




Dwi Santoso <dwiuad@gmail.com>

to me ▾

 Jan 11, 2023, 8:31 AM (1 day ago)



Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dwi Santoso <dwiuad@gmail.com>
Date: 23 June 2021 10.22.54. AM GMT+7
To: Editorial team <ijele@ascee.org>
Cc: Novita Indriani <novitaindriani@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: [IJELE] Editor Decision

Deal all,
Please find the revision as suggested

Many thanks

Dwi Santoso

Pada tanggal Kam, 3 Jun 2021 pukul 06.10 Dwi Santoso <dwiuad@gmail.com> menulis:

Dear editorial team,

Thanks for your comments regarding my article. I will have a look and consider all the comments and suggestions closely related to the topics discussed.

Many thanks.

Best regards

Dwi Santoso

Pada tanggal Sen, 17 Mei 2021 pukul 05.35 Editorial team <jjele@ascee.org> menulis:

Dwi Santoso:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal of Education and Learning, "The positive politeness strategies used on whatsapp conversation between student and teacher in english learning at al-luthfah islamic school".

Our decision is: Revisions Required

Please revise your paper according to the reviewers comments below and also the comments in the soft-copy of your article (file attached), then highlight in yellow the revised part.

If you have any questions, please let us know

Thank you very much

Best regards

Editorial team

Editorial Office, International Journal of Education and Learning

jjele@ascee.org

Reviewer A:

Significance (- How important is the work reported? Does it attack an important/difficult problem (as opposed to a peripheral/simple one)? - Does the approach offer to advance the state of the art? - Does it involve or synthesize ideas, methods, approaches from multiple disciplines? - Does it have interesting implications for multiple disciplines?)::

Fair

Originality (- Is this a new issue? Is this a novel approach to an issue? - Is this a novel combination of familiar ideas/techniques/methods/approaches? - Does the paper point out differences from related research? - Does the paper properly situate itself with respect to previous work?)::

Fair

Quality (- Is the paper technically sound? How are its claims backed up? - Does it carefully evaluate the strengths and limitations of its contribution?)::

Fair

Clarity (- Is the paper clearly written? Does it motivate the research? Does it describe clearly the methods employed (e.g., experimental procedures, algorithms, analytical tools), if any? - Are the results, if any, described and evaluated thoroughly? - Is the paper organized in a sensible and logical fashion?)::

Fair

Relevance (- Is the paper closely related to the theme of the journal (broadly conceived)? - Is the content interesting enough to a broad audience? - Is the paper readable in a multi-disciplinary context?)::

Good

Technical (1): Structure of the paper:

Poor

Technical (2): Standard of English:

Fair

Technical (3): Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper:

Poor

Technical (4): Use and number of keywords/key phrases:

Good

Technical (5): Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables:

Poor

Technical (6): Discussion and conclusions:

Fair

Technical (7): Reference list, adequate and correctly cited:

Excellent

Explanations for the above ratings and other general comments on major issues:

The paper does not provide a clear gap. The authors are very weak in stating what progress reports in the field say about the topic.

Comments on the minor details of the article:

The way of presenting data is not structurally organized. The worst is that the discussion section is vague in terms of elaborating ideas regarding the previous findings, the authors' current findings, and the theory used.

Reviewer B:

Significance (- How important is the work reported? Does it attack an important/difficult problem (as opposed to a peripheral/simple one)? - Does the approach offer to advance the state of the art? - Does it involve or synthesize ideas, methods, approaches from multiple disciplines? - Does it have interesting implications for multiple disciplines?)::

Good

Originality (- Is this a new issue? Is this a novel approach to an issue? - Is this a novel combination of familiar ideas/techniques/methods/approaches? - Does the paper point out differences from related research? - Does the paper properly situate itself with respect to previous work?)::

Good

Quality (- Is the paper technically sound? How are its claims backed up? - Does it carefully evaluate the strengths and limitations of its contribution?)::

Fair

Clarity (- Is the paper clearly written? Does it motivate the research? Does it describe clearly the methods employed (e.g., experimental procedures, algorithms, analytical tools), if any? - Are the results, if any, described and evaluated thoroughly? - Is the paper organized in a sensible and logical fashion?)::

Good

Relevance (- Is the paper closely related to the theme of the journal (broadly conceived)? - Is the content interesting enough to a broad audience? - Is the paper readable in a multi-disciplinary context?)::

Good

Technical (1): Structure of the paper:

Fair

Technical (2): Standard of English:

Fair

Technical (3): Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper:

Fair

Technical (4): Use and number of keywords/key phrases:

Good

Technical (5): Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables:

Fair

Technical (6): Discussion and conclusions:

Good

Technical (7): Reference list, adequate and correctly cited:

Good

Explanations for the above ratings and other general comments on major issues:

the paper is interesting but it needs revisions on some sections

Comments on the minor details of the article:

should be revised based on notes on the file

Significance (- How important is the work reported? Does it attack an important/difficult problem (as opposed to a peripheral/simple one)? - Does the approach offer to advance the state of the art? - Does it involve or synthesize ideas, methods, approaches from multiple disciplines? - Does it have interesting implications for multiple disciplines?)::

Good

Originality (- Is this a new issue? Is this a novel approach to an issue? - Is this a novel combination of familiar ideas/techniques/methods/approaches? - Does the paper point out differences from related research? - Does the paper properly situate itself with respect to previous work?)::

Good

Quality (- Is the paper technically sound? How are its claims backed up? - Does it carefully evaluate the strengths and limitations of its contribution?)::

Good

Clarity (- Is the paper clearly written? Does it motivate the research? Does it describe clearly the methods employed (e.g., experimental procedures, algorithms, analytical tools), if any? - Are the results, if any, described and evaluated thoroughly? - Is the paper organized in a sensible and logical fashion?)::

Good

Relevance (- Is the paper closely related to the theme of the journal (broadly conceived)? - Is the content interesting enough to a broad audience? - Is the paper readable in a multi-disciplinary context?)::

Good

Technical (1): Structure of the paper:

Good

Technical (2): Standard of English:

Good

Technical (3): Appropriateness of abstract as a description of the paper:

Fair

Technical (4): Use and number of keywords/key phrases:

Good

Technical (5): Relevance and clarity of drawings, graphs and tables:

Fair

Technical (6): Discussion and conclusions:

Fair

Technical (7): Reference list, adequate and correctly cited:

Good

Explanations for the above ratings and other general comments on major issues:

good

Comments on the minor details of the article:

novelty of the research hasn't declared clearly



Dwi Santoso <dwiuad@gmail.com>

to me ▾

Wed, Jan 11, 8:32 AM (1 day ago)



Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dwi Santoso <dwiuad@gmail.com>
Date: 5 August 2021 11.03.34. AM GMT+7
To: Editorial team <ijele@ascee.org>
Cc: Novita Indriani <novitaindriani@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: [IJELE] Editor Decision

Thanks heaps for the nice decision.
Sincerely yours

Dwi Santoso

Sent from my iPhone

On 4 Aug 2021, at 9.05 PM, Editorial team <ijele@ascee.org> wrote:

Dwi Santoso:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to International Journal of Education and Learning, "The positive politeness strategies used on whatsapp conversation between student and teacher in english learning at al-luthfah islamic school".

Our decision is to: Accept Submission | Your paper will be published in Vol 3 No 2 2021

Editorial team

Editorial Office, International Journal of Education and Learning

ijele@ascee.org

International Journal of Education and Learning

<http://pubs2.ascee.org/index.php/ijele>