

Journal of Community Empowerment for Health (JCOEMPH) website showing the review process for submission #67364.

JOURNAL OF COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT FOR HEALTH
 ISSN 2655-0164 (online)
 ISSN 2654-4023 (print)

Home | About | User Home | Search | Current | Archives | Announcements | Statistics | Indexing & Abstracting | History | Contact

Home > User > Author > Submissions > #67364 > Review

#67364 Review

[SUMMARY](#) | [REVIEW](#) | [EDITING](#)

Submission

Authors: Tisa Salma Muthiah, Riris Andono Ahmad, Digna Niken Purwaningrum
 Title: WhatsApp-based complementary feeding education and counselling for adolescent mothers: A one-group pre-post study
 Section: Original Research Articles
 Editor: Anggi Wilcazana

Peer Review

Round 1

Review Version	67364-220496-2-RV.DOCX	2021-08-25
Initiated		2021-08-25
Last modified		2021-11-02
Uploaded file		None

Editor Decision

Decision	Accept Submission	2022-04-11
Notify Editor	Editor/Autor Email Record	2022-01-03
Editor Version	67364-220752-1-ED.DOCX	2021-08-25
Author Version	67364-242563-1-ED.DOCX	2022-02-03
Upload Author Version	<input type="button" value="Choose File"/> No file chosen	<input type="button" value="Upload"/>

Focus & Scope
 Author Guidelines
 Author Fees
 Online Submission
 Statement of Originality
 Copyright Transfer Form
 Publication Ethics
 Screening For Plagiarism
 Editorial Board
 Peer Reviewers
 Order Journal
 Visitor Statistics

USER
 You are logged in as...
 tisasalmuthiah
 My Journals
 My Profile
 Log Out

CITATION ANALYSIS

Gmail interface showing an email from Doni Widyandana regarding the decision on submission #67364.

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jcoemph/FMfcgzGmtFJQkFtqkpgghXSQLftlbWXR

Active Submissions

Search: jcoemph

5 of 17

Doni Widyandana <widyardana@ugm.ac.id> to me
 Mon, Jan 3, 1:30 PM

Dear Tisa salma muthiah:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Community Empowerment for Health, "WhatsApp-based Complementary Feeding Education and Counselling for Adolescent Mothers at Banguntapan III Public Health Center".

Our decision is: **Revisions Required**

Reviewer A:

General Comment:
 This is an interesting article about public health intervention with very specific target, the neglected one. Authors had discussed the result clearly. In addition, authors need to emphasize the implication of this study for the public health intervention.

Introduction:
 ok

Methodology:
 Readers need to make sure that the questionnaire item was in-line with the training material/contents. Unfortunately, those information was lacking.

[jcoemph] Editor Decision - tisa... x Active Submissions x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jcoemph/FMfcgzGmtFJQkFqckpghXSQLftlbWXR

Gmail

Compose

Mail 99+

Chat

Spaces

Inbox 2,579

Starred

Snoozed

Important

Sent

Drafts 9

Categories

More

Labels +

Search: jcoemph

Active

5 of 17

How many cadres who involved in this intervention ? They were trained cadres. Could you please explain in detail ?

Results:
It will be great if authors describe the counselling process (i.e. the participant response toward the message), include the frequently question or topic that had been discussed in the intervention process.

Discussion:
The strong and weakness of this study need to be discuss. Furthermore, authors need to emphasize the implication for public health intervention of this paper.

Recommendations:
Accepted with minor revision

Reviewer B:

General Comment:
the topic is still have benefit to solve problem in community

Introduction:
clearly written why this activity is needed

Type here to search

24°C 15:18 16/11/2022

[jcoemph] Editor Decision - tisa... x Active Submissions x +

mail.google.com/mail/u/0/#search/jcoemph/FMfcgzGmtFJQkFqckpghXSQLftlbWXR

Gmail

Compose

Mail 99+

Chat

Spaces

Inbox 2,579

Starred

Snoozed

Important

Sent

Drafts 9

Categories

More

Labels +

Search: jcoemph

Active

5 of 17

References:
please see author guidelines/ template for author how to write reference with one author

Recommendations:
Accepted with minor revision

Please revise your manuscript based on reviewer's recommendation. After you finished, kindly submit it to our online journal system with the rebuttal letter before February 3, 2022.

We thank you for submitting your valuable research work to the Journal of Community Empowerment for Health.

Best regards,
Doni Widyandana
Chief Editor of JCOEMPH

Journal of Community Empowerment for Health
<https://jurnal.ugm.ac.id/jcoemph>

Type here to search

24°C 15:18 16/11/2022

Fwd: Permohonan Editor Decisi

Journal of Community Empowerment for Health UGM

Dear Ibu Tisa

Sehubungan dengan akan terbitnya naskah Ibu untuk Issue 5 Vol 1, berikut pertimbangan editor untuk merevisi terlebih dahulu naskah Ibu:

----- Forwarded message -----

Dear **JCOEMPH**,

Berikut catatan krusial kami yang menjadi isu penting, meskipun peneliti telah merespon dengan baik komentar reviewer;

1. Justifikasi sample size. Dalam abstrak tertulis 10, di hasil 13 meski perhitungan 18. Meski dijelaskan dlm Figure 1 tp ini sangat membingungkan pembaca, apalagi yg hanya shortcut reading. Either 18, 13 or 10 ini sangat kecil untuk penelitian intervensi. Sample size berkaitan dgn power dan peneliti tidak menjelaskan detail justifikasi knp hrs 18. Jika yg diolah adalah 10, tentu ini tdk cukup kuat krn asumsi awal perlu minimal 18 (meskipun lagi, ini sangat kecil). Akan sangat baik jika peneliti bisa menambahkan jumlah sampel dalam penelitian ini.
2. Hasil uji normalitas: Saya cukup kaget jk hasil uji normalitas dgn SW menunjukkan hasil terdistribusi normal mengingat jumlah sampel hanya 10 (asumsi sy 10 yg diolah krn yg full dataset hanya 10 orang, perlu dilampirkan hasilnya dlm lampiran). Data pengetahuan apa yg dipakai? pre atau post? perlu info detail. Bagaimana dgn data praktik, mengapa yg dites normalitas hanya data pengetahuan? perlu justifikasi dan secara konsep dependent variable hrs dilakukan uji normalitas

3. Intervensi. Informasi intervensi sdh ditambahkan, namun pertanyaan mendasar terkait dose of intervention. mengapa hanya dilakukan 8 hari? apa alasannya.
4. Instrument yg digunakan. Dituliskan menggunakan tool adopsi dr IYCF dengan sitasi no 12. Apa nama instrumen digunakan? jika sy tidak salah memahami IYCF adalah modul pelatihan bukan instrument. Tentunya dalam adopsi instrument tidak serta merta menyusun menjadi pertanyaan lalu dicoba lalu dibilang valid dan reliable, perlu prosedur instrument development. Referensi no 12 memang sejalan dgn penelitian, tp bukan riset instrument development atau hasil uji instrument. Disini keabsahan dan keajegan instrumen menjadi pertanyaan besar. Jk tool msh belum clear validitas dan reliabilitas nya bagaimana dgn hasilnya? konsekuensinya cukup signifikan untuk riset berbasis kuesioner. Setidaknya perlu detail, informasi instrumen, berapa item, validitas dan reliabilitasnya, serta bagaimana menginterpretasikannya. di bagian praktik ada kategorisasi baik, cukup, buruk (di bagian metode tdk dijelaskan, yg seharusnya wajib diterangkan, namun di hasil tetiba muncul, sekali lagi membuat bingung pembaca). Yg menjadi konsen apa dasar penentuan kategorisasi ini? siapa yg menilai, responden atau kader? Jika tidak ada standard, baik menurut A belum tentu sama dengan pandangan B. Jadi bagaimana dgn attrition bias? bagaimana memastikan ini homogen?
5. Saya masih kesulitan memahami table 4 yg notabene harusnya self-explanation. Perlu informasi dim diskripsi, tidak hanya mengatakan ada perubahan dan tidak significant. Yang lebih penting, apa makna atau implikasi yg perlu dibahas dalam diskusi.
6. English proofreading. Tolong dilakukan English proofreading sebelum disubmit atau resubmit.

Melihat beberapa catatan diatas, saya menyarankan untuk mengembalikan kepada peneliti untuk direvisi dan direspon.
Topik menarik namun metodologi perlu banyak perbaikan.

Matur nuwun
Anggi Lukman Wicaksana, RN, BN, MS
Faculty Member
Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Faculty of Medicine, Public Health and Nursing, Universitas Gadjah Mada
Gd. Ismangoen 2F Jl. Farmako, Sekip Utara, Sleman Yogyakarta 55281

[Email Terkirim - tisa.muthiah@j...](#) |
 [Edit Item: Artikel Dosen #37519](#) |
 [Sistem Informasi Manajemen P...](#) |
 #67364 Summary

[jurnal.ugm.ac.id/jcoemph/author/submission/67364](#)

[Maps](#) |
 [Reference](#) |
 [Draft Kuesioner PD...](#) |
 [GizKOT](#) |
 [PPG](#) |
 [BioMan](#) |
 [JabFung](#) |
 [Ebook Gratis RAHA...](#) |
 [Plagiasi UAD](#)

JOURNAL OF COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT FOR HEALTH

JCOEMPH
ISSN 2655-0164 (online)
 ISSN 2654-8283 (print)

[Home](#) |
 [About](#) |
 [User Home](#) |
 [Search](#) |
 [Current](#) |
 [Archives](#) |
 [Announcements](#) |
 [Statistics](#) |
 [Indexing & Abstracting](#) |
 [History](#) |
 [Contact](#)

[Home](#) > [User](#) > [Author](#) > [Submissions](#) > #67364 > Summary

#67364 Summary

[SUMMARY](#) |
 [REVIEW](#) |
 [EDITING](#)

Submission

Authors	Tisa Salma Muthiah, Riris Andono Ahmad, Digna Niken Purwaningrum
Title	WhatsApp-based complementary feeding education and counselling for adolescent mothers: A one-group pre-post study
Original file	#7364-202495-1-04.DOCX 2021-07-05
Supp. files	None
Submitter	tisa.salma.muthiah ^{ORCID}
Date submitted	July 5, 2021 - 05:16 AM
Section	Original Research Articles
Editor	Anggi Wikaksana ^{ORCID}
Abstract Views	566

Status

Status	Published - Vol 5, No 1 (2022)
Initiated	2022-04-23
Last modified	2022-06-06

Submission Metadata

Authors

Name	Tisa Salma Muthiah ^{ORCID}
------	-------------------------------------

Focus & Scope

[Author Guidelines](#)

[Author Fees](#)

[Online Submission](#)

[Statement of Originality](#)

[Copyright Transfer Form](#)

[Publication Ethics](#)

[Screening For Plagiarism](#)

[Editorial Board](#)

[Peer Reviewers](#)

[Order Journal](#)

[Visitor Statistics](#)

USER

You are logged in as...
tisa.muthiah

- [My Journals](#)
- [My Profile](#)
- [Log Out](#)

CITATION ANALYSIS

Type here to search |
 24°C |
 15:15 |
 16/11/2022