The Use of Chromatographic-Based Techniques and chemometrics for Halal Authentication of Food Products: A Review

Laela Hayu Nurani[1], Florentinus Dika Octa Riswanto[2,3], Anjar Windarsih[4], Citra Ariani Edityaningrum[1], Any Guntarti[1], Abdul Rohman[2,5,]*

[1] Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta 55164, Indonesia
[2] Center of Excellence, Institute for Halal Industry and Systems, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 552811, Indonesia
[3] Division of Pharmaceutical Analysis and Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Campus III Paingan, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Maguwoharjo, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55282, Indonesia
[4] Research Division for Natural Product Technology (BPTBA), National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Yogyakarta 55861, Indonesia
[5] Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 552811, Indonesia

* Corresponding author: abdul_kimfar@ugm.ac.id; abdulkimfar@gmail.com

Abstract

Halal food and halal pharmaceutical products are requisite to be consumed by Muslim communities in the world. The standard methods capable of quantifying non-halal components are very urgent. This review highlights chromatography and chemometric based techniques that offer reliable techniques to provide separation capacity in halal authentication analysis.
Methods: This review article was written from reputable worldwide databases including Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed, between January and February 2022. The keywords were "halal research", "food analysis", "pharmaceutical analysis", "chromatography", "chemometrics", and "authentication". Chromatographic-based techniques combination with chemometrics of multivariate analysis, a powerful statistical analysis to manage huge data generated from analytical measurement, could be used to identify potential markers to differentiate halal and non-halal samples. Chromatogram and peak separation profiles resulted as the instrument responses can be further evaluated for determination as well as quantification for halal and non-halal components in food and pharmaceutical products.

Combination of chromatographic-based method and chemometrics techniques with some scenarios can be applied for halal research on food and pharmaceutical products.

Keywords: halal authentication, chemometrics, chromatography, pig derivatives, pharmaceutical.

1. Introduction

Food, cosmetics, drugs and other pharmaceutical products are important needs for human beings. In line with the development of science and technology, industrialization and globalization, the halal products may be added or substituted and contaminated with non-halal components such as pig derivatives and alcohols as ingredients or additives to reduce the production cost make the products non-halal [1]. In addition, the products available in markets may contain incorrect labelling in terms of ingredient sources making the consumers lost on composition information, therefore the use of analytical tools to check the presence of non-halal components in the products is a must for assisting the certification processes [2]. In Indonesia, the halal certification is mandatory which means that all halal declared products sold and distributed in Indonesia must be halal certified. In addition, the analysis of non-halal components in post-marketed products is also needed to confirm that the marketed products are not adulterated with non-halal components [3].

According to Indonesian Act No. 33 (2014), the certification process is carried out by Halal Product Assurance Organizing Agency (BPJPH) and the auditing process was carried out by Halal Examination Agency (LPH). During audit, if the products are supposed to contain non-halal components (pork derivatives and alcohols), the laboratory testing using standard analytical methods is needed to confirm that the audited products are free from any non-halal components [4,5]. Today, the Muslim community constitute for approximately of 25% world's population and is expected to increase further. With the increased awareness among Muslim community to consume the only halal products, the global market of halal products could reach exponentially [6]. Halal is Arabic terms used to any products permissible to be consumed by Muslim community. Today, the term of halal has widely used not only Muslim but also non-Muslim because Non-Muslim community intended to export the products into Muslim community, especially in halal certification issues [7]. Therefore, it is not surprising that halal-related studies are performed not only in majority Muslim countries like Indonesia and Malaysia but also in countries whose Muslims are minority such as the Netherlands, the United States, France and the European Union [8].

68 Halal food and Halal pharmaceuticals must be free from non-halal components which
69 are pig and all pig derivatives such as pork, lard and porcine gelatines, carrion or dead animals,
70 blood (flowing or congealed), animals slaughtered not according to Islamic law, animals that
71 were killed accidentally or on purpose through means such as strangling or beating, intoxicants
72 including alcohol and drugs [9], carnivorous animals, predator birds, and certain land animals
73 [10]. Among these, pig derivatives and alcohols are typically found in halal and pharmaceutical
74 products, therefore some scientists are continuously researches on halal including developing
75 instrumental analytical methods for detecting of non-halal components intended for halal
76 certification [11]. Some countries have obligated the products to be halal certified which can
77 be understood that the products are free from prohibited components. Besides, the products are
78 manufactured using equipment dedicated for halal food and halal pharmaceuticals [12]. Pork
79 is typically met in meat-based food products such as meatball, sausages, etc.; while lard can be
80 good vehicle in some cosmetics products such as cream, lipstick and lotion. Porcine gelatines
81 are common materials used in food (in candies) and pharmaceutical products (capsule shells)
82 [13]. The objective of this review was to provide integrative information on identification and
83 quantification of non-halal components in food and pharmaceutical products by
84 chromatographic methods. In addition, chemometrics techniques were reported to be applied
85 to employ the big data evaluation as resulted from the chromatographic detection.
86
87 **2. Methods**
88 This review article was written by identifying, investigating, and assembling several
89 review articles, original articles, books, and relevant sources on metabolite fingerprintings from
90 reputable worldwide databases including Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed. Literature
91 searching was carried out between January and February 2022. The keywords explored during
92 literature investigation were “halal research”, “food analysis”, “pharmaceutical analysis”,
93 “chromatography”, “chemometrics”, and “authentication”.
94
95 **3. Chromatographic-based techniques and chemometrics for analysis of non-halal**
96 **components**
97 For many years, chromatography has been known as the method of choice to assess the
98 purity and levels of analytes in the laboratories of research, industry, and quality control [14].
99 Gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) techniques are often used for the
100 analysis of non-halal components in food and pharmaceutical products. In terms of compound
101 types, GC is more suitable for the analysis of smaller, volatile and stable compounds to heat,

102 while LC is more robust and suitable for larger and less/non-volatile compounds [15]. Some
103 derivatization techniques are needed in LC in order to convert analytes into detectable derivates
104 with certain detectors, while derivatization in GC for fewer volatile compounds is intended to
105 provide more volatile and stable derivate products, although this derivatization process
106 increases the method complexity and lengthens the sample preparation. In addition, the
107 availability of derivative agents and its steric hindrance in the analyte, and the stability of the
108 derivatized compounds must also be considered [16].

109 One-dimensional gas or liquid chromatography using one column is considered as
110 simple and powerful separation techniques for simple and un-complex samples. When the
111 analyzed samples are complex enough, the application of just one-dimension chromatography
112 leads to peak co-elution as well as overlapping and non-resolved peaks, therefore one
113 dimension chromatography technique is not suitable for separation of large analytes because
114 the peak capacity of one-dimensional analysis is not large enough to achieve the complete
115 separation with acceptable resolution [17]. In last decades, two-dimensional gas
116 chromatography (GC x GC) and liquid chromatography (LC x LC) has been applied in analysis
117 of complex mixture in order to increase the separation speed [18].

118 In two-dimensional chromatography, the separation is carried out in two columns with
119 different polarity connected in series by a modulator, as a consequence, the separation capacity
120 of regular one-column in one dimensional chromatography can be considerably increased. The
121 effluent from the first column is transferred to the second column using modulator so that the
122 analytical information obtained (such as retention times, t_R) from the first column can be
123 combined with that from second column, leading to a plot of two retention times [19]. Because
124 of the excellent separation capacity of GC x GC and LC x LC combined with mass
125 spectrometry (MS), both techniques are applied for separation all components in the complex
126 mixtures, especially for metabolomics studies [18]. GC x GC has been widely applied for
127 analysis of metabolites (all fatty acid types) of lard in food samples [20], while LC x LC is
128 typically used for analysis of peptides [21], which can be used for identification of pork and
129 porcine gelatines.

130 Chromatographic-based techniques offered reliable technique in halal authentication
131 analysis. However, due to high number of data covered, the application of chemometrics to
132 treat big data is unavoidable. Chemometrics can be defined as the employment of statistical
133 and mathematical methods to obtain the objective data evaluation by extracting the relevant
134 and meaningful information from related and unrelated responses from chemical
135 measurements. Chemometrics or multivariate data analysis (MDA) is typically applied in

136 numerous aspects including the quality control of halal products, qualitative and quantitative
137 determination of chemical parameters for assessing the products authenticity [22].

138 Chemometrics can provide the powerful tools in giving important information extracted
139 from big data obtained from instrumental analyses such as methods based on spectroscopic and
140 chromatographic. The common chemometrics techniques applied in products authentication
141 could be grouped into exploratory data analysis, data pre-processing, description and
142 visualization, dis crimination and pattern recognition (classification), regression and prediction
143 and experimental design [23]. Some chromatographic problems encountered during halal
144 authentication analysis included the assessment of separation quality, the evaluation of peak
145 alignment using pre-processing, the optimization of chromatographic systems providing the
146 good separation of all peaks using experimental design, the accuracy of discrimination and
147 classification using pattern recognition, and quantitative analysis applying multivariate
148 calibration. Figure 1 showed the correlation between chromatographic responses and
149 chemometrics for certain analytical purposes. In scenario (a), peaks with good separation (good
150 selectivity) in chromatogram was used as variable for the evaluation of compositional analysis
151 (concentration) of analytes assisted by multivariate calibrations. In (b), certain peaks with lack
152 selectivity was used as variable during chromatographic profiling of objects (samples) using
153 discrete datasets (peak area or peak height), while in scenario (c), whole datasets in
154 chromatograms were used as variables during chromatographic fingerprinting of objects.
155 Indeed, the chemometrics of pre-processing was widely applied to obtain the desired analytical
156 modelling.

157 The classification chemometrics was typically carried using (1) exploratory data
158 analysis including principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis (hierarchical
159 cluster analysis and non-hierarchical such as k-means and k-medians), and this technique is
160 typically called as unsupervised pattern recognition and (2) classification and discrimination
161 methods known supervised pattern recognition. There are two types of classification
162 chemometrics methods regardless of the statistical background. The first type is typically
163 employed to assess to which of various pre-defined classes of samples (objects). The example
164 of this technique is linear discriminant analysis (LDA), orthogonal projection to latent
165 structures – discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA), k-nearest neighbors (KNN) and many others.
166 The second type of classification chemometrics is called as class modelling or one class
167 classifier (OCC), and the example for this group data driven soft independent modeling of class
168 analogy (DD-SIMCA) and Unequal Class-Modeling (UNEQ) [25]. Using these chemometrics,

169 someone can answer the question: is the meat belong to pork (non-halal) or beef (halal)? or the
170 question: is the meatball authentic or adulterated? [26,27].
171
172 **4. Analysis of non halal components using liquid chromatography**
173 High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using certain detectors have been
174 widely applied for analysis of specific components in non-halal components. HPLC using
175 fluorescence detector has been successfully used for analysis of Hydroxyproline and other
176 amino acids in gelatin and collagen samples as initial screening for identification of gelatin
177 types. Hydroxyproline has been known as signature amino acid for gelatin and collagen. The
178 level of hydroxyproline is typically higher in the gelatin samples than that in the collagen
179 samples, except for the samples of fish skin gelatin, and this result could be used as screening
180 tools for identification of non-halal gelatin and collagen in the analyzed samples [28]. Table 1
181 listed the application of HPLC and LC-MS/MS for analysis of halal components in the
182 products. Liquid chromatography using fluorescence detector was also successfully applied for
183 analysis of amino acid (AA) composition non-halal (porcine) and halal (bovine and fish)
184 gelatins. The classification between halal and non-halal gelatins was carried using PCA
185 applying amino acid compositions as variable. AAs with strong fluorescence (Hyp, His, Ser,
186 Arg, Gly, Thr, Pro, Tyr, Met, Val, Leu and Phe) contribute to the classification and become the
187 biomarkers to identify the gelatine sources [29]. Gelatin from three mammalian species
188 including bovine gelatin, porcine gelatin, and donkey gelatin has been successfully identified
189 using liquid chromatography-linear ion-trap high resolution mass spectrometry. Hemoglobin
190 was just found in donkey gelatin. The unique peptide obtained from donkey, bovine, and
191 porcine gelatin was GEAGPAGPAGPIGPVGAR, GETGPAGPAGPIGPVGAR, and
192 GETGPAGPAGPVGPVGAR, respectively. The unique peptides could be detected either in
193 individual gelatin or in the mixtures of three mammalian gelatins [30].
194 Liquid chromatography especially combined with mass spectrometer (LC/MS) is
195 widely applied for identification of non-halal component in food and pharmaceutical products
196 including porcine gelatin and pork. Gel-enhanced liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry
197 (GeLCMS) in combination with chemometrics of PCA has been developed for identification
198 of potential protein markers in pork and other meats along with its classification. The
199 myofibrillar protein with weight of 40-kDa such as troponin T, Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain,
200 and actin cytoplasmic 1 as well as the thin filament proteins such as actin, troponin, and
201 Tropomyosin had molecular weights ranging from 40 to 45 kDa could be used as markers for
202 differentiation of pork from chicken and beef. PCA using PC1 and PC2 accounting of 62% and

203 35% variances could classify meat types. From MS studies, the potential protein markers for
204 pork meat samples are Troponin T with peptide sequences of [(R)KPLNIDHLSEDK(L)],
205 Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain [(K)EAETRAEFAER(S)], Actin cytoplasmic 1
206 [(R)HQGVMVGMGQK(D)], COP9 signalosome complex subunit 4 [(R)VLDYRR(K)], and
207 Ribonuclease inhibitor [(R)VLGQGLADSACQLETLR(L)][45].

208 The identification of potential biomarkers of gelatin from several sources could be
209 performed using UPLC-MS/MS. Samples used were gelatin from pig, cow, chicken, and fish.
210 After the extraction process of proteins from gelatin, proteins were then digested using
211 proteomic grade trypsin for 12 h to obtain peptides. Chemometrics of PCA was used to
212 differentiate partial hydrolysis of gelatin from cow and pig. Result from PCA score plot showed
213 that the sample of cow and pig obtained from digestion process could be well separated. For
214 identification of potential biomarkers from pig, cow, fish, and chicken gelatin, PCA employing
215 MPP (Mass Profiler Professional) was applied. Results showed that three unique peptides
216 found only in pig gelatin, seven unique peptides found in bovine/cow gelatin, 22 peptides found
217 only in chicken gelatin, and only 1 unique peptide found in fish gelatin. The developed method
218 was also successfully applied to identify species origin of commercial gelatin samples. It
219 indicated that UPLC-MS/MS offers a powerful analytical technique to identify gelatin from
220 different species in food and pharmaceutical products [46].

221 Targeted tandem liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) using decoy,
222 randomized and concatenated database search program comprising MS-Fit and MS-Tag in
223 combination with chemometrics of principal component analysis and orthogonal partial least
224 square-discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was applied for identification of potential peptide
225 markers in non-Halal meat (pork) and halal meats (chicken and beef). The peptide markers
226 which are specific to certain species were identified through shot- gun proteomics. Potential
227 peptide marker identified for raw pork is myosin-2 having sequence of peptide marker of
228 (F)DFNSLE(Q). OPLS-DA using variable of identified peptides could separate halal and non-
229 halal meats [47].

230 Targeted proteomic analysis using LC-MS has been developed to investigate the heat
231 stable protein in pork meat. Five heat treatments were applied such as (1) water bath heating at
232 78°C for 30 min; (2) boiling at 100°C for 30 min; (3) sterilizing at 121°C for 30 min; (4) frying
233 using oil until golden brown colour; and (5) baking at 200°C for 30 min. Protein extraction
234 from samples was performed using buffer solution containing 2 M thiourea, 7 M urea, and 50
235 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). Proteins were digested using proteomic grade trypsin added with DTT
236 to reduce disulphide bonds and IAA for alkylation. Incubation was carried out for at least 12 h

237 at 37ºC. Result showed that seven heat-stable specific peptides of pork were found such as
238 DQLIHNLLK from l-lactate dehydrogenase A chain, HDPSLLPWTASYDPGSAK from
239 carbonic anhydrase 3, EPITVSSDQMAK from carbonic anhydrase 3, VNVDEVGGEALGR
240 from haemoglobin subunit beta, HPGDFGADAQGAMSK from myoglobin,
241 SLYSSAENEPPVPLVR from carbonic anhydrase 3, and YLEFISEAIIQVLQSK from
242 myoglobin. Commercial samples such as Iberian dried ham, Pasteur dry sausage, import dried
243 ham, lunch meat canned, sandwich sausage, and Thuringia flavour sausage were used to
244 identify the presence one or more pig heat-stable peptides. Results showed that the heat-stable
245 peptides of pig could be found in various types of food products with different cooking process
246 methods. It suggested that targeted proteomics analysis using seven heat stable peptides of pig
247 could be used for halal authentication of food products especially meat-based food products
248 containing pork [48].

249 Analysis using LC-MS employing MRM (multiple reaction monitoring) technique was
250 successfully used to detect heat-stable peptides in cooked meats including pork meat. Thermal
251 treatment applied was boiling at 100ºC, grilling at 150ºC, and grilling at 180ºC. After the
252 protein was extracted, digestion process was performed using proteomic grade trypsin.
253 Identification of homologues protein and potential biomarkers of pork peptide was carried out
254 using UPLC Triple TOF-MS equipped with a C-18 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μm; Waters
255 Corporation, Taunton, MA, USA and Wexford, Ireland). The mobile phase used was water
256 containing 0.1% formic acid (A) and acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid (B) with flow
257 rate of 0.3 mL/min. On the other hand, MRM analysis was performed using a SCIEX ExionLC
258 AD system (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) and an AB SCIEX QTRAP 4500 mass
259 spectrometry system (AB SCIEX PTE. LTD., Marsiling, Singapore) equipped with a column
260 of Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 μm). Results showed that the
261 potential peptide biomarkers in raw pork meat found were GHHEAELTPLAQSHATK from
262 myoglobin, FAGGNLDVLK; ADMVIEAVFEELSLK; TVLGAPEVLLGILPGAGGTQR
263 from trifunctional enzyme subunit alpha, mitochondrial, and
264 WGDAGATYVVESTGVFTTMEK from glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
265 Meanwhile, the heat-stable peptide biomarkers of pork were FAGGNLDVLK and
266 TVLGAPEVLLGILPGAGGTQR from trifunctional enzyme subunit alpha, mitochondrial as
267 well as WGDAGATYVVESTGVFTTMEK from glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
268 The MRM analysis confirmed the heat-stable peptide of pork in meat product samples. It
269 suggested that LC-MS employing MRM method could be used as promising analytical
270 technique for halal authentication of meat products [49].

271

272 **5. Application of gas chromatography for analysis of non-halal components**

273 The use of Herbal medicines (HMs) as complementary and alternative medicine is
274 becoming popular in the general population worldwide. Parallel to the increased trends on
275 application of HMs as alternative therapies either for preventive or promotive, some research
276 activities dealing with the quality control, standardization, and authentication of HMs also
277 increased. The efficacy of HMs depends on their quality and its authenticity. Fingerprint
278 profiling based on spectroscopic especially ^{1}H-NMR and chromatographic techniques
279 hyphenated with mass spectrometers (LC-MS/MS) in combination with classification
280 chemometrics has emerged as powerful tools for standardization and authentication of HMs.
281 Table 2 listed the application of gas chromatography for analysis of halal components in the
282 food and pharmaceutical products.

283 GC-MS combined with chemometrics has been proposed as tools for detection of lard
284 as adulterant in olive oil using metabolomic approach. GC separation of fatty acid methyl esters
285 (FAME) was achieved using HP-5MS nonpolar capillary column. The identification of
286 metabolites of FAMEs was carried out using standard FAMEs and mass spectrometer detector
287 using the WILEY 2007 library. Some FAMEs are specific, i.e., methyl behenate was only
288 present in olive oil and methyl myristate was only detected in lard. PCA using identified
289 FAMEs was successful for separating lard, olive oil and olive oil adulterated with lard for halal
290 authentication study [50].

291 Two dimensional GC combined with time-of-flight mass spectrometer (GC x GC-
292 TOF/MS) is successfully used for analysis of lard as adulterant in virgin coconut oil (VCO)
293 through analysis of sterols. GC x GC system could perform the complete baseline separation
294 of sterol trimethylsilyl ethers derived from cholesterol and cholestanol, which facilitate the
295 detection of lard in VCO. Using GC x GC–TOF/MS Cholestanol trimethylsilyl ether (Cha-
296 TME) and cholesterol trimethylsilyl ether (Che-TME) were separated into some peaks,
297 identified as CHe_1, CHe_{bI}, CHe_{bII}, CHe_2 (Che-TME), and Cha_1, CHa_{bI}, CHa_{bII}, and CHa_2 for
298 Cha-TME. Quantification of these compounds could be used as tools for quantification of
299 adulteration levels of lard in VCO [20].

300 GC-MS coupled with headspace solid-phase micro-extraction (HS-SPME) is successful
301 for the analysis of volatile compounds in pork. The profiles of volatile compounds from
302 different meats are different, therefore, the volatile compounds analysed by GC-HS-SPME/MS
303 could be used as fingerprinting tools for specific meats [51]. In addition, VOCs also contribute
304 to the aroma which can be used for the discrimination tools among animal meats [52]. Analysis

305 of VOCs is very challenging because of different factors, including the high number of volatile
306 compounds, differences in volatility degree and the great amount of functional groups [53].
307 Chen et al. [54] have identified the key volatile compounds for differentiation of pork from
308 different pig breeding. The volatile compounds contributing to the pork flavour identified
309 during this study were 3-methyl-1-butanol, 1-nonanal, octanal, hexanal, 2-pentyl- furan, 1-
310 penten-3-one, N-morpholinomethyl-isopropyl-sulphide, methyl butyrate, and (E,E)-2, 4-
311 decadienal. Kosowska et al. [55] reported that some volatile compounds namely octanal,
312 nonanal, (E,E)-2,4-decadienal, methanethiol, methional, 2-furfurylthiol, 2-metyl-3-furanthiol,
313 3-mercapto-2-pentanone, and 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3-(2H)- furanone are key features in
314 cooked pork. Thus, the identification of marker volatile compounds in pork can be meaningful
315 for pork identification during halal authentication analysis of products. GC-HS-SPME/MS and
316 GC-MS using simultaneous distillation and extraction (SDE) are also successful for
317 identification of volatile compounds used for the identification of cooking braised pork. There
318 are 109 aroma compounds identified, in which aldehydes were the most predominant in
319 number, followed by alcohols, oxygen-containing heterocyclic compounds, acids, and ketones.
320 Methanethiol was the most abundant aroma substance in SPME, while anethole was the most
321 abundant in SDE [56].

322 GC-HS-SPME/MS has been developed and validated as reliable analytical method for
323 analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of minced pork meat during storage. The origin
324 of aromatic hydrocarbons in pork was verified using migration test. Two chemometrics
325 techniques namely PCA and OPLS-DA were employed for characterizing and profiling VOCs
326 in pork meat and for identifying the marker VOCs associated with the spoilage of pork. There
327 are 41 VOCs (consisting of 10 alcohols, 7 aldehydes, 7 ketones, 6 aromatic hydrocarbons, 6
328 linear hydrocarbons, 2 terpenes, 1 acid, 1 ester, 1 furan) were identified during this study. The
329 major VOCs of minced pork are aromatic hydrocarbons, alcohols, aldehydes, linear
330 hydrocarbons, and ketones). From loading plot study, three VOCs namely ethanol, 2,3-
331 butanediol and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol were selected and considered as important variables in the
332 projection values, because these VOCs contribute to the discrimination of pork with different
333 storage times [72].

334 Analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) as fingerprinting profiles for
335 identification of dried pork slices from different processing stages have been done using GC
336 coupled with ion mobility spectrometry (GC-IMS). Using LAV software, 54 peaks were
337 selected. During this study, thirty seven VOCs were detected in the evaluated samples, in which
338 aldehydes and alcohols accounted for the largest proportion. 1-octene-3-ol has the flavour of

339 cooked mushroom, is important compound contributing to the VOCs of pork. This compound
340 is considered as the autoxidation product of linoleic acid [73]. GC-MS has been employed for
341 identification of key aroma in pork broth. The multivariate calibration of PLS is used for
342 screening the relatively better flavour of pork broth among different stewing time and applied
343 for assisting the quantitative analysis of VOCs using standard internal of 1,2-dichlorobenzene.
344 From this study, the key odorants of the aroma profile of pork broth were identified namely 4-
345 hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)- furanone, hexanal, 1-octen-3-ol, (E)-2-octenal, (E)-2-decenal,
346 (E)-2-undecanal, (E, E)-2,4-decadienal, nonanoic acid, decanoic acid, 2-heptanone, 3-hydroxy-
347 2- butanone, δ-decanolactone, and 2-acetylpyrrole [74].
348 GC-MS coupled with olfactometry (GC-MS/O) and in combination with chemometrics
349 of PCA and PLS-DA was reported to differentiate Chinese marinated pork hocks from four
350 different local brands. The results of PCA and PLS-DA indicated that both chemometrics using
351 variable of VOCs could clearly separated marinated pork hocks according to its groups. There
352 are nine odour-active compounds having the high loading capability for discrimination namely
353 heptanal, nonanal, 3-carene, D-limonene, β-phellandrene, p-cymene, eugenol, 2-ethylfuran and
354 2-pentylfuran. This study concluded that the validated GC-MS/O offered an alternative tools
355 for the differentiation of VOCs profile in different brands of marinated pork hocks [75].
356
357 **6. Analysis alcoholic compounds in products using chromatographic techniques**
358 GC-MS is an excellent method for analysis of alcoholic compounds in foods. Park et
359 al. have validated and reported GC-MS for the simultaneous analysis of five alcohols
360 (methanol, ethanol, propanol, butanol and pentanol) in fermented Korean foods. The separation
361 of alcohols was carried out using silica-based INNOWAX column (film thickness 0.25 mm,
362 i.d. 250 mm, length 30 m) coated with poly- ethylene glycol and applying mass selective
363 detector set to determine the specific selected ions for each alcohol. The LoD and LoQ values
364 ranged from 0.25 to 1.16 mg/kg. The precision and accuracy of GC-MS are acceptable as
365 indicated by Intra-day and inter-day RSDs for individual alcohols of below 7%, with recovery
366 values of 90.79 -01.50%. The method is valid, therefore, the developed method is suitable for
367 analysis of alcohols in food samples intended in Halal food authentication supporting the
368 certification processes [76].
369 Mahama et al. has applied GC with flame ionization detector (GC-FID) for analysis of
370 alcohol (ethanol) in marketed post samples (Fruit and vegetable juices from concentrate,
371 syrups, sauce samples etc.) in Thailand for identification of non-halal components suspected
372 to be present in the products. The internal standard used is n-propanol. Ethanol, internal

373 standard and others were separated using capillary columns DB-WAXTER (Agilent
374 Technologies, 30 m by 0.32 mm by 1.00 μm) with temperature of FID was set at 250°C. Some
375 certification bodies have different regulation related to the maximum limits of ethanol, and the
376 majority allowed the maximum limit is 1%. The surveillance results indicated that 1 of 24 sauce
377 samples showed an ethanol concentration of 1.0%. Furthermore, an about of 4% of all the
378 concentrated syrup samples exhibited a higher percentage of ethanol than that permitted for
379 Halal products. GC-FID method using a column HP-5 (5% Phenyl 95% Methyl Siloxane) is
380 also valid for analysis of vinegar samples from Indonesia and Saudi Arabia offering reliable
381 technique for alcohol determination [57].

382 Šorgić et al. developed gas chromatography coupled with the flame ionization detector
383 and headspace autosampler (HSS-GC/FID) method for analyzing volatile compounds in the
384 wine samples. The HSS-GC/FID method was developed, validated, and verified for
385 determining content of methanol, higher alcohols, and esters. The developed method was met
386 the validation requirement for linearity, range, sensitivity, accuracy, and precision parameters.
387 Two grape varieties namely Merlot and Cabernet Sauvignon were analyzed. It was found that
388 contents of the methanol were 198.0 mg/L and 150.5 mg/L, higher alcohols were 398.5 mg/L
389 and 335.8 mg/L, ethyl acetate were 42.0 mg/L and 55.6 mg/L, and acetaldehyde were 23.3
390 mg/L and 16.1 mg/L for Merlot and Cabernet Sauvignon varieties, respectively. This study
391 revealed that the higher content of methanol was influenced by type of grape used for
392 preparation as well as maceration duration. Further evaluation were carried out using PCA to
393 assess the effect of genotypes variation and extraction methods on wine samples [77].

394 Gas chromatography combined with PCA and cluster analysis (CA) were successfully
395 applied in determining content of alcoholic compound in Chinese beverages. According to the
396 study, twenty one aroma components were found to be important in the aroma profiles of
397 Chinese liquor. Among all the compounds, seven alcoholic compound including methanol, 2-
398 butanol, 1-propanol, isobutanol, *n*-butanol, isoamylol and phenylethanol were detected by
399 validated GC analysis method. Isoamylol, isobutanol, and 1-propanol were found as the
400 dominant alcoholic compound with the content of 800.53, 637.67, and 338.84 mg/L,
401 respectively. The dimensionality reduction of PCA were employed in this study to statistically
402 separated young liquor (fresh) and aged liquors. Individual plot was generated as two
403 dimensional visualization constructed by PC1 and PC2 with total variance of 98.27%. Further
404 separation using CA was built using the Euclidean distance. All liquor samples were clustered
405 into two big groups of young liquor and aged liquors. This results proved the ability of PCA
406 and CA to successfully separate and classify the different ages Chinese liquor samples [78].

407 In Indonesia, a majority Muslim country, it was stated by the government that the
408 alcohol content (in percentage) of alcohol-containing drugs, traditional medicines, and
409 supplements have to be declared on the label. Halal evaluation of alcohol content in noni
410 (*Morinda citrifolia* L.) can be performed using gas chromatography method. The GC
411 instrumentation was set as the inlet injection mode split of 2.5:1, injection temperature of
412 140°C, oven initial temperature FID detector of 40 °C, and hold for 5 minutes. The sample of
413 noni herbal medicines were collected from herbal drugstores or online shops in Jakarta,
414 Indonesia. Twenty samples were evaluated and categorized as beverages (18 samples) and
415 herbal medicines (2 samples). It was found that thirteen out of twenty samples contained
416 alcohol in the range of 0.04 - 1.07%. Unfortunately, none of them were labelled properly
417 according to the regulation [79].

418 GC-FID has been used for analysis of ethanol in foods and beverages such as tea-based,
419 fruit-based, cheese-based, milk-based, seaweed-based, instant dried noodle, etc. Ethanol stock
420 solution was prepared (1mg/mL) and internal standard of 0.1% v/v 1-propanol was used for
421 sample preparation. Sample preparation was carried out using magnetic stirring aqueous
422 extraction. Analysis was performed out using an HP-Innowax (Agilent technologies) column
423 (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm). The sample injection volume was 1 μL using split ratio of 13:1.
424 The developed method was validated according to the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2017.
425 Validation result showed that the method had good linearity ($R^2 > 0.999$), good accuracy
426 (recoveries of 96-105%), and good precision (RSD < 5%). The detection limit was low (0.006
427 mg/g). The determination of ethanol concentration was successfully applied in 108 samples of
428 processed foods and beverages. Therefore, this method could be used as valid method for halal
429 authentication of processed foods and beverages [58].

430 GC-MS using static headspace has been applied for determination of ethanol in solid
431 and semi-solid consumer goods such as cakes, ice creams, sauces, and powders. Sample
432 preparation was carried out using mechanical homogenization and aqueous dilution of the
433 products. Subsequently, the sample was analysed using headspace GC-MS. Separation of
434 analytes was performed using a capillary column DB-624 (30 m x 0.25 mm x 1.4 μm) and
435 sample was injected in split mode employing ratio of 1:200. Identification and quantification
436 of ethanol and ethanol-d6 was performed at scan range of 29-250 m/z with a rate of 6.1 scans/s.
437 Result showed that the developed method was specific to detect ethanol and ethanol-d6 at the
438 retention time of 2.65 and 2.61, respectively. The method demonstrated good linearity at the
439 concentration range of 0.1-2.0% v/v showed by its high R^2 value (>0.998). Additionally, good

accuracy as well as good precision was obtained. The accuracy was represented by recoveries value (average recoveries of 99.7%). The precision was demonstrated by its lower RSD value (<1.5%). From the above results, it suggested that headspace GC-MS could be used for identification and quantification of ethanol in a various solid and semi solid food products for halal authentication [80].

Identification of ethanol using headspace GC-MS has also been applied in Kombucha products. Kombucha is one of fermented beverages consist of sugar, tea, a symbiotic of bacteria and yeast which is commonly known as non-alcoholic beverage. The United States and Canada state that the content of alcoholic compounds in product must be <0.5% and <1.1% alcohol by volume, respectively to be categorized as non-alcoholic drink. Propan-1-ol was used as internal standard for ethanol quantification. The condition of headspace was incubation temperature at 70ºC, syringe temperature at 70ºC, incubation time of 300s, agitator speed at 500 rpm, injection volume of 500 µL, and split ratio of 10:1. Analysis was performed using an Agilent J&W DB-624 UI (30 m x 0.25 mm x 1.4 µm) applying flow rate of 1.4 mL/min (constant flow). The developed method was linear (R^2>0.995) obtained at concentration range of 0.025%-2.47%. The accuracy result was good demonstrated by its recovery value (102%) and good precision was also obtained (RSD<4%). The LOD and LOQ values were 0.0002% and 0.002%, respectively. It can be concluded that the method is suitable for identification and quantification of ethanol in Kombucha product. It indicated a rapid and easy integration of analytical method for halal authentication of Kombucha [81].

The development of GC-MS coupled with headspace and multidimensional (heart-cut) chromatography has been successfully applied to determine ethanol content in medicinal syrups. The aim was to ensure and guarantee the safety of the syrups. Samples used for analysis consist of adult and paediatric syrups. Monitoring and quality control of ethanol content in pharmaceutical products were important due to the efforts of industry to reduce the ethanol content in the pharmaceutical and medicinal products. Sample preparation was directly performed using headspace with condition as follows: heating syringe temperature of 90ºC, incubator temperature of 100ºC, incubation time 15 min at 500 rpm, sample volume of 500 µL with split mode using ratio of 1:20. Two dimensional GC analysis was carried out using GC-MS equipped with analytical column of RTX-5 capillary column (Crossbond® 5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane, 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm) for the first dimension then for the second dimension used an NST 100 MS column (Carbowax polyethylene glycol, 30 m × 0.25 mm × 2.00 µm). The method was validated according to National Agency of Sanitary Surveillance (ANVISA) with validation parameters of selectivity, linearity, precision,

474 accuracy, LOD, LOQ, and robustness. Selectivity test found that isopropyl alcohol was an
475 interfering compound of ethanol determination in syrups. Linearity assay demonstrated linear
476 model at concentration range of 0.25% to 10.00% v/v (R^2>0.999). The developed method was
477 sensitive enough as shown by its LOD value (0.03% v/v) and LOQ value (0.06% v/v). The
478 precision was measured for repeatability (CV=3.04%) and intermediate precision
479 (CV=3.03%). The recoveries value obtained ranged from 97.28%-101.38% indicating good
480 accuracy. The robustness test showed that the method remains unchanged with the small
481 changes of several parameters. This developed method could be used as rapid and easy
482 analytical technique for halal authentication of syrups by determining of the ethanol content
483 [82].
484

485 **7. Conclusion**

486 Chromatography-based method consist of liquid chromatography and gas
487 chromatography using various detectors has been widely applied for food and pharmaceutical
488 products authentication including halal analysis due to its advantages. Combination with
489 chemometrics of multivariate analysis, a powerful statistical analysis to manage huge data
490 generated from analytical measurement, could be used to identify potential markers to
491 differentiate halal and non-halal samples. It will be very useful for the institutions which have
492 responsibility for halal quality assurance. Chromatogram and peak separation profiles resulted
493 as the instrument responses can be further evaluated for determination as well as quantification
494 for halal and non-halal components in food and pharmaceutical products. Chromatographic-
495 based method methods were successfully carried out to analyze products containing non-halal
496 material such as pork and alcoholic compound. Combination of chromatographic-based
497 method and chemometrics techniques with some scenarios can be applied for halal research on
498 food and pharmaceutical products.
499

500 **Acknowledgement**

501 The authors thank to Center of Excellence, Institute for Halal Industry and Systems, Universitas
502 Gadjah Mada for facilitating media and instrument for preparing this review article.
503

504 **Research funding**

505 The authors thank to UAD Professorship Program (with a letter of agreement for the
506 implementation of the Professorship Program Number: R3/3/SP-UAD/II/2022) for providing
507 funds for this publication.

508

509 **Author contribution**

510 **Laela Hayu Nurani:** Writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing,
511 funding acquisition; **Florentinus Dika Octa Riswanto**: Writing—original draft preparation,
512 writing—review and editing; **Anjar Windarsih:** Writing—original draft preparation,
513 writing—review and editing; **Citra Ariani Edityaningrum:** Writing—original draft
514 preparation, writing—review and editing; **Any Guntarti:** Writing—original draft preparation,
515 writing—review and editing; **Abdul Rahman:** Conceptualization, methodology, writing—
516 original draft preparation, funding acquisition.
517 All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

518

519 **Conflict of interest**

520 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

521

522 **References**

523 [1] Hassan N, Ahmad T, Zain N.M. Chemical and Chemometric Methods for Halal
524 Authentication of Gelatin: An Overview. J Food Sci. 2018;83(12):2903–2911. doi:
525 10.1111/1750-3841.14370.

526 [2] Mursyidi A. The Role of Chemical Analysis in the Halal Authentication of Food and
527 Pharmaceutical Products. J Food Pharm Sci. 2013;1:1–4.

528 [3] Mahama S, Waloh N, Chayutsatid C, Sirikwanpong S, Ayukhen A, Marnpae M, et al.
529 Postmarket laboratory surveillance for forbidden substances in halal-certified foods in
530 Thailand. J Food Prot. 2020;83(1):147–154. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-19-051.

531 [4] Ridwan A. Authorization of Halal Certification in Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore.
532 Int J Psychosoc Rehabil. 2020;24(8):7992–8011.

533 [5] Faridah H.D. Halal certification in Indonesia; history, development, and implementation.
534 J Halal Prod Res. 2019;2(2):68. doi: 10.20473/jhpr.vol.2-issue.2.68-78

535 [6] Martuscelli M, Serio A, Capezio O, Mastrocola D. Meat products, with particular
536 emphasis on salami: A review. Foods. 2020;9(8):1–19. doi: 10.3390/foods9081111.

537 [7] Alzeer J, Rieder U, Hadeed KA. Good agricultural practices and its compatibility with
538 Halal standards. Trends Food Sci Technol. 2020;102:237–241. doi:
539 10.1016/j.tifs.2020.02.025.

540 [8] Suryawan AS, Hisano S, Jongerden J. Negotiating halal: The role of non-religious
541 concerns in shaping halal standards in Indonesia. J Rural Stud. 2019. doi:

542 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2019.09.013.
543 [9] Alzeer J, Abou Hadeed K. Ethanol and its Halal status in food industries. Trends Food
544 Sci Technol. 2016;58:14–20. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.10.018.
545 [10] Lubis HN, Mohd-Naim NF, Alizul NN, Ahmed MU. From market to food plate: Current
546 trusted technology and innovations in halal food analysis. Trends Food Sci Technol.
547 2016;58:55–68. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.10.024.
548 [11] Mostafa MM. A knowledge domain visualization review of thirty years of halal food
549 research: Themes, trends and knowledge structure. Trends Food Sci Technol.
550 2020;99:660–677. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2020.03.022.
551 [12] Norazmi MN, Lim LS. Halal pharmaceutical industry: opportunities and challenges.
552 Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2015;36(8):496–497. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2015.06.006.
553 [13] Huang Y, Li T, Deng G, Guo S, Zaman F. Recent advances in animal origin identification
554 of gelatin-based products using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry methods: A
555 mini review. Rev Anal Chem. 2020;39(1):260–271. doi: 10.1515/revac-2020-0121.
556 [14] D'Atri V, Fekete S, Clarke A, Veuthey JL, Guillarme D. Recent Advances in
557 Chromatography for Pharmaceutical Analysis. Anal Chem. 2019;91(1):210–239. doi:
558 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05026.
559 [15] Mota MFS, Waktola HD, Nolvachai Y, Marriott PJ. Gas chromatography – mass
560 spectrometry for characterisation, assessment of quality and authentication of seed and
561 vegetable oils. TrAC Trends Anal Chem. 2021;138:116238. doi:
562 10.1016/j.trac.2021.116238.
563 [16] Munir MA, Badri KH. The Importance of Derivatizing Reagent in Chromatography
564 Applications for Biogenic Amine Detection in Food and Beverages. J Anal Methods
565 Chem. 2020;2020. doi: 10.1155/2020/5814389.
566 [17] Montero L, Herrero M. Two-dimensional liquid chromatography approaches in
567 Foodomics – A review. Anal Chim Acta. 2019;1083:1–18. doi:
568 10.1016/j.aca.2019.07.036.
569 [18] Iguiniz M, Heinisch S. Two-dimensional liquid chromatography in pharmaceutical
570 analysis. Instrumental aspects, trends and applications. J Pharm Biomed Anal.
571 2017;145:482–503. doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2017.07.009.
572 [19] Aspromonte J, Wolfs K, Adams E. Current application and potential use of GC × GC in
573 the pharmaceutical and biomedical field. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2019;176:112817. doi:
574 10.1016/j.jpba.2019.112817.
575 [20] Xu B, Li P, Ma F, Wang X, Matthäus B, Chen R, Yang Q, Zhang W, Zhang Q. Detection

576 of virgin coconut oil adulteration with animal fats using quantitative cholesterol by GC × 577 GC-TOF/MS analysis. Food Chem. 2015;178:128–135. doi: 578 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.01.035.

579 [21] Cai X, Guo Z, Xue X, Xu J, Zhang X, Liang X. Two-dimensional liquid chromatography 580 separation of peptides using reversed-phase/weak cation-exchange mixed-mode column 581 in first dimension. J Chromatogr A. 2012;1228:242–249. doi: 582 10.1016/j.chroma.2011.06.042.

583 [22] Esteki M, Simal-Gandara J, Shahsavari Z, Zandbaaf S, Dashtaki E, Vander Heyden Y. A 584 review on the application of chromatographic methods, coupled to chemometrics, for 585 food authentication. Food Control. 2018;93:165–182. doi: 586 10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.06.015.

587 [23] Yu P, Low MY, Zhou W. Design of experiments and regression modelling in food flavour 588 and sensory analysis: A review. Trends Food Sci Technol. 2018;71:202–215. doi: 589 10.1016/j.tifs.2017.11.013.

590 [24] Bosque-Sendra JM, Cuadros-Rodríguez L, Ruiz-Samblás C, de la Mata A.P. Combining 591 chromatography and chemometrics for the characterization and authentication of fats and 592 oils from triacylglycerol compositional data-A review. Anal Chim Acta. 2012;724:1–11. 593 doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2012.02.041.

594 [25] Marini F. Classification Methods in Chemometrics. Curr Anal Chem. 2009;6(1):72–79. 595 doi: 10.2174/157341110790069592.

596 [26] Kucharska-Ambrożej K., Karpinska J. The application of spectroscopic techniques in 597 combination with chemometrics for detection adulteration of some herbs and spices. 598 Microchem J. 2020;153:104278. doi: 10.1016/j.microc.2019.104278.

599 [27] Granato D, Putnik P, Kovačević DB, Santos JS, Calado V, Rocha RS, et al. Trends in 600 Chemometrics: Food Authentication, Microbiology, and Effects of Processing. Compr 601 Rev Food Sci Food Saf. 2018;17(3):663–677. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12341.

602 [28] Yuswan MH, Nurul NH, Mohamad H, Keso S, Mohamad NA, Tengku TS, et al. 603 Hydroxyproline determination for initial detection of halal-critical food ingredients 604 (gelatin and collagen). Food Chem. 2021;337. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127762.

605 [29] Ismail AM, Sani MSA, Azid A, Zaki NNM, Arshad S, Tukiran NA, et al. Food forensics 606 on gelatine source via ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography diode-array 607 detector and principal component analysis. SN Appl Sci. 2021;3:79. doi: 608 10.1007/s42452-020-04061-7.

609 [30] Sha XM, Zhang LJ, Tu ZC, Zhang LZ, Hu ZZ, Li Z, et al. The identification of three

610 mammalian gelatins by liquid chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry. LWT
611 - Food Sci Technol. 2018;89:74–86. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.10.001.
612 [31] Ahda M, Guntarti A, Kusbandari A. Application of high-pressure liquid chromatography
613 for analysis of lard in the meatball product combined with principal component analysis.
614 Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 2016;9:120–123. doi: 10.22159/ajpcr.2016.v9i6.13831.
615 [32] Jorfi R, Shuhaimi M, Che Man YB, Mat Hashim D, Sazili AQ, Ebrahimi M. Amino acid
616 composition analysis of beef, mutton, chevon, chicken and Pork by HPLC method. 57th
617 International Congress of Meat Science and Technology. 2011;1-4.
618 [33] Huang Y, Zhang W, Shi Q, Toyo'oka T, Min JZ. Determination of d,l-Amino Acids in
619 Collagen from Pig and Cod Skins by UPLC Using Pre-column Fluorescent
620 Derivatization. Food Anal Methods. 2018;11(11):3130–3137. doi: 10.1007/s12161-018-
621 1288-9.
622 [34] Von Bargen C, Dojahn J, Waidelich D, Humpf HU, Brockmeyer J. New sensitive high-
623 performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for the detection
624 of horse and pork in halal beef. J Agric Food Chem. 2013;61(49):11986–11994. doi:
625 10.1021/jf404121b.
626 [35] Von Bargen C, Brockmeyer J, Humpf HU. Meat authentication: A new HPLC-MS/MS
627 based method for the fast and sensitive detection of horse and pork in highly processed
628 food. J Agric Food Chem. 2014;62(39):9428–9435. doi: 10.1021/jf503468t.
629 [36] Salamah N, Erwanto Y, Martono S, Maulana I, Rohman A. Differentiation of bovine and
630 porcine gelatines using LC-MS/MS and chemometrics. Int J Appl Pharm. 2019;11(4):2–
631 6. doi: 10.22159/ijap.2019v11i4.30248.
632 [37] Yilmaz MT, Kesmen Z, Baykal B, Sagdic O, Kacar O, Yetim H, et al. A novel method
633 to differentiate bovine and porcine gelatins in food products: NanoUPLC-ESI-Q-TOF-
634 MSE based data independent acquisition technique to detect marker peptides in gelatin.
635 Food Chem. 2013;141(3):2450–2458. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.05.096.
636 [38] Jannat B, Ghorbani K, Shafieyan H, Kouchaki S, Behfar A, Sadeghi N, et al. Gelatin
637 speciation using real-time PCR and analysis of mass spectrometry-based proteomics
638 datasets. Food Control. 2018;87,79–87. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.12.006.
639 [39] Kim GD, Seo JK, Yum HW, Jeong JY, Yang H.S. Protein markers for discrimination of
640 meat species in raw beef, pork and poultry and their mixtures. Food Chem.
641 2017;217:163–170. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.08.100.
642 [40] Sidwick KL, Johnson AE, Adam CD, Pereira L, Thompson DF. Use of Liquid
643 Chromatography Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry and Metabonomic

644 Profiling to Differentiate between Normally Slaughtered and Dead on Arrival Poultry
645 Meat. Anal Chem. 2017;89(22):12131–12136. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02749.
646 [41] Ali NSM, Zabidi AR, Manap MNA, Zahari SMSNS, Yahaya N. Effect of different
647 slaughtering methods on metabolites of broiler chickens using ultra high-performance
648 liquid chromatography-time of flight-mass spectrometry (UHPLC-TOF-MS). Food Res.
649 2020;4:33–138. doi: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(s1).s06.
650 [42] Pan XD, Chen J, Chen Q, Huang BF, Han JL. Authentication of pork in meat mixtures
651 using PRM mass spectrometry of myosin peptides. RSC Adv. 2018;8:11157–11162.
652 [43] Trivedi DK, Hollywood KA, Rattray NJW, Ward H, Trivedi DK, Greenwood J, et al.
653 Meat, the metabolites: An integrated metabolite profiling and lipidomics approach for the
654 detection of the adulteration of beef with pork. Analyst. 2016;141(7):2155–2164. doi:
655 10.1039/c6an00108d.
656 [44] Li Y, Zhang Y, Kang C, Zhao W, Li S, Wang S. Assessment of carbonic anhydrase 3 as
657 a marker for meat authenticity and performance of LC-MS/MS for pork content. Food
658 Chem. 2021;342:128240. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128240.
659 [45] Yuswan MH, Aizat WM, Desa MNM, Hashim AM, Rahim NA, Mustafa S, et al.
660 Improved gel-enhanced liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry by chemometrics for
661 halal proteomics. Chemom Intell Lab Syst. 2019;192. doi:
662 10.1016/j.chemolab.2019.103825.
663 [46] Ward S, Powles NT, Page MI. Peptide biomarkers for identifying the species origin of
664 gelatin using coupled UPLC-MS/MS. J Food Compos Anal. 2018;73:83–90. doi:
665 10.1016/j.jfca.2018.08.002.
666 [47] Yuswan MH, Aizat WM, Lokman AA, Desa MNM, Mustafa S, Junoh NM, et al.
667 Chemometrics-Assisted Shotgun Proteomics for Establishment of Potential Peptide
668 Markers of Non-Halal Pork (Sus scrofa) among Halal Beef and Chicken. Food Anal
669 Methods. 2018;11:3505–3515. doi: 10.1007/s12161-018-1327-6.
670 [48] Li Y, Zhang Y, Li H, Zhao W, Guo W, Wang S. Simultaneous determination of heat
671 stable peptides for eight animal and plant species in meat products using UPLC-MS/MS
672 method. Food Chem. 2018;245:125–131. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.066.
673 [49] Wang GJ, Zhou GY, Ren HW, Xu Y, Yang Y, Guo LH, et al. Peptide biomarkers
674 identified by LC–MS in processed meats of five animal species. J Food Compos Anal.
675 2018;73:47–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2018.07.004.
676 [50] Heidari M, Talebpour Z, Abdollahpour Z, Adib N, Ghanavi Z, Aboul-Enein HY.
677 Discrimination between vegetable oil and animal fat by a metabolomics approach using

678 gas chromatography–mass spectrometry combined with chemometrics. J Food Sci
679 Technol. 2020;57(9):3415–3425. doi: 10.1007/s13197-020-04375-9.
680 [51] Gardner K, Legako JF. Volatile flavor compounds vary by beef product type and degree
681 of doneness. J Anim Sci. 2018;96(10):4238–4250. doi: 10.1093/jas/sky287.
682 [52] Pu D, Zhang Y, Zhang H, Sun B, Ren F, Chen H, et al. Characterization of the key aroma
683 compounds in traditional Hunan smoke-cured pork leg (Larou, THSL) by aroma extract
684 dilution analysis (AEDA), odor activity value (OAV), and sensory evaluation
685 experiments. Foods. 2020;9(4):1–16. doi: 10.3390/foods9040413.
686 [53] Narváez-Rivas M, Gallardo E, León-Camacho M. Analysis of volatile compounds from
687 Iberian hams: A review. Grasas y Aceites. 2012;63(4):432–454. doi:
688 10.3989/gya.070112.
689 [54] Chen G, Su Y, He L, Wu H, Shui S. Analysis of volatile compounds in pork from four
690 different pig breeds using headspace solid-phase micro-extraction/gas chromatography–
691 mass spectrometry. Food Sci Nutr. 2019;7(4):1261–1273. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.955.
692 [55] Kosowska M, Majcher MA, Fortuna T. Volatile compounds in meat and meat products.
693 Food Sci Technol. 2017;37(1):1–7. doi: 10.1590/1678-457X.08416.
694 [56] Song S, Fan L, Xu X, Xu R, Jia Q, Feng T. Aroma patterns characterization of braised
695 pork obtained from a novel ingredient by sensory-guided analysis and gas-
696 chromatography-olfactometry. Foods. 2019;8(3):87. doi: 10.3390/foods8030087.
697 [57] Pulungan INR, Kartosentono S, Prawita A. Validation Gas Chromatography-Fid Method
698 for Analysis of Ethanol Content in Vinegar. J Halal Prod Res. 2018;1(2):22. doi:
699 10.20473/jhpr.vol.1-issue.2.22-31.
700 [58] Mansur AR, Oh J, Lee HS, Oh SY. Determination of ethanol in foods and beverages by
701 magnetic stirring-assisted aqueous extraction coupled with GC-FID: A validated method
702 for halal verification. Food Chem. 2022;366:130526. doi:
703 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130526.
704 [59] Muchtaridi M, Musfiroh I, Hambali NN, Indrayati W. Determination of alcohol contents
705 of fermentated black tape ketan based on different fermentation time using specific
706 gravity, refractive index and GC-MS methods. J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci.
707 2012;2(3):933–946.
708 [60] Dahimi O, Hassan MS, Rahim AA, Abdulkarim SM, A., S.M. Differentiation of lard
709 from other edible fats by gas chromatography-flame ionisation detector (GC-FID) and
710 chemometrics. J Food Pharm Sci. 2014;2:27–31.
711 [61] Guntarti A, Ahda M, Kusbandari A. Determining fatty acids and halal authentication of

712 sausage. Food Res. 2020;4(2):495–499. doi: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(2).261.
713 [62] Guntarti A, Gandjar IG, Jannah NM. Authentication of wistar rat fats with gas
714 chromatography mass spectometry combined by chemometrics. Potravin Slovak J Food
715 Sci. 2020;14:52–57. https://doi.org/10.5219/1229
716 [63] Nurjuliana M, Che Man YB, Mat Hashim D, Mohamed AKS. Rapid identification of
717 pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass
718 spectrometer with headspace analyzer. Meat Sci. 2011;88(4):638–644. doi:
719 10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.02.022.
720 [64] Rahayu WS, Sundhani E, Saputri SD. The Use of Fourier Transform Infrared
721 Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) for Halal
722 Authentication in Imported Chocolate With Various Variants. J Food Pharm Sci.
723 2014;3:6–11.
724 [65] Pranata AW, Yuliana ND, Amalia L, Darmawan N. Volatilomics for halal and non-halal
725 meatball authentication using solid-phase microextraction–gas chromatography–mass
726 spectrometry. Arab J Chem. 2021;14. doi: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2021.103146.
727 [66] Pavlidis DE, Mallouchos A, Ercolini D, Panagou EZ, Nychas GJE. A volatilomics
728 approach for off-line discrimination of minced beef and pork meat and their admixture
729 using HS-SPME GC/MS in tandem with multivariate data analysis. Meat Sci.
730 2019;151:43–53. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2019.01.003.
731 [67] Ahda M, Guntarti A, Kusbandari A, Melianto Y. Halal food analysis using GC-MS
732 combined with principal component analysis (Pca) based on saturated and unsaturated
733 fatty acid composition. Songklanakarin J Sci Technol. 2021;43(2):352–355.
734 [68] Salamah N, Guntarti A, Ayu Lestari P, Gholib Gandjar I. Fat analysis of house rat (Rattus
735 tanezumi) in meatball using gas chromatography-mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) combined
736 with principal component analysis. Indones J Pharm. 2022. doi: 10.22146/ijp.1781.
737 [69] Azizan NI, Mokhtar NFK, Arshad S, Sharin SN, Mohamad N, Mustafa S, et al. Detection
738 of Lard Adulteration in Wheat Biscuits Using Chemometrics-Assisted GCMS and
739 Random Forest. Food Anal Methods. 2021;14:2276-2287. doi: 10.1007/s12161-021-
740 02046-9.
741 [70] Guntarti A. Authentication of Dog Fat With Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy
742 Combined With Chemometrics. Int J Chem. 2018;10(4):124. doi:10.5539/ijc.v10n4p124.
743 [71] Guntarti A, Ningrum KP, Gandjar IG, Salamah N. Authentication of Sprague Dawley
744 Rats (Rattus Norvegicus) Fat with GC-MS (Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry)
745 Combined with Chemometrics. Int J Appl Pharm. 2021;13(2):1–6. doi:

746 10.22159/jap.2021v13i2.40130.
747 [72] Song X, Canellas E, Nerin C. Screening of volatile decay markers of minced pork by
748 headspace-solid phase microextraction–gas chromatography–mass spectrometry and
749 chemometrics. Food Chem. 2021;342:128341. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128341.
750 [73] Chen M, Chen T, Qi X, Lu D, Chen B. Analyzing changes of volatile components in
751 dried pork slice by gas chromatography-ion mobility spectroscopy. CyTA - J. Food.
752 2020;18:328–335. doi: 10.1080/19476337.2020.1752805.
753 [74] Chang Y, Wang S, Chen H, Zhang N, Sun J. Characterization of the key aroma
754 compounds in pork broth by sensory-directed flavor analysis. J Food Sci.
755 2021;86(11):4932–4945. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.15937.
756 [75] Han D, Mi S, Zhang CH, Li J, Song HL, Fauconnier ML, Tyteca E. Characterization and
757 discrimination of Chinese marinated pork hocks by volatile compound profiling using
758 solid phase microextraction gas chromatography-mass spectrometry/olfactometry,
759 electronic nose and chemometrics. Molecules. 2019;24(7):1385. doi:
760 10.3390/molecules24071385.
761 [76] Park S, Kim JC, Lee HS, Jeong SW, Shim YS. Determination of five alcohol compounds
762 in fermented Korean foods via simple liquid extraction with dimethyl-sulfoxide followed
763 by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry for Halal food certification. LWT - Food Sci.
764 Technol. 2016;74:563–570. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2016.08.030.
765 [77] Šorgić S, Ignjatović IS, Antić M, Šaćirović S, Pezo L, Čejić V, Đurović S. Monitoring of
766 the Wines’ Quality by Gas Chromatography: HSS-GC/FID Method Development,
767 Validation, Verification, for Analysis of Volatile Compounds. Fermentation.
768 2022;8(2):38. doi: 10.3390/fermentation8020038.
769 [78] Xu ML, Yu Y, Ramaswamy HS, Zhu SM. Characterization of Chinese liquor aroma
770 components during aging process and liquor age discrimination using gas
771 chromatography combined with multivariable statistics. Sci Rep. 2017;7:1–9. doi:
772 10.1038/srep39671.
773 [79] Qomariyah RS, Roswiem AP, Suseno D. Analysis of Alcohol Content in A Herbal
774 Medicine of Noni Using Gas Chromatography Method. Int J Halal Res. 2021;3(1):1–7.
775 [80] Sours RE, Bezabeh DZ. A static headspace GC–MS method for the determination of
776 ethanol in solid or semi-solid consumer goods. Food Anal Methods. 2021;14:2569–2575.
777 doi: 10.1007/s12161-021-02090-5.
778 [81] Chan M, Sy H, Finley J, Robertson J, Brown PN. Determination of ethanol content in
779 kombucha using headspace gas chromatography with mass spectrometry detection:

780 Single-laboratory validation. J AOAC Int. 2021;104(1):122–128. doi:
781 10.1093/jaoacint/qsaa094.
782 [82] Batista LR. Antoniosi Filho, N.R. Ethanol content determination in medicine syrups
783 using headspace and multidimensional heart-cut gas chromatography coupled to mass
784 spectrometry. J Braz Chem Soc. 2020;31(2):394–401. doi: 10.21577/0103-
785 5053.20190193.
786
787

788 **Figure and Scheme captions**

789

790 **Figure 1:** Three different scenarios (a, b, and c) of chemometrics applications employing the
791 chromatograms as variable for obtaining the analytical purposes (classification of halal and
792 non-halal products as well as prediction the levels of non-halal components in the products).
793 Adapted from [24].

794

795 **Figures and Schemes**

796 **Figure 1**



797

798

799 **Tables and Table captions**

800

801 **Table 1.** The application of liquid chromatography (HPLC and LC-MS/MS) for analysis of

802 halal components in the food and pharmaceutical products

Methods	Issues	Results	References
HPLC-UV detection	Identification of pork in meatball products	HPLC-UV in combination with PCA could classify meatballs containing pork and beef in the products using variable of hydrolysis of Triacylglycerols (TAG). However, the authors did not mention which TAG markers contributing to this classification.	[31]
HPLC-Fluorescence detector	Identification of pork through amino acid composition	HPLC using fluorescence detector has been successfully applied for differentiation of pork and other animal meats based on analysis of derivatized amino acids with orto-phtalaldehyde. The amino acid VAL can be identified as marker for differentiating pork from the other meats studied (beef, chicken mutton, and chevon).	[32]
HPLC-Fluorescence detector	Detection of pig collagen using D,L-amino acids	Pre column derivatization using R(-)-4-(3-isothiocyanatopyrrolidin-1-yl)-7-(*N*,*N*-dimethylaminosulfonyl)-2,1,3-benzoxadiazole [R(-)-DBD-PyNCS] could be used to determine D,L-amino acids in pork collagen. Three amino acids of D-Asp, D-Pro, and D-Hyp were first detected in pork collagen samples.	[33]
LC-MS/MS with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)	Detection of Horse and Pork in Halal Beef	Biomarker peptides were successfully identified by a shotgun proteomic approach using tryptic digests of protein extracts. Pork was identified by peptide markers: TLAFLFAER (from myosin-4), SALAHAVQSSR (from myosin-1 and myosin-4). The detection limit is 0.55% horse or pork in a beef matrix.	[34]
HPLC−MS/MS with MRM	Detection of Pork in Highly Processed Food by analysis of specific tryptic marker peptides	HPLC-MS/MS using MRM has been successfully applied for analysis of pork in some processed food products (cooking, frying and baking) based on peptide markers which are specific for pork. The peptide markers of pork identified based on MRM experiment were: marker 1 (YDIINLR) markers 2 (TLAFLFAER) and 3 (SALAHAVQSSR).	[35]
LC-MS/MS	Differentiation of porcine gelatine and bovine gelatine	LC-MS/MS in combination with exploratory data analysis of PCA could discriminate porcine and bovine gelatines. Based on loading plot PCA, peptides appearing in retention time (t_R) 32 min could be identified as peptide markers	[36]
Nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS	Differentiation of porcine and bovine gelatin in food products	Marker peptide of bovine and porcine gelatin could be detected using nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS based data dependent technique in yoghurt, cheese, and ice cream. The method could be used to detect bovine and porcine gelatin in the mixtures.	[37]

Nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS	Differentiation of porcine and bovine gelatin in food products	Marker peptide of bovine and porcine gelatin could be detected using nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS based data dependent technique in yoghurt, cheese, and ice cream. The method could be used to detect bovine and porcine gelatin in the mixtures.	[37]
LC-MS QTRAP	Gelatin speciation (bovine, porcine, and fish)	LC-MS in combination with PCA could differentiate bovine, porcine, and fish gelatin. PLS-DA could be used for classification of pure gelatin and adulterated gelatin (fish and bovine) with porcine gelatin using several concentration levels of porcine gelatin.	[38]
LC-MS/MS	Discrimination of raw beef, pork, poultry and their mixtures	Protein of troponin I (TnI), enolase 3, L-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), triose-phosphate isomerase (TPI), Tropomyosin 1 and carbonic anhydrase 3 could be used as potential markers to distinguish mammals and poultry.	[39]
LC-Q-TOF-MS	Differentiation between dead-on arrival and normally slaughtered of poultry meat	LC-Q-TOF-MS could be used to differentiate between normally slaughtered and dead-on arrival poultry meat based on metabolic profiles analysed using multivariate analysis. Using METLIN and analysis of chemical standards, metabolite of sphingosine was found to be potential marker for dead-on arrival poultry meat.	[40]
UPLC-TOF-MS	Metabolite's differentiation of broiler chicken slaughtered using different techniques	UPLC-TOF-MS could be used to distinguish between halal slaughtering method and non-halal slaughtering method of broiler chicken based on their metabolite profiles. Non-halal slaughtered method demonstrated high amino acid and high glucose breakdown.	[41]
LC-HRMS	Analysis of pork meat in meat mixtures using PRM	Five peptides of myosin were screened and used for PRM analysis using LC-Orbitrap HRMS. Peptide of KLETDISQIQGEMEDIVQEAR was found to be the most sensitive peptide with LOD value of 0.5% in meat mixtures.	[42]
UPLC-MS	Detection of pork adulteration in beef using metabolomics approach	PLS-DA using metabolomics data obtained from untargeted measurement could classify pure and adulterated beef samples with pork. There was a significant difference in the metabolism of inositol, glutathione, and sphingolipid between beef and pork.	[43]
LC-MS/MS	Detection of pork adulteration in meat samples using carbonic anhydrase 3 as a marker	Three peptides from carbonic anhydrase 3 were found as marker of pork (EPITVSSDQMAK, GGPLTAAYR, HDPSLLPWTASYDPGSAK). Quantification analysis could be performed using those three peptides with perfect quantitative ability and provided good correlation and recovery results.	[44]

803

804

805

806

807

808

809 **Table 2.** The application of gas chromatography (GC-FID and GC-MS) for analysis of halal

810 components in the food and pharmaceutical products

Methods	Issues	Results	References
GC-FID for analysis of alcohol	Determination of ethanol contents in vinegar	The maximum contents of ethanol in vinegar is 1.0%. GC-FID could determine the levels of ethanol (alcohol) in the marketed vinegar samples. The detection level of ethanol was about 0.4 mg%.	[57]
GC-FID for analysis of ethanol in foods	Determination of ethanol in different processed foods and beverages	Extraction technique using aqueous extraction assisted magnetic-stirring could be used to extract ethanol from different foods and beverages. GC-FID successfully used to determine ethanol with good validity. The validated method was successfully used to determine ethanol in 108 food and beverage products.	[58]
GC-MS for analysis of alcohol	Determination of alcohol in fermented black tape ketan using GC-MS	GC-MS could be used for quantitative analysis of alcohol content in fermented black tape ketan with good recovery (89%). The alcohol concentrations determined at 3, 10, 17, 24, and 31 days were 4.295, 4.23, 5.005, 4.747, and 5.344 % v/v, respectively.	[59]
GC-FID for analysis of lard	Differentiation of lard from other edible fats using GC-FID and chemometrics	Lard contains high amount of C18: 2cis and low amount of C16:0. Chemometrics of PCA and K-mean cluster analysis could differentiate lard adulteration on chicken fat and beef tallow at low concentrations (0.5%-10%).	[60]
GC-MS for analysis of pork	Analysis of fatty acids a fatty acid methyl esters of pork (non-halal meats) in sausages compared with beef sausages (halal meat)	The dominant fatty acids in pork sausage are palmitic, myristic, oleic acid, and lauric acids. While fatty acids dominating in beef sausage are palmitic, oleic, stearic and myristic acids. The chemometrics of PCA could classify sausages according to meat sources (beef and pork)	[61]
GC-MS for analysis of rat meat	Analysis of rat meat (non-halal meat) and its classification with other meats using chemometrics of PCA	Six fatty acids, i.e. myristic, palmitoleic, palmitic, linoleic, oleic and stearic acids combined with PCA could classify rat meat and other meats.	[62]
Headspace GC-MS for analysis of pork	Differentiation of pork (non-halal meat) and pork sausages from beef, mutton and chicken meats	The samples were introduced into GC instrument using headspace, and volatile compounds present in the evaluated samples were separated using GC and detected by MS. The chemometrics of PCA provided good separation between pork-based sausages and halal meat-based sausages.	[63]
GC-MS for analysis of lard	Analysis of lard (non-halal fat derived from adipose	The fatty acid of 11,14-eicosadienoic acid is used as fatty acid marker for identification of lard.	[64]

	tissue of pig) in chocolate products		
GC-MS-SPME for analysis of wild boar	Volatilomics analysis of non-halal (wild boar) meat ball using GC-MS-SPME and chemometrics	PLS-DA could be used to differentiate volatile compounds of halal meatball and non-halal meatball. Compounds of β-cymene, 3-methyl-butanal, and 2-pentanol were found to be potential markers for chicken meatball. Compounds of 5-ethyl-m-xylene, benzaldehyde, and 3-ethyl-2-methyl-1,3-hexadiene were associated to the potential markers of beef meatball. Compounds of pentanal, 2,6-dimethylcyclohexanone, 1-undecanol, cyclobutanol, 2,4,5-trimethyl-thiazole, and 5-ethyl-3-(3-methyl-5-phenyl pyrazol-1-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine could be used as potential markers as wild boar meatball.	[65]
HS-SPME-GC-MS for analysis of minced beef and pork meat	Volatilomics analysis using HS-SPME-GC-MS combined with multivariate analysis to differentiate minced beef and pork meat	GC-MS based on volatilomics analysis and chemometrics of PCA and PLS-DA could be used to differentiate minced beef and pork meat. Heptanal, octanal, butanol, pentanol, hexanol, 1-penten-3-ol, 2-octen-1-ol, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone were associated to the potential markers of beef whereas pentanal, hexanal, decanal, nonanal, benzaldehyde, trans-2-hexenal, trans-2-heptenal could be used as potential volatile compound markers of pork meat.	[66]
GC-MS for analysis of pork	Detection of pork in beef meatball using GC-MS and chemometrics	PCA using fatty acid compositions of pure beef meatball and adulterated beef meatball using pork as the variables successfully differentiate pure and adulterated beef meatball. The ratio of SFA:MUFA of pork meatball was 1.0.	[67]
GC-MS for analysis of house rat	Detection of rat house in beef meatball by analysis of fat using G-CMS	The fatty acids composition of house rats were myristate (0.19±0.03)%, palmitoleat (2.40±0.29)%, methyl palmitate (27.65±0.32)%, oleate (45.81±3.25)%, and stearate (4.65±0.28)%. Analysis using PCA could differentiate beef meatball and beef meatball containing rat house meat. Further analysis using PCA demonstrated that fatty acids of house rats have high similarity to chicken fatty acids.	[68]
GC-MS for analysis of lard	Detection of lard in wheat biscuits using GC-MS and chemometrics	PCA using fatty acids composition could differentiate lard, wheat biscuits, and adulterated wheat biscuits with lard. PLS-DA could be used to find potential marker for differentiation. Fatty acid of C18:3n6 is suggested as potential marker to distinguish	[69]

		pure wheat biscuits and adulterated wheat biscuits with lard.	
GC-MS for analysis of dog fat	Detection of dog fat from other animal fats using GC-MS and chemometrics	Nine types of fatty acids in dog fat were found such as lauric, myristate, pentadecanoate, palmitoleate, palmitate, margarate, oleat, stearic, and arachidonic. Analysis PCA showed that dog fat is close to lard.	[70]
GC-MS for analysis of rat fat	Detection of Sprague Dawley rat fat in meatball using GC-MS and chemometrics	PCA could differentiate meatball and adulterated meatball with Sprague Dawley rat meats. Further analysis revealed that the Sprague Dawley rat fat is close to beef fat.	[71]

811

Bukti Submit

Submission received for International Journal of Food Properties (Submission ID: 221850525)

LJFP-peerreview@journals.tandf.co.uk via amazonses.com
to me

Wed, Apr 13, 5:09 PM

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group

Dear Abdul Rohman,

Thank you for your submission.

Submission ID	221850525
Manuscript Title	The Use of Chromatographic-Based Techniques and chemometrics for Halal Authentication of Food Products: A Review
Journal	International Journal of Food Properties
Article Publishing Charge (APC)	USD $1160.00 (plus VAT or other local taxes where applicable in your country)

**APC only payable if your article is accepted*

You can check the progress of your submission, and make any requested revisions, on the Author Portal.

Thank you for submitting your work to our journal.
If you have any queries, please get in touch with LJFP-peerreview@journals.tandf.co.uk.

For any queries relating to your APC, please get in touch with APC@tandf.co.uk

Kind Regards,
International Journal of Food Properties Editorial Office

Masukan reviewer

221850525 (International Journal of Food Properties) A revise decision has been made on your submission

International Journal of Food Properties <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com>
to me

Fri, May 13, 1:10 AM

12-May-2022

Dear Dr Rohman:

Your manuscript entitled "The Use of Chromatographic-Based Techniques and chemometrics for Halal Authentication of Food Products: A Review" which you submitted to International Journal of Food Properties, has been reviewed. The reviewer comments are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviews are in general favourable and suggest that, subject to minor revisions, your paper could be suitable for publication. Please consider these suggestions, and I look forward to receiving your revision.

When you revise your manuscript please highlight the changes you make in the manuscript by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or colored text.

In accordance with our format-free submission policy, an editable version of the article must be supplied at the revision stage. Please submit your revised manuscript files in an editable file format.

To submit a revision, go to https://rp.tandfonline.com/submission/flow?submissionId=221850525&step=1. If you decide to revise the work, please submit a list of changes or a rebuttal against each point which is being raised when you submit the revised manuscript.

If you have any questions or technical issues, please contact the journal's editorial office at ijfp-peerreview@journals.tandf.co.uk.

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to International Journal of Food Properties, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to International Journal of Food Properties and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Sincerely,

Dr Rahman
Editor, International Journal of Food Properties
shafiur@squ.edu.om

Comments from the Editors and Reviewers:

Reviewer: 1

Comments from the Editors and Reviewers

Reviewer: 1

Comments to the Author
This is a good review to see the outcome of analysis in various settings using chromatographic and chemometric analysis. Some English errors are found but minimum.

The literature search took over 2 months period (but the statement in abstract might be understood as written within 2 months?); it would be nice to know how many articles were retrieved and how was the selection made. I noticed some long paragraph discussing in detail the outcome from a single study in the respective paragraph citing only one single reference each while there are >80 articles being listed in the reference list; some paragraph cited a single reference at the very end making the starting point of the paragraph ambiguous on whose study it was referring to.

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author
The manuscript "The Use of Chromatographic-Based Techniques and chemometrics for Halal Authentication of Food Products. A Review" that has been sent for publication in the journal "International Journal of Food Properties" provides an interesting review on Halal Authentication of Food Products.

The article needs some minor corrections, which are indicated below:
1.- The keywords on the home page and those on lines 37 and 38 do not match. I think the ones on lines 37 and 38 are more correct, but the term pharmaceutical should be deleted.

2.- Lines 148-156: Include the following reference 'Chromatographic fingerprinting: An innovative approach for food identitation and food authentication - A tutorial. Analytica Chimica Acta 909 (2016) 9-23' which clearly explains what a marker, a profile and a chromatographic fingerprint are. Include the term marker in the paragraph.

3.- Lines 273-280: This paragraph should be deleted, medicinal herbs are not the subject of the study.

4.- In tables 1 and 2, there is no specific application dedicated to halal pharmaceutical. It only appears in the main discussion. I think it should be removed from the main discussion or include specific applications in halal pharmaceutical. In fact in the title the term "halal pharmaceutical" does not appear.

General comment: The authors have two options: To include in tables 1 and 2 specific references to halal pharmaceuticals or to remove all comments on halal pharmaceuticals.

Editor's Comments:

Comments are marked in the attached file. Please work in the attached file so that format remains the same.

Please make all changes with editing mode or different font color.

In addition, you need to include a list of point by point responses against each comments from referees and editor, first include one comment and then your response

International Journal of Food Properties - Decision on Manuscript ID LJFP-2022-0117.R1

International Journal of Food Properties <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com>
to me
Mon, May 23, 1:56 AM

22-May-2022

Dear Dr Rohman:

Ref: The Use of Chromatographic-Based Techniques and chemometrics for Halal Authentication of Food Products: A Review

Our referees have now considered your paper and have recommended publication in International Journal of Food Properties. We are pleased to accept your paper in its current form which will now be forwarded to the publisher for copy editing and typesetting. The reviewer comments are included at the bottom of this letter.

Once the Taylor & Francis production department receives and performs an initial check on your article, they will send you a link to complete your online article publishing agreement. This is an essential step. Your completed agreement must be accepted by the publisher before we can publish any version of your paper.

The publisher also requests that proofs are checked and returned within 48 hours of receipt.

Thank you for your contribution to International Journal of Food Properties and we look forward to receiving further submissions from you.

Sincerely,
Dr Rahman
Editor, International Journal of Food Properties
shafiur@squ.edu.om

Editor's Comments to Author:

A copy of the final version is enclosed

22-may-2022-4-Re...

~~The~~ Use of Chromatographic-Based Techniques and chemometrics for Halal Authentication of Food Products: A Review

Laela Hayu Nurani[1], Florentinus Dika Octa Riswanto[2,3], Anjar Windarsih[4], Citra Ariani Edityaningrum[1], Any Guntarti[1], ~~Yuny Erwanto[2],~~ and Abdul Rohman[2,5,*]

[1] Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta 55164, Indonesia
[2] Center of Excellence, Institute for Halal Industry and Systems, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 552811, Indonesia
[3] Division of Pharmaceutical Analysis and Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Campus III Paingan, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Maguwoharjo, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55282, Indonesia
[4] Research Division for Natural Product Technology (BPTBA), National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Yogyakarta 55861, Indonesia
[5] Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 552811, Indonesia

* Corresponding author: abdul_kimfar@ugm.ac.id; abdulkimfar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Formatted: All caps

Halal food ~~and halal pharmaceutical~~ products are requisite to be consumed by Muslim communities in the world. The standard methods capable of quantifying non-halal components are very urgent. This review highlights the ~~chromatography~~ chromatographic methods and chemometric ~~based techniques~~or multivariate data analysis that offer reliable techniques to provide the separation capacity in halal authentication analysis.

Methods: This review article was written from reputable worldwide databases including Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed, between January and February 2022. The keywords were “halal research”, “food analysis”, ~~“pharmaceutical analysis”,~~ “chromatography”, “chemometrics”, and “authentication”. Chromatographic-based techniques in combination with chemometrics of multivariate analysis, a powerful statistical analysis to manage huge data generated from analytical measurement, could be used to identify potential markers to differentiate halal and non-halal samples. Chromatogram and peak separation profiles resulted

as the instrument responses can be further evaluated for determination as well as quantification ~~for~~ of halal and non-halal components in food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products. Combination of chromatographic-based method and chemometrics techniques with some scenarios can be applied for halal research on food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products.

Keywords: halal authentication, chemometrics, chromatography, pig derivatives, ~~-~~ food products~~pharmaceutical~~.

~~1.~~ INTRODUCTION

Food~~, cosmetics, drugs and other pharmaceutical products~~ and pharmaceutical products are important needs for human beings. In line with the development of science and technology, industrialization and globalization, the halal products may be added or substituted and contaminated with non-halal components such as pig derivatives and alcohols as ingredients or additives to reduce the production cost ~~make the products non-halal~~ [1]. In addition, the products available in markets may contain incorrect labelling in terms of ingredient sources making the consumers lost on composition information, therefore the use of analytical tools to check the presence of non-halal components in the products is a must for assisting the certification processes [2]. In Indonesia, the halal certification is mandatory which means that all halal declared products sold and distributed in Indonesia must be halal certified. In addition, the analysis of non-halal components in post-marketed products is also needed to confirm that the marketed products are not adulterated with non-halal components [3].

According to Indonesian Act No. 33 (2014), the certification process is carried out by Halal Product Assurance Organizing Agency (BPJPH) and the auditing process was carried out by Halal Examination Agency (LPH). During audit, if the products are supposed to contain non-halal components (pork derivatives and alcohols), the laboratory testing using standard analytical methods is needed to confirm that the audited products are free from any non-halal components [4,5]. Today, the Muslim community constitute for approximately of 25% world's population and is expected to increase further. With the increased awareness among Muslim community to consume the only halal products, the global market of halal products could reach exponentially [6]. Halal is Arabic terms used to any products permissible to be consumed by Muslim community. Today, the term of halal has widely used not only Muslim but also non-Muslim because Non-Muslim community intended to export the products into Muslim

Formatted: All caps

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

community, especially in halal certification issues [7]. Therefore, it is not surprising that halal-related studies are performed not only in majority Muslim countries like Indonesia and Malaysia but also in countries whose Muslims are minority such as the Netherlands, the United States, France and the European Union [8].

Halal food ~~and Halal pharmaceuticals~~ must be free from non-halal components which are pig and all pig derivatives such as pork, lard and porcine gelatines, carrion or dead animals, blood (flowing or congealed), animals slaughtered not according to Islamic law, animals that were killed accidentally or on purpose through means such as strangling or beating, intoxicants including alcohol and drugs [9], carnivorous animals, predator birds, and certain land animals [10]. Among these, pig derivatives and alcohols are typically found in halal ~~and pharmaceutical~~food products, therefore some scientists are continuously researches on halal-related issues including developing instrumental analytical methods for detecting of non-halal components intended for halal certification [11]. Some countries have obligated the products to be halal certified which can be understood that the products are free from prohibited components. Besides, the products are manufactured using equipment dedicated for halal food ~~and halal pharmaceuticals~~ [12]. Pork is typically met in meat-based food products such as meatball, sausages, etc.; while lard can be good vehicle in some cosmetics products such as cream, lipstick and lotion. Porcine gelatines are common materials used in food (in candies) and pharmaceutical products (capsule shells) [13]. The objective of this review was to provide the integrative information on identification and quantification of non-halal components in food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products by chromatographic methods. In addition, chemometrics techniques were reported to be applied to employ the big data evaluation as resulted from the chromatographic detection.

~~2.~~ METHODS

This review article was written by identifying, investigating, and assembling several review articles, original articles, books, and relevant sources on metabolite ~~fingerprintings~~fingerprinting from reputable worldwide databases including Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed. Literature searching was carried out between January and February 2022. The keywords explored during literature investigation were “halal research”, “food analysis”, ~~“pharmaceutical analysis”,~~ “chromatography”, “chemometrics”, and “authentication”. First, to select the suitable papers, 250 articles were reviewed through the title and abstract. The

Formatted: All caps

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

inclusion criteria to select the papers were (1) studies regarding halal authentication of food products using chromatographic technique between 2005-2022; (2) studies on analysis of non-halal components in food products using liquid chromatography and gas chromatography conducted between 2005-2022; (3) studies on the employment of chemometrics in combination with chromatographic technique for halal authentication of food products; (4) all papers written in English. The exclusion criteria of the papers were (1) studies on halal authentication of food products using chromatographic techniques published before 2005; (2) all articles written using language other than English.

~~During The criteria~~

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

~~3.~~ Chromatographic-based techniques and chemometrics for analysis of non-halal components

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

For many years, chromatography has been known as the method of choice to assess the purity and levels of analytes in the laboratories of research, industry, and quality control [14]. Gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) techniques are often used for the analysis of non-halal components in food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products. In terms of compound types, GC is more suitable for the analysis of smaller, volatile and stable compounds to heat, while LC is more robust and suitable for larger and less/non-volatile compounds [15]. Some derivatization techniques are needed in LC in order to convert analytes into detectable derivates with certain detectors, while derivatization in GC for fewer volatile compounds is intended to provide more volatile and stable derivate products, although this derivatization process increases the method complexity and lengthens the sample preparation. In addition, the availability of derivative agents and its steric hindrance in the analyte, and the stability of the derivatized compounds must also be considered [16].

One-dimensional gas or liquid chromatography using one column is considered as simple and powerful separation techniques for simple and un-complex samples. When the analyzed samples are complex enough, the application of just one-dimension chromatography leads to peak co-elution as well as overlapping and non-resolved peaks, therefore one dimension chromatography technique is not suitable for separation of large analytes because the peak capacity of one-dimensional analysis is not large enough to achieve the complete separation with acceptable resolution [17]. In last decades, two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC x

136 GC) and liquid chromatography (LC x LC) has been applied in analysis of complex mixture in
137 order to increase the separation speed [18].
138
139 In two-dimensional chromatography, the separation is carried out in two columns with different
140 polarity connected in series by a modulator, as a consequence, the separation capacity of
141 regular one-column in one dimensional chromatography can be considerably increased. The
142 effluent from the first column is transferred to the second column using modulator so that the
143 analytical information obtained (such as retention times, t_R) from the first column can be
144 combined with that from second column, leading to a plot of two retention times [19]. Because
145 of the excellent separation capacity of GC x GC and LC x LC combined with mass
146 spectrometry (MS), both techniques are applied for separation all components in the complex
147 mixtures, especially for metabolomics studies [18]. GC x GC has been widely applied for
148 analysis of metabolites (all fatty acid types) of lard in food samples [20], while LC x LC is
149 typically used for analysis of peptides [21], which can be used for identification of pork and
150 porcine gelatines.
151
152 Chromatographic-based techniques offered reliable technique in halal authentication analysis.
153 However, due to high number of data covered, the application of chemometrics to treat big data
154 is unavoidable. Chemometrics can be defined as the employment of statistical and
155 mathematical methods to obtain the objective data evaluation by extracting the relevant and
156 meaningful information from related and unrelated responses from chemical measurements.
157 Chemometrics or multivariate data analysis (MDA) is typically applied in numerous aspects
158 including the quality control of halal products, qualitative and quantitative determination of
159 chemical parameters for assessing the products authenticity [22].
160
161 Chemometrics can provide the powerful tools in giving important information extracted from
162 big data obtained from instrumental analyses such as methods based on spectroscopic and
163 chromatographic. The common chemometrics techniques applied in products authentication
164 could be grouped into exploratory data analysis, data pre-processing, description and
165 visualization, dis crimination and pattern recognition (classification), regression and prediction
166 and experimental design [23]. Some chromatographic problems encountered during halal
167 authentication analysis included the assessment of separation quality, the evaluation of peak
168 alignment using pre-processing, the optimization of chromatographic systems providing the
169 good separation of all peaks using experimental design, the accuracy of discrimination and

170 classification using pattern recognition, and quantitative analysis applying multivariate
171 calibration. Figure 1 showed the correlation between chromatographic responses and
172 chemometrics for certain analytical purposes. In scenario (a), peaks with good separation (good
173 selectivity) in chromatogram was used as variable for the evaluation of compositional analysis
174 (concentration) of analytes assisted by multivariate calibrations. In (b), certain peaks with lack
175 selectivity was used as variable during chromatographic profiling of objects (samples) using
176 discrete datasets (peak area or peak height), while in scenario (c), whole datasets in
177 chromatograms were used as variables during chromatographic fingerprinting of objects.
178 Indeed, the chemometrics of pre-processing was widely applied to obtain the desired analytical
179 modelling.
180
181 The classification chemometrics was typically carried using (1) exploratory data analysis
182 including principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis (hierarchical cluster
183 analysis and non-hierarchical such as k-means and k-medians), and this technique is typically
184 called as unsupervised pattern recognition and (2) classification and discrimination methods
185 known supervised pattern recognition. There are two types of classification chemometrics
186 methods regardless of the statistical background. The first type is typically employed to assess
187 to which of various pre-defined classes of samples (objects). The example of this technique is
188 linear discriminant analysis (LDA), orthogonal projection to latent structures – discriminant
189 analysis (OPLS-DA), k-nearest neighbors (KNN) and many others. The second type of
190 classification chemometrics is called as class modelling or one class classifier (OCC), and the
191 example for this group data driven soft independent modeling of class analogy (DD-SIMCA)
192 and Unequal Class-Modeling (UNEQ) [25]. Using these chemometrics, someone can answer
193 the question: is the meat belong to pork (non-halal) or beef (halal)? or the question: is the
194 meatball authentic or adulterated? [26,27].
195
196 **4. Analysis of non halal components using liquid chromatography**
197
198 High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using certain detectors have been widely
199 applied for analysis of specific components in non-halal components. HPLC using fluorescence
200 detector has been successfully used for analysis of Hydroxyproline and other amino acids in
201 gelatin and collagen samples as initial screening for identification of gelatin types.
202 Hydroxyproline has been known as signature amino acid for gelatin and collagen. The level of
203 hydroxyproline is typically higher in the gelatin samples than that in the collagen samples,

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

except for the samples of fish skin gelatin, and this result could be used as screening tools for identification of non-halal gelatin and collagen in the analyzed samples [28].

There are three approaches to detect and to identify the presence of non-halal components in food products using chromatographic based methods. The first approach is based on searching the specific markers through analysis of the separated specific components. Indeed, the availability of reference standards is a must. The second approach is used fingerprinting profiles in which the chromatogram profiles of samples with and without non-halal components are compared and evaluated. The third approach involved metabolomics studies either targeting and untargeted techniques by analysis of all metabolites in the analyzed samples. The second and third approaches involved the large datasets, therefore, the chemometrics is employed to perform the analytical tasks (discrimination, classification, etc.) [29].

Table 1 listed the application of HPLC and LC-MS/MS for analysis of halal components in the products. Liquid chromatography using fluorescence detector was also successfully applied for analysis of amino acid (AA) composition non-halal (porcine) and halal (bovine and fish) gelatins. The classification between halal and non-halal gelatins was carried using PCA applying amino acid compositions as variable. AAs with strong fluorescence (Hyp, His, Ser, Arg, Gly, Thr, Pro, Tyr, Met, Val, Leu and Phe) contribute to the classification and become the biomarkers to identify the gelatine sources [~~29~~30]. Gelatin from three mammalian species including bovine gelatin, porcine gelatin, and donkey gelatin has been successfully identified using liquid chromatography-linear ion-trap high resolution mass spectrometry. Hemoglobin was just found in donkey gelatin. The unique peptide obtained from donkey, bovine, and porcine gelatin was GEAGPAGPAGPIGPVGAR, GETGPAGPAGPIGPVGAR, and GETGPAGPAGPVGPVGAR, respectively. The unique peptides could be detected either in individual gelatin or in the mixtures of three mammalian gelatins [~~30~~31].

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Liquid chromatography especially combined with mass spectrometer tandem mass spectrometer (LC/MS-MS) is widely applied for identification of non-halal component in food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products including porcine gelatin and pork. Gel-enhanced liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (GeLCMS) in combination with chemometrics of PCA has been developed for identification of potential protein markers in pork and other meats along with its classification. The myofibrillar protein with weight of 40-kDa such as troponin T, Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain, and actin cytoplasmic 1 as well as the thin filament proteins such as actin, troponin, and Tropomyosin had molecular weights ranging from 40 to 45 kDa could

be used as markers for differentiation of pork from chicken and beef. PCA using PC1 and PC2 accounting of 62% and 35% variances could classify meat types. From MS studies, the potential protein markers for pork meat samples are Troponin T with peptide sequences of [(R)KPLNIDHLSEDK(L)], Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain [(K)EAETRAEFAER(S)], Actin cytoplasmic 1 [(R)HQGVMVGMGQK(D)], COP9 signalosome complex subunit 4 [(R)VLDYRR(K)], and Ribonuclease inhibitor [(R)VLGQGLADSACQLETLR(L)][~~45~~46].

The identification of potential biomarkers of gelatin from several sources could be performed using UPLC-MS/MS. Samples used were gelatin from pig, cow, chicken, and fish. After the extraction process of proteins from gelatin, proteins were then digested using proteomic grade trypsin for 12 h to obtain peptides. Chemometrics of PCA was used to differentiate partial hydrolysis of gelatin from cow and pig. Result from PCA score plot showed that the sample of cow and pig obtained from digestion process could be well separated. For identification of potential biomarkers from pig, cow, fish, and chicken gelatin, PCA employing MPP (Mass Profiler Professional) was applied. Results showed that three unique peptides found only in pig gelatin, seven unique peptides found in bovine/cow gelatin, 22 peptides found only in chicken gelatin, and only 1 unique peptide found in fish gelatin. The developed method was also successfully applied to identify species origin of commercial gelatin samples. It indicated that UPLC-MS/MS offers a powerful analytical technique to identify gelatin from different species in food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products [~~46~~47].

Targeted tandem liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) using decoy, randomized and concatenated database search program comprising MS-Fit and MS-Tag in combination with chemometrics of principal component analysis and orthogonal partial least square-discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was applied for identification of potential peptide markers in non-Halal meat (pork) and halal meats (chicken and beef). The peptide markers which are specific to certain species were identified through shot- gun proteomics. Potential peptide marker identified for raw pork is myosin-2 having sequence of peptide marker of (F)DFNSLE(Q). OPLS-DA using variable of identified peptides could separate halal and non-halal meats [~~47~~48].

Targeted proteomic analysis using LC-MS has been developed to investigate the heat stable protein in pork meat. Five heat treatments were applied such as (1) water bath heating at 78ºC for 30 min; (2) boiling at 100ºC for 30 min; (3) sterilizing at 121ºC for 30 min; (4) frying using

oil until golden brown colour; and (5) baking at 200ºC for 30 min. Protein extraction from samples was performed using buffer solution containing 2 M thiourea, 7 M urea, and 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). Proteins were digested using proteomic grade trypsin added with DTT to reduce disulphide bonds and IAA for alkylation. Incubation was carried out for at least 12 h at 37ºC. Result showed that seven heat-stable specific peptides of pork were found such as DQLIHNLLK from l-lactate dehydrogenase A chain, HDPSLLPWTASYDPGSAK from carbonic anhydrase 3, EPITVSSDQMAK from carbonic anhydrase 3, VNVDEVGGEALGR from haemoglobin subunit beta, HPGDFGADAQGAMSK from myoglobin, SLYSSAENEPPVPLVR from carbonic anhydrase 3, and YLEFISEAIIQVLQSK from myoglobin. Commercial samples such as Iberian dried ham, Pasteur dry sausage, import dried ham, lunch meat canned, sandwich sausage, and Thuringia flavour sausage were used to identify the presence one or more pig heat-stable peptides. Results showed that the heat-stable peptides of pig could be found in various types of food products with different cooking process methods. It suggested that targeted proteomics analysis using seven heat stable peptides of pig could be used for halal authentication of food products especially meat-based food products containing pork [4849].

Analysis using LC-MS employing MRM (multiple reaction monitoring) technique was successfully used to detect heat-stable peptides in cooked meats including pork meat. Thermal treatment applied was boiling at 100ºC, grilling at 150ºC, and grilling at 180ºC. After the protein was extracted, digestion process was performed using proteomic grade trypsin. Identification of homologues protein and potential biomarkers of pork peptide was carried out using UPLC Triple TOF-MS equipped with a C-18 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 µm; Waters Corporation, Taunton, MA, USA and Wexford, Ireland). The mobile phase used was water containing 0.1% formic acid (A) and acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid (B) with flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. On the other hand, MRM analysis was performed using a SCIEX ExionLC AD system (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) and an AB SCIEX QTRAP 4500 mass spectrometry system (AB SCIEX PTE. LTD., Marsiling, Singapore) equipped with a column of Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 µm). Results showed that the potential peptide biomarkers in raw pork meat found were GHHEAELTPLAQSHATK from myoglobin, FAGGNLDVLK; ADMVIEAVFEELSLK; TVLGAPEVLLGILPGAGGTQR from trifunctional enzyme subunit alpha, mitochondrial, and WGDAGATYVVESTGVFTTMEK from glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Meanwhile, the heat-stable peptide biomarkers of pork were FAGGNLDVLK and

TVLGAPEVLLGILPGAGGTQR from trifunctional enzyme subunit alpha, mitochondrial as well as WGDAGATYVVESTGVFTTMEK from glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. The MRM analysis confirmed the heat-stable peptide of pork in meat product samples. It suggested that LC-MS employing MRM method could be used as promising analytical technique for halal authentication of meat products [~~49~~50].

~~5.~~ Application of gas chromatography for analysis of non-halal components

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

~~The use of Herbal medicines (HMs) as complementary and alternative medicine is becoming popular in the general population worldwide. Parallel to the increased trends on application of HMs as alternative therapies either for preventive or promotive, some research activities dealing with the quality control, standardization, and authentication of HMs also increased. The efficacy of HMs depends on their quality and its authenticity. Fingerprint profiling based on spectroscopic especially ^{1}H-NMR and chromatographic techniques hyphenated with mass spectrometers (LC-MS/MS) in combination with classification chemometrics has emerged as powerful tools for standardization and authentication of HMs.~~ Table 2 listed the application of gas chromatography for analysis of halal components in the food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products. GC-MS combined with chemometrics has been proposed as tools for detection of lard as adulterant in olive oil using metabolomic approach. GC separation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) was achieved using HP-5MS nonpolar capillary column. The identification of metabolites of FAMEs was carried out using standard FAMEs and mass spectrometer detector using the WILEY 2007 library. Some FAMEs are specific, i.e., methyl behenate was only present in olive oil and methyl myristate was only detected in lard. PCA using identified FAMEs was successful for separating lard, olive oil and olive oil adulterated with lard for halal authentication study [~~50~~51].

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Two dimensional GC combined with time-of-flight mass spectrometer (GC x GC-TOF/MS) is successfully used for analysis of lard as adulterant in virgin coconut oil (VCO) through analysis of sterols. GC x GC system could perform the complete baseline separation of sterol trimethylsilyl ethers derived from cholesterol and cholestanol, which facilitate the detection of lard in VCO. Using GC x GC–TOF/MS Cholestanol trimethylsilyl ether (Cha-TME) and cholesterol trimethylsilyl ether (Che-TME) were separated into some peaks, identified as CHe$_1$, CHe$_{bI}$, CHe$_{bII}$, CHe$_2$ (Che-TME), and Cha$_1$, CHa$_{bI}$, CHa$_{bII}$, and CHa$_2$ for Cha-TME.

Quantification of these compounds could be used as tools for quantification of adulteration levels of lard in VCO [20].

GC-MS coupled with headspace solid-phase micro-extraction (HS-SPME) is successful for the analysis of volatile compounds in pork. The profiles of volatile compounds from different meats are different, therefore, the volatile compounds ~~analysed~~analyzed by GC-HS-SPME/MS could be used as fingerprinting tools for specific meats [~~51~~52]. In addition, VOCs also contribute to the aroma which can be used for the discrimination tools among animal meats [~~52~~53]. Analysis of VOCs is very challenging because of different factors, including the high number of volatile compounds, differences in volatility degree and the great amount of functional groups [~~53~~54]. Chen et al. [~~54~~55] have identified the key volatile compounds for differentiation of pork from different pig breeding. The volatile compounds contributing to the pork flavour identified during this study were 3-methyl-1-butanol, 1-nonanal, octanal, hexanal, 2-pentyl- furan, 1-penten-3-one, N-morpholinomethyl-isopropyl-sulphide, methyl butyrate, and (E,E)-2, 4-decadienal. Kosowska et al. [~~55~~56] reported that some volatile compounds namely octanal, nonanal, (E,E)-2,4-decadienal, methanethiol, methional, 2-furfurylthiol, 2-metyl-3-furanthiol, 3-mercapto-2-pentanone, and 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3-(2H)- furanone are key features in cooked pork. Thus, the identification of marker volatile compounds in pork can be meaningful for pork identification during halal authentication analysis of products. GC-HS-SPME/MS and GC-MS using simultaneous distillation and extraction (SDE) are also successful for identification of volatile compounds used for the identification of cooking braised pork. There are 109 aroma compounds identified, in which aldehydes were the most predominant in number, followed by alcohols, oxygen-containing heterocyclic compounds, acids, and ketones. Methanethiol was the most abundant aroma substance in SPME, while anethole was the most abundant in SDE [~~56~~57].

GC-HS-SPME/MS has been developed and validated as reliable analytical method for analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of minced pork meat during storage. The origin of aromatic hydrocarbons in pork was verified using migration test. Two chemometrics techniques namely PCA and OPLS-DA were employed for characterizing and profiling VOCs in pork meat and for identifying the marker VOCs associated with the spoilage of pork. There are 41 VOCs (consisting of 10 alcohols, 7 aldehydes, 7 ketones, 6 aromatic hydrocarbons, 6 linear hydrocarbons, 2 terpenes, 1 acid, 1 ester, 1 furan) were identified during this study. The major VOCs of minced pork are aromatic hydrocarbons, alcohols, aldehydes, linear

hydrocarbons, and ketones). From loading plot study, three VOCs namely ethanol, 2,3-butanediol and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol were selected and considered as important variables in the projection values, because these VOCs contribute to the discrimination of pork with different storage times [~~72~~73].

Analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) as fingerprinting profiles for identification of dried pork slices from different processing stages have been done using GC coupled with ion mobility spectrometry (GC-IMS). Using LAV software, 54 peaks were selected. During this study, thirty seven VOCs were detected in the evaluated samples, in which aldehydes and alcohols accounted for the largest proportion. 1-octene-3-ol has the flavour of cooked mushroom, is important compound contributing to the VOCs of pork. This compound is considered as the autoxidation product of linoleic acid [~~73~~74]. GC-MS has been employed for identification of key aroma in pork broth. The multivariate calibration of PLS is used for screening the relatively better flavour of pork broth among different stewing time and applied for assisting the quantitative analysis of VOCs using standard internal of 1,2-dichlorobenzene. From this study, the key odorants of the aroma profile of pork broth were identified namely 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)- furanone, hexanal, 1-octen-3-ol, (E)-2-octenal, (E)-2-decenal, (E)-2-undecanal, (E, E)-2,4-decadienal, nonanoic acid, decanoic acid, 2-heptanone, 3-hydroxy-2- butanone, δ-decanolactone, and 2-acetylpyrrole [~~74~~75].

GC-MS coupled with olfactometry (GC-MS/O) and in combination with chemometrics of PCA and PLS-DA was reported to differentiate Chinese marinated pork hocks from four different local brands. The results of PCA and PLS-DA indicated that both chemometrics using variable of VOCs could clearly separate~~d~~ marinated pork hocks according to its groups. There are nine odour-active compounds having the high loading capability for discrimination namely heptanal, nonanal, 3-carene, D-limonene, β-phellandrene, p-cymene, eugenol, 2-ethylfuran and 2-pentylfuran. This study concluded that the validated GC-MS/O offered an alternative tools for the differentiation of VOCs profile in different brands of marinated pork hocks [~~75~~76].

~~6.~~ Analysis alcoholic compounds in products using chromatographic techniques

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

GC-MS is an excellent method for analysis of alcoholic compounds in foods. Park et al. have validated and reported GC-MS for the simultaneous analysis of five alcohols (methanol, ethanol, propanol, butanol and pentanol) in fermented Korean foods. The separation of alcohols

was carried out using silica-based INNOWAX column (film thickness 0.25 mm, i.d. 250 mm, length 30 m) coated with poly- ethylene glycol and applying mass selective detector set to determine the specific selected ions for each alcohol. The LoD and LoQ values ranged from 0.25 to 1.16 mg/kg. The precision and accuracy of GC-MS are acceptable as indicated by Intra-day and inter-day RSDs for individual alcohols of below 7%, with recovery values of 90.79 - 01.50%. The method is valid, therefore, the developed method is suitable for analysis of alcohols in food samples intended in Halal food authentication supporting the certification processes [~~76~~77].

Mahama et al. has applied GC with flame ionization detector (GC-FID) for analysis of alcohol (ethanol) in marketed post samples (Fruit and vegetable juices from concentrate, syrups, sauce samples etc.) in Thailand for identification of non-halal components suspected to be present in the products. The internal standard used is n-propanol. Ethanol, internal standard and others were separated using capillary columns DB-WAXTER (Agilent Technologies, 30 m by 0.32 mm by 1.00 μm) with temperature of FID was set at 250ºC. Some certification bodies have different regulation related to the maximum limits of ethanol, and the majority allowed the maximum limit is 1%. The surveillance results indicated that 1 of 24 sauce samples showed an ethanol concentration of 1.0%. Furthermore, an about of 4% of all the concentrated syrup samples exhibited a higher percentage of ethanol than that permitted for Halal products. GC-FID method using a column HP-5 (5% Phenyl 95% Methyl Siloxane) is also valid for analysis of vinegar samples from Indonesia and Saudi Arabia offering reliable technique for alcohol determination [~~57~~58].

Šorgić et al. developed gas chromatography coupled with the flame ionization detector and headspace autosampler (HSS-GC/FID) method for analyzing volatile compounds in the wine samples. The HSS-GC/FID method was developed, validated, and verified for determining content of methanol, higher alcohols, and esters. The developed method was met the validation requirement for linearity, range, sensitivity, accuracy, and precision parameters. Two grape varieties namely Merlot and Cabernet Sauvignon were analyzed. It was found that contents of the methanol were 198.0 mg/L and 150.5 mg/L, higher alcohols were 398.5 mg/L and 335.8 mg/L, ethyl acetate were 42.0 mg/L and 55.6 mg/L, and acetaldehyde were 23.3 mg/L and 16.1 mg/L for Merlot and Cabernet Sauvignon varieties, respectively. This study revealed that the higher content of methanol was influenced by type of grape used for preparation as well as

maceration duration. Further evaluation were carried out using PCA to assess the effect of genotypes variation and extraction methods on wine samples [~~77~~78].

Gas chromatography combined with PCA and cluster analysis (CA) were successfully applied in determining content of alcoholic compound in Chinese beverages. According to the study, twenty one aroma components were found to be important in the aroma profiles of Chinese liquor. Among all the compounds, seven alcoholic compound including methanol, 2-butanol, 1-propanol, isobutanol, *n*-butanol, isoamylol and phenylethanol were detected by validated GC analysis method. Isoamylol, isobutanol, and 1-propanol were found as the dominant alcoholic compound with the content of 800.53, 637.67, and 338.84 mg/L, respectively. The dimensionality reduction of PCA were employed in this study to statistically separated young liquor (fresh) and aged liquors. Individual plot was generated as two dimensional visualization constructed by PC1 and PC2 with total variance of 98.27%. Further separation using CA was built using the Euclidean distance. All liquor samples were clustered into two big groups of young liquor and aged liquors. This results proved the ability of PCA and CA to successfully separate and classify the different ages Chinese liquor samples [~~78~~79].

In Indonesia, a majority Muslim country, it was stated by the government that the alcohol content (in percentage) of alcohol-containing drugs, traditional medicines, and supplements have to be declared on the label. Halal evaluation of alcohol content in noni (*Morinda citrifolia* L.) can be performed using gas chromatography method. The GC instrumentation was set as the inlet injection mode split of 2.5:1, injection temperature of 140°C, oven initial temperature FID detector of 40 °C, and hold for 5 minutes. The sample of noni herbal medicines were collected from herbal drugstores or online shops in Jakarta, Indonesia. Twenty samples were evaluated and categorized as beverages (18 samples) and herbal medicines (2 samples). It was found that thirteen out of twenty samples contained alcohol in the range of 0.04 - 1.07%. Unfortunately, none of them were labelled properly according to the regulation [~~79~~80].

GC-FID has been used for analysis of ethanol in foods and beverages such as tea-based, fruit-based, cheese-based, milk-based, seaweed-based, instant dried noodle, etc. Ethanol stock solution was prepared (1mg/mL) and internal standard of 0.1% v/v 1-propanol was used for sample preparation. Sample preparation was carried out using magnetic stirring aqueous extraction. Analysis was performed out using an HP-Innowax (Agilent technologies) column

(30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm). The sample injection volume was 1 μL using split ratio of 13:1. The developed method was validated according to the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Validation result showed that the method had good linearity (R^2 > 0.999), good accuracy (recoveries of 96-105%), and good precision (RSD < 5%). The detection limit was low (0.006 mg/g). The determination of ethanol concentration was successfully applied in 108 samples of processed foods and beverages. Therefore, this method could be used as valid method for halal authentication of processed foods and beverages [~~58~~59].

GC-MS using static headspace has been applied for determination of ethanol in solid and semi-solid consumer goods such as cakes, ice creams, sauces, and powders. Sample preparation was carried out using mechanical homogenization and aqueous dilution of the products. Subsequently, the sample was analysed using headspace GC-MS. Separation of analytes was performed using a capillary column DB-624 (30 m x 0.25 mm x 1.4 μm) and sample was injected in split mode employing ratio of 1:200. Identification and quantification of ethanol and ethanol-d6 was performed at scan range of 29-250 m/z with a rate of 6.1 scans/s. Result showed that the developed method was specific to detect ethanol and ethanol-d6 at the retention time of 2.65 and 2.61, respectively. The method demonstrated good linearity at the concentration range of 0.1-2.0% v/v showed by its high R^2 value (>0.998). Additionally, good accuracy as well as good precision was obtained. The accuracy was represented by recoveries value (average recoveries of 99.7%). The precision was demonstrated by its lower RSD value (<1.5%). From the above results, it suggested that headspace GC-MS could be used for identification and quantification of ethanol in a various solid and semi solid food products for halal authentication [~~80~~81].

Identification of ethanol using headspace GC-MS has also been applied in Kombucha products. Kombucha is one of fermented beverages consist of sugar, tea, a symbiotic of bacteria and yeast which is commonly known as non-alcoholic beverage. The United States and Canada state that the content of alcoholic compounds in product must be <0.5% and <1.1% alcohol by volume, respectively to be categorized as non-alcoholic drink. Propan-1-ol was used as internal standard for ethanol quantification. The condition of headspace was incubation temperature at 70ºC, syringe temperature at 70ºC, incubation time of 300s, agitator speed at 500 rpm, injection volume of 500 μL, and split ratio of 10:1. Analysis was performed using an Agilent J&W DB-624 UI (30 m x 0.25 mm x 1.4 μm) applying flow rate of 1.4 mL/min (constant flow). The developed method was linear (R^2>0.995) obtained at concentration range of 0.025%-2.47%.

The accuracy result was good demonstrated by its recovery value (102%) and good precision was also obtained (RSD<4%). The LOD and LOQ values were 0.0002% and 0.002%, respectively. It can be concluded that the method is suitable for identification and quantification of ethanol in Kombucha product. It indicated a rapid and easy integration of analytical method for halal authentication of Kombucha [~~81~~82].

The development of GC-MS coupled with headspace and multidimensional (heart-cut) chromatography has been successfully applied to determine ethanol content in medicinal syrups. The aim was to ensure and guarantee the safety of the syrups. Samples used for analysis consist of adult and ~~paediatric~~pediatric syrups. Monitoring and quality control of ethanol content in ~~pharmaceutical~~ the products were important due to the efforts of industry to reduce the ethanol content in the ~~pharmaceutical~~ food and medicinal products. Sample preparation was directly performed using headspace with condition as follows: heating syringe temperature of 90ºC, incubator temperature of 100ºC, incubation time 15 min at 500 rpm, sample volume of 500 µL with split mode using ratio of 1:20. Two dimensional GC analysis was carried out using GC-MS equipped with analytical column of RTX-5 capillary column (Crossbond® 5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane, 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm) for the first dimension then for the second dimension used an NST 100 MS column (Carbowax polyethylene glycol, 30 m × 0.25 mm × 2.00 µm). The method was validated according to National Agency of Sanitary Surveillance (ANVISA) with validation parameters of selectivity, linearity, precision, accuracy, LOD, LOQ, and robustness. Selectivity test found that isopropyl alcohol was an interfering compound of ethanol determination in syrups. Linearity assay demonstrated linear model at concentration range of 0.25% to 10.00% v/v (R^2>0.999). The developed method was sensitive enough as shown by its LOD value (0.03% v/v) and LOQ value (0.06% v/v). The precision was measured for repeatability (CV=3.04%) and intermediate precision (CV=3.03%). The recoveries value obtained ranged from 97.28%-101.38% indicating good accuracy. The robustness test showed that the method remains unchanged with the small changes of several parameters. This developed method could be used as rapid and easy analytical technique for halal authentication of syrups by determining of the ethanol content [~~82~~83].

~~7.~~ CONCLUSION

Formatted: All caps

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0"

Chromatography-based method consist of liquid chromatography and gas chromatography using various detectors has been widely applied for food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products authentication including halal analysis due to its advantages. The ~~C~~combination of chromatographic methods with chemometrics of multivariate analysis, a powerful statistical analysis to manage huge data generated from analytical measurement, could be used to identify potential markers to differentiate halal and non-halal samples. It will be very useful for the institutions which have responsibility for halal quality assurance. Chromatogram and peak separation profiles resulted as the instrument responses can be further evaluated for determination as well as quantification for halal and non-halal components in food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products. Chromatographic-based ~~method~~ methods were successfully carried out to analyze products containing non-halal material such as pork and alcoholic compound. Combination of chromatographic-based method and chemometrics techniques with some scenarios can be applied for halal research on food ~~and pharmaceutical~~ products.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, Technology and Higher Education throh the scheme of Desentralisasi of PDUPT 2022 awarded to Prof. Abdul Rohman. The authors also thank to UAD Professorship Program (with a letter of agreement for the implementation of the Professorship Program Number: R3/3/SP-UAD/II/2022) for providing funds for this publication.
~~Center of Excellence, Institute for Halal Industry and Systems, Universitas Gadjah Mada for facilitating media and instrument for preparing this review article~~the Ministry of Education, .

~~**Research funding**~~

~~The authors thank to UAD Professorship Program (with a letter of agreement for the implementation of the Professorship Program Number: R3/3/SP-UAD/II/2022) for providing funds for this publication.~~

Author contribution

574 **Laela Hayu Nurani:** Writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing,
575 funding acquisition; **Florentinus Dika Octa Riswanto**: Writing—original draft preparation,
576 writing—review and editing; **Anjar Windarsih:** Writing—original draft preparation,
577 writing—review and editing; **Citra Ariani Edityaningrum:** Writing—original draft
578 preparation, writing—review and editing; **Any Guntarti:** Writing—original draft preparation,
579 writing—review and editing; **Abdul Rahman:** Conceptualization, methodology, writing—
580 original draft preparation, funding acquisition.
581 All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
582

583 **Conflict of interest**

584 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
585

586 **References**

587 [1] Hassan N, Ahmad T, Zain N.M. Chemical and Chemometric Methods for Halal
588 Authentication of Gelatin: An Overview. J Food Sci. 2018;83(12):2903–2911. doi:
589 10.1111/1750-3841.14370.
590 [2] Mursyidi A. The Role of Chemical Analysis in the Halal Authentication of Food and
591 Pharmaceutical Products. J Food Pharm Sci. 2013;1:1–4.
592 [3] Mahama S, Waloh N, Chayutsatid C, Sirikwanpong S, Ayukhen A, Marnpae M, et al.
593 Postmarket laboratory surveillance for forbidden substances in halal-certified foods in
594 Thailand. J Food Prot. 2020;83(1):147–154. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-19-051.
595 [4] Ridwan A. Authorization of Halal Certification in Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore.
596 Int J Psychosoc Rehabil. 2020;24(8):7992–8011.
597 [5] Faridah H.D. Halal certification in Indonesia; history, development, and implementation.
598 J Halal Prod Res. 2019;2(2):68. doi: 10.20473/jhpr.vol.2-issue.2.68-78
599 [6] Martuscelli M, Serio A, Capezio O, Mastrocola D. Meat products, with particular
600 emphasis on salami: A review. Foods. 2020;9(8):1–19. doi: 10.3390/foods9081111.
601 [7] Alzeer J, Rieder U, Hadeed KA. Good agricultural practices and its compatibility with
602 Halal standards. Trends Food Sci Technol. 2020;102:237–241. doi:
603 10.1016/j.tifs.2020.02.025.
604 [8] Suryawan AS, Hisano S, Jongerden J. Negotiating halal: The role of non-religious
605 concerns in shaping halal standards in Indonesia. J Rural Stud. 2019. doi:
606 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2019.09.013.
607 [9] Alzeer J, Abou Hadeed K. Ethanol and its Halal status in food industries. Trends Food

608 Sci Technol. 2016;58:14–20. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.10.018.
609 [10] Lubis HN, Mohd-Naim NF, Alizul NN, Ahmed MU. From market to food plate: Current
610 trusted technology and innovations in halal food analysis. Trends Food Sci Technol.
611 2016;58:55–68. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.10.024.
612 [11] Mostafa MM. A knowledge domain visualization review of thirty years of halal food
613 research: Themes, trends and knowledge structure. Trends Food Sci Technol.
614 2020;99:660–677. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2020.03.022.
615 [12] Norazmi MN, Lim LS. Halal pharmaceutical industry: opportunities and challenges.
616 Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2015;36(8):496–497. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2015.06.006.
617 [13] Huang Y, Li T, Deng G, Guo S, Zaman F. Recent advances in animal origin identification
618 of gelatin-based products using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry methods: A
619 mini review. Rev Anal Chem. 2020;39(1):260–271. doi: 10.1515/revac-2020-0121.
620 [14] D'Atri V, Fekete S, Clarke A, Veuthey JL, Guillarme D. Recent Advances in
621 Chromatography for Pharmaceutical Analysis. Anal Chem. 2019;91(1):210–239. doi:
622 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05026.
623 [15] Mota MFS, Waktola HD, Nolvachai Y, Marriott PJ. Gas chromatography – mass
624 spectrometry for characterisation, assessment of quality and authentication of seed and
625 vegetable oils. TrAC Trends Anal Chem. 2021;138:116238. doi:
626 10.1016/j.trac.2021.116238.
627 [16] Munir MA, Badri KH. The Importance of Derivatizing Reagent in Chromatography
628 Applications for Biogenic Amine Detection in Food and Beverages. J Anal Methods
629 Chem. 2020;2020. doi: 10.1155/2020/5814389.
630 [17] Montero L, Herrero M. Two-dimensional liquid chromatography approaches in
631 Foodomics – A review. Anal Chim Acta. 2019;1083:1–18. doi:
632 10.1016/j.aca.2019.07.036.
633 [18] Iguiniz M, Heinisch S. Two-dimensional liquid chromatography in pharmaceutical
634 analysis. Instrumental aspects, trends and applications. J Pharm Biomed Anal.
635 2017;145:482–503. doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2017.07.009.
636 [19] Aspromonte J, Wolfs K, Adams E. Current application and potential use of GC × GC in
637 the pharmaceutical and biomedical field. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2019;176:112817. doi:
638 10.1016/j.jpba.2019.112817.
639 [20] Xu B, Li P, Ma F, Wang X, Matthäus B, Chen R, Yang Q, Zhang W, Zhang Q. Detection
640 of virgin coconut oil adulteration with animal fats using quantitative cholesterol by GC ×
641 GC-TOF/MS analysis. Food Chem. 2015;178:128–135. doi:

642 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.01.035.

643 [21] Cai X, Guo Z, Xue X, Xu J, Zhang X, Liang X. Two-dimensional liquid chromatography
644 separation of peptides using reversed-phase/weak cation-exchange mixed-mode column
645 in first dimension. J Chromatogr A. 2012;1228:242–249. doi:
646 10.1016/j.chroma.2011.06.042.

647 [22] Esteki M, Simal-Gandara J, Shahsavari Z, Zandbaaf S, Dashtaki E, Vander Heyden Y. A
648 review on the application of chromatographic methods, coupled to chemometrics, for
649 food authentication. Food Control. 2018;93:165–182. doi:
650 10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.06.015.

651 [23] Yu P, Low MY, Zhou W. Design of experiments and regression modelling in food flavour
652 and sensory analysis: A review. Trends Food Sci Technol. 2018;71:202–215. doi:
653 10.1016/j.tifs.2017.11.013.

654 [24] Bosque-Sendra JM, Cuadros-Rodríguez L, Ruiz-Samblás C, de la Mata A.P. Combining
655 chromatography and chemometrics for the characterization and authentication of fats and
656 oils from triacylglycerol compositional data-A review. Anal Chim Acta. 2012;724:1–11.
657 doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2012.02.041.

658 [25] Marini F. Classification Methods in Chemometrics. Curr Anal Chem. 2009;6(1):72–79.
659 doi: 10.2174/157341110790069592.

660 [26] Kucharska-Ambrożej K., Karpinska J. The application of spectroscopic techniques in
661 combination with chemometrics for detection adulteration of some herbs and spices.
662 Microchem J. 2020;153:104278. doi: 10.1016/j.microc.2019.104278.

663 [27] Granato D, Putnik P, Kovačević DB, Santos JS, Calado V, Rocha RS, et al. Trends in
664 Chemometrics: Food Authentication, Microbiology, and Effects of Processing. Compr
665 Rev Food Sci Food Saf. 2018;17(3):663–677. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12341.

666 [28] Yuswan MH, Nurul NH, Mohamad H, Keso S, Mohamad NA, Tengku TS, et al.
667 Hydroxyproline determination for initial detection of halal-critical food ingredients
668 (gelatin and collagen). Food Chem. 2021;337. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127762.

669 [29] Cuadros-Rodríguez L, Ruiz-Samblás C, Valverde-Som L, Pérez-Castaño E, González-
670 Casado A. Chromatographic fingerprinting: An innovative approach for food
671 "identitation" and food authentication - A tutorial. Anal Chim Acta. 2016; 909; 9–23.
672 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2015.12.042

673 [2930] Ismail AM, Sani MSA, Azid A, Zaki NNM, Arshad S, Tukiran NA, et al. Food
674 forensics on gelatine source via ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography diode-
675 array detector and principal component analysis. SN Appl Sci. 2021;3:79. doi:

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt, Not Italic

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

676 10.1007/s42452-020-04061-7.
677 [~~30~~31] Sha XM, Zhang LJ, Tu ZC, Zhang LZ, Hu ZZ, Li Z, et al. The identification of three
678 mammalian gelatins by liquid chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry. LWT
679 - Food Sci Technol. 2018;89:74–86. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.10.001.
680 [~~31~~32] Ahda M, Guntarti A, Kusbandari A. Application of high-pressure liquid
681 chromatography for analysis of lard in the meatball product combined with principal
682 component analysis. Asian J Pharm Clin Res. 2016;9:120–123. doi:
683 10.22159/ajpcr.2016.v9i6.13831.
684 [~~32~~33] Jorfi R, Shuhaimi M, Che Man YB, Mat Hashim D, Sazili AQ, Ebrahimi M. Amino
685 acid composition analysis of beef, mutton, chevon, chicken and Pork by HPLC method.
686 57th International Congress of Meat Science and Technology. 2011;1-4.
687 [~~33~~34] Huang Y, Zhang W, Shi Q, Toyo'oka T, Min JZ. Determination of d,l-Amino Acids in
688 Collagen from Pig and Cod Skins by UPLC Using Pre-column Fluorescent
689 Derivatization. Food Anal Methods. 2018;11(11):3130–3137. doi: 10.1007/s12161-018-
690 1288-9.
691 [~~34~~35] Von Bargen C, Dojahn J, Waidelich D, Humpf HU, Brockmeyer J. New sensitive high-
692 performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for the detection
693 of horse and pork in halal beef. J Agric Food Chem. 2013;61(49):11986–11994. doi:
694 10.1021/jf404121b.
695 [~~35~~36] Von Bargen C, Brockmeyer J, Humpf HU. Meat authentication: A new HPLC-MS/MS
696 based method for the fast and sensitive detection of horse and pork in highly processed
697 food. J Agric Food Chem. 2014;62(39):9428–9435. doi: 10.1021/jf503468t.
698 [~~36~~37] Salamah N, Erwanto Y, Martono S, Maulana I, Rohman A. Differentiation of bovine
699 and porcine gelatines using LC-MS/MS and chemometrics. Int J Appl Pharm.
700 2019;11(4):2–6. doi: 10.22159/ijap.2019v11i4.30248.
701 [~~37~~38] Yilmaz MT, Kesmen Z, Baykal B, Sagdic O, Kacar O, Yetim H, et al. A novel method
702 to differentiate bovine and porcine gelatins in food products: NanoUPLC-ESI-Q-TOF-
703 MSE based data independent acquisition technique to detect marker peptides in gelatin.
704 Food Chem. 2013;141(3):2450–2458. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.05.096.
705 [~~38~~39] Jannat B, Ghorbani K, Shafieyan H, Kouchaki S, Behfar A, Sadeghi N, et al. Gelatin
706 speciation using real-time PCR and analysis of mass spectrometry-based proteomics
707 datasets. Food Control. 2018;87,79–87. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.12.006.
708 [~~39~~40] Kim GD, Seo JK, Yum HW, Jeong JY, Yang H.S. Protein markers for discrimination
709 of meat species in raw beef, pork and poultry and their mixtures. Food Chem.

710 2017;217:163–170. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.08.100.
711 [~~40~~41] Sidwick KL, Johnson AE, Adam CD, Pereira L, Thompson DF. Use of Liquid
712 Chromatography Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry and Metabonomic
713 Profiling to Differentiate between Normally Slaughtered and Dead on Arrival Poultry
714 Meat. Anal Chem. 2017;89(22):12131–12136. doi: 10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02749.
715 [~~41~~42] Ali NSM, Zabidi AR, Manap MNA, Zahari SMSNS, Yahaya N. Effect of different
716 slaughtering methods on metabolites of broiler chickens using ultra high-performance
717 liquid chromatography-time of flight-mass spectrometry (UHPLC-TOF-MS). Food Res.
718 2020;4:33–138. doi: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(s1).s06.
719 [~~42~~43] Pan XD, Chen J, Chen Q, Huang BF, Han JL. Authentication of pork in meat mixtures
720 using PRM mass spectrometry of myosin peptides. RSC Adv. 2018;8:11157–11162.
721 [~~43~~44] Trivedi DK, Hollywood KA, Rattray NJW, Ward H, Trivedi DK, Greenwood J, et al.
722 Meat, the metabolites: An integrated metabolite profiling and lipidomics approach for the
723 detection of the adulteration of beef with pork. Analyst. 2016;141(7):2155–2164. doi:
724 10.1039/c6an00108d.
725 [~~44~~45] Li Y, Zhang Y, Kang C, Zhao W, Li S, Wang S. Assessment of carbonic anhydrase 3
726 as a marker for meat authenticity and performance of LC-MS/MS for pork content. Food
727 Chem. 2021;342:128240. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128240.
728 [~~45~~46] Yuswan MH, Aizat WM, Desa MNM, Hashim AM, Rahim NA, Mustafa S, et al.
729 Improved gel-enhanced liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry by chemometrics for
730 halal proteomics. Chemom Intell Lab Syst. 2019;192. doi:
731 10.1016/j.chemolab.2019.103825.
732 [~~46~~47] Ward S, Powles NT, Page MI. Peptide biomarkers for identifying the species origin of
733 gelatin using coupled UPLC-MS/MS. J Food Compos Anal. 2018;73:83–90. doi:
734 10.1016/j.jfca.2018.08.002.
735 [~~47~~48] Yuswan MH, Aizat WM, Lokman AA, Desa MNM, Mustafa S, Junoh NM, et al.
736 Chemometrics-Assisted Shotgun Proteomics for Establishment of Potential Peptide
737 Markers of Non-Halal Pork (Sus scrofa) among Halal Beef and Chicken. Food Anal
738 Methods. 2018;11:3505–3515. doi: 10.1007/s12161-018-1327-6.
739 [~~48~~49] Li Y, Zhang Y, Li H, Zhao W, Guo W, Wang S. Simultaneous determination of heat
740 stable peptides for eight animal and plant species in meat products using UPLC-MS/MS
741 method. Food Chem. 2018;245:125–131. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.066.
742 [~~49~~50] Wang GJ, Zhou GY, Ren HW, Xu Y, Yang Y, Guo LH, et al. Peptide biomarkers
743 identified by LC–MS in processed meats of five animal species. J Food Compos Anal.

2018;73:47–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2018.07.004.

[5051] Heidari M, Talebpour Z, Abdollahpour Z, Adib N, Ghanavi Z, Aboul-Enein HY. Discrimination between vegetable oil and animal fat by a metabolomics approach using gas chromatography–mass spectrometry combined with chemometrics. J Food Sci Technol. 2020;57(9):3415–3425. doi: 10.1007/s13197-020-04375-9.

[5152] Gardner K, Legako JF. Volatile flavor compounds vary by beef product type and degree of doneness. J Anim Sci. 2018;96(10):4238–4250. doi: 10.1093/jas/sky287.

[5253] Pu D, Zhang Y, Zhang H, Sun B, Ren F, Chen H, et al. Characterization of the key aroma compounds in traditional Hunan smoke-cured pork leg (Larou, THSL) by aroma extract dilution analysis (AEDA), odor activity value (OAV), and sensory evaluation experiments. Foods. 2020;9(4):1–16. doi: 10.3390/foods9040413.

[5354] Narváez-Rivas M, Gallardo E, León-Camacho M. Analysis of volatile compounds from Iberian hams: A review. Grasas y Aceites. 2012;63(4):432–454. doi: 10.3989/gya.070112.

[5455] Chen G, Su Y, He L, Wu H, Shui S. Analysis of volatile compounds in pork from four different pig breeds using headspace solid-phase micro-extraction/gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. Food Sci Nutr. 2019;7(4):1261–1273. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.955.

[5556] Kosowska M, Majcher MA, Fortuna T. Volatile compounds in meat and meat products. Food Sci Technol. 2017;37(1):1–7. doi: 10.1590/1678-457X.08416.

[5657] Song S, Fan L, Xu X, Xu R, Jia Q, Feng T. Aroma patterns characterization of braised pork obtained from a novel ingredient by sensory-guided analysis and gas-chromatography-olfactometry. Foods. 2019;8(3):87. doi: 10.3390/foods8030087.

[5758] Pulungan INR, Kartosentono S, Prawita A. Validation Gas Chromatography-Fid Method for Analysis of Ethanol Content in Vinegar. J Halal Prod Res. 2018;1(2):22. doi: 10.20473/jhpr.vol.1-issue.2.22-31.

[5859] Mansur AR, Oh J, Lee HS, Oh SY. Determination of ethanol in foods and beverages by magnetic stirring-assisted aqueous extraction coupled with GC-FID: A validated method for halal verification. Food Chem. 2022;366:130526. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130526.

[5960] Muchtaridi M, Musfiroh I, Hambali NN, Indrayati W. Determination of alcohol contents of fermentated black tape ketan based on different fermentation time using specific gravity, refractive index and GC-MS methods. J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci. 2012;2(3):933–946.

[6061] Dahimi O, Hassan MS, Rahim AA, Abdulkarim SM, A., S.M. Differentiation of lard

from other edible fats by gas chromatography-flame ionisation detector (GC-FID) and chemometrics. J Food Pharm Sci. 2014;2:27–31.

[6162] Guntarti A, Ahda M, Kusbandari A. Determining fatty acids and halal authentication of sausage. Food Res. 2020;4(2):495–499. doi: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(2).261.

[6263] Guntarti A, Gandjar IG, Jannah NM. Authentication of wistar rat fats with gas chromatography mass spectometry combined by chemometrics. Potravin Slovak J Food Sci. 2020;14:52–57. https://doi.org/10.5219/1229

[6364] Nurjuliana M, Che Man YB, Mat Hashim D, Mohamed AKS. Rapid identification of pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass spectrometer with headspace analyzer. Meat Sci. 2011;88(4):638–644. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.02.022.

[6465] Rahayu WS, Sundhani E, Saputri SD. The Use of Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) for Halal Authentication in Imported Chocolate With Various Variants. J Food Pharm Sci. 2014;3:6–11.

[6566] Pranata AW, Yuliana ND, Amalia L, Darmawan N. Volatilomics for halal and non-halal meatball authentication using solid-phase microextraction–gas chromatography–mass spectrometry. Arab J Chem. 2021;14. doi: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2021.103146.

[6667] Pavlidis DE, Mallouchos A, Ercolini D, Panagou EZ, Nychas GJE. A volatilomics approach for off-line discrimination of minced beef and pork meat and their admixture using HS-SPME GC/MS in tandem with multivariate data analysis. Meat Sci. 2019;151:43–53. doi: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2019.01.003.

[6768] Ahda M, Guntarti A, Kusbandari A, Melianto Y. Halal food analysis using GC-MS combined with principal component analysis (Pca) based on saturated and unsaturated fatty acid composition. Songklanakarin J Sci Technol. 2021;43(2):352–355.

[6869] Salamah N, Guntarti A, Ayu Lestari P, Gholib Gandjar I. Fat analysis of house rat (Rattus tanezumi) in meatball using gas chromatography-mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) combined with principal component analysis. Indones J Pharm. 2022. doi: 10.22146/ijp.1781.

[6970] Azizan NI, Mokhtar NFK, Arshad S, Sharin SN, Mohamad N, Mustafa S, et al. Detection of Lard Adulteration in Wheat Biscuits Using Chemometrics-Assisted GCMS and Random Forest. Food Anal Methods. 2021;14:2276-2287. doi: 10.1007/s12161-021-02046-9.

[7071] Guntarti A. Authentication of Dog Fat With Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy

Combined With Chemometrics. Int J Chem. 2018;10(4):124. doi:10.5539/ijc.v10n4p124.

[~~71~~72] Guntarti A, Ningrum KP, Gandjar IG, Salamah N. Authentication of Sprague Dawley Rats (Rattus Norvegicus) Fat with GC-MS (Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry) Combined with Chemometrics. Int J Appl Pharm. 2021;13(2):1–6. doi: 10.22159/jap.2021v13i2.40130.

[~~72~~73] Song X, Canellas E, Nerin C. Screening of volatile decay markers of minced pork by headspace-solid phase microextraction–gas chromatography–mass spectrometry and chemometrics. Food Chem. 2021;342:128341. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128341.

[~~73~~74] Chen M, Chen T, Qi X, Lu D, Chen B. Analyzing changes of volatile components in dried pork slice by gas chromatography-ion mobility spectroscopy. CyTA - J. Food. 2020;18:328–335. doi: 10.1080/19476337.2020.1752805.

[~~74~~75] Chang Y, Wang S, Chen H, Zhang N, Sun J. Characterization of the key aroma compounds in pork broth by sensory-directed flavor analysis. J Food Sci. 2021;86(11):4932–4945. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.15937.

[~~75~~76] Han D, Mi S, Zhang CH, Li J, Song HL, Fauconnier ML, Tyteca E. Characterization and discrimination of Chinese marinated pork hocks by volatile compound profiling using solid phase microextraction gas chromatography-mass spectrometry/olfactometry, electronic nose and chemometrics. Molecules. 2019;24(7):1385. doi: 10.3390/molecules24071385.

[~~76~~77] Park S, Kim JC, Lee HS, Jeong SW, Shim YS. Determination of five alcohol compounds in fermented Korean foods via simple liquid extraction with dimethyl-sulfoxide followed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry for Halal food certification. LWT - Food Sci. Technol. 2016;74:563–570. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2016.08.030.

[~~77~~78] Šorgić S, Ignjatović IS, Antić M, Šaćirović S, Pezo L, Čejić V, Đurović S. Monitoring of the Wines’ Quality by Gas Chromatography: HSS-GC/FID Method Development, Validation, Verification, for Analysis of Volatile Compounds. Fermentation. 2022;8(2):38. doi: 10.3390/fermentation8020038.

[~~78~~79] Xu ML, Yu Y, Ramaswamy HS, Zhu SM. Characterization of Chinese liquor aroma components during aging process and liquor age discrimination using gas chromatography combined with multivariable statistics. Sci Rep. 2017;7:1–9. doi: 10.1038/srep39671.

[~~79~~80] Qomariyah RS, Roswiem AP, Suseno D. Analysis of Alcohol Content in A Herbal Medicine of Noni Using Gas Chromatography Method. Int J Halal Res. 2021;3(1):1–7.

[8081] Sours RE, Bezabeh DZ. A static headspace GC–MS method for the determination of ethanol in solid or semi-solid consumer goods. Food Anal Methods. 2021;14:2569–2575. doi: 10.1007/s12161-021-02090-5.

[8182] Chan M, Sy H, Finley J, Robertson J, Brown PN. Determination of ethanol content in kombucha using headspace gas chromatography with mass spectrometry detection: Single-laboratory validation. J AOAC Int. 2021;104(1):122–128. doi: 10.1093/jaoacint/qsaa094.

[8283] Batista LR. Antoniosi Filho, N.R. Ethanol content determination in medicine syrups using headspace and multidimensional heart-cut gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. J Braz Chem Soc. 2020;31(2):394–401. doi: 10.21577/0103-5053.20190193.

859 **Figure and Scheme captions**

860

861 **Figure 1:** Three different scenarios (a, b, and c) of chemometrics applications employing the
862 chromatograms as variable for obtaining the analytical purposes (classification of halal and
863 non-halal products as well as prediction the levels of non-halal components in the products).
864 Adapted from [24].

865

866 **Figures and Schemes**

867 **Figure 1**



868

869

870 **Tables and Table captions**

871

872 **Table 1.** The application of liquid chromatography (HPLC and LC-MS/MS) for analysis of

873 halal components in the food and pharmaceutical products

Methods	Issues	Results	References
HPLC-UV detection	Identification of pork in meatball products	HPLC-UV in combination with PCA could classify meatballs containing pork and beef in the products using variable of hydrolysis of Triacylglycerols (TAG). However, the authors did not mention which TAG markers contributing to this classification.	[~~31~~32]
HPLC-Fluorescence detector	Identification of pork through amino acid composition	HPLC using fluorescence detector has been successfully applied for differentiation of pork and other animal meats based on analysis of derivatized amino acids with orto-phtalaldehyde. The amino acid VAL can be identified as marker for differentiating pork from the other meats studied (beef, chicken mutton, and chevon).	[~~32~~33]
HPLC-Fluorescence detector	Detection of pig collagen using D,L-amino acids	Pre column derivatization using R(-)-4-(3-isothiocyanatopyrrolidin-1-yl)-7-(*N*,*N*-dimethylaminosulfonyl)-2,1,3-benzoxadiazole [R(-)-DBD-PyNCS] could be used to determine D,L-amino acids in pork collagen. Three amino acids of D-Asp, D-Pro, and D-Hyp were first detected in pork collagen samples.	[~~33~~34]
LC-MS/MS with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)	Detection of Horse and Pork in Halal Beef	Biomarker peptides were successfully identified by a shotgun proteomic approach using tryptic digests of protein extracts. Pork was identified by peptide markers: TLAFLFAER (from myosin-4), SALAHAVQSSR (from myosin-1 and myosin-4). The detection limit is 0.55% horse or pork in a beef matrix.	[~~34~~35]
HPLC−MS/MS with MRM	Detection of Pork in Highly Processed Food by analysis of specific tryptic marker peptides	HPLC-MS/MS using MRM has been successfully applied for analysis of pork in some processed food products (cooking, frying and baking) based on peptide markers which are specific for pork. The peptide markers of pork identified based on MRM experiment were: marker 1 (YDIINLR) markers 2 (TLAFLFAER) and 3 (SALAHAVQSSR).	[~~35~~36]
LC-MS/MS	Differentiation of porcine gelatine and bovine gelatine	LC-MS/MS in combination with exploratory data analysis of PCA could discriminate porcine and bovine gelatines. Based on loading plot PCA, peptides appearing in retention time (t_R) 32 min could be identified as peptide markers	[~~36~~37]
Nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS	Differentiation of porcine and bovine gelatin in food products	Marker peptide of bovine and porcine gelatin could be detected using nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS based data dependent technique in yoghurt, cheese, and ice cream. The method could be used to detect bovine and porcine gelatin in the mixtures.	[~~37~~38]

Nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS	Differentiation of porcine and bovine gelatin in food products	Marker peptide of bovine and porcine gelatin could be detected using nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS based data dependent technique in yoghurt, cheese, and ice cream. The method could be used to detect bovine and porcine gelatin in the mixtures.	[~~37~~38]
LC-MS QTRAP	Gelatin speciation (bovine, porcine, and fish)	LC-MS in combination with PCA could differentiate bovine, porcine, and fish gelatin. PLS-DA could be used for classification of pure gelatin and adulterated gelatin (fish and bovine) with porcine gelatin using several concentration levels of porcine gelatin.	[~~38~~39]
LC-MS/MS	Discrimination of raw beef, pork, poultry and their mixtures	Protein of troponin I (TnI), enolase 3, L-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), triose-phosphate isomerase (TPI), Tropomyosin 1 and carbonic anhydrase 3 could be used as potential markers to distinguish mammals and poultry.	[~~39~~40]
LC-Q-TOF-MS	Differentiation between dead-on arrival and normally slaughtered of poultry meat	LC-Q-TOF-MS could be used to differentiate between normally slaughtered and dead-on arrival poultry meat based on metabolic profiles analysed using multivariate analysis. Using METLIN and analysis of chemical standards, metabolite of sphingosine was found to be potential marker for dead-on arrival poultry meat.	[~~40~~41]
UPLC-TOF-MS	Metabolite's differentiation of broiler chicken slaughtered using different techniques	UPLC-TOF-MS could be used to distinguish between halal slaughtering method and non-halal slaughtering method of broiler chicken based on their metabolite profiles. Non-halal slaughtered method demonstrated high amino acid and high glucose breakdown.	[~~41~~42]
LC-HRMS	Analysis of pork meat in meat mixtures using PRM	Five peptides of myosin were screened and used for PRM analysis using LC-Orbitrap HRMS. Peptide of KLETDISQIQGEMEDIVQEAR was found to be the most sensitive peptide with LOD value of 0.5% in meat mixtures.	[~~42~~43]
UPLC-MS	Detection of pork adulteration in beef using metabolomics approach	PLS-DA using metabolomics data obtained from untargeted measurement could classify pure and adulterated beef samples with pork. There was a significant difference in the metabolism of inositol, glutathione, and sphingolipid between beef and pork.	[~~43~~44]
LC-MS/MS	Detection of pork adulteration in meat samples using carbonic anhydrase 3 as a marker	Three peptides from carbonic anhydrase 3 were found as marker of pork (EPITVSSDQMAK, GGPLTAAYR, HDPSLLPWTASYDPGSAK). Quantification analysis could be performed using those three peptides with perfect quantitative ability and provided good correlation and recovery results.	[~~44~~45]

874
875
876
877
878
879

880 **Table 2.** The application of gas chromatography (GC-FID and GC-MS) for analysis of halal
881 components in the food and pharmaceutical products

Methods	Issues	Results	References
GC-FID for analysis of alcohol	Determination of ethanol contents in vinegar	The maximum contents of ethanol in vinegar is 1.0%. GC-FID could determine the levels of ethanol (alcohol) in the marketed vinegar samples. The detection level of ethanol was about 0.4 mg%.	[~~57~~58]
GC-FID for analysis of ethanol in foods	Determination of ethanol in different processed foods and beverages	Extraction technique using aqueous extraction assisted magnetic-stirring could be used to extract ethanol from different foods and beverages. GC-FID successfully used to determine ethanol with good validity. The validated method was successfully used to determine ethanol in 108 food and beverage products.	[~~58~~59]
GC-MS for analysis of alcohol	Determination of alcohol in fermented black tape ketan using GC-MS	GC-MS could be used for quantitative analysis of alcohol content in fermented black tape ketan with good recovery (89%). The alcohol concentrations determined at 3, 10, 17, 24, and 31 days were 4.295, 4.23, 5.005, 4.747, and 5.344 % v/v, respectively.	[~~59~~60]
GC-FID for analysis of lard	Differentiation of lard from other edible fats using GC-FID and chemometrics	Lard contains high amount of C18: 2*cis* and low amount of C16:0. Chemometrics of PCA and K-mean cluster analysis could differentiate lard adulteration on chicken fat and beef tallow at low concentrations (0.5%-10%).	[~~60~~61]
GC-MS for analysis of pork	Analysis of fatty acids a fatty acid methyl esters of pork (non-halal meats) in sausages compared with beef sausages (halal meat)	The dominant fatty acids in pork sausage are palmitic, myristic, oleic acid, and lauric acids. While fatty acids dominating in beef sausage are palmitic, oleic, stearic and myristic acids. The chemometrics of PCA could classify sausages according to meat sources (beef and pork)	[~~61~~62]
GC-MS for analysis of rat meat	Analysis of rat meat (non-halal meat) and its classification with other meats using chemometrics of PCA	Six fatty acids, i.e. myristic, palmitoleic, palmitic, linoleic, oleic and stearic acids combined with PCA could classify rat meat and other meats.	[~~62~~63]
Headspace GC-MS for analysis of pork	Differentiation of pork (non-halal meat) and pork sausages from beef, mutton and chicken meats	The samples were introduced into GC instrument using headspace, and volatile compounds present in the evaluated samples were separated using GC and detected by MS. The chemometrics of PCA provided good separation between pork-based sausages and halal meat-based sausages.	[~~63~~64]
GC-MS for analysis of lard	Analysis of lard (non-halal fat derived from adipose	The fatty acid of 11,14-eicosadienoic acid is used as fatty acid marker for identification of lard.	[~~64~~65]

Formatted: Font: Italic

	tissue of pig) in chocolate products		
GC-MS-SPME for analysis of wild boar	Volatilomics analysis of non-halal (wild boar) meat ball using GC-MS-SPME and chemometrics	PLS-DA could be used to differentiate volatile compounds of halal meatball and non-halal meatball. Compounds of β-cymene, 3-methyl-butanal, and 2-pentanol were found to be potential markers for chicken meatball. Compounds of 5-ethyl-m-xylene, benzaldehyde, and 3-ethyl-2-methyl-1,3-hexadiene were associated to the potential markers of beef meatball. Compounds of pentanal, 2,6-dimethylcyclohexanone, 1-undecanol, cyclobutanol, 2,4,5-trimethyl-thiazole, and 5-ethyl-3-(3-methyl-5-phenyl pyrazol-1-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine could be used as potential markers as wild boar meatball.	[~~65~~66]
HS-SPME-GC-MS for analysis of minced beef and pork meat	Volatilomics analysis using HS-SPME-GC-MS combined with multivariate analysis to differentiate minced beef and pork meat	GC-MS based on volatilomics analysis and chemometrics of PCA and PLS-DA could be used to differentiate minced beef and pork meat. Heptanal, octanal, butanol, pentanol, hexanol, 1-penten-3-ol, 2-octen-1-ol, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone were associated to the potential markers of beef whereas pentanal, hexanal, decanal, nonanal, benzaldehyde, trans-2-hexenal, trans-2-heptenal could be used as potential volatile compound markers of pork meat.	[~~66~~67]
GC-MS for analysis of pork	Detection of pork in beef meatball using GC-MS and chemometrics	PCA using fatty acid compositions of pure beef meatball and adulterated beef meatball using pork as the variables successfully differentiate pure and adulterated beef meatball. The ratio of SFA:MUFA of pork meatball was 1.0.	[~~67~~68]
GC-MS for analysis of house rat	Detection of rat house in beef meatball by analysis of fat using G-CMS	The fatty acids composition of house rats were myristate (0.19±0.03)%, palmitoleat (2.40±0.29)%, methyl palmitate (27.65±0.32)%, oleate (45.81±3.25)%, and stearate (4.65±0.28)%. Analysis using PCA could differentiate beef meatball and beef meatball containing rat house meat. Further analysis using PCA demonstrated that fatty acids of house rats have high similarity to chicken fatty acids.	[~~68~~69]
GC-MS for analysis of lard	Detection of lard in wheat biscuits using GC-MS and chemometrics	PCA using fatty acids composition could differentiate lard, wheat biscuits, and adulterated wheat biscuits with lard. PLS-DA could be used to find potential marker for differentiation. Fatty acid of C18:3n6 is suggested as potential marker to distinguish	[~~69~~70]

		pure wheat biscuits and adulterated wheat biscuits with lard.	
GC-MS for analysis of dog fat	Detection of dog fat from other animal fats using GC-MS and chemometrics	Nine types of fatty acids in dog fat were found such as lauric, myristate, pentadecanoate, palmitoleate, palmitate, margarate, oleat, stearic, and arachidonic. Analysis PCA showed that dog fat is close to lard.	[~~70~~71]
GC-MS for analysis of rat fat	Detection of Sprague Dawley rat fat in meatball using GC-MS and chemometrics	PCA could differentiate meatball and adulterated meatball with Sprague Dawley rat meats. Further analysis revealed that the Sprague Dawley rat fat is close to beef fat.	[~~71~~72]

882

RESPONSE TO REVIEWER COMMENTS

Reviewer comments	Response to reviewer comments
Reviewer: 1 Comments to the Author This is a good review to see the outcome of analysis in various settings using chromatographic and chemometric analysis. Some English errors are found but minimum.	Thanks for this comment and appreciation. We have corrected English accordingly
The literature search took over 2 months period (but the statement in abstract might be understood as written within 2 months?); it would be nice to know how many articles were retrieved and how was the selection made. I noticed some long paragraph discussing in detail the outcome from a single study in the respective paragraph citing only one single reference each while there are >80 articles being listed in the reference list; some paragraph cited a single reference at the very end making the starting point of the paragraph ambiguous on whose study it was referring to.	We have added this information related to selection of articles used during performing this review (inclusion criteria, exclusion criteria). This information can be seen in section Methods. For some long paragraph citing one single reference, because we are trying to provide detail/in-depth explanation of a study that related to the criteria of our review paper. Therefore, we put the reference at the end of each paragraph.
Reviewer: 2 The article needs some minor corrections, which are indicated below: 1.- The keywords on the home page and those on lines 37 and 38 do not match. I think the ones on lines 37 and 38 are more correct, but the term pharmaceutical should be deleted.	We have corrected this matter by matching keywords in home page in the revised manuscript.
2.- Lines 148-156: Include the following reference “Chromatographic fingerprinting: An innovative approach for food identitation and food authentication - A tutorial. Analytica Chimica Acta 909 (2016) 9-23' which clearly explains what a marker, a profile and a chromatographic fingerprint are. Include the term marker in the paragraph.	Thanks for this comment, we have revised accordingly using the suggested reference as “There are three approaches to detect and to identify the presence of non-halal components in food and pharmaceutical products using chromatographic based methods. The first approach is based on searching the specific markers through analysis of the separated specific components. Indeed, the availability of reference standards is a must. The second approach is used fingerprinting profiles in which the chromatogram profiles of samples with and without non-halal components are compared and evaluated. The third approach involved metabolomics studies either targeting and untargeted techniques by analysis of all

	metabolites in the analyzed samples. The second and third approaches involved the large datasets, therefore, the chemometrics is employed to perform the analytical tasks (discrimination, classification, etc.)" along with refernce as [29] Cuadros-Rodríguez L, Ruiz-Samblás C, Valverde-Som L, Pérez-Castaño E, González-Casado A. Chromatographic fingerprinting: An innovative approach for food "identitation" and food authentication - A tutorial. Anal Chim Acta. 2016; 909; 9–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2015.12.042
3.- Lines 273-280: This paragraph should be deleted, medicinal herbs are not the subject of the study.	Thanks for this comment. We have removed this.
4.- In tables 1 and 2, there is no specific application dedicated to halal pharmaceutical. It only appears in the main discussion. I think it should be removed from the main discussion or include specific applications in halal pharmaceutical. In fact in the title the term "halal pharmaceutical" does not appear.	Thanks for this. We have removed the terms of pharmaceutical fields.
General comment: The authors have two options: To include in tables 1 and 2 specific references to halal pharmaceuticals or to remove all comments on halal pharmaceuticals.	We have chosen to remove the pharmaceutical applications. Thanks for this comment.
Editor's Comments: Comments are marked in the attached file. Please work in the attached file so that format remains the same. Please make all changes with editing mode or different font color. In addition, you need to include a list of point by point responses against each comments from referees and editor, first include one comment and then your response. Please keep in mind that I will not correct your mistakes, but I will take decision on your efforts for a careful revision.	Thanks, with these comments. We have followed these instructions accordingly.

Taylor & Francis Group plc

CC BY OPEN ACCESS LICENCE

This is a licence agreement under which you, the author, retain copyright in your article, and grant Taylor & Francis LLC (hereinafter 'Taylor & Francis') the exclusive licence to publish your article, including abstract, tables, figures, data, and supplemental material hosted by us, as the Version of Record in the Journal on an Open Access basis under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY) http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/ subject to the Terms & Conditions set out below.

ARTICLE TITLE ('Article'):	Use of Chromatographic-Based Techniques and chemometrics for Halal Authentication of Food Products: A Review
ARTICLE DOI:	10.1080/10942912.2022.2082468
AUTHOR(S):	Laela Hayu Nurani, Florentinus Dika Octa Riswanto, Anjar Windarsih, Citra Ariani Edityaningrum, Any Guntarti, Abdul Rohman
JOURNAL TITLE ('Journal'):	International Journal of Food Properties
JOURNAL ISSN:	1532-2386

STATEMENT OF ORIGINAL COPYRIGHT OWNERSHIP / CONDITIONS

In consideration of the publication of the Article, you hereby grant with full title guarantee all rights of copyright and related rights in the above specified Article as the Version of Scholarly Record which is intended for publication in all forms and all media (whether known at this time or developed at any time in the future) throughout the world, in all languages, for the full term of copyright, to take effect if and when the Article is accepted for publication in the Journal.

GRANT OF PUBLISHING RIGHTS

I confirm that I have read and accept the full terms of the Journal's Article Publishing Agreement including the Terms & Conditions. I understand the article will be made available under the following access and use licence. I have read and understood the terms of this licence: Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

I grant Taylor & Francis the rights to publish my article on an Open Access basis, in all forms and all media (whether known at this time or developed at any time in the future) throughout the world, including the right to translate the article into other languages, create adaptations, summaries or extracts of the article or other derivative works based on the article and the right to sub-license all such rights to others subject to the Terms & Conditions set out below, to take effect if and when the article is accepted for publication. If a statement of government or corporate ownership appears above, that statement modifies this assignment as described.

Signed and dated: **Abdul Rohman, 24 May 2022 05:10 (UTC Europe/London)**

Taylor & Francis Group, LLC, 24 May 2022 05:10 (UTC Europe/London)

THIS FORM WILL BE RETAINED BY THE PUBLISHER.

TERMS & CONDITIONS

DEFINITION

Your article is defined as comprising (a) your Accepted Manuscript (AM) in its final form; (b) the final, definitive, and citable Version of Record (VoR) including the abstract, text, bibliography, and all accompanying tables, illustrations, data, and media; and (c) any supplemental material hosted by Taylor & Francis. This grant of license and these Terms & Conditions constitute the entire agreement and the sole understanding between you and us ('agreement'); no amendment, addendum, or other communication will be taken into account when interpreting your and our rights and obligations under this agreement, unless amended by a written document signed by both of us.

TAYLOR & FRANCIS' RESPONSIBILITIES

If deemed acceptable by the Editors of the Journal, we shall prepare and publish your article in the Journal. We may post your accepted manuscript as free-to-access in advance of the formal publication of the Version of Record (VoR). We shall publish the VoR in the Journal on an Open Access basis, viz., to be made freely available online with no subscription fee or article-pay-to-view fee or any other form of access fee or any publication embargo being applied. We reserve the right to make such editorial changes as may be necessary to make the article suitable for publication or as we reasonably consider necessary to avoid infringing third-party rights or breaching any laws; and we reserve the right not to proceed with publication for whatever reason.

If before publication we reasonably consider that the article should not be published, on the advice of our legal advisors, we may decline to publish the article, in which case we will refund you any Article Publishing Charge you have paid.

If after publication we reasonably consider that the article should be retracted or removed from our website, on the advice of our legal advisors, for example, because of a breach in your Author Warranties, we may retract and withdraw it, and in such case shall be under no obligation to refund you any Article Publishing Charge you have paid.

You hereby expressly grant us the right to bring an action for infringement of copyright in relation to your article, pursuant to US copyright code section 501, or any corresponding law elsewhere in the world.

If we do not receive payment of the applicable Article Publishing Charge six (6) weeks after we issue you an invoice; or six (6) weeks after Open Access publication if we have received insufficient invoice informationfrom you, we reserve the right to rescind the Open Access status of your article and to publish it on an alternative licence basis.

YOUR RIGHTS AS AUTHOR

These rights are personal to you, and your co-authors, and cannot be transferred by you to anyone else. You assert and retain the following rights as author(s):

1. The right to re-use your own work on a commercial or non-commercial basis, and in any way permitted under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY), including but not limited to, translation, adaptation, and resale.
2. The right to be identified as the author of your article, whenever and wherever the article is published, as defined in US Law 94-553 (Copyright Act) and, so far as is legally possible, any corresponding rights we may have in any territory of the world.
3. The right to retain patent rights, trademark rights, or rights to any process, product or procedure described in your article.
4. The right to post and maintain at any time your 'Author's Original Manuscript (AOM), i.e., the unpublished version of the article created by you prior to peer review.

WARRANTIES MADE BY YOU AS AUTHOR

5. You warrant that:
    1. All persons who have a reasonable claim to authorship are named in the article as co-authors including yourself, and you have not fabricated or misappropriated anyone's identity, including your own.
    2. You have been authorized by all such co-authors to sign this Agreement as agent on their behalf, and to agree on their behalf the priority of the assertion of copyright and the order of names in the publication of the article.
    3. The article is your original work, apart from any permitted third-party copyright material you include, and does not infringe any intellectual property rights of any other person or entity and cannot be construed as plagiarizing any other published work, including your own published work.
    4. The article is not currently under submission to, nor is under consideration by, nor has been accepted by any other journal or publication, nor has been previously published by any other journal or publication, nor has been assigned or licensed by you to any third party.
    5. The article contains no content that is abusive, defamatory, libelous, obscene, fraudulent, nor in any way infringes the rights of others, nor is in any other way unlawful or in violation of applicable laws.
    6. Research reported in the article has been conducted in an ethical and responsible manner, in full compliance with all relevant codes of experimentation and legislation. All articles which report *in vivo* experiments or clinical trials on humans or animals must include a written statement in the Methods section that such work was conducted with the formal approval of the local human subject or animal care committees, and that clinical trials have been registered as applicable legislation requires.
    7. Any patient, service user, or participant (or that person's parent or legal guardian) in any research or clinical experiment or study who is described in the article has given written consent to the inclusion of material, text or image, pertaining to themselves, and that they acknowledge that they cannot be identified via the article and that you have anonymized them and that you do not identify them in any way. Where such a person is deceased, you warrant you have obtained the written consent of the deceased person's family or estate.
    8. You have complied with all mandatory laboratory health and safety procedures in the course of conducting any experimental work reported in your article; your article contains all appropriate warnings concerning any specific and particular hazards that may be

involved in carrying out experiments or procedures described in the article or involved in instructions, materials, or formulae in the article; your article includes explicitly relevant safety precautions; and cites, if an accepted Standard or Code of Practice is relevant, a reference to the relevant Standard or Code.

9. You have acknowledged all sources of research funding, as required by your research funder, and disclosed any financial interest or benefit you have arising from the direct applications of your research.
10. You have obtained the necessary written permission to include material in your article that is owned and held in copyright by a third party, which shall include but is not limited to any proprietary text, illustration, table, or other material, including data, audio, video, film stills, screenshots, musical notation and any supplemental material.
11. You have read and complied with our policy on publishing ethics.
12. You have read and complied with the Journal's Instructions for Authors.
13. You will keep us and our affiliates indemnified in full against all loss, damages, injury, costs and expenses (including legal and other professional fees and expenses) awarded against or incurred or paid by us as a result of your breach of the warranties given in this Agreement.
14. You consent to allowing us at our discretion to post an accepted manuscript (AM) versions of your article before we publish the Version of Record (VoR) in the Journal, on the basis that our quality assurance process may reveal errors which could affect the meaning and content of the precedent AM, and thus all legal disclaimers that apply to the Journal relate to any AM we post.
15. You consent to allowing us to use your article for marketing and promotional purposes.
16. You agree to provide us, if we so request, with access to and/or copies of any source research data and data sets used in preparing the article within fourteen (14) days of such request or such other time as may be agreed.

GOVERNING LAW

6. This agreement (and any dispute, proceeding, claim or controversy in relation to it) is subject to US copyright laws.

LJFP #2082468 VOL 00, ISS 00

Use of chromatographic-based techniques and chemometrics for halal authentication of food products: A review

Laela Hayu Nurani, Florentinus Dika Octa Riswanto, Anjar Windarsih, Citra Ariani Edityaningrum, Any Guntarti and Abdul Rohman

QUERY SHEET

This page lists questions we have about your paper. The numbers displayed at left are hyperlinked to the location of the query in your paper.

The title and author names are listed on this sheet as they will be published, both on your paper and on the Table of Contents. Please review and ensure the information is correct and advise us if any changes need to be made. In addition, please review your paper as a whole for typographical and essential corrections.

Your PDF proof has been enabled so that you can comment on the proof directly using Adobe Acrobat. For further information on marking corrections using Acrobat, please visit http://journalauthors.tandf.co.uk/production/acrobat.asp; https://authorservices.taylorandfrancis.com/how-to-correct-proofs-with-adobe/

The CrossRef database (www.crossref.org/) has been used to validate the references. Changes resulting from mismatches are tracked in red font.

AUTHOR QUERIES

Q1 References were not cited sequentially. Hence, references have been renumbered from Ref.30. Please check.

Q2 The disclosure statement has been inserted. Please correct if this is inaccurate.

Q3 The PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) and CrossRef (www.crossref.org/) databases have been used to validate the references. Mismatches between the original manuscript and PubMed or CrossRef are tracked in red font. Please provide a revision if the change is incorrect. Do not comment on correct changes.

Q4 Number of authors given in the reference entry [36] does not match with the number of authors to be present as per style.

Q5 Number of authors given in the reference entry [42] does not match with the number of authors to be present as per style.

Q6 Number of authors given in the reference entry [44] does not match with the number of authors to be present as per style.

Q7 Number of authors given in the reference entry [45] does not match with the number of authors to be present as per style.

Q8 Number of authors given in the reference entry [46] does not match with the number of authors to be present as per style.

Q9 Number of authors given in the reference entry [48] does not match with the number of authors to be present as per style.

Q10 Number of authors given in the reference entry [50] does not match with the number of authors to be present as per style.

Q11 Number of authors given in the reference entry [63] does not match with the number of authors to be present as per style.

Q12 Number of authors given in the reference entry [68] does not match with the number of authors to be present as per style.

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group

Use of chromatographic-based techniques and chemometrics for halal authentication of food products: A review

Laela Hayu Nurani[a], Florentinus Dika Octa Riswanto[b,c], Anjar Windarsih[d], Citra Ariani Edityaningrum[a], Any Guntarti[a], and Abdul Rohman[b,e]

[a]Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia; [b]Center of Excellence, Institute for Halal Industry and Systems, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia; [c]Division of Pharmaceutical Analysis and Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Campus III Paingan, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia; [d]Research Division for Natural Product Technology (BPTBA), National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Yogyakarta, Indonesia; [e]Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia 5

ABSTRACT
Halal food products are requisite to be consumed by Muslim communities in the world. The standard methods capable of quantifying non-halal components are very urgent. This review highlights the chromatographic methods and chemometric or multivariate data analysis that offer reliable techniques to provide the separation capacity in halal authentication analysis. This review article was written from reputable worldwide databases including Web of Science, Scopus, and PubMed, between January and February 2022. The keywords were "halal research," "food analysis," "chromatography," "chemometrics" and "authentication." Chromatographic-based techniques in combination with chemometrics of multivariate analysis, a powerful statistical analysis to manage huge data generated from analytical measurement, could be used to identify potential markers to differentiate halal and non-halal samples. Chromatogram and peak separation profiles resulted as the instrument responses can be further evaluated for determination as well as quantification of halal and non-halal components in food products. Combination of chromatographic-based method and chemometrics techniques with some scenarios can be applied for halal research on food products.

ARTICLE HISTORY
Received 13 April 2022
Revised 17 May 2022
Accepted 22 May 2022

KEYWORDS
Halal authentication; chemometrics; chromatography; Pig derivatives; Food products

10 15 20 25

INTRODUCTION

Food and pharmaceutical products are important needs for human beings. In line with the development of science and technology, industrialization and globalization, the halal products may be added or substituted and contaminated with non-halal components such as pig derivatives and alcohols as ingredients or additives to reduce the production cost.[1] In addition, the products available in markets may contain incorrect labeling in terms of ingredient sources making the consumers lost on composition information; therefore, the use of analytical tools to check the presence of non-halal components in the products is a must for assisting the certification processes.[2] In Indonesia, the halal certification is mandatory which means that all halal declared products sold and distributed in Indonesia must be halal certified. In addition, the analysis of non-halal components in post-marketed products is also needed to confirm that the marketed products are not adulterated with non-halal components .[3] 30 Q1 35

According to Indonesian Act No. 33 (2014), the certification process is carried out by Halal Product Assurance Organizing Agency (BPJPH) and the auditing process is carried out by Halal Examination Agency (LPH). During audit, if the products are supposed to contain non-halal components (pork 40

CONTACT Rohman abdulkimfar@gmail.com Center of Excellence, Institute for Halal Industry and Systems, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta 552811, Indonesia
© 2022 Taylor & Francis Group, LLC

derivatives and alcohols), the laboratory testing using standard analytical methods is needed to confirm that the audited products are free from any non-halal components.[4,5] Today, the Muslim community constitutes for approximately of 25% world's population and is expected to increase further. With the increased awareness among Muslim community to consume the only halal products, the global market of halal products could reach exponentially.[6] Halal is Arabic terms used to any products permissible to be consumed by Muslim community. Today, the term of halal has widely used not only Muslim but also non-Muslim because Non-Muslim community intended to export the products into Muslim community, especially in halal certification issues.[7] Therefore, it is not surprising that halal-related studies are performed not only in majority Muslim countries like Indonesia and Malaysia but also in countries whose Muslims are minority such as the Netherlands, the United States, France and the European Union.[8]

Halal food must be free from non-halal components which are pig and all pig derivatives such as pork, lard and porcine gelatines, carrion or dead animals, blood (flowing or congealed), animals slaughtered not according to Islamic law, animals that were killed accidentally or on purpose through means such as strangling or beating, intoxicants including alcohol and drugs,[9] carnivorous animals, predator birds and certain land animals.[10] Among these, pig derivatives and alcohols are typically found in halal food products; therefore, some scientists are continuously research works on halal-related issues including developing instrumental analytical methods for detecting of non-halal components intended for halal certification.[11] Some countries have obligated the products to be halal certified which can be understood that the products are free from prohibited components. Besides, the products are manufactured using equipment dedicated for halal food.[12] Pork is typically met in meat-based food products such as meatball, sausages, etc.; while lard can be good vehicle in some cosmetics products such as cream, lipstick and lotion. Porcine gelatines are common materials used in food (in candies) and pharmaceutical products (capsule shells).[13] The objective of this review was to provide the integrative information on identification and quantification of non-halal components in food products by chromatographic methods. In addition, chemometrics techniques were reported to be applied to employ the big data evaluation as resulted from the chromatographic detection.

METHODS

This review article was written by identifying, investigating and assembling several review articles, original articles, books and relevant sources on metabolite fingerprinting from reputable worldwide databases including Web of Science, Scopus and PubMed. Literature searching was carried out between January and February 2022. The keywords explored during literature investigation were "halal research," "food analysis," "chromatography," "chemometrics" and "authentication." First, to select the suitable papers, 250 articles were reviewed through the title and abstract. The inclusion criteria to select the papers were (1) studies regarding halal authentication of food products using chromatographic technique between 2005–2022; (2) studies on analysis of non-halal components in food products using liquid chromatography and gas chromatography conducted between 2005–2022; (3) studies on the employment of chemometrics in combination with chromatographic technique for halal authentication of food products; and (4) all papers written in English. The exclusion criteria of the papers were (1) studies on halal authentication of food products using chromatographic techniques published before 2005 and (2) all articles written using language other than English.

Chromatographic-based techniques and chemometrics for analysis of non-halal components

For many years, chromatography has been known as the method of choice to assess the purity and levels of analytes in the laboratories of research, industry and quality control.[14] Gas chromatography (GC) and liquid chromatography (LC) techniques are often used for the analysis of non-halal components in food products. In terms of compound types, GC is more suitable for the analysis of smaller, volatile and stable compounds to heat, while LC is more robust and suitable for larger and

less/nonvolatile compounds.[15] Some derivatization techniques are needed in LC in order to convert analytes into detectable derivates with certain detectors, while derivatization in GC for fewer volatile compounds is intended to provide more volatile and stable derivate products, although this derivatization process increases the method complexity and lengthens the sample preparation. In addition, the availability of derivative agents and its steric hindrance in the analyte, and the stability of the derivatized compounds must also be considered.[16]

One-dimensional gas or liquid chromatography using one column is considered as simple and powerful separation techniques for simple and un-complex samples. When the analyzed samples are complex enough, the application of just one-dimension chromatography leads to peak co-elution as well as overlapping and non-resolved peaks; therefore, one dimension chromatography technique is not suitable for separation of large analytes because the peak capacity of one-dimensional analysis is not large enough to achieve the complete separation with acceptable resolution.[17] In last decades, two-dimensional gas chromatography (GC x GC) and liquid chromatography (LC x LC) has been applied in analysis of complex mixture in order to increase the separation speed.[18]

In two-dimensional chromatography, the separation is carried out in two columns with different polarity connected in series by a modulator; as a consequence, the separation capacity of regular one-column in one dimensional chromatography can be considerably increased. The effluent from the first column is transferred to the second column using modulator so that the analytical information obtained (such as retention times, t_R) from the first column can be combined with that from second column, leading to a plot of two retention times.[19] Because of the excellent separation capacity of GC x GC and LC x LC combined with mass spectrometry (MS), both techniques are applied for separation all components in the complex mixtures, especially for metabolomics studies.[18] GC x GC has been widely applied for analysis of metabolites (all fatty acid types) of lard in food samples,[20] while LC x LC is typically used for analysis of peptides,[21] which can be used for identification of pork and porcine gelatines.

Chromatographic-based techniques offered reliable technique in halal authentication analysis. However, due to high number of data covered, the application of chemometrics to treat big data is unavoidable. Chemometrics can be defined as the employment of statistical and mathematical methods to obtain the objective data evaluation by extracting the relevant and meaningful information from related and unrelated responses from chemical measurements. Chemometrics or multivariate data analysis (MDA) is typically applied in numerous aspects including the quality control of halal products, qualitative and quantitative determination of chemical parameters for assessing the products authenticity.[22]

Chemometrics can provide the powerful tools in giving important information extracted from big data obtained from instrumental analyses such as methods based on spectroscopic and chromatographic. The common chemometrics techniques applied in product authentication could be grouped into exploratory data analysis, data pre-processing, description and visualization, discrimination and pattern recognition (classification), regression and prediction and experimental design.[23] Some chromatographic problems encountered during halal authentication analysis included the assessment of separation quality, the evaluation of peak alignment using pre-processing, the optimization of chromatographic systems providing the good separation of all peaks using experimental design, the accuracy of discrimination and classification using pattern recognition and quantitative analysis applying multivariate calibration. Figure 1 showed the correlation between chromatographic responses and chemometrics for certain analytical purposes. In scenario (a), peaks with good separation (good selectivity) in chromatogram was used as variable for the evaluation of compositional analysis (concentration) of analytes assisted by multivariate calibrations. In (b), certain peaks with lack selectivity was used as variable during chromatographic profiling of objects (samples) using discrete datasets (peak area or peak height), while in scenario (c), whole datasets in chromatograms were used as variables during chromatographic fingerprinting of objects. Indeed, the chemometrics of pre-processing was widely applied to obtain the desired analytical modeling.



Figure 1. Three different scenarios (a, b, and c) of chemometrics applications employing the chromatograms as variable for obtaining the analytical purposes (classification of halal and non-halal products as well as prediction the levels of non-halal components in the products). Adapted from Ref.[24].

The classification chemometrics was typically carried using (1) exploratory data analysis including principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis (hierarchical cluster analysis and nonhierarchical such as k-means and k-medians), and this technique is typically called as unsupervised pattern recognition and (2) classification and discrimination methods known supervised pattern recognition. There are two types of classification chemometrics methods regardless of the statistical background. The first type is typically employed to assess to which of various predefined classes of samples (objects). The example of this technique is linear discriminant analysis (LDA), orthogonal projection to latent structures – discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA), k-nearest neighbors (KNN) and many others. The second type of classification chemometrics is called as class modeling or one class classifier (OCC) and the example for this group data driven soft independent modeling of class analogy (DD-SIMCA) and Unequal Class-Modeling (UNEQ).[25] Using these chemometrics, someone can answer the question: is the meat belong to pork (non-halal) or beef (halal)? or the question: is the meatball authentic or adulterated?.[26,27]

Analysis of non halal components using liquid chromatography

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using certain detectors have been widely applied for analysis of specific components in non-halal components. HPLC using fluorescence detector has been successfully used for analysis of hydroxyproline and other amino acids in gelatin and collagen samples as initial screening for identification of gelatin types. Hydroxyproline has been known as signature amino acid for gelatin and collagen. The level of hydroxyproline is typically higher in the gelatin samples than that in the collagen samples, except for the samples of fish skin gelatin, and this result could be used as screening tools for identification of non-halal gelatin and collagen in the analyzed samples.[28]

There are three approaches to detect and to identify the presence of non-halal components in food products using chromatographic based methods. The first approach is based on searching the specific markers through analysis of the separated specific components. Indeed, the availability of reference standards is a must. The second approach is used fingerprinting profiles in which the chromatogram profiles of samples with and without non-halal components are compared and evaluated. The third approach involved metabolomics studies either targeting and untargeted techniques by analysis of all metabolites in the analyzed samples. The second and third approaches involved the large datasets; therefore, the chemometrics is employed to perform the analytical tasks (discrimination, classification, etc.) [29]

Table 1 listed the application of HPLC and LC-MS/MS for analysis of halal components in the products. Liquid chromatography using fluorescence detector was also successfully applied for analysis of amino acid (AA) composition non-halal (porcine) and halal (bovine and fish) gelatins. The classification between halal and non-halal gelatins was carried using PCA applying amino acid compositions as variable. AAs with strong fluorescence (Hyp, His, Ser, Arg, Gly, Thr, Pro, Tyr, Met, Val, Leu and Phe) contribute to the classification and become the biomarkers to identify the gelatine sources.[44] Gelatin from three mammalian species including bovine gelatin, porcine gelatin, and donkey gelatin has been successfully identified using liquid chromatography-linear ion-trap high resolution mass spectrometry. Hemoglobin was just found in donkey gelatin. The unique peptide obtained from donkey, bovine and porcine gelatin was GEAGPAGPAGPIGPVGAR, GETGPAGPAGPIGPVGAR and GETGPAGPAGPVGPVGAR, respectively. The unique peptides could be detected either in individual gelatin or in the mixtures of three mammalian gelatins.[45]

Liquid chromatography especially combined with mass spectrometer tandem mass spectrometer (LC/MS-MS) is widely applied for identification of non-halal component in food products including porcine gelatin and pork. Gel-enhanced liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (GeLCMS) in combination with chemometrics of PCA has been developed for identification of potential protein markers in pork and other meats along with its classification. The myofibrillar protein with weight of 40-kDa such as troponin T, Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain and actin cytoplasmic 1 as well as the thin filament proteins such as actin, troponin and Tropomyosin had molecular weights ranging from 40 to 45 kDa could be used as markers for differentiation of pork from chicken and beef. PCA using PC1 and PC2 accounting of 62% and 35% variances could classify meat types. From MS studies, the potential protein markers for pork meat samples are Troponin T with peptide sequences of [(R)KPLNIDHLSEDK(L)], Tropomyosin alpha-1 chain [(K)EAETRAEFAER(S)], Actin cytoplasmic 1 [(R)HQGVMVGMGQK(D)], COP9 signalosome complex subunit 4 [(R)VLDYRR(K)] and Ribonuclease inhibitor [(R)VLGQGLADSACQLETLR(L)].[46]

The identification of potential biomarkers of gelatin from several sources could be performed using UPLC-MS/MS. Samples used were gelatin from pig, cow, chicken and fish. After the extraction process of proteins from gelatin, proteins were then digested using proteomic grade trypsin for 12 h to obtain peptides. Chemometrics of PCA was used to differentiate partial hydrolysis of gelatin from cow and pig. Result from PCA score plot showed that the sample of cow and pig obtained from digestion process could be well separated. For identification of potential biomarkers from pig, cow, fish and chicken gelatin, PCA employing MPP (Mass Profiler Professional) was applied. Results showed that three unique peptides found only in pig gelatin, seven unique peptides found in bovine/cow gelatin, 22 peptides found only in chicken gelatin and only 1 unique peptide found in fish gelatin. The developed method was also successfully applied to identify species origin of commercial gelatin samples. It indicated that UPLC-MS/MS offers a powerful analytical technique to identify gelatin from different species in food products.[47]

Targeted tandem liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) using decoy, randomized and concatenated database search program comprising MS-Fit and MS-Tag in combination with chemometrics of principal component analysis and orthogonal partial least square-discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) was applied for identification of potential peptide markers in non-halal meat (pork) and halal meats (chicken and beef). The peptide markers which are specific to certain species

Table 1. The application of liquid chromatography (HPLC and LC-MS/MS) for analysis of halal components in the food and pharmaceutical products.

Methods	Issues	Results	References
HPLC-UV detection	Identification of pork in meatball products	HPLC-UV in combination with PCA could classify meatballs containing pork and beef in the products using variable of hydrolysis of Triacylglycerols (TAGs). However, the authors did not mention which TAG markers contribute to this classification	[30]
HPLC-Fluorescence detector	Identification of pork through amino acid composition	HPLC using fluorescence detector has been successfully applied for differentiation of pork and other animal meats based on analysis of derivatized amino acids with ortho-phthalaldehyde. The amino acid VAL can be identified as marker for differentiating pork from the other meats studied (beef, chicken mutton, and chevon)	[31]
HPLC-Fluorescence detector	Detection of pig collagen using D, L-amino acids	Pre column derivatization using R (-)-4-(3-isothiocyanato pyrrolidin-1-yl)-7-(*N*, *N*-dimethylamino sulfonyl)-2,1,3-benzoxadiazole [R (-)-DBD-PyNCS] could be used to determine D, L-amino acids in pork collagen. Three amino acids of D-Asp, D-Pro, and D-Hyp were first detected in pork collagen samples	[32]
LC-MS/MS with multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)	Detection of Horse and Pork in Halal Beef	Biomarker peptides were successfully identified by a shotgun proteomic approach using tryptic digests of protein extracts. Pork was identified by peptide markers: TLAFLFAER (from myosin-4) and SALAHAVQSSR (from myosin-1 and myosin-4). The detection limit is 0.55% horse or pork in a beef matrix	[33]
HPLC–MS/MS with MRM	Detection of pork in highly processed food by analysis of specific tryptic marker peptides	HPLC-MS/MS using MRM has been successfully applied for analysis of pork in some processed food products (cooking, frying and baking) based on peptide markers which are specific for pork. The peptide markers of pork identified based on MRM experiment were: marker 1 (YDIINLR) markers 2 (TLAFLFAER) and 3 (SALAHAVQSSR)	[34]
LC-MS/MS	Differentiation of porcine gelatine and bovine gelatine	LC-MS/MS in combination with exploratory data analysis of PCA could discriminate porcine and bovine gelatines. Based on loading plot PCA, peptides appearing in retention time (t_R) 32 min could be identified as peptide markers	[35]
Nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS	Differentiation of porcine and bovine gelatin in food products	Marker peptide of bovine and porcine gelatin could be detected using nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS based data dependent technique in yogurt, cheese, and ice cream. The method could be used to detect bovine and porcine gelatin in the mixtures	[36]
Nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS	Differentiation of porcine and bovine gelatin in food products	Marker peptide of bovine and porcine gelatin could be detected using nano UPLC-Q-TOF-MS based data dependent technique in yogurt, cheese, and ice cream. The method could be used to detect bovine and porcine gelatin in the mixtures	[36]
LC-MS QTRAP	Gelatin speciation (bovine, porcine, and fish)	LC-MS in combination with PCA could differentiate bovine, porcine, and fish gelatin. PLS-DA could be used for classification of pure gelatin and adulterated gelatin (fish and bovine) with porcine gelatin using several concentration levels of porcine gelatin	[37]
LC-MS/MS	Discrimination of raw beef, pork, poultry and their mixtures	Protein of troponin I (TnI), enolase 3, L-lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), triose-phosphate isomerase (TPI), Tropomyosin 1, and carbonic anhydrase 3 could be used as potential markers to distinguish mammals and poultry	[38]

(*Continued*)

Table 1. (Continued).

Methods	Issues	Results	References
LC-Q-TOF-MS	Differentiation between dead-on arrival and normally slaughtered of poultry meat	LC-Q-TOF-MS could be used to differentiate between normally slaughtered and dead-on arrival poultry meat based on metabolic profiles analyzed using multivariate analysis. Using METLIN and analysis of chemical standards, metabolite of sphingosine was found to be potential marker for dead-on arrival poultry meat	[39]
UPLC-TOF-MS	Metabolite's differentiation of broiler chicken slaughtered using different techniques	UPLC-TOF-MS could be used to distinguish between halal slaughtering method and non-halal slaughtering method of broiler chicken based on their metabolite profiles. Non-halal slaughtered method demonstrated high amino acid and high glucose breakdown	[40]
LC-HRMS	Analysis of pork meat in meat mixtures using PRM	Five peptides of myosin were screened and used for PRM analysis using LC-Orbitrap HRMS. Peptide of KLETDISQIQGEMEDIVQEAR was found to be the most sensitive peptide with LOD value of 0.5% in meat mixtures	[41]
UPLC-MS	Detection of pork adulteration in beef using metabolomics approach	PLS-DA using metabolomics data obtained from untargeted measurement could classify pure and adulterated beef samples with pork. There was a significant difference in the metabolism of inositol, glutathione, and sphingolipid between beef and pork	[42]
LC-MS/MS	Detection of pork adulteration in meat samples using carbonic anhydrase 3 as a marker	Three peptides from carbonic anhydrase 3 were found as marker of pork (EPITVSSDQMAK, GGPLTAAYR, HDPSLLPWTASYDPGSAK). Quantification analysis could be performed using those three peptides with perfect quantitative ability and provided good correlation and recovery results	[43]

were identified through shot-gun proteomics. Potential peptide marker identified for raw pork is myosin-2 having sequence of peptide marker of (F)DFNSLE(Q). OPLS-DA using variable of identified peptides could separate halal and non-halal meats.[48]

Targeted proteomic analysis using LC-MS has been developed to investigate the heat stable protein in pork meat. Five heat treatments were applied such as (1) water bath heating at 78°C for 30 min; (2) boiling at 100°C for 30 min; (3) sterilizing at 121°C for 30 min; (4) frying using oil until golden brown color; and (5) baking at 200°C for 30 min. Protein extraction from samples was performed using buffer solution containing 2 M thiourea, 7 M urea and 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). Proteins were digested using proteomic grade trypsin added with DTT to reduce disulfide bonds and IAA for alkylation. Incubation was carried out for at least 12 h at 37°C. Result showed that seven heat-stable specific peptides of pork were found such as DQLIHNLLK from l-lactate dehydrogenase A chain, HDPSLLPWTASYDPGSAK from carbonic anhydrase 3, EPITVSSDQMAK from carbonic anhydrase 3, VNVDEVGGEALGR from hemoglobin subunit beta, HPGDFGADAQGAMSK from myoglobin, SLYSSAENEPPVPLVR from carbonic anhydrase 3 and YLEFISEAIIQVLQSK from myoglobin. Commercial samples such as Iberian dried ham, Pasteur dry sausage, import dried ham, lunch meat canned, sandwich sausage and Thuringia flavor sausage were used to identify the presence one or more pig heat-stable peptides. Results showed that the heat-stable peptides of pig could be found in various types of food products with different cooking process methods. It suggested that targeted proteomics analysis using seven heat stable peptides of pig could be used for halal authentication of food products especially meat-based food products containing pork.[49]

Analysis using LC-MS employing MRM (multiple reaction monitoring) technique was successfully used to detect heat-stable peptides in cooked meats including pork meat. Thermal treatment applied was boiling at 100°C, grilling at 150°C and grilling at 180°C. After the protein was extracted, digestion process was performed using proteomic grade trypsin. Identification of homologous protein and

potential biomarkers of pork peptide was carried out using UPLC Triple TOF-MS equipped with a C-18 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.7 μm; Waters Corporation, Taunton, MA, USA and Wexford, Ireland). The mobile phase used was water containing 0.1% formic acid (A) and acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid (B) with flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. On the other hand, MRM analysis was performed using a SCIEX ExionLC AD system (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA, USA) and an AB SCIEX QTRAP 4500 mass spectrometry system (AB SCIEX PTE. LTD., Marsiling, Singapore) equipped with a column of Waters ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 (2.1 × 50 mm, 1.7 μm). Results showed that the potential peptide biomarkers in raw pork meat found were GHHEAELTPLAQSHATK from myoglobin, FAGGNLDVLK; ADMVIEAVFEELSLK; TVLGAPEVLLGILPGAGGTQR from trifunctional enzyme subunit alpha, mitochondrial and WGDAGATYVVESTGVFTTMEK from glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. Meanwhile, the heat-stable peptide biomarkers of pork were FAGGNLDVLK and TVLGAPEVLLGILPGAGGTQR from trifunctional enzyme subunit alpha, mitochondrial as well as WGDAGATYVVESTGVFTTMEK from glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase. The MRM analysis confirmed the heat-stable peptide of pork in meat product samples. It suggested that LC-MS employing MRM method could be used as promising analytical technique for halal authentication of meat products.[50]

Application of gas chromatography for analysis of non-halal components

Table 2 listed the application of gas chromatography for analysis of halal components in the food products. GC-MS combined with chemometrics has been proposed as tools for detection of lard as adulterant in olive oil using metabolomic approach. GC separation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) was achieved using HP-5 MS nonpolar capillary column. The identification of metabolites of FAMEs was carried out using standard FAMEs and mass spectrometer detector using the WILEY 2007 library. Some FAMEs are specific, i.e., methyl behenate was only present in olive oil and methyl myristate was only detected in lard. PCA using identified FAMEs was successful for separating lard, olive oil and olive oil adulterated with lard for halal authentication study.[66]

Two-dimensional GC combined with time-of-flight mass spectrometer (GC x GC-TOF/MS) is successfully used for analysis of lard as adulterant in virgin coconut oil (VCO) through analysis of sterols. GC x GC system could perform the complete baseline separation of sterol trimethylsilyl ethers derived from cholesterol and cholestanol, which facilitate the detection of lard in VCO. Using GC x GC–TOF/MS, cholestanol trimethylsilyl ether (Cha-TME) and cholesterol trimethylsilyl ether (Che-TME) were separated into some peaks, identified as CHe_1, CHe_{bI}, CHe_{bII}, CHe_2 (Che-TME), and Cha_1, CHa_{bI}, CHa_{bII} and CHa_2 for Cha-TME. Quantification of these compounds could be used as tools for quantification of adulteration levels of lard in VCO.[20]

GC-MS coupled with headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) is successful for the analysis of volatile compounds in pork. The profiles of volatile compounds from different meats are different; therefore, the volatile compounds analyzed by GC-HS-SPME/MS could be used as fingerprinting tools for specific meats.[67] In addition, VOCs also contribute to the aroma which can be used for the discrimination tools among animal meats.[68] Analysis of VOCs is very challenging because of different factors, including the high number of volatile compounds, differences in volatility degree and the great amount of functional groups.[69] Chen et al.[70] have identified the key volatile compounds for differentiation of pork from different pig breeding. The volatile compounds contributing to the pork flavor identified during this study were 3-methyl-1-butanol, 1-nonanal, octanal, hexanal, 2-pentyl- furan, 1-penten-3-one, N-morpholinomethyl-isopropyl-sulfide, methyl butyrate, and (E,E)-2, 4-decadienal. Kosowska et al.[71] reported that some volatile compounds namely octanal, nonanal, (E,E)-2,4-decadienal, methanethiol, methional, 2-furfurylthiol, 2-metyl-3-furanthiol, 3-mercapto-2-pentanone and 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3-(2 H)- furanone are key features in cooked pork. Thus, the identification of marker volatile compounds in pork can be meaningful for pork identification during halal authentication analysis of products. GC-HS-SPME/MS and GC-MS using simultaneous distillation and extraction (SDE) are

Table 2. The application of gas chromatography (GC-FID and GC-MS) for analysis of halal components in the food and pharmaceutical products.

Methods	Issues	Results	References
GC-FID for analysis of alcohol	Determination of ethanol contents in vinegar	The maximum contents of ethanol in vinegar is 1.0%. GC-FID could determine the levels of ethanol (alcohol) in the marketed vinegar samples. The detection level of ethanol was about 0.4 mg%	[51]
GC-FID for analysis of ethanol in foods	Determination of ethanol in different processed foods and beverages	Extraction technique using aqueous extraction assisted magnetic-stirring could be used to extract ethanol from different foods and beverages. GC-FID successfully used to determine ethanol with good validity. The validated method was successfully used to determine ethanol in 108 food and beverage products	[52]
GC-MS for analysis of alcohol	Determination of alcohol in fermented black tape ketan using GC-MS	GC-MS could be used for quantitative analysis of alcohol content in fermented black tape ketan with good recovery (89%). The alcohol concentrations determined at 3, 10, 17, 24, and 31 days were 4.295, 4.23, 5.005, 4.747, and 5.344% v/v, respectively	[53]
GC-FID for analysis of lard	Differentiation of lard from other edible fats using GC-FID and chemometrics	Lard contains high amount of C18: 2*cis* and low amount of C16:0. Chemometrics of PCA and K-mean cluster analysis could differentiate lard adulteration on chicken fat and beef tallow at low concentrations (0.5%-10%)	[54]
GC-MS for analysis of pork	Analysis of fatty acids a fatty acid methyl esters of pork (non-halal meats) in sausages compared with beef sausages (halal meat)	The dominant fatty acids in pork sausage are palmitic, myristic, oleic acid, and lauric acids. While fatty acids dominating in beef sausage are palmitic, oleic, stearic and myristic acids. The chemometrics of PCA could classify sausages according to meat sources (beef and pork)	[55]
GC-MS for analysis of rat meat	Analysis of rat meat (non-halal meat) and its classification with other meats using chemometrics of PCA	Six fatty acids, i.e. myristic, palmitoleic, palmitic, linoleic, oleic and stearic acids combined with PCA could classify rat meat and other meats	[56]
Headspace GC-MS for analysis of pork	Differentiation of pork (non-halal meat) and pork sausages from beef, mutton and chicken meats	The samples were introduced into GC instrument using headspace, and volatile compounds present in the evaluated samples were separated using GC and detected by MS. The chemometrics of PCA provided good separation between pork-based sausages and halal meat-based sausages	[57]
GC-MS for analysis of lard	Analysis of lard (non-halal fat derived from adipose tissue of pig) in chocolate products	The fatty acid of 11,14-eicosadienoic acid is used as fatty acid marker for identification of lard	[58]
GC-MS-SPME for analysis of wild boar	Volatilomics analysis of non-halal (wild boar) meat ball using GC-MS-SPME and chemometrics	PLS-DA could be used to differentiate volatile compounds of halal meatball and non-halal meatball. Compounds of β-cymene, 3-methyl-butanal, and 2-pentanol were found to be potential markers for chicken meatball. Compounds of 5-ethyl-m-xylene, benzaldehyde, and 3-ethyl-2-methyl-1,3-hexadiene were associated to the potential markers of beef meatball. Compounds of pentanal, 2,6-dimethylcyclohexanone, 1-undecanol, cyclobutanol, 2,4,5-trimethyl-thiazole, and 5-ethyl-3-(3-methyl-5-phenyl pyrazol-1-yl)-1,2,4-triazol-4-amine could be used as potential markers as wild boar meatball	[59]

(*Continued*)

Table 2. (Continued).

Methods	Issues	Results	References
HS-SPME-GC-MS for analysis of minced beef and pork meat	Volatilomics analysis using HS-SPME-GC-MS combined with multivariate analysis to differentiate minced beef and pork meat	GC-MS based on volatilomics analysis and chemometrics of PCA and PLS-DA could be used to differentiate minced beef and pork meat. Heptanal, octanal, butanol, pentanol, hexanol, 1-penten-3-ol, 2-octen-1-ol, 3-hydroxy-2-butanone were associated to the potential markers of beef whereas pentanal, hexanal, decanal, nonanal, benzaldehyde, trans-2-hexenal, trans-2-heptenal could be used as potential volatile compound markers of pork meat	[60]
GC-MS for analysis of pork	Detection of pork in beef meatball using GC-MS and chemometrics	PCA using fatty acid compositions of pure beef meatball and adulterated beef meatball using pork as the variables successfully differentiate pure and adulterated beef meatball. The ratio of SFA: MUFA of pork meatball was 1.0	[61]
GC-MS for analysis of house rat	Detection of rat house in beef meatball by analysis of fat using G-CMS	The fatty acids composition of house rats were myristate (0.19 ± 0.03)%, palmitoleate (2.40 ± 0.29)%, methyl palmitate (27.65 ± 0.32)%, oleate (45.81 ± 3.25)%, and stearate (4.65 ± 0.28)%. Analysis using PCA could differentiate beef meatball and beef meatball containing rat house meat. Further analysis using PCA demonstrated that fatty acids of house rats have high similarity to chicken fatty acids	[62]
GC-MS for analysis of lard	Detection of lard in wheat biscuits using GC-MS and chemometrics	PCA using fatty acids composition could differentiate lard, wheat biscuits, and adulterated wheat biscuits with lard. PLS-DA could be used to find potential marker for differentiation. Fatty acid of C18:3n6 is suggested as potential marker to distinguish pure wheat biscuits and adulterated wheat biscuits with lard	[63]
GC-MS for analysis of dog fat	Detection of dog fat from other animal fats using GC-MS and chemometrics	Nine types of fatty acids in dog fat were found such as lauric, myristate, pentadecanoate, palmitoleate, palmitate, margarate, oleat, stearic, and arachidonic. Analysis PCA showed that dog fat is close to lard	[64]
GC-MS for analysis of rat fat	Detection of Sprague Dawley rat fat in meatball using GC-MS and chemometrics	PCA could differentiate meatball and adulterated meatball with Sprague Dawley rat meats. Further analysis revealed that the Sprague Dawley rat fat is close to beef fat	[65]

also successful for identification of volatile compounds used for the identification of cooking braised pork. There are 109 aroma compounds identified, in which aldehydes were the most predominant in number, followed by alcohols, oxygen-containing heterocyclic compounds, acids and ketones. Methanethiol was the most abundant aroma substance in SPME, while anethole was the most abundant in SDE.[72] 290

GC-HS-SPME/MS has been developed and validated as reliable analytical method for analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of minced pork meat during storage. The origin of aromatic hydrocarbons in pork was verified using migration test. Two chemometrics techniques, namely, PCA and OPLS-DA were employed for characterizing and profiling VOCs in pork meat and for identifying 295 the marker VOCs associated with the spoilage of pork. There are 41 VOCs (consisting of 10 alcohols, 7 aldehydes, 7 ketones, 6 aromatic hydrocarbons, 6 linear hydrocarbons, 2 terpenes, 1 acid, 1 ester, 1 furan) were identified during this study. The major VOCs of minced pork are aromatic hydrocarbons, alcohols,

aldehydes, linear hydrocarbons, and ketones). From loading plot study, three VOCs namely ethanol, 2,3-butanediol and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol were selected and considered as important variables in the projection values, because these VOCs contribute to the discrimination of pork with different storage times.[73] 300

Analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) as fingerprinting profiles for identification of dried pork slices from different processing stages have been done using GC coupled with ion mobility spectrometry (GC-IMS). Using LAV software, 54 peaks were selected. During this study, thirty seven VOCs were detected in the evaluated samples, in which aldehydes and alcohols accounted for the largest proportion. 1-octene-3-ol has the flavor of cooked mushroom, is important compound contributing to the VOCs of pork. This compound is considered as the autoxidation product of linoleic acid.[74] GC-MS has been employed for identification of key aroma in pork broth. The multivariate calibration of PLS is used for screening the relatively better flavor of pork broth among different stewing time and applied for assisting the quantitative analysis of VOCs using standard internal of 1,2-dichlorobenzene. From this study, the key odorants of the aroma profile of pork broth were identified namely 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2 H)- furanone, hexanal, 1-octen-3-ol, (E)-2-octenal, (E)-2-decenal, (E)-2-undecanal, (E, E)-2,4-decadienal, nonanoic acid, decanoic acid, 2-heptanone, 3-hydroxy-2- butanone, δ-decanolactone and 2-acetylpyrrole.[75] 305 310

GC-MS coupled with olfactometry (GC-MS/O) and in combination with chemometrics of PCA and PLS-DA was reported to differentiate Chinese marinated pork hocks from four different local brands. The results of PCA and PLS-DA indicated that both chemometrics using variable of VOCs could clearly separate marinated pork hocks according to its groups. There are nine odor-active compounds having the high loading capability for discrimination, namely, heptanal, nonanal, 3-carene, D-limonene, β-phellandrene, p-cymene, eugenol, 2-ethylfuran and 2-pentylfuran. This study concluded that the validated GC-MS/O offered an alternative tools for the differentiation of VOCs profile in different brands of marinated pork hocks.[76] 315 320

Analysis alcoholic compounds in products using chromatographic techniques

GC-MS is an excellent method for analysis of alcoholic compounds in foods. Park et al. have validated and reported GC-MS for the simultaneous analysis of five alcohols (methanol, ethanol, propanol, butanol and pentanol) in fermented Korean foods. The separation of alcohols was carried out using silica-based INNOWAX column (film thickness 0.25 mm, i.d. 250 mm, length 30 m) coated with poly-ethylene glycol and applying mass selective detector set to determine the specific selected ions for each alcohol. The LoD and LoQ values ranged from 0.25 to 1.16 mg/kg. The precision and accuracy of GC-MS are acceptable as indicated by intra-day and inter-day RSDs for individual alcohols of below 7%, with recovery values of 90.79–01.50%. The method is valid; therefore, the developed method is suitable for analysis of alcohols in food samples intended in Halal food authentication supporting the certification processes.[77] 325 330

Mahama et al. have applied GC with flame ionization detector (GC-FID) for analysis of alcohol (ethanol) in marketed post samples (Fruit and vegetable juices from concentrate, syrups, sauce samples, etc.) in Thailand for identification of non-halal components suspected to be present in the products. The internal standard used is n-propanol. Ethanol, internal standard and others were separated using capillary columns DB-WAXTER (Agilent Technologies, 30 m by 0.32 mm by 1.00 μm) with temperature of FID was set at 250°C. Some certification bodies have different regulation related to the maximum limits of ethanol, and the majority allowed the maximum limit is 1%. The surveillance results indicated that 1 of 24 sauce samples showed an ethanol concentration of 1.0%. Furthermore, an about of 4% of all the concentrated syrup samples exhibited a higher percentage of ethanol than that permitted for Halal products. GC-FID method using a column HP-5 (5% Phenyl 95% Methyl Siloxane) is also valid for analysis of vinegar samples from Indonesia and Saudi Arabia offering reliable technique for alcohol determination.[51] 335 340

Šorgić et al. developed gas chromatography coupled with the flame ionization detector and head-space autosampler (HSS-GC/FID) method for analyzing volatile compounds in the wine samples. The HSS-GC/FID method was developed, validated and verified for determining content of methanol, 345

higher alcohols and esters. The developed method was met the validation requirement for linearity, range, sensitivity, accuracy and precision parameters. Two grape varieties namely Merlot and Cabernet Sauvignon were analyzed. It was found that contents of the methanol were 198.0 mg/L and 150.5 mg/L, higher alcohols were 398.5 mg/L and 335.8 mg/L, ethyl acetate were 42.0 mg/L and 55.6 mg/L, and acetaldehyde were 23.3 mg/L and 16.1 mg/L for Merlot and Cabernet Sauvignon varieties, respectively. This study revealed that the higher content of methanol was influenced by type of grape used for preparation as well as maceration duration. Further evaluation wase carried out using PCA to assess the effect of genotypes variation and extraction methods on wine samples.[78]

Gas chromatography combined with PCA and cluster analysis (CA) were successfully applied in determining content of alcoholic compound in Chinese beverages. According to the study, 21 aroma components were found to be important in the aroma profiles of Chinese liquor. Among all the compounds, seven alcoholic compound including methanol, 2-butanol, 1-propanol, isobutanol, *n*-butanol, isoamylol and phenylethanol were detected by validated GC analysis method. Isoamylol, isobutanol and 1-propanol were found as the dominant alcoholic compound with the content of 800.53, 637.67 and 338.84 mg/L, respectively. The dimensionality reduction of PCA was employed in this study to statistically separated young liquor (fresh) and aged liquors. Individual plot was generated as two-dimensional visualization constructed by PC1 and PC2 with total variance of 98.27%. Further separation using CA was built using the Euclidean distance. All liquor samples were clustered into two big groups of young liquor and aged liquors. This results proved the ability of PCA and CA to successfully separate and classify the different ages Chinese liquor samples.[79]

In Indonesia, a majority Muslim country, it was stated by the government that the alcohol content (in percentage) of alcohol-containing drugs, traditional medicines, and supplements have to be declared on the label. Halal evaluation of alcohol content in noni (*Morinda citrifolia* L.) can be performed using gas chromatography method. The GC instrumentation was set as the inlet injection mode split of 2.5:1, injection temperature of 140°C, oven initial temperature FID detector of 40°C and hold for 5 min. The sample of noni herbal medicines was collected from herbal drug stores or online shops in Jakarta, Indonesia. Twenty samples were evaluated and categorized as beverages (18 samples) and herbal medicines (2 samples). It was found that 13 out of 20 samples contained alcohol in the range of 0.04%–1.07%. Unfortunately, none of them were labeled properly according to the regulation.[80]

GC-FID has been used for analysis of ethanol in foods and beverages such as tea-based, fruit-based, cheese-based, milk-based, seaweed-based, instant dried noodle, etc. Ethanol stock solution was prepared (1 mg/mL) and internal standard of 0.1% v/v 1-propanol was used for sample preparation. Sample preparation was carried out using magnetic stirring aqueous extraction. Analysis was performed out using an HP-Innowax (Agilent Technologies) column (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 μm). The sample injection volume was 1 μL using split ratio of 13:1. The developed method was validated according to the requirements of ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Validation result showed that the method had good linearity ($R^2 > 0.999$), good accuracy (recoveries of 96–105%) and good precision (RSD < 5%). The detection limit was low (0.006 mg/g). The determination of ethanol concentration was successfully applied in 108 samples of processed foods and beverages. Therefore, this method could be used as valid method for halal authentication of processed foods and beverages.[52]

GC-MS using static headspace has been applied for determination of ethanol in solid and semi-solid consumer goods such as cakes, ice creams, sauces and powders. Sample preparation was carried out using mechanical homogenization and aqueous dilution of the products. Subsequently, the sample was analyzed using headspace GC-MS. Separation of analytes was performed using a capillary column DB-624 (30 m x 0.25 mm x 1.4 μm) and sample was injected in split mode employing ratio of 1:200. Identification and quantification of ethanol and ethanol-d6 was performed at scan range of 29–250 m/z with a rate of 6.1 scans/s. Result showed that the developed method was specific to detect ethanol and ethanol-d6 at the retention time of 2.65 and 2.61, respectively. The method demonstrated good linearity at the concentration range of 0.1%–2.0% v/v showed by its high R^2 value (>0.998). Additionally, good accuracy as well as good precision was obtained. The accuracy was represented

by recoveries value (average recoveries of 99.7%). The precision was demonstrated by its lower RSD value (<1.5%). From the above results, it suggested that headspace GC-MS could be used for identification and quantification of ethanol in a various solid and semi solid food products for halal authentication.[81]

Identification of ethanol using headspace GC-MS has also been applied in Kombucha products. Kombucha is one of fermented beverages consist of sugar, tea, a symbiotic of bacteria and yeast which is commonly known as nonalcoholic beverage. The United States and Canada state that the content of alcoholic compounds in product must be <0.5% and <1.1% alcohol by volume, respectively, to be categorized as nonalcoholic drink. Propan-1-ol was used as internal standard for ethanol quantification. The condition of headspace was incubation temperature at 70°C, syringe temperature at 70°C, incubation time of 300 s, agitator speed at 500 rpm, injection volume of 500 μL and split ratio of 10:1. Analysis was performed using an Agilent J&W DB-624 UI (30 m x 0.25 mm x 1.4 μm) applying flow rate of 1.4 mL/min (constant flow). The developed method was linear ($R^2 > 0.995$) obtained at a concentration range of 0.025%-2.47%. The accuracy result was good demonstrated by its recovery value (102%) and good precision was also obtained (RSD<4%). The LOD and LOQ values were 0.0002% and 0.002%, respectively. It can be concluded that the method is suitable for identification and quantification of ethanol in Kombucha product. It indicated a rapid and easy integration of analytical method for halal authentication of Kombucha.[82]

The development of GC-MS coupled with headspace and multidimensional (heart-cut) chromatography has been successfully applied to determine ethanol content in medicinal syrups. The aim was to ensure and guarantee the safety of the syrups. Samples used for analysis consist of adult and pediatric syrups. Monitoring and quality control of ethanol content in the products were important due to the efforts of industry to reduce the ethanol content in the food and medicinal products. Sample preparation was directly performed using headspace with condition as follows: heating syringe temperature of 90°C, incubator temperature of 100°C, incubation time of 15 min at 500 rpm, sample volume of 500 μL with split mode using ratio of 1:20. Two dimensional GC analysis was carried out using GC-MS equipped with analytical column of RTX-5 capillary column (Crossbond® 5% diphenyl/ 95% dimethyl polysiloxane, 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) for the first dimension then for the second dimension used an NST 100 MS column (Carbowax polyethylene glycol, 30 m × 0.25 mm × 2.00 μm). The method was validated according to National Agency of Sanitary Surveillance (ANVISA) with validation parameters of selectivity, linearity, precision, accuracy, LOD, LOQ and robustness. Selectivity test found that isopropyl alcohol was an interfering compound of ethanol determination in syrups. Linearity assay demonstrated linear model at concentration range of 0.25% to 10.00% v/v ($R^2 > 0.999$). The developed method was sensitive enough as shown by its LOD value (0.03% v/v) and LOQ value (0.06% v/v). The precision was measured for repeatability (CV = 3.04%) and intermediate precision (CV = 3.03%). The recoveries value obtained ranged from 97.28% to 101.38% indicating good accuracy. The robustness test showed that the method remains unchanged with the small changes of several parameters. This developed method could be used as rapid and easy analytical technique for halal authentication of syrups by determining of the ethanol content.[83]

CONCLUSION

Chromatography-based method consist of liquid chromatography and gas chromatography using various detectors has been widely applied for food products authentication including halal analysis due to its advantages. The combination of chromatographic methods with chemometrics of multivariate analysis, a powerful statistical analysis to manage huge data generated from analytical measurement, could be used to identify potential markers to differentiate halal and non-halal samples. It will be very useful for the institutions which have responsibility for halal quality assurance. Chromatogram and peak separation profiles resulted as the instrument responses can be further evaluated for determination as well as quantification for halal and non-halal components in food products. Chromatographic-based methods were successfully carried out to analyze products

containing non-halal material such as pork and alcoholic compound. Combination of chromatographic-based method and chemometrics techniques with some scenarios can be applied for halal research on food products. 450

Acknowledgments

The authors thank to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, Technology and Higher Education through the scheme of Desentralisasi of PDUPT 2022 awarded to Prof. Abdul Rohman. The authors also thank to UAD Professorship Program (with a letter of agreement for the implementation of the Professorship Program No.: R3/3/SP-UAD/II/2022) for providing funds for this publication. 455

the Ministry of Education.

Disclosure statement

Q2 No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s). 460

Author contribution

Laela Hayu Nurani: Writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, funding acquisition; **Florentinus Dika Octa Riswanto**: Writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing; **Anjar Windarsih**: Writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing; **Citra Ariani Edityaningrum**: Writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing; **Any Guntarti**: Writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing; **Abdul Rahman**: Conceptualization, methodology, writing—original draft preparation, funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. 465

Q3 ## References 470

[1] Hassan, N.; Ahmad, T.; Zain, N. M. Chemical and Chemometric Methods for Halal Authentication of Gelatin: An Overview. *J. Food Sci.* 2018, *83*(12), 2903–2911. DOI: 10.1111/1750-3841.14370.

[2] Mursyidi, A. The Role of Chemical Analysis in the Halal Authentication of Food and Pharmaceutical Products. *J. Food Pharm. Sci.* 2013, *1*, 1–4.

[3] Mahama, S.; Waloh, N.; Chayutsatid, C.; Sirikwanpong, S.; Ayukhen, A.; Marnpae, M.; Nungarlee, U.; Petchareon, P.; Munaowaroh, W.; Khemtham, M., et al. Postmarket Laboratory Surveillance for Forbidden Substances in halal-certified Foods in Thailand. *J. Food Prot.*2020, *83*(1), 147–154. DOI: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-19-051. 475

[4] Ridwan, A. Authorization of Halal Certification in Indonesia, Malaysia and Singapore. *Int J Psychosoc Rehabil.* 2020, *24*(8), 7992–8011. 480

[5] Faridah, H. D. Halal Certification in Indonesia; History, Development, and Implementation. *J Halal Prod Res.* 2019, *2*(2), 68. DOI:10.20473/jhpr.vol.2-issue.2.68-78.

[6] Martuscelli, M.; Serio, A.; Capezio, O.; Mastrocola, D. Meat Products, with Particular Emphasis on Salami: A Review. *Foods*. 2020, *9*(8), 1–19. DOI: 10.3390/foods9081111.

[7] Alzeer, J.; Rieder, U.; Hadeed, K. A. Good Agricultural Practices and Its Compatibility with Halal Standards. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2020, *102*, 237–241. DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2020.02.025. 485

[8] Suryawan, A. S.; Hisano, S.; Jongerden, J. Negotiating Halal: The Role of non-religious Concerns in Shaping Halal Standards in Indonesia. *J. Rural Stud.* 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.jrurstud.2019.09.013.

[9] Alzeer, J.; Abou Hadeed, K. Ethanol and Its Halal Status in Food Industries. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2016, *58*, 14–20. DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.10.018. 490

[10] Lubis, H. N.; Mohd-Naim, N. F.; Alizul, N. N.; Ahmed, M. U. From Market to Food Plate: Current Trusted Technology and Innovations in Halal Food Analysis. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2016, *58*, 55–68. DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2016.10.024.

[11] Mostafa, M. M. A Knowledge Domain Visualization Review of Thirty Years of Halal Food Research: Themes, Trends and Knowledge Structure. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2020, *99*, 660–677. DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2020.03.022. 495

[12] Norazmi, M. N.; Lim, L. S. Halal Pharmaceutical Industry: Opportunities and Challenges. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 2015, *36*(8), 496–497. DOI: 10.1016/j.tips.2015.06.006.

[13] Huang, Y.; Li, T.; Deng, G.; Guo, S.; Zaman, F. Recent Advances in Animal Origin Identification of gelatin-based Products Using Liquid chromatography-mass Spectrometry Methods: A Mini Review. *Rev. Anal. Chem.* 2020, *39* (1), 260–271. DOI: 10.1515/revac-2020-0121. 500

[14] D'Atri, V.; Fekete, S.; Clarke, A.; Veuthey, J. L.; Guillarme, D. Recent Advances in Chromatography for Pharmaceutical Analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 2019, *91*(1), 210–239. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b05026.

[15] Mota, M. F. S.; Waktola, H. D.; Nolvachai, Y.; Marriott, P. J. Gas Chromatography – Mass Spectrometry for Characterisation, Assessment of Quality and Authentication of Seed and Vegetable Oils. *TrAC Trends Anal. Chem.* 2021, *138*, 116238. DOI: 10.1016/j.trac.2021.116238. 505

[16] Munir, M. A.; Badri, K. H. The Importance of Derivatizing Reagent in Chromatography Applications for Biogenic Amine Detection in Food and Beverages. *J. Anal. Methods Chem.* 2020, *2020*, 1–14. DOI: 10.1155/2020/5814389.

[17] Montero, L.; Herrero, M. Two-dimensional Liquid Chromatography Approaches in Foodomics – A Review. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* 2019, *1083*, 1–18. DOI: 10.1016/j.aca.2019.07.036. 510

[18] Iguiniz, M.; Heinisch, S. Two-dimensional Liquid Chromatography in Pharmaceutical Analysis. Instrumental Aspects, Trends and Applications. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 2017, *145*, 482–503. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpba.2017.07.009.

[19] Aspromonte, J.; Wolfs, K.; Adams, E. Current Application and Potential Use of GC × GC in the Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Field. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 2019, *176*, 112817. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpba.2019.112817.

[20] Xu, B.; Li, P.; Ma, F.; Wang, X.; Matthäus, B.; Chen, R.; Yang, Q.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, Q. Detection of Virgin Coconut Oil Adulteration with Animal Fats Using Quantitative Cholesterol by GC × GC-TOF/MS Analysis. *Food Chem.* 2015, *178*, 128–135. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.01.035. 515

[21] Cai, X.; Guo, Z.; Xue, X.; Xu, J.; Zhang, X.; Liang, X. Two-dimensional Liquid Chromatography Separation of Peptides Using reversed-phase/weak cation-exchange mixed-mode Column in First Dimension. *J. Chromatogr. A.* 2012, *1228*, 242–249. DOI: 10.1016/j.chroma.2011.06.042. 520

[22] Esteki, M.; Simal-Gandara, J.; Shahsavari, Z.; Zandbaaf, S.; Dashtaki, E.; Vander Heyden, Y. A Review on the Application of Chromatographic Methods, Coupled to Chemometrics, for Food Authentication. *Food Control.* 2018, *93*, 165–182. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.06.015.

[23] Yu, P.; Low, M. Y.; Zhou, W. Design of Experiments and Regression Modelling in Food Flavour and Sensory Analysis: A Review. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2018, *71*, 202–215. DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2017.11.013. 525

[24] Bosque-Sendra, J. M.; Cuadros-Rodríguez, L.; Ruiz-Samblás, C.; de la Mata, A. P. Combining Chromatography and Chemometrics for the Characterization and Authentication of Fats and Oils from Triacylglycerol Compositional data-A Review. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* 2012, *724*, 1–11. DOI: 10.1016/j.aca.2012.02.041.

[25] Marini, F. Classification Methods in Chemometrics. *Curr. Anal. Chem.* 2009, *6*(1), 72–79. DOI: 10.2174/157341110790069592. 530

[26] Kucharska-Ambrożej, K.; Karpinska, J. The Application of Spectroscopic Techniques in Combination with Chemometrics for Detection Adulteration of Some Herbs and Spices. *Microchem. J.* 2020, *153*, 104278. DOI: 10.1016/j.microc.2019.104278.

[27] Granato, D.; Putnik, P.; Kovačević, D. B.; Santos, J. S.; Calado, V.; Rocha, R. S.; Cruz, A. G. D.; Jarvis, B.; Rodionova, O. Y.; Pomerantsev, A., et al. Trends in Chemometrics: Food Authentication, Microbiology, and Effects of Processing. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 2018, *17*(3), 663–677. DOI: 10.1111/1541-4337.12341. 535

[28] Yuswan, M. H.; Nurul, N. H.; Mohamad, H.; Keso, S.; Mohamad, N. A.; Tengku, T. S.; Ismail, N. F.; Abdul Manaf, Y. N.; Mohd Hashim, A; Mohd Desa, M. N, et al. Hydroxyproline Determination for Initial Detection of halal-critical Food Ingredients (Gelatin and Collagen). *Food Chem.* 2021, *337*, 127762. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127762. 540

[29] Cuadros-Rodríguez, L.; Ruiz-Samblás, C.; Valverde-Som, L.; Pérez-Castaño, E.; González-Casado, A. Chromatographic Fingerprinting: An Innovative Approach for Food "Identitation" and Food Authentication - A Tutorial. *Anal. Chim. Acta.* 2016, *909*, 9–23. DOI: 10.1016/j.aca.2015.12.042.

[30] Ahda, M.; Guntarti, A.; Kusbandari, A.; Guntarti, A.; Kusbandari, A.; Kusbandari, A. Application of high-pressure Liquid Chromatography for Analysis of Lard in the Meatball Product Combined with Principal Component Analysis. *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* 2016, *9*(6), 120–123. DOI: 10.22159/ajpcr.2016.v9i6.13831. 545

[31] Jorfi, R; Shuhaimi, M; Che Man, Y. B; Mat Hashim, D.; Sazili, A. Q; Ebrahimi, M. Amino Acid Composition Analysis of Beef, Mutton, Chevon, Chicken and Pork by HPLC Method. 57th International Congress of Meat Science and Technology. 2011;1–4.

[32] Huang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Shi, Q.; Toyo'Oka, T.; Min, J. Z. Determination of d,l-Amino Acids in Collagen from Pig and Cod Skins by UPLC Using Pre-column Fluorescent Derivatization. *Food Anal. Methods.* 2018, *11*(11), 3130–3137. DOI: 10.1007/s12161-018-1288-9. 550

[33] Von Bargen, C.; Dojahn, J.; Waidelich, D.; Humpf, H. U.; Brockmeyer, J. New Sensitive high-performance Liquid chromatography-tandem Mass Spectrometry Method for the Detection of Horse and Pork in Halal Beef. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2013, *61*(49), 11986–11994. DOI: 10.1021/jf404121b. 555

[34] Von Bargen, C.; Brockmeyer, J.; Humpf, H. U. Meat Authentication: A New HPLC-MS/MS Based Method for the Fast and Sensitive Detection of Horse and Pork in Highly Processed Food. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2014, *62*(39), 9428–9435. DOI: 10.1021/jf503468t.

[35] Salamah, N.; Erwanto, Y.; Martono, S.; Maulana, I.; Rohman, A. Differentiation of Bovine and Porcine Gelatines Using LC-MS/MS and Chemometrics. *Int. J. Appl. Pharm.* 2019, *11*(4), 2–6. DOI: 10.22159/ijap.2019v11i4.30248. 560

[36] ~~Yilmaz, M. T.; Kesmen, Z.; Baykal, B.; Sagdic, O.; Kacar, O.; Yetim, H.; Yetim, H.; Baykal, A. T., et al.~~ A Novel Method to Differentiate Bovine and Porcine Gelatins in Food Products: NanoUPLC-ESI-Q-TOF-MSE Based Data Independent Acquisition Technique to Detect Marker Peptides in Gelatin. *Food Chem.* 2013, *141*(3), 2450–2458. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.05.096.

[37] Jannat, B.; Ghorbani, K.; Shafieyan, H.; Kouchaki, S.; Behfar, A.; Sadeghi, N.; Beyramysoltan, S.; Rabbani, F.; Dashtifard, S.; Sadeghi, M., et al. Gelatin Speciation Using real-time PCR and Analysis of Mass spectrometry-based Proteomics Datasets. *Food Control.* 2018, *87*, 79–87. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2017.12.006.

[38] Kim, G. D.; Seo, J. K.; Yum, H. W.; Jeong, J. Y.; Yang, H. S. Protein Markers for Discrimination of Meat Species in Raw Beef, Pork and Poultry and Their Mixtures. *Food Chem.* 2017, *217*, 163–170. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.08.100.

[39] Sidwick, K. L.; Johnson, A. E.; Adam, C. D.; Pereira, L.; Thompson, D. F. Use of Liquid Chromatography Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry and Metabonomic Profiling to Differentiate between Normally Slaughtered and Dead on Arrival Poultry Meat. *Anal. Chem.* 2017, *89*(22), 12131–12136. DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.7b02749.

[40] Ali, N. S. M.; Zabidi, A. R.; Manap, M. N. A.; Smsns, Z.; Yahaya, N. Effect of Different Slaughtering Methods on Metabolites of Broiler Chickens Using Ultra high-performance Liquid chromatography-time of flight-mass Spectrometry (UHPLC-TOF-MS). *Food Res.* 2020, *4*(S1), 33–138. DOI: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(s1).s06.

[41] Pan XD, Chen J, Chen Q, Huang BF, Han JL. Authentication of Pork in Meat Mixtures Using PRM Mass Spectrometry of Myosin Peptides. *RSC Adv*. 2018;*8*:11157–11162.

[42] ~~Trivedi, D. K.; Hollywood, K. A.; Rattray, N. J. W.; Ward, H.; Trivedi, D. K.; Greenwood, J., et al.~~ Meat, the Metabolites: An Integrated Metabolite Profiling and Lipidomics Approach for the Detection of the Adulteration of Beef with Pork. *Analyst.*2016, *141*(7), 2155–2164. DOI: 10.1039/c6an00108d.

[43] Li, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Kang, C.; Zhao, W.; Li, S.; Wang, S. Assessment of Carbonic Anhydrase 3 as a Marker for Meat Authenticity and Performance of LC-MS/MS for Pork Content. *Food Chem.* 2021, *342*, 128240. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128240.

[44] ~~Ismail, A. M.; Sani, M. S. A.; Azid, A.; Zaki, N. N. M.; Arshad, S.; Tukiran, N. A., et al.~~ Food Forensics on Gelatine Source via ultra-high-performance Liquid Chromatography diode-array Detector and Principal Component Analysis. *SN Appl. Sci.* 2021, *3*(1), 79. DOI: 10.1007/s42452-020-04061-7.

[45] ~~Sha, X. M.; Zhang, L. J.; Tu, Z. C.; Zhang, L. Z.; Hu, Z. Z.; Li, Z., et al.~~ The Identification of Three Mammalian Gelatins by Liquid chromatography-high Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 2018, *89*, 74–86. DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.10.001.

[46] ~~Yuswan, M. H; Aizat, W. M.; Desa, M. N. M.; Hashim, A. M.; Rahim, N. A.; Mustafa, S.; Mohamed, R.; Lamasudin, D. U., et al.~~ Improved gel-enhanced Liquid chromatography-mass Spectrometry by Chemometrics for Halal Proteomics. *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.* 2019, *192*, 103825. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemolab.2019.103825.

[47] Ward, S.; Powles, N. T.; Page, M. I. Peptide Biomarkers for Identifying the Species Origin of Gelatin Using Coupled UPLC-MS/MS. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2018, *73*, 83–90. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfca.2018.08.002.

[48] ~~Yuswan, M. H.; Aizat, W. M.; Lokman, A. A.; Desa, M. N. M.; Mustafa, S.; Junoh, N. M., et al.~~ Chemometrics-Assisted Shotgun Proteomics for Establishment of Potential Peptide Markers of Non-Halal Pork (Sus Scrofa) among Halal Beef and Chicken. *Food Anal. Methods.*2018, *11*(12), 3505–3515. DOI: 10.1007/s12161-018-1327-6.

[49] Li, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Li, H.; Zhao, W.; Guo, W.; Wang, S. Simultaneous Determination of Heat Stable Peptides for Eight Animal and Plant Species in Meat Products Using UPLC-MS/MS Method. *Food Chem.* 2018, *245*, 125–131. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.066.

[50] ~~Wang, G. J.; Zhou, G. Y.; Ren, H. W.; Xu, Y.; Yang, Y.; Guo, L. H., et al.~~ Peptide Biomarkers Identified by LC–MS in Processed Meats of Five Animal Species. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2018, *73*, 47–54. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfca.2018.07.004.

[51] Pulungan, I. N. R.; Kartosentono, S.; Prawita, A. Validation Gas Chromatography-Fid Method for Analysis of Ethanol Content in Vinegar. *J Halal Prod Res*. 2018, *1*(2), 22. DOI:10.20473/jhpr.vol.1-issue.2.22-31.

[52] Mansur, A. R.; Oh, J.; Lee, H. S.; Oh, S. Y. Determination of Ethanol in Foods and Beverages by Magnetic stirring-assisted Aqueous Extraction Coupled with GC-FID: A Validated Method for Halal Verification. *Food Chem.* 2022, *366*, 130526. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.130526.

[53] Muchtaridi, M.; Musfiroh, I.; Hambali, N. N.; Indrayati, W. Determination of Alcohol Contents of Fermentated Black Tape Ketan Based on Different Fermentation Time Using Specific Gravity, Refractive Index and GC-MS Methods. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. Food Sci.* 2012, *2*(3), 933–946.

[54] Dahimi, O.; Hassan, M. S.; Rahim, A. A.; Abdulkarim, S. M.; A, S. M. Differentiation of Lard from Other Edible Fats by Gas chromatography-flame Ionisation Detector (GC-FID) and Chemometrics. *J. Food Pharm. Sci.* 2014, *2*, 27–31.

[55] Guntarti, A.; Ahda, M.; Kusbandari, A. Determining Fatty Acids and Halal Authentication of Sausage. *Food Res.* 2020, *4*(2), 495–499. DOI: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(2).261.

[56] Guntarti, A.; Gandjar, I. G.; Jannah, N. M. Authentication of Wistar Rat Fats with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry Combined by Chemometrics. *Potravin Slovak J Food Sci*. 2020, *14*, 52–57. DOI: 10.5219/1229.

[57] Nurjuliana, M.; Che Man, Y. B.; Mat Hashim, D.; Mohamed, A. K. S. Rapid Identification of Pork for Halal Authentication Using the Electronic Nose and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometer with Headspace Analyzer. *Meat Sci.* 2011, *88*(4), 638–644. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.02.022.

[58] Rahayu, W. S.; Sundhani, E.; Saputri, S. D. The Use of Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) for Halal Authentication in Imported Chocolate with Various Variants. *J. Food Pharm. Sci.* 2014, *3*, 6–11.

[59] Pranata, A. W.; Yuliana, N. D.; Amalia, L.; Darmawan, N. Volatilomics for Halal and non-halal Meatball Authentication Using solid-phase microextraction–gas chromatography–mass Spectrometry. *Arab. J. Chem.* 2021, *14*(5), 103146. DOI: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2021.103146.

[60] Pavlidis, D. E.; Mallouchos, A.; Ercolini, D.; Panagou, E. Z.; Nychas, G. J. E. A Volatilomics Approach for off-line Discrimination of Minced Beef and Pork Meat and Their Admixture Using HS-SPME GC/MS in Tandem with Multivariate Data Analysis. *Meat Sci.* 2019, *151*, 43–53. DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2019.01.003.

[61] Ahda, M.; Guntarti, A.; Kusbandari, A.; Melianto, Y. Halal Food Analysis Using GC-MS Combined with Principal Component Analysis (Pca) Based on Saturated and Unsaturated Fatty Acid Composition. *Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol.* 2021, *43*(2), 352–355.

[62] Salamah, N.; Guntarti, A.; Ayu Lestari, P.; Gholib Gandjar, I. Fat Analysis of House Rat (Rattus Tanezumi) in Meatball Using Gas chromatography-mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) Combined with Principal Component Analysis. 2022, *Indones J Pharm.* DOI: 10.22146/ijp.1781.

[63] ~~Azizan, N. I.; Mokhtar, N. F. K.; Arshad, S.; Sharin, S. N.; Mohamad, N.; Mustafa, S.; Hashim, A. M., et al.~~ Detection of Lard Adulteration in Wheat Biscuits Using Chemometrics-Assisted GCMS and Random Forest. *Food Anal. Methods*.2021, *14*(11), 2276–2287. DOI: 10.1007/s12161-021-02046-9.

[64] Guntarti, A. Authentication of Dog Fat with Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy Combined with Chemometrics. *Int. J. Chem.* 2018, *10*(4), 124 DOI: 10.5539/ijc.v10n4p124.

[65] Guntarti, A.; Ningrum, K. P.; Gandjar, I. G.; Salamah, N. Authentication of Sprague Dawley Rats (*Rattus Norvegicus*) Fat with GC-MS (Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry) Combined with Chemometrics. *Int. J. Appl. Pharm.* 2021, *13*(2), 1–6. DOI: 10.22159/jap.2021v13i2.40130.

[66] Heidari, M.; Talebpour, Z.; Abdollahpour, Z.; Adib, N.; Ghanavi, Z.; Aboul-Enein, H. Y. Discrimination between Vegetable Oil and Animal Fat by a Metabolomics Approach Using Gas chromatography–mass Spectrometry Combined with Chemometrics. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2020, *57*(9), 3415–3425. DOI: 10.1007/s13197-020-04375-9.

[67] Gardner, K.; Legako, J. F. Volatile Flavor Compounds Vary by Beef Product Type and Degree of Doneness. *J. Anim. Sci.* 2018, *96*(10), 4238–4250. DOI: 10.1093/jas/sky287.

[68] ~~Pu, D.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Sun, B.; Ren, F.; Chen, H.; Tang, Y., et al.~~ Characterization of the Key Aroma Compounds in Traditional Hunan smoke-cured Pork Leg (Larou, THSL) by Aroma Extract Dilution Analysis (AEDA), Odor Activity Value (OAV), and Sensory Evaluation Experiments. *Foods*.2020, *9*(4), 1–16. DOI: 10.3390/foods9040413.

[69] Narváez-Rivas, M.; Gallardo, E.; León-Camacho, M. Analysis of Volatile Compounds from Iberian Hams: A Review. *Grasas y Aceites.* 2012, *63*(4), 432–454. DOI: 10.3989/gya.070112.

[70] Chen, G.; Su, Y.; He, L.; Wu, H.; Shui, S. Analysis of Volatile Compounds in Pork from Four Different Pig Breeds Using Headspace solid-phase micro-extraction/gas chromatography–mass Spectrometry. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2019, *7*(4), 1261–1273. DOI: 10.1002/fsn3.955.

[71] Kosowska, M.; Majcher, M. A.; Fortuna, T. Volatile Compounds in Meat and Meat Products. *Food Sci. Technol.* 2017, *37*(1), 1–7. DOI: 10.1590/1678-457X.08416.

[72] Song, S.; Fan, L.; Xu, X.; Xu, R.; Jia, Q.; Feng, T. Aroma Patterns Characterization of Braised Pork Obtained from a Novel Ingredient by sensory-guided Analysis and gas-chromatography-olfactometry. *Foods.* 2019, *8*(3), 87. DOI: 10.3390/foods8030087.

[73] Song, X.; Canellas, E.; Nerin, C. Screening of Volatile Decay Markers of Minced Pork by headspace-solid Phase microextraction–gas chromatography–mass Spectrometry and Chemometrics. *Food Chem.* 2021, *342*, 128341. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128341.

[74] Chen, M.; Chen, T.; Qi, X.; Lu, D.; Chen, B. Analyzing Changes of Volatile Components in Dried Pork Slice by Gas chromatography-ion Mobility Spectroscopy. *CyTA - J. Food.* 2020, *18*(1), 328–335. DOI: 10.1080/19476337.2020.1752805.

[75] Chang, Y.; Wang, S.; Chen, H.; Zhang, N.; Sun, J. Characterization of the Key Aroma Compounds in Pork Broth by sensory-directed Flavor Analysis. *J. Food Sci.* 2021, *86*(11), 4932–4945. DOI: 10.1111/1750-3841.15937.

[76] Han, D.; Mi, S.; Zhang, C. H.; Li, J.; Song, H. L.; Fauconnier, M. L.; Tyteca, E. Characterization and Discrimination of Chinese Marinated Pork Hocks by Volatile Compound Profiling Using Solid Phase Microextraction Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry/olfactometry, Electronic Nose and Chemometrics. *Molecules.* 2019, *24*(7), 1385. DOI: 10.3390/molecules24071385.

[77] Park, S.; Kim, J. C.; Lee, H. S.; Jeong, S. W.; Shim, Y. S. Determination of Five Alcohol Compounds in Fermented Korean Foods via Simple Liquid Extraction with dimethyl-sulfoxide Followed by Gas chromatography-mass Spectrometry for Halal Food Certification. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 2016, *74*, 563–570. DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2016.08.030.

[78] Šorgić, S.; Ignjatović, I. S.; Antić, M.; Šaćirović, S.; Pezo, L.; Čejić, V.; Đurović, S. Monitoring of the Wines' Quality by Gas Chromatography: HSS-GC/FID Method Development, Validation, Verification, for Analysis of Volatile Compounds. *Fermentation*. 2022, *8*(2), 38. DOI: 10.3390/fermentation8020038.
[79] Xu, M. L.; Yu, Y.; Ramaswamy, H. S.; Zhu, S. M.; Wang, Z.; Tamada, K.; Takumi, T.; Hashimoto, R.; Otani, H.; Pazour, G. J. Characterization of Chinese Liquor Aroma Components during Aging Process and Liquor Age Discrimination Using Gas Chromatography Combined with Multivariable Statistics. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 1–9. DOI: 10.1038/srep39671. 685
[80] Qomariyah, R. S.; Roswiem, A. P.; Suseno, D. Analysis of Alcohol Content in A Herbal Medicine of Noni Using Gas Chromatography Method. *Int J Halal Res.* 2021, *3*(1), 1–7. 690
[81] Sours, R. E.; Bezabeh, D. Z. A Static Headspace GC–MS Method for the Determination of Ethanol in Solid or semi-solid Consumer Goods. *Food Anal. Methods*. 2021, *14*(12), 2569–2575. DOI: 10.1007/s12161-021-02090-5.
[82] Chan, M.; Sy, H.; Finley, J.; Robertson, J.; Brown, P. N. Determination of Ethanol Content in Kombucha Using Headspace Gas Chromatography with Mass Spectrometry Detection: Single-laboratory Validation. *J. AOAC Int.* 2021, *104*(1), 122–128. DOI: 10.1093/jaoacint/qsaa094. 695
[83] Batista, L. R.; Antoniosi Filho, N. R. Ethanol Content Determination in Medicine Syrups Using Headspace and Multidimensional heart-cut Gas Chromatography Coupled to Mass Spectrometry. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* 2020, *31*(2), 394–401. DOI: 10.21577/0103-5053.20190193.