

# HASIL CEK\_Santoso\_reflection, politeness strategies, Javanese values, dialogues, speech event.

*by Dwi Santoso Empan Papan 'agree With The Setting And Speech Eve*

---

**Submission date:** 06-Jul-2022 02:26PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1867225653

**File name:** Empan\_Papan\_agree\_Dwi\_Santoso.pdf (311.99K)

**Word count:** 2712

**Character count:** 14332



## EMPAN PAPAN 'AGREE WITH THE SETTING AND SPEECH EVENT' AS REFLECTED IN THE POLITENESS STRATEGIES USED IN THE PARLIAMENT OF YOGYAKARTA

Dwi Santoso

Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

E-mail: [dwiuad@gmail.com](mailto:dwiuad@gmail.com)

Article History: Received on 10<sup>th</sup> February 2019, Revised on 5<sup>th</sup> April 2019, Published on 22<sup>nd</sup> April 2019

### Abstract

**Purpose:** The aim of this study is to investigate the reflection of one of the Javanese values called *empanpapan* 'agree with the setting and speech event' in the dialogues used by the MPs of Yogyakarta Provincial Parliament.

**Methodology:** The subjects of this study are all the MPs attending the *RapatKerja* 'Working Meetings' in a certain period. To see the reflection of this Javanese value, the writer applies to play back interviews. Passive participatory, video recordings and field notes are also conducted so as to support the findings.

**Results:** The results show the reflection of *empanpapan* "agree with the setting and speech event" in the politeness strategies used in the meetings of Yogyakarta Provincial Parliaments.

**Implications:** Thus, the analysis shows that the reflection of *empanpapan* 'agree with the setting and speech event' can be seen in the use of *Ngoko* 'low Javanese', indirect strategies, and pronoun *kita* 'we (incl)' instead of *saya* 'I' and *kami* 'we (excl)'.  
*Keywords: reflection, politeness strategies, Javanese values, dialogues, speech event.*

### INTRODUCTION

In the reformation era, it seems that there have been changes in the use of languages in the Indonesian Parliaments which tends to be free, expressing the ideas without considering others' feeling. They seem to ignore the rules and regulation stated by the institution which suggest them to use the language appropriately and politely. These phenomena appear to be different from Soeharto's era where most of the politicians obeyed the rules and regulation. Failing to do so, they will be regarded as betrayers and have serious punishment from the government. (Jenaabadi and Issazadegan, 2014) says that In the Indonesian parliament during the *OrdeBaru* however, the government often forced elected members of parliament to vacate their mandate with the so-called recall mechanism. In such case, a faction dismissed (recalled) - under pressure from the government - legislators who were judged as too critical and replaced them with more loyal Suharto supporters" (Gordillo and Godino, 2014; Ribera and Jimenez-Jimenez, 2018; Ziegenhein, 2008).

Given the phenomena of the language used in the Indonesian Parliament after Soeharto era, the writer is just wondering whether or not the same phenomena also occur in Yogyakarta Provincial Parliament, in which it has been characterized by the Members (hereinafter referred to as MPs) who mostly speak politely, following some Javanese values which guide them to use the language in more appropriate ways. More specifically, the writer is interested to seek the reflection of *empanpapan* 'agree with the setting and speech event') in the politeness strategies used by the MPs of Yogyakarta Provincial Parliament.

### RELATED THEORIES

#### Politeness in Javanese

One of the classic studies in Javanese politeness that is still referred to by some researchers on linguistic politeness in Indonesian society is a paper written by (Fateminasab, 2014; Poedjosoedarmo, 2009) on 'Language Propriety in Javanese' in which it gives a more brief discussion on the principle of politeness in Javanese, which is actually an extension of his paper entitled 'Language Etiquette in Indonesian' (Muyambiri and Chabaefe, 2018; Poedjosoedarmo, 1978). Although the idea is inspired by maxims, politeness principles, and politeness strategies as proposed by Grice, 1967; Leech, 1983, P1983; Brown and Levinson, 1987, his theory is particularly appropriate for Javanese people. He further states that:

"Different from the situation in Europe or America where the normal individuals are monolinguals, most Javanese people



are now bilinguals, speaking Javanese and Indonesian. Besides, the Javanese language makes use of distinct speech levels, which means distinct speech codes, hence reflect a slightly different practice of language propriety from those adhered to by most of the Europeans and the Americans” (Fateminasab, 2014; Poedjosoedarmo, 2009).

Given that, (Fateminasab, 2014; Poedjosoedarmo, 2009) proposes six general principles of politeness norms that are thought to be appropriate if applied to Javanese people. One of them *empanpapan* ‘agree with the setting and speech event’

### ***Empanpapan* ‘agree with the setting and speech event’**

In Javanese, it is important for people to establish *rukun* ‘social harmony’ in their social conduct. In this regard, (Fateminasab, 2014; Poedjosoedarmo, 2009) suggests that one of the Javanese principles to establish *rukun* ‘social harmony’ is *empanpapan* ‘agree with the setting and speech event’. The speakers applying *empanpapan* are supposed to choose the topic suitable for the situation and objective of the discussion. They are not supposed to discuss the personal matter in public as it can make the intended interlocutors feel embarrassed. For example, one is not supposed to collect a debt from an addressee while attending a wedding party, or during a funeral ceremony. One is not supposed to talk about a terrible disease during dinner. In short, one is not supposed to talk about something that is not suitable for speech events. Otherwise, s/he will be considered as someone who is *benyunjuk-benyunjuk* ‘intrusive, repulsive’, *mangkelke* ‘annoying’, *njelehi* ‘boring’ or *mbocahi* ‘childish’. As an interlocutor, s/he is also supposed to respond to a topic similar or relevant to the one delivered by the speaker. By so doing, s/he will be considered as the one that is *nyambung* ‘relates to or connects with’ the topic being discussed. He also argues that the principle of *empanpapan* is to some degree comparable to (Hymes, 1964) acronym SPEAKING (setting, participants, ends, acts sequences, keys, instrumentalities, norms, and genres).

(Mulder, 1992) maintains that *rukun* ‘social harmony’ is highly preserved and prioritized by the Javanese people. One of the Javanese principles to create *rukun* ‘social harmony’ is *empanpapan* ‘know one’s place, or conduct the right behavior in the right place’, which requires the people to know their place or position relative to others and behave accordingly. Otherwise, they will be given an impression as the under civilized and uneducated.

A similar expression related to *EmpanPapan* is also proposed by (Ngadiman, 1998) as cited in (Zaid, 1999). He suggests that *empanpapanis* almost similar to *angonmangsaangonbásá*. *Angon* means to have regard for; *mangsa* means circumstances, situation, time; and *básá* means language and manner. The idea of the principle *angonmangsaangonbásá* is that one is supposed to consider when, where, and how something is to be communicated. For example, if giving instruction the speaker of higher position is supposed to apply indirect strategies to the interlocutors of lower position.

## **METHOD**

### **Subjects**

The subjects of the study were selected from all the participants attending the *Rapat Kerja* “Working Meeting” from September 2012 to January 2014. It consists of 55 active Members of Parliament (including the Chairs) of Yogyakarta’s Provincial Parliament from 2009-2014 periods.

### **Investigative Instruments**

The writer has applied a triangulation approach to collect the data: 1) a passive participatory observation, 2) field-note, 3) documentation, 4) video recording, and 5) playback interviews. The rationales to use multiple sources to gather the data is to obtain rich data and to seek convergence and support for the study. Besides, I try to avoid getting the result which may create potential bias due to the use of a single method and source. (Bowen, 2009; Mendes and daSilva, 2018) says: “By triangulating data, the researcher attempts to provide “a confluence of evidence that breeds credibility”. Also, (Q, 1990) says that triangulation helps the researcher guard against the accusation that a study’s findings are simply an artifact of a single method, a single source, or a single investigator’s bias”.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this section, the writers show the reflection of *empanpapan* “agree with the setting and speech event’ in the politeness strategies used in the meetings of Yogyakarta Provincial Parliaments.

### ***Empanpapan* ‘agree with the setting and speech event’**

In the context of Yogyakarta’s Provincial Parliament, the practice of *empanpapan* can be seen in the polite language used by the participants, they are 1) code mixing from Indonesian to *Ngoko* ‘low Javanese’ despite the Indonesian as the official



language, 2) indirect strategies, and pronoun *kita* 'we (incl)' instead of *saya* 'I' and *kami* 'we (excl)'. The following video excerpts display some practices of *empanapan* by the Chair and the MPs.

Table 1: Video Excerpt: An MP suggesting to all participants

Participants	Line	Original Language	English (Translated)
Mr.Arifi Harman (FPKS)	1-	Mengko iku diletakan	Later it is placed.
	2-	dan ada pembatasan waktu,	and the time is limited, and then
	3-	kemudian setelah	after that, we (incl) had better
	4-	pembatasan waktu itu,	do the discussion proposed by each
	5-	apike kita melakukan	Faction.
	6-	pembahasan apa yang	Well the next,will we divide until
	7-	menjadi diusulkan dari	it finishes,
	8-	masing-masing fraksi.	If (I) follow Mr.Barja's language,
	9	Nah baru kemudian apakah	What about him,Mr.Barja <u>has been</u>
	10	kedepannya nanti kita	<u>what (is it),</u>
	11	akan bagi habis, kalau	<u>In short (it is) like that,</u>
	12	bahasa Pak Barja,	He (Mr.Barja) has just been out...
	13	piye toh kae, Pak Barjane	
	14	wis anu,	
	15	wis pokoke begitu,	
	16	Bellau baru keluar...	

Table.2: Video Excerpt: The chair suggesting MP

Participants	Line	Original	English (Translated)
Mr.Gunarto (Commission B)	1	Mohon maaf, saya agak	I am sorry, I am a bit different
	2	berbeda dengan Bu	from Mrs. Intisari about budgeting.
	3	Isti'anah	Even there has been an idea that
	4	Tentang penganggaran.	people want to celebrate this
	5	Bahkan sudah ada masukan	inaguration.. as= as grandly as
	6	Justru rakyat ingin	possible.
	7	merayakan.. pelantikan	
	8	ini se=se semeriah	
	9	mungkin.	

Table3. Video Excerpt: The chair suggesting MP

Participants	Line	Original Language	English (Translated)
Mrs.Intisari Commission D	1	Jadi, tidak akan pernah	So, there won't be any
	2	bisa direalisasikan kalau	realization if the realization is
	3	realisasinya digantungkan	dependent on the equity of PERDA.
	4	pada PERDA penyertaan	
	5	modal.	
The Chair	6-	Justru kita dalam	Thatis why on this occasion we
	7-	kesempatan ini bisa	(incl)can provide a note Mum,
	8-	memberikan catatan Bu,	which we (incl) will follow up
	9-	yang nanti kemudian akan	later because just now I try to
	10-	kita tindak lanjuti karena	quote what was stated by Mr.Barja
	11-	tadi ee saya mencoba	that...
	12-	mengutip apa yang	
	13-	disampaikan Pak Barja tadi	
	14-	bahwa...	
Anonyms	15	@@@	@@@
The Chair	16-	@@ Pak Barja, Pak Barja	@@ Mr.Barja,Mr Barja @@
	17-	itu	
Anonyms	18	@@	@@
	19	@@	@@
The Chair	20-	pada prinsipnya bahwa	principally (we (incl))can
	21-	penganggaran ini bisa kita	approve this budgeting, but
	22-	sepakati,tetapi ada	there is a note mentioned (by
	23-	catatan bahwa tadi	Mr.Barja)that all the
	24-	bahasannya se-se segala	requirements that become the
	25-	persyaratan yang menjadi	principal provisions of a capital
	26-	ketentuan pokok adanya	statement must be met.
	27-	suatu pernyataan modal	
	28-	harus terpenuhi.	





Having had the interviews with the participants, regardless of the position, status and power; it has been noted that *empanpapan* has been generally practiced by the participants as the essential guidance every time they want to conduct any communication one another.

From the interview with the MP based on <sup>1</sup> the use of code switching from Indonesian to *Ngoko* 'low Javanese' as can be observed in Table.1: Video Excerpt line 1.5,14 and 15, it has been noted that he normally applies such strategies as he knows that he is having *RapatKerja*, which to some degree allows him to use *Ngoko* in the interaction despite the fact that Indonesian is the official language. In this regard, he has said

"Regarding the use of *Ngoko* 'low Javanese' when I speak in the *RapatKerja*, I sometimes use it spontaneously as I never pay attention to the language I use during the meeting. It is because of my habit at home when I speak with my family and staffs. However, rarely do I use *Ngokoin* the *RapatParipurna* as it is very formal. Even, I almost never use *Ngoko* but rather a formal Indonesian from the beginning to the end of the meeting" (Mr.Arifi Harman, 19/09/2014, my translation).

Another argument related to the choice of the language in the meeting as the reflection of *empanpapan* is also delivered by one of the MPs arguing that

"I will use formal Indonesian from the beginning to the end of the meeting when I follow the *RapatParipurna* as it is very formal and is mostly delivered on the stage or podium. I have to see the situation when I want to use the language.

However, to some extent, I sometimes use Arabic in the *RapatParipurna* when it is related to the values quoted from Al-Quran. Also, when I want to remind the Governor regarding the smoking regulation, I usually switch to *KramaInggil*, i.e., *nyuwunsewuNgarsoDalem* 'Excuse me Your Highness' so as to make what I say is delivered without hurting his feeling as I know that he is a smoker" (Mrs.Intisari, 23/09/2014, my translation)

Based on the interview conducted with the Chair relative to the practice of *empanpapan* in *kita* 'we (incl)' instead *saya* 'I' and *kami* 'we (excl)', it has been noted that the Chair has applied such pronouns for some reasons. He will use *kita* when he wants to give any suggestions to all the participants in the Hall as can be elicited in Table.3: Video Excerpt line 10 and 21. In this, he acts as if he were the one whose position is equal to the other participants in the Hall. In the interview, it is also noted that he will apply *kami* 'we (excl)' when he wants to bring his party or faction's opinion, and *saya* (I) when he wants to make a decision which is urgent and warn the other participants to be in order. Even so, the use *saya* 'I' is rarely applied by the Chair as he does not want to be considered as the leader who is dominating.

"It is correct that I sometimes use *kita* 'we (incl)' and *kami* 'we (excl)' in the meeting. I usually use *kita* when I want to give some suggestions to the meeting in that I want to show that I am not the dominating person in the meeting. I usually use *kami* when I have to speak on behalf of my faction. While, *saya* is my last choice and usually used when it is really needed, for example, there are dissenting opinions among the members of different factions which requires me to select the best decision" (Mr.Chair, 18/09/2014, my translation).

Given the practice of *empanpapan*, it appears to be comparable to the study conducted by (Zaid, 1999). In his finding, he argues that the practice of *empanpapan* has been regularly practiced by the Superiors and Subordinates of the Yogyakarta Local Government in their interaction both formally and informally. The superiors tend to use two languages (Indonesian and Javanese) interchangeably depending on the situation and topic of the discussion. He argues that to be a real Javanese s/he is supposed to apply *empanpapan*, in that s/he is supposed to know how to use a certain code. Failing to do so, he will be considered as *oranjawani* 'not Javanized' or *durungngerti* 's/he has not understood yet'

## CONCLUSION

This study has investigated the reflection of *empanpapan* 'agree with the setting and speech event' in the dialogues used by the Members of Yogyakarta Provincial Parliament attending the *RapatKerja* 'Working Meeting'. From the finding, it shows that the MPs use 1) code mixing from Indonesian to *Ngoko* 'low Javanese' despite the Indonesian as the official language, 2) indirect strategies, and pronoun *kita* 'we (incl)' instead of *saya* 'I' and *kami* 'we (excl)' because they want to be *sumanak* and *empanpapan*. Also, these findings confirm that *empanpapanis* still reflected in the language used so as to create *rukun* and avoid conflict.

## REFERENCES

- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qual. Res. J*, 9(2):28–40.
- Brown, P. and Levinson, S. (1987). *Politeness : Some universals in language usage*. Cambridge. Cambridge University Press.



- Fateminasab, A. (2014). Investigating the challenges and barriers of convergence between Iran and republic of Azerbaijan. *UCT Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities Research*, 2(1):18–24.
- Gordillo, W. F. C. and Godino, J. D. (2014). Preservice Elementary Teacher's Thinking about Algebraic Reasoning. *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 9(2):147–162.
- Grice, H. P. (1967). *Logic of conversation*. pages 41–58, Harvard. Harvard University Press.
- Hymes, D. (1964). Introduction: Toward ethnographies of communication., *Am. Antropol*, 66(6):1–34.
- Jenaabadi, H. and Issazadegan, A. (2014). The analysis of personality features, coping strategies and stress relations in drug addicts. *UCT Journal of Management and Accounting Studies*, 2(1):22–26.
- Leech, G. (1983). *Principles of pragmatics*. London & New York: Longman.
- Mendes, I. A. and daSilva, C. A. F. (2018). Problematization and Research as a Method of Teaching Mathematics. *IEJME-Mathematics Education.s*.
- Mulder, N. (1992). *Individual and society in Java: A cultural analysis*. Yogyakarta. GadjahMada University Press.
- Muyambiri, B. and Chabafe, N. N. (2018). The Finance – Growth Nexus in Botswana: A Multivariate Causal Linkage. *Dutch Journal of Finance and Management*, 2(2):03. <https://doi.org/10.20897/djfm/2634>.
- Ngadiman, A. (1998). Javanese cultural thought patterns as manifested in expository discourse., In *IKIP Malang*.
- Poedjosoedarmo, S. (1978). Language etiquette in Indonesian. In Udin, S., editor, *Spectrum: essays presented to SutanTadkirAlisjahbana on his seventieth birthday*, pages 400–419. Dian Rakyat.
- Poedjosoedarmo, S. (2009). "Language propriety in Javanese," Yogyakarta.
- Q, M. (1990). *Patton, Qualitative evaluation and research method*, 2nd ed. Newbury Park,CA. Sage Publication.
- Ribera, J. P. and Jimenez-Jimenez, J. (2018). Espaciosreales y simbólicos de la pedagogía social: la praxis de la redención, entre el control y la emancipación. *Opción*, 34(86):547–576.
- Zaid, A. (1999). *Strategies for oral communication between superiors and subordinates at the local government of Yogyakarta*. State University of Malang.
- Ziegenhein, P. (2008). *The Indonesian parliament and democratization*, 1st ed. Singapore. ISEAS Publishing.

# HASIL CEK\_Santoso\_reflection, politeness strategies, Javanese values, dialogues, speech event.

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

10%

SIMILARITY INDEX

10%

INTERNET SOURCES

9%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1	<a href="http://www.arcjournals.org">www.arcjournals.org</a> Internet Source	4%
2	Submitted to Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta Student Paper	3%
3	<a href="http://e-journal.usd.ac.id">e-journal.usd.ac.id</a> Internet Source	3%

Exclude quotes  On

Exclude bibliography  On

Exclude matches  < 2%