

HASIL CEK_Pipih Muhopilah, Fatwa Tentama

by Pipih Muhopilah, Fatwa Tentama Authoritarian Parenting, Corporal
Punishment, Dire

Submission date: 24-Aug-2022 08:44AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1886188976

File name: Artikel_9_Fatwa_et_al._2022.pdf (148.81K)

Word count: 4462

Character count: 24430

Verbal hostility, corporal punishment, nonreasoning strategies, and directiveness in reflecting authoritarian parenting style

Pipih Muhopilah¹, and Fatwa Tentama²

Abstract

Parenting is classified into several types, one of which is authoritarian parenting. Verbal hostility, corporal punishment, nonreasoning / punitive strategies, and directiveness are characteristics of this parenting style. The construct validity and construct reliability of the authoritarian parenting scale, as well as the characteristics and indicators that reflect authoritarian parenting, are being investigated. This study included all students in class VIII at Yogyakarta's state junior high schools X, Y, and Z, which totaled 524 students. This study's sample size was 185 students. The sampling technique employs cluster random sampling. Data is gathered using an authoritarian parenting scale. The research instruments are distributed directly to the subject. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was used to analyze the research data in the SmartPLS 3.2.8 program. The data analysis results of this study show that the characteristics and indicators that reflect authoritarian parenting are valid and reliable. The most dominant aspect that reflects authoritarian parenting is corporal punishment, while directiveness is the weakest aspect that reflects authoritarian parenting. As a result, because the theory describing the construct of authoritarian parenting corresponds to empirical data obtained from the subject, the measurement model can be accepted.

Keywords

authoritarian parenting, corporal punishment, directiveness, nonreasoning strategies, verbal hostility

1 Introduction

Children's cognitive, linguistic, motor, and socioemotional development is believed to significantly affect their life wellbeing (He *et al.*, 2020). The parenting style is among important factors affecting children's development (Lassassi, 2020; Olla *et al.*, 2018). Parenting styles represent a combination of different elements that create an emotional climate when parents communicate and behave towards their children. Parents' behaviors may be presented through gestures, intonation, emotional reaction, attention, and any behaviors shown toward their children (Dalimonte & Williams, 2020). Baumrind (1967) classifies parenting styles into three styles: authoritative, authoritarian, and permissive parenting styles.

Authoritarian parenting style is defined as a style that attempts to shape, control, and evaluate children's behaviors and attitude according to the parents' standards, provides a minimum discussion between parents and children, and demands children to adhere to rules set by the parents (Baumrind, 1968). Authoritarian parents oblige their children to follow values expected by the parents despite children's difficulties and problems due to their parenting style (Olla *et al.*, 2018).

Children with an authoritarian parenting style may encounter numerous developmental stages (Olla *et al.*, 2018). Authoritarian parenting style is reported to be associated with children's poor regulation of emotion, making them difficult to regulate their emotions (Shaw & Starr, 2019), risk of depression (Liu & Merritt, 2018), and even being permissive, perceiving suicidal action as normal (Choi *et al.*,

2020). Children with authoritarian parenting style tend to exhibit late learning readiness (Kim *et al.*, 2018), which result in poor learning performance and achievement (Oliveira *et al.*, 2018; Albuhaيران *et al.*, 2017). Regarding their interaction with the environment, children with authoritarian parenting styles tend to find it difficult to adjust themselves to the environment (Yazdani & Daryei, 2016), and become a bully (Muhopilah *et al.*, 2019, 2021), tend to exhibit aggressive behaviors as they imitate their parents' violence (Olla *et al.*, 2018; Muñoz *et al.*, 2017).

The authoritarian parenting style highlights a high degree of behavioral control with low acceptance (Robinson *et al.*, 2001), where parents urge their children to follow their commands without providing opportunities to talk and discuss (Santrock, 2011), parents make rules and expect their children to follow them (Richardson & Schuster, 2004), and view negative punishment as a normal when children disobey (Moscatelli & Rubini, 2011).

According to Robinson *et al.* (1995), the characteristics of authoritarian parenting style are: 1) Verbal hostility. Authoritarian parents often verbally abuse their children, e.g., scolding them, shouting at them for their bad behavior, debating them, and showing disapproval by quarreling. 2)

^{1,2} Master's program in Psychology at Ahmad Dahlan University, Yogyakarta

Corresponding author:
Muhopilah, Master's program in Psychology at Ahmad Dahlan University, Yogyakarta
Email: pipihmuhopilah7@gmail.com

54 Corporal punishment. Authoritarian parents usually use
55 corporal punishment as a method to discipline their children.
56 3) Nonreasoning/punitive strategies. Parents punish their
57 children by taking children's rights without reasonable
58 reasons or only a little explanation. They leave their children
59 alone in a place without or with only a little explanation. 4)
60 Directiveness. Authoritarian parents often tell their children
61 what they have to do, demand children to do what they say,
62 criticize their children when their behaviors are not like what
63 they expect. Based on this description, the hypothesis in this
64 study is that the characteristics of authoritarian parenting are
65 verbal hostility, corporal punishment, nonreasoning/punitive
66 strategies, and directiveness, which together reflect the
67 construct of authoritarian parenting.

68 The Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) is one of the
69 approaches used to test the measurement construct. This test
70 is performed to measure the authoritarian parenting style
71 model in order to depict the characteristics and indicators
72 of the authoritarian parenting style. The latent construct is
73 tested through the construct validity test, while the construct
74 reliability is examined using second-order CFA (Ghozali &
75 Latan, 2012). Based on the description above, authoritarian
76 parenting style is an important variable to understand, thus
77 requiring a valid, reliable scale to measure the authoritarian
78 parenting style. Two research problem in this paper was
79 formulated as follows: the authoritarian parenting style
80 scale is a valid and reliable scale, and (b) verbal hostility,
81 corporal punishment, nonreasoning/punitive strategies, and
82 directiveness simultaneously reflect authoritarian parenting
83 style construct. This study aims to test the construct validity
84 and reliability of the authoritarian parenting scale and examine
85 the characteristics and indicators reflecting the authoritarian
86 parenting style. This study attempts to test the conceptual
87 model of authoritarian parenting through the 2nd Order CFA
88 approach. This research shows the role of verbal hostility,
89 corporal punishment, nonreasoning/punitive strategies, and
90 directiveness in reflecting the authoritarian parenting style.

91 Method

92 Population, Sample, and Sampling Technique

93 The population in this study were all class VIII students at
94 schools X, Y, and Z, a state junior high school in Yogyakarta,
95 with a total of 524 students. The sample in this study was 185
96 students consisting of 98 boys and 87 girls with an age range
97 of 13-16 years ($M = 14$ years). The sample in this study was
98 taken by a sampling technique, namely the cluster random
99 sampling technique. The way to do this is to make rolls of
100 many classes in each school, write the name of each class and
101 then take one roll. The class name of the scroll was selected
102 as the sample in the study. Data was collected by distributing
103 the research scale directly to participants.

104 Data Collection Method

105 The authoritarian parenting style in this study was
106 measured using an authoritarian parenting style scale,
107 which was developed following the characteristics of
108 the Authoritarian parenting style proposed Robinson *et*
109 *al.* (1995) : verbal hostility, corporal punishment, non-
110 reasoning/punitive strategies, and directiveness. Sample items

Table 1. Authoritarian Parenting Style Blueprint

Characteristics	Item no.		No. of item
	Favorable	Unfavorable	
3 Verbal Hostility	1, 9, 17	5, 13, 21	6
Corporal Punishment	2, 10, 18	6, 14, 22	6
Nonreasoning/Punitive Strategies	3, 11, 19	7, 15, 23	6
Directiveness	4, 12, 20	8, 16, 24	6
Total			24

of the questionnaire read "My parents talk harshly when they
111 think I've done poor work," "My parents threaten me when
112 I do not obey them," "My parents punish me without telling
113 my mistakes," and "My work has to be done according to my
114 parents' word." The following table 1 presents the blueprint
115 of the scale.

5 Construct Validity and Reliability

116 The construct validity and reliability in this study were tested
117 using the outer model test with smartPLS 3.2.8. The validity
118 test consists of convergent and discriminant validity tests.
119 The construct is deemed to have convergent validity if its
120 loading factor and cronbach's alpha values are higher than
121 0.5 (Jogiyanto, 2011; Hair *et al.*, 2014). Meanwhile, the
122 construct is deemed to have discriminant validity when the
123 root AVE of the characteristics is higher than the correlation
124 with the root AVE of other characteristics (Jogiyanto, 2011;
125 Ghozali, 2014; Ghozali & Latan, 2015). The construct
126 reliability was measured by determining the instrument's
127 internal consistency. The reliability score could be seen from
128 the composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha values. The
129 expected composite reliability and cronbach's alpha values
130 are >0.7 and 0.6 , respectively (Jogiyanto, 2011; Hair *et al.*,
131 2014). Figure 1 displays the model tested in this study.

1 Data Analysis

132 The data in this study were analyzed using outer model with
133 2nd order CFA approach through SmartPLS 3.2.8. Partial
134 Least Square (PLS) is one of the tools to test a construct
135 validity and reliability, which is categorized as variance-based
136 SEM (Abdillah & Hartono, 2015).

140 Result and Discussion

The following Figure 2 shows the outer model measurement.

1 Construct Validity Test Result

141 Convergent validity The convergent validity analysis was
142 made by seeing the loading factor and the Average Variance
143 Extracted (AVE) values. Based on the data analysis result,
144 the loading factor of the variable to the characteristics and
145 the loading factor of the characteristics to the indicator of
146 > 0.5 . The next step was seeing the AVE values. The AVE
147 value of the authoritarian parenting style was $0.519 (> 0.5)$.
148 Table 2 presents the factor loading and AVE value of each
149 characteristic.

150 Discriminant Validity. The construct discriminant validity
151 was determined by comparing the root Average Variance
152 Extracted of the four characteristics. The result showed that
153




Figure 1. Authoritarian parenting style conceptual model




Figure 2. Outer Model Output. Note: a) Authoritarian Parenting, b) Verbal Hostility, c) Corporal Punishment, d) Non-reasoning/ Punitive Strategies, e) Directiveness

155 the **t₅** correlation value of root AVE of each characteristic
 156 was higher than the correlation value of AVE with other
 157 characteristics Thus, the discriminant validity in this study

has met the requirement. Table 3 presents the root AVE value
 of authoritarian parenting style.

158
 159

Table 2. Convergent Validity Test Result

Characteristics	Factor loading		AVE	Description
	Item	Variable		
Verbal Hostility	0.667 - 0.906	0.774	0.633	Valid
Corporal Punishment	0.558 - 0.850	0.839	0.503	Valid
Nonreasoning/Punitive Strategies	0.649 - 0.882	0.825	0.607	Valid
Directiveness	0.645 - 0.861	0.732	0.55	Valid

Table 3. Discriminant Validity Test Result

	Verbal Hostility	Corporal Punishment	Nonreasoning/Punitive Strategies	Directiveness
Verbal Hostility	0.796	0.586	0.542	0.352
Corporal Punishment	0.586	0.71	0.691	0.62
Nonreasoning/Punitive Strategies	0.542	0.691	0.779	0.733
Directiveness	0.352	0.62	0.733	0.742

5 Construct Reliability Test Result

The construct reliability was determined by seeing the composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha values. The construct was deemed reliable if its composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha value is higher than 0.7. In this study, the composite reliability and Cronbach's alpha value was 0.838 and 0.757, respectively. In other words, the characteristics measuring the authoritarian parenting style has met the unidimensional criteria (Hair *et al.*, 2014). The validity and reliability tests performed in this study showed valid and reliable items capable of reflecting the characteristics of authoritarian parenting style. They were items number 1, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15, 16, 22, 23, and 24. The analysis result indicated that the measurement model is acceptable as the observed characteristics can reflect the authoritarian parenting style.

175 Discussion

The analysis results in this study indicate that the authoritarian parenting scale has met the validity and reliability of the construct with aspects that reflect the authoritarian parenting pattern, including verbal hostility, corporal punishment, nonreasoning/punitive strategies, and directiveness. Corporal punishment is dominant in reflecting authoritarian parenting, with a loading factor value of 0.839. Corporal punishment is described as children being confused about what their parents want, parents not explaining why individuals have to do something, and parents being rude when children make mistakes (Adawiah, 2017). The weakest characteristic and ability to reflect authoritarian parenting is directiveness, with a loading factor value of 0.732. Directiveness is shown by parents who do not support the activities that children do, one of which is that children will have difficulty making decisions when they will determine their careers in the future (Firdaus & Kustanti, 2019). Authoritarian parents do not understand the reasons for the child's violation and do not provide direction or assistance when the child has difficulties (Dewi & Susilawati, 2016). Authoritarian parents supervise but do not want to listen to their children (Einstein & Indrawati, 2017).

The authoritarian parenting scale in this study has a reliability value of 0.838 [>0.70], so it can be said to be satisfactory or good (Hair *et al.*, 2017). The results of previous studies regarding the construct of authoritarian parenting, which also explained the validity and reliability

of the authoritarian parenting scale, included the research of Lavrič & Naterer (2020), which measured authoritarian parenting using the Parenting styles scale compiled by Robinson *et al.* (1995) on this scale authoritarian parenting is reflected through the dimensions of verbal hostility, corporal punishment, and directiveness. The results of this study indicate that the measurement of authoritarian parenting is valid and reliable by obtaining Cronbach's alpha of 0.736. Another study was conducted by Melis & Selcuk (2018); this study also used the Parenting styles scale compiled by Robinson *et al.* (1995). In this study, authoritarian parenting obtained a Cronbach's alpha score of 0.750. In previous studies, the reliability value of the authoritarian parenting questionnaire for adolescents in Denpasar using SPSS 15.0 was 0.876 (Purnamasari & Marheni, 2017). The reliability value in has a Cronbach's alpha of 0.897 with vocational students as research subjects (Putri, 2018). Another test of the authoritarian parenting scale using SPSS with the subject of high school students with a Cronbach's alpha value of 0.940 (Lukouw *et al.*, 2021).

The results of this study, when compared with previous studies, indicate that this study can also be an instrument to measure authoritarian parenting. This is based on the reliability value, which indicates that the scale in this study has a higher reliability value with a composite reliability value of 0.838 and Cronbach's alpha of 0.757. According to Nunnally & Bernstein (1994), the score is in the good category. Therefore, the results of this study are expected to be a reference in describing the validity and reliability of the authoritarian parenting scale construct for junior high school students in Yogyakarta and can be a reference in subsequent research related to the authoritarian parenting style variable.

234 Conclusion

Based on the analysis result, it could be concluded that: 1) the authoritarian parenting style scale is valid and reliable, and 2) all characteristics and indicators can reflect the authoritarian parenting style. The most dominant aspect reflecting the authoritarian parenting style was corporal punishment, whereas the weakest aspect to reflect this parenting style was directiveness.

242 Recommendation

243 Future studies on authoritarian parenting style is expected
244 to link the authoritarian parenting style variable to
245 other variables. It is also recommended to apply more
246 comprehensive analysis techniques.

247 Acknowledgment

248 Acknowledgment We would like to thank Ministry of
249 Research, Technology, and Higher education of Indonesia
250 for its financial support to conduct this study and Psychology
251 Master Program of Ahmad Dahlan University for facilitating
252 this study.

253 References

254 Abdillah, W., & Hartono, J. (2015). Partial least square (PLS):
255 Alternatif structural equation modeling (SEM) dalam penelitian
256 bisnis. Andi.

257 Adawiah, R. (2017). Pola asuh orang tua dan implikasinya
258 terhadap pendidikan anak: Studi pada masyarakat Dayak di
259 Kecamatan Halong Kabupaten Balangan. *Jurnal Pendidikan*
260 *Kewarganegaraan*, 7(1), 33-48. [http://dx.doi.org/10.20527/](http://dx.doi.org/10.20527/kewarganegaraan.v7i1.3534)
261 [kewarganegaraan.v7i1.3534](http://dx.doi.org/10.20527/kewarganegaraan.v7i1.3534)

262 Albuhairan, F., Abou Abbas, O., El Sayed, D., Badri, M., Alshahri, S.,
263 & De Vries, N. (2017). The relationship of bullying and physical
264 violence to mental health and academic performance: A cross-
265 sectional study among adolescents in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.
266 *International journal of pediatrics and adolescent medicine*,
267 4(2), 61-65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpam.2016.12.005>

268 Baumrind, D. (1967). Child care practices anteceding three patterns
269 of preschool behavior. *Genetic Psychology Monographs*, 75(1),
270 43-88.

271 Baumrind, D. (1968). Authoritarian vs authoritative parental control.
272 *Adolescence*, 3(11), 255-272. [https://psycnet.apa.org/record/](https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1969-06772-001)
273 [1969-06772-001](https://psycnet.apa.org/record/1969-06772-001)

274 Choi, S. H., Lee, S. E., Lee, C. W., Maeng, S., Son, J., Kim, W. H.,
275 ... & Kim, H. (2020). Association between perceived parenting
276 style and adolescents' attitudes toward suicide. *Journal of the*
277 *Korean Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 31(4), 193.
278 <https://doi.org/10.5765/jkacap.2000322>

279 Dalimonte, M. D., & Williams, J. M. (2020). Parenting styles and
280 their effects. *JM Williams*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809324-5.23611-0)
281 [809324-5.23611-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-809324-5.23611-0)

282 Dewi, N. P. A. R., & Susilawati, L. K. P. A. (2016). Hubungan antara
283 kecenderungan pola asuh otoriter (authoritarian parenting style)
284 dengan gejala perilaku agresif pada remaja. *Jurnal Psikologi*
285 *Udayana*, 3(1), 108-116. [https://doi.org/10.24843/JPU.2016.v03.](https://doi.org/10.24843/JPU.2016.v03.i01.p11)
286 [i01.p11](https://doi.org/10.24843/JPU.2016.v03.i01.p11)

287 Einstein, G., & Indrawati, E. S. (2017). Hubungan antara pola asuh
288 otoriter orangtua dengan perilaku agresif siswa/siswi SMK
289 Yudya Karya Magelang. *Jurnal Empati*, 5(3), 491-502. <https://doi.org/10.14710/empati.2016.15390>

291 Firdaus, S. A., & Kustanti, E. R. (2019). Hubungan antara pola
292 asuh otoriter dengan pengambilan keputusan karier pada siswa
293 SMK Teuku Umar Semarang. *Jurnal Empati*, 8(1), 212-220.
294 <https://doi.org/10.14710/empati.2019.23596>

295 Ghozali, I. (2014). Model persamaan struktural konsep dan
296 aplikasi" dengan program AMOS 22.0. Universitas Dipone-
297 goru."

298 Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2012). Partial least squares: Konsep,
299 metode dan aplikasi menggunakan program warPLS 2.0 untuk
300 penelitian empiris. Semarang. Universitas Diponegoro.

301 Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2015). Partial Least Squares, konsep,
302 teknik dan aplikasi menggunakan program Smartpls 3.0 untuk
303 penelitian empiris. Universitas Diponegoro."

304 Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J. & Anderson, R. E. (2014.).
305 *Multivariate data analysis*. 7th Edition, Pearson Education,
306 Upper Saddle River.

307 Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A*
308 *primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling*
309 *(PLS-SEM)*. Sage publications. [http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7)
310 [030-80519-7](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7)

311 Hair, J.F., Hult, G.T.M., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2017). *A*
312 *Primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling*
313 *(PLS-SEM)*. 2nd Edition, Sage Publications Inc., Thousand Oaks,
314 CA.

315 He, Y., Liu, C., Chen, Y., Huang, J., & Luo, R. (2020). Intergenerational
316 transmission of parenting style in rural China and the
317 mediation effect of Caregiver's mental health. *Children and*
318 *Youth Services Review*, 117, 105319. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105319)
319 [childyouth.2020.105319](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105319)

320 Jogiyanto, H. M. (2011). *Konsep dan aplikasi structural equation*
321 *modeling berbasis varian dalam penelitian bisnis*. UPP STIM
322 YKPN.

323 Kim, Y., Calzada, E. J., Barajas-Gonzalez, R. G., Huang, K.-Y.,
324 Brotman, L. M., Castro, A., & Pichardo, C. (2018). The
325 role of authoritative and authoritarian parenting in the
326 early academic achievement of Latino students. *Journal of*
327 *Educational Psychology*, 110(1), 119-132. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000192)
328 [1037/edu0000192](https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000192)

329 Lassassi, M. (2020). Does pre-school improve child development
330 and affect the quality of parent-child interaction? Evidence from
331 Algeria, 557. *GLO Discussion Paper*.

332 Lavrič, M., & Naterer, A. (2020). The power of authoritative
333 parenting: A cross-national study of effects of exposure to
334 different parenting styles on life satisfaction. *Children and*
335 *Youth Services Review*, 116, 105274. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105274)
336 [j.childyouth.2020.105274](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105274)

337 Liu, Y., & Merritt, D. H. (2018). Examining the association between
338 parenting and childhood depression among Chinese children
339 and adolescents: A systematic literature review. *Children and*
340 *Youth Services Review*, 88, 316-332. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2018.03.019)
341 [childyouth.2018.03.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2018.03.019)

342 Lukouw, J. F. T. E., Solang, D. J., & Kapahang, G. L. (2021).
343 Hubungan pola asuh otoriter orang tua dengan penyesuaian
344 diri di sekolah pada siswa SMA Katolik Karitas Tomohon.
345 *Psikopedia*, 2(3), 231-236. [https://ejurnal-mapalus-unima.ac.id/](https://ejurnal-mapalus-unima.ac.id/index.php/psikopedia/article/view/3545)
346 [index.php/psikopedia/article/view/3545](https://ejurnal-mapalus-unima.ac.id/index.php/psikopedia/article/view/3545)

347 Melis, Y. H., & Selcuk, B. (2018). Predictors of obesity and
348 overweight in preschoolers: The role of parenting styles and
349 feeding practices. *Appetite*, 120, 491-499. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.10.001)
350 [1016/j.appet.2017.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.10.001)

351 Moscatelli, S., & Rubini, M. (2011). Parenting style in adolescence:
352 The role of warmth, strictness, and psychological autonomy
353 granting in influencing collective self-esteem and expectations
354 for the future. *Handbook of Parenting: Styles, Stress &*
355 *Strategies*, 342-349. Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290587689.Parenting_styles_in_adolescence_The_role_of_warmth_strictness_and_psychological)
356 [net/publication/290587689.Parenting_styles_in_adolescence_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290587689.Parenting_styles_in_adolescence_The_role_of_warmth_strictness_and_psychological)
357 [The_role_of_warmth_strictness_and_psychological_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/290587689.Parenting_styles_in_adolescence_The_role_of_warmth_strictness_and_psychological)

- 358 autonomy_granting_in_influencing_collective_self-
359 esteem_and_expectations_for_the_future (accessed 02 April
360 2022)
- 361 Muhopilah, P., & Tentama, F. (2019). Faktor-faktor yang mem-
362 pengaruhi perilaku bullying. *Jurnal Psikologi Terapan dan*
363 *Pendidikan*, 1(2), 99-107. <http://dx.doi.org/10.26555/fjptp.v1i2.15132>
364
- 365 Muhopilah, P., Tentama, F., & Yuzarion. (2021). The model
366 influence of authoritarian parenting, extraversion personality,
367 and conformity to bullying in students. *International Journal*
368 *of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 10(2), 483-493. <http://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i2.20914>
369
- 370 Muñoz, J. M., Braza, P., Carreras, R., Braza, F., Azurmendi, A.,
371 Pascual-Sagastizábal, E., ... & Sánchez-Martín, J. R. (2017).
372 Daycare center attendance buffers the effects of maternal
373 authoritarian parenting style on physical aggression in children.
374 *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00391>
375
- 376 Novianty, A. (2016). Pengaruh pola asuh otoriter terhadap
377 kecerdasan emosi pada remaja madya. *Jurnal Psikologi*, 9(1),
378 17-15. <https://ejournal.gunadarma.ac.id/index.php/psiko/article/view/1539>
379
- 380 Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric Theory*, 3,
381 248-292. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014662169501900308>
- 382 Oliveira, F. R., de Menezes, T. A., Irfi, G., & Oliveira, G. R. (2018).
383 Bullying effect on student's performance. *Economia*, 19(1), 57-
384 73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econ.2017.10.001>
- 385 Olla, "M. B., Daulima, N. H. C., & Putri, Y. S. E. (2018). The
386 experience of parents implementing authoritarian parenting
387 for their school-age children. *Enfermeria Clínica*, 28, 122-125.
388 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1130-8621\(18\)30050-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1130-8621(18)30050-0)
- 389 Purnamasari, K. N., & Marheni, A. (2017). Hubungan antara pola
390 asuh otoriter dengan perilaku menjalin persahabatan pada
391 remaja di Denpasar. *Jurnal Psikologi Udayana*, 4(1), 20-29.
392 <https://doi.org/10.24843/JPU.2017.v04.i01.p03>
- Putri, A. A. (2018). Hubungan antara pola asuh otoriter dan
kenakalan remaja [Skripsi tidak dipublikasikan]. Universitas
Islam Indonesia Yogyakarta.
- Richardson, J., & Schuster, M. (2004). *Everything you never wanted
your kids to know about sex (but were afraid they'd ask): The
secrets to surviving your child's sexual development from birth
to the teens*. Harmony. Retrieved from https://books.google.co.id/books/about/Everything_You_Never_Wanted_Your_Kids_to.html?id=-PQTAdDcQTIC&redir_esc=y (accessed 02 April
2022)
- Robinson, C. C., Mandleco, B., Olsen, S. F., & Hart, C. H. (2001). The parenting styles and dimensions questionnaire (PSDQ). *Handbook of Family Measurement Techniques*, 3, 319-321. Sage Publications. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/284239814_The_Parenting_Styles_and_Dimensions_Questionnaire_PSDQ (accessed 02 April 2022)
- Robinson, C. C., Mandleco, B., Olsen, S. F., & Hart, C. H. (1995). "Authoritative, authoritarian, and permissive parenting practices: Development of a new measure. *Psychological Reports*, 77(3), 819-830. <https://doi.org/10.2466%2Fpr0.1995.77.3.819>
- Santrock, J. W. (2011). *Child development*. Mc-Graw-Hill.
- Shaw, Z. A., & Starr, L. R. (2019). Intergenerational transmission of emotion dysregulation: The role of authoritarian parenting style and family chronic stress. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 28(12), 3508-3518. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-019-01534-1>
- Yazdani, S., & Daryei, G. (2016). Parenting styles and psychosocial adjustment of gifted and normal adolescents. *Pacific Science Review B: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2(3), 100-105. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.psrb.2016.09.019>

HASIL CEK_Pipih Muhopilah, Fatwa Tentama

ORIGINALITY REPORT

12%

SIMILARITY INDEX

14%

INTERNET SOURCES

12%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	eprints.uad.ac.id Internet Source	5%
2	journal.unesa.ac.id Internet Source	2%
3	files.eric.ed.gov Internet Source	2%
4	repository.ubaya.ac.id Internet Source	2%
5	www.gssrr.org Internet Source	2%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 2%

Exclude bibliography On