

[ESJ] Submission Acknowledgement

External

Inbox x



Dr. Adriano Ricci <ricci@ijournalse.org>

to me ▾

Mar 30, 2022, 1:22 PM



Dr. Abdul Fadlil:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Comparison of Machine Learning Approach for Waste Bottle Classification" to Emerging Science Journal. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL:

<https://www.ijournalse.org/index.php/ESJ/author/submission/1106>

Username: abdufadhil

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Dr. Adriano Ricci
Emerging Science Journal

[ESJ] Comparison of Machine Learning Approach for Waste Bottle Classification

External

Inbox x



Office ESJ <office@ijournalse.org>

to me ▾

Apr 5, 2022, 1:42 PM



Dear Dr. Fadlil,

We would like to inform you, Regular reviewing process takes 2.5-3 months and the article processing charge is 995 EURO which will ask after acceptance. But if you are in hurry, you can request for fast reviewing option which takes about 10 days (In this option the journal APC will increase to 1495 Euro (995 + 500 Euro) due to the cost of the reviewers).

** It is important to pay attention there is not any guarantee to accept the articles with a fast option.

Regards,

Office **ESJ**

Emerging Science Journal

Emerging Science Journal



Abdul Fadlil <fadlil@mti.uad.ac.id>

to Office ▾

Apr 6, 2022, 12:49 PM



Ok, I agree for fast reviewing program, thank you very much.

Regards,
Abdul Fadlil



office@ijournalse.org

to me ▾

Apr 9, 2022, 12:23 PM



Dear Dr. Fadlil,

Thank you for the email.
As you have requested, your article will review with fast option.

Regards,
Office **ESJ**

Emerging Science Journal

[ESJ] Editor Decision (Article #2022-1106)

External

Inbox

0

Office ESJ <office@ijournalse.org> Tue, Apr 19, 1:35 PM

to me, Rusydi, Sunardi, Arief

Dear Dr. Fadlil,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Emerging Science Journal, "Comparison of Machine Learning Approach for Waste Bottle Classification".

Our decision is to: Revision Required.

Please consider reviewer's comments, and revise that as soon as possible. If you do not submit the revision file, the article will be withdrawn within 20 days.

When you revise your manuscript please highlight the changes you make in the manuscript by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or colored text.

** Please upload the revised version into your user home> Review tab> Author Version.

Regards,

Editor: Dr. Omid A. Yamini

Editor@ijournalse.org

Reviewers' Comments:

Reviewer #1:

The topic is interesting and important. However, there are several key areas that need more work prior to publication. I have summarized the required changes in the hope that the feedback will be useful to you as you update the paper. I am not able to consider your manuscript for publication at the present time, but I hope you will consider the feedback provided by the following suggestions to revise your manuscript and re-submit.

- 1- The authors should ask the help of native English speaking proof reader, because there are some typo and linguistic mistakes that should be fixed.
- 2- The introduction is poorly written and it does not properly refer to previously published studies. The authors need to carefully review the

published literature, identify the gaps in the literature, and propose their approach to fill the gap.

3- Please avoid reference overkill/run-on, i.e. do not use more than 3 references per sentence.

4- A flowchart should be added to the article to show the research methodology.

5- I would ask you discuss more about the present research theoretical approach.

6- Much more explanations and interpretations must be added for the result, which are not enough at all.

7- The original (editable) source of the figure 4 should be used into the manuscript.

8- It is suggested to compare the results of the present study with previous studies and analyze their results completely.

9- The Presented results are not enough at all. More results of the study should be presented.

10- It is suggested to organize Conclusion section much better. This section should present in one 250-300 words paragraph.

Reviewer #3:

The article is well established and also authors present valuable results. In my idea it could be published with major revision. Authors should add more interpretations for the results. Some comments which could be help to improve the article are presented.

Comment 1: The manuscript needs language, grammar and syntactic editing. The English language usage should be checked by a fluent English speaker.

Comment 2: The major defect of this study is the debate or Argument is not clear stated in the introduction session. Hence, the contribution is weak in this manuscript. I would suggest the author to enhance your theoretical discussion and arrives your debate or argument.

Comment 3: Page 5: the following paragraph is unclear, so please reorganize that:

“The pre-processing method used in SVM is the same as the previous method. The data will be divided into ten folders based on the name of the plastic bottle. Next is the process of converting the image from RGB to BGR and changing the image size to 227x227 pixels. Before the training process, the image data was given a GrabCut segmentation process to remove the background and only left objects are displayed, as shown in Figure 6.”

Comment 4: Especially, the introduction section needs to re-organize. The major debate or Argument is not clear stated in the introduction session. Hence, the contribution debates are weak in this manuscript. I would suggest the author to enhance your literature discussion and arrives your debate or argument.

Comment 5: Please make sure your conclusions' section underscore the scientific value added of your paper, and/or the applicability of your findings/results, as indicated previously. Please revise your conclusion part into more details. Basically, you should enhance your contributions,

limitations, underscore the scientific value added of your paper, and/or the applicability of your findings/results and future study in this session.

Emerging Science Journal

<http://ijournalse.org/index.php/ESJ>



Abdul Fadlil <fadlil@mti.uad.ac.id> Fri, May 6, 8:29 AM

to Office, Rusydi, Sunardi, Arief

Original Manuscript ID: 1106

Original Article Title: " Comparison of Machine Learning Approach for Waste Bottle Classification"

To: Emerging Science Journal Editor

Dear Editor,

Thank you for allowing a resubmission of our manuscript, with an opportunity to address the reviewers' comments.

We are uploading (a) our point-by-point response to the comments (below), (b) an updated manuscript with yellow highlighting indicating changes, and (c) a clean updated manuscript without highlights (PDF main document).

Best regards,

Abdul Fadlil et al.

[ESJ] Editor Decision (Article #2022-1106)

External

Inbox

O

Office ESJ <office@ijournalse.org> Tue, May 10, 3:49 PM

to me, Rusydi, Sunardi, Arief

Dear Dr. Fadlil,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Emerging Science Journal, "Comparison of Machine Learning Approach for Waste Bottle Classification".

Our decision is to: Accepted

If you want to publish your article into Volume 6, Issue 5 please pay the APC (Article Processing Charge) of the Emerging Science Journal till 13th of May.

- VISA/MasterCard (22% VAT and Transfer fees Included):

<https://buy.stripe.com/bIYeXa55t44LefC5oE>

Amount: 1495 Euro + 22% VAT

**NOTE: Please send us a receipt (screenshot) after payment.

Regards,

Editor: Dr. Omid A. Yamini

Editor@ijournalse.org

Emerging Science Journal

<http://ijournalse.org/index.php/ESJ>

A

Abdul Fadlil <fadlil@mti.uad.ac.id> Fri, May 13, 7:34 PM

to Office, Rusydi, Sunardi, Arief

Dear ESJ Office and Editor,

Thank you for the accepting our paper.

We have paid the payment.

The payment proof is in the attachment.

Please, check the payment and send us the confirmation.

Thank you.

Regards

Abdul Fadlil

Re: [ESJ] Editor Decision (Article #2022-1106)

External

Inbox

0

office@ijournalse.org Sat, May 14, 11:41 AM

to me

Dear Dr. Fadlil,

Thank you for the email.

We would like to confirm that we have received the payment successfully.

Regards,
Office ESJ
Emerging Science Journal

[ESJ] Proofreading (Article #2022-1106)

External

Inbox x



office@ijournalse.org

to me, rusydi, sunardi, arief1908048023 ▾



Aug 14, 2022, 12:31 PM (4 days ago)



Dear Dr. Fadlil,

I would ask you to check the pre-publication format of your article in Emerging Science Journal and modify some queries that have been asked by comments.

You have 24 hours to send back the final version. You should highlight or use track-changes to show the modification.

Regards,

Office **ESJ**

Emerging Science Journal

Maoro



Abdul Fadlil <fadlil@mti.uad.ac.id>

to office ▾

📧 Aug 15, 2022, 8:09 AM (3 days ago)



Dear Editor of Emerging Science Journal (ESJ)

Based on your feedback yesterday, I checked and already modified the suggestion inside the paper. I also highlighted with the yellow colour of two sections inside the paper.

With this reply email, I also attached the paper revision based on your comments and my edit. I hope this paper is ready to be published. Thank you.

With regards,

Dr. Fadlil

Regards,

Office ESJ

Emerging Science Journal

Maoro
