Learnirrg is another uray in the implementation of e-l,earning. M-learning gave opportunities for learner to sLay inv'r:lvecl in their learning environment while thi;; cautrot be obtain: through a static technology devices such:rs desktop computers. it rnlkes a clistitrctive relationship in defining the 3sB I r(oN-c-coMgt:or2 I * t *E t f; 3t 4 iA "I '3 cpcicotrelicfifagllenenirceaeyi<rennlsciwcorerrrigiditf)feeoethtrfrwea-m-lrete,oetahinLyra,niptlibhenpyegeonerptswi,prroaewo.tarhlirhuWenarninrp-

iigpttehieeaernsrenslleiaantanrgtdrehneidsaen,r"ago'tp"ia,l.inake^r.e"tlsel'teahaaaracnbritvneiahliirntnaygistsatagmnoesooipteceb>aafcirtirrneaiateaiyrfrp.i'nxlu.Teihrnhpdegou,ssmoperpthepill rhlimneietagii-nerlenedsaidenrofgnif)n{i'neomitrgcieaoatyndthiobbaniesyt' il 's i : mobiie technologies. 3 Internet User Portrait in Indonesia

Bfyoeara,smretaodn.yoyenaisnru,.enTsehtyoecrsfoonlilndouwthcientegwdbotairrlbEdl.e{aTsrhkheopwluinsscftrihglaeusriiennotgeurtnnthueamttbuthseeerllaopnfedinnsetcetarrapneetiootnfuir 2010 to 2012. Table 2 Internet User Penetration in Million Urbrn Population 12l'16 Urban FamilY 30'29 Urban Nctizen i'opulation 37 56 Nelrzen Populatiotr 42.16 Tctai Internet User 17r Penetratron 2011 123'24 -10 81 50'i3 5;'23 22'4% - 123'57 31 61 5f' 3E ('I oli 23 5/" F2inr0toe1mr2-

n.teTathb.elTehm2e,otcrheheadnraaetcadtzeselhisnotwipcotophfualtrahterhisoeenypicesuoinnpgclerepaaersoeipnmtgeos(r1ieg5no3pif5€icnaangneetslsynetaotnizdteherue)andceohwm6t1ił the digital native.

Ursgehmasedeagargertcpathdhi,ngoeanltareos,isutpnyscedorasm5ro.8enlatmthlciinlnatgronotbetnhebaooptforeichndatienncretnnetbroelotttohbuksae,etasrtenhinpdealtnrnaa<rnotieolbednitli
Tbhuet naelstoizesnocai:crltinveitriewsorinkcilnutdeerancottioonn,lydcbwronwlsci:naginsgeaurcphtinoga,deinmga:irlinndg'fifiiendsihnagrinnegwsto, aenadchchoathtteinrsg' Table 3 shown

these acfivities' Soctal Netvrorktng Browsing/ Searchrng Emarhng irO.2 Finciing current news 56.9 Download/ UPload Online Chat G,"nc Online 1s.3 Videocall -;26.28.3 Blogging: F 6 1 File sharing, ! 1.9 Fig:ure 1 Netizen activilies ((Darwin' 2012) rcoN c-coMsi-zot2 isae | i:ront all o1' previous data, there is an increase use of wireless technologies especially mobile gadget which support learning. Mobile devices have higher penetration rate than other devices anlong the youth. This advantage gives possitrility to ser-ve mobile learning. 4 Cr.nc.luslon 'I his paper has presented finding of preliminary study of mobile learning readinr:ss among yourh in Ittdortesia. Ttre increasing of inrernet user especially probile gadget is a good opportanity to develop air.d to implement mobile learning intensively in Indonesia education. More depth rr:r;earch strottld be conducted to find out the wider issues on rnobile learning. In general, ir can be said ttrat rnobile learning is not only technologically possible but also s,ccially possible. How the universitlr rsspttt. to this situation will irtlect the successful of mobile learning to support appropliate learning in the new way. Acknowledment 'I-Itis paper is a part of the in progress research on nrobile learning which funded by Ahmad Dahl.rn I. Jniversity and other parties. Thank you very much to Mr. Muchlas, Mr. Ishafit for their tiuitirrl discr..ssion in this topin:s. References r\lly,1t,1. (:r009). Motrile learnin61: trluslbruring thc dr:livery of educati,n and training. AU Prcss, ALhabasca I Iniversity. r\ttewr:ll, J., & Savill-Smith, C. (2004). Lerrning with mobile devices research and clevelopmentr Learning and Skills Development Agency. ('asey, C., & Ilvans, T. (2011), Designing for Learning: Online Social Networks as a Classroom [Invironment. International I(eview ol'liesearch in Opcn and Distance I.earning, Volunre I2, Nurntrer 7, L-2,6-Chclliah. J., & Clarke, E. (2011). Collaborative teaching and learning: c., vercoming the digital divirle?. On Che Horizon, Volume 19, Number 4,276-285. Chen, C.-H., Chen, S.-H., I{wang, G.-J., & Yang, T. C. (20f 0). Factors influencing teac}rers'acioption of a ubiquirous technology application in supporting teacher peribrmance. Internationztl Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation, Volume 4,I,Iumber 1, 39 54. Chinnery, G. Ivl. (12006). Ii,merging'l'echnologies, Going ro rhe NIALL: Mobile Assisted Languagr: Learning, \lolume 10, Nunrber t. I-angu:rgc Iearning & Technology , 9-l ti. Chu,S.K. W.,&I(ennedy,D.M.(20tt).Usingonlinecollaborativetoolsforgroupstoco-constmcr. knolvledge. Information Rcvie w Volume 3 5, Number ,I , 581-597. Cobcroft, If. S. (2006). Mobile learning in review: Opportunities and challcuges frlr le..nrers, teachets, and institutions. Online Learning and TeachinS (OLT) Conference (pp. 21-30). Brisbane: Queensland IJniversity of 'fechnology. Crampton, A., Ragusa, A. T., & Cavanagh, II. (2012). Cross-di.sr.:iplinr: investigati<tn of the relationship between academic performance and online resonrce access by clistar:rce criucation students. Reseirrch in Learning'l'cchnology VoLume 20, 1-13 390 I rcoN.c coMFr'-2o1: I \ llarwin.W. {2012tlilliNovember}'PotretPengg;unaInternetIndonesia2012'Marketeers'pp' i;0-o4- Farajoilaleiarir,n}evr1s., &seMlfroeegnuiikaitae.d Mle.a(r2n0in1g1)s.ftategies' worid Jor:rnal .lhe eifect of coinputer.based learning on disiance on Educatio:ral Tec'hnolog-v' Volume 3, Number 1 28-38' Fry,N.,&Love,N.(2011).Businesslectur.ers,perceptiorrsandinteraciionswiththevittual learningenvironment.Internationai}ournalofManagementEducation,\roume9, i'lumtrer 4,51-56' Harris,R.J.(2008).Developingacollaboraiiveiearningenviionmenithroughtechnology enhancededucation\$E3)support.Education*Training,Volume50,NurnberS|9,674. Kukulsklan-tHerunlmateio,nAa.I (R20e0v7ie)w.

MoofbRieleseUarscahbiintitoypiennEadnucclaDtiisotnaanicCeoLneteaxrntsi:ngw'hvaoltuhmaeve8w'Neuieranrbnet?r 2' 686. McGreen, N., & sanch ez,r. L.(2005). Mapping Challenge: A^Case study In The use ,1'12. of Mobile PhonesInCollaboradve,Conte*to^rr-.,r,,ing,.IADISInternationalC-onferenceMobile Learning, (PP' 213'2L'7 \' Sangra,A.,&Gonzilez-sanrnamed,}tt.i2010).Theroleoiinformationanccomnrunication tsecchhitonlso.loAgLiTe-

sliiRriemspearorcvhiningtLeeaacrhnirinlgiaTnedchienaorlonginyg'pVroolucmeses1e8s,iln'ipurmimbearry"a,n2Ods7e-2c2oOn'dary - Traxler,wJr.it(e20s0a7n).d

Dheafvinininggr,i'Dritis...c,u,ss.itnngiearnnadtiEonvaaliuaRteinvgiewl{oobfiiReesLeeaarrcnhinign: oTpheennraon.'d,inDgisfrtanngceer T I I Learning, rJolume 8' Number 2 'f i2'!ttat ICON.C.COMVI.2012 r+r I View publication stats

p		 	 	 	 	
sou	rces:					