



Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

Similarity Found: 7%

Date: Sunday, April 24, 2022

Statistics: 258 words Plagiarized / 3638 Total words

Remarks: Low Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Optional Improvement.

How **Students Solves PISA Tasks: An Overview of Students** ' Mathematical Literacy 1Aan Hendroanto, 1Afit Istiandaru, 2Nisa Syakrina, 1Fariz Setyawan, 1Rully Charitas Indra Prahmana, 3Agus Sofyan Eka Hidayat 1Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Jl. Ringroad Selatan, Tamanan, **Bantul, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta, Indonesia** 2SMP N 3 Mrebet, Jl.

Raya Karangnangka, Mrebet, Purbalingga, Indonesia 3Monash University, Scenic Blvd & Wellington Road, Clayton VIC 3800, Australia e-mail: aan.hendroanto@pmat.uad.ac.id

Abstrak Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menginvestigasi bagaimana mahasiswa **Pendidikan Matematika Universitas Ahmad Dahlan** menyelesaikan permasalahan PISA. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode deskriptif dengan pendekatan kualitatif dan didukung dengan data kuantitatif.

Sebanyak 20 mahasiswa baru **program studi Pendidikan Matematika Universitas Ahmad Dahlan** tahun akademik 2016/2017 berpartisipasi sebagai subjek penelitian ini. Instrumen PISA tahun 2012 dialihbahasakan ke Bahasa Indonesia dan selanjutnya digunakan untuk mengumpulkan data kemampuan literasi matematika dan mengidentifikasi kesulitan mahasiswa. Seluruh data dianalisis berdasarkan kerangka PISA. Secara umum, sebanyak 65.7% mahasiswa mampu memahami permasalahan yang diberikan dan membuat strategi pemecahan masalah. Namun demikian, hanya 46.9% mahasiswa yang menjawab dengan benar.

Selain itu, sebanyak 36.8% mahasiswa memahami permasalahan level 6 dan 23.7% diantaranya menjawab pertanyaan dengan benar. Mahasiswa memiliki aktivitas yang baik dalam proses interpretasi terhadap permasalahan individu maupun kelompok. Meskipun demikian, mahasiswa mengalami kesulitan dalam proses merumuskan dan mengerjakan permasalahan yang diberikan terutama yang berkaitan dengan konteks

kerja dan sains.

Kata kunci: PISA, literasi matematika, penelitian deskriptif
Abstract This article aims to investigate how mathematics education students in Universitas Ahmad Dahlan solve PISA mathematics problems. This research used the descriptive method with the qualitative approach and supported with quantitative data. Research subjects were 20 new students of mathematics education at Universitas Ahmad Dahlan in the 2016/2017 academic year.

We translated the 2012 PISA instrument and used it to test the mathematical literacy skills of the students. The result shows that, in general, 65.7% of students were able to understand the problems and plan their strategies to solve them. Meanwhile, only 46.9% among them could answer correctly. In addition, only 36.8% of the students were able to understand the level 6 problems while only 23.7% among them answered correctly.

The students performed well in the interpretation process towards the problems with individual and social contexts. However, they found difficulties in the formulation and employment process of the problems, especially in the work and scientific context.

Keywords: PISA, mathematical literacy, descriptive research
How to Cite: Hendroanto, A., Istiandaru, A., Syakrina, N., Setyawan, F., Prahmana, R. C. I.,

& Hidayat, A. S. E. (2018). How Students Solves PISA Tasks: An Overview of Mathematical Literacy. *International Journal on Emerging Mathematics Education*, 2(2), 129-138. <http://dx.doi.org/10.12928/ijeme.v2i2.10713> ? P-ISSN: 2549-4996 | E-ISSN: 2548-5806
IJEME, Vol. 2, No. 2, September 2018, 129-138
130 INTRODUCTION Based on the results of the recent PISA (Programme for International Student Assessment) evaluations organized by the OECD (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development) in 2000 and 2003, Indonesia ranked 3rd in the bottom of all participating countries in terms of the student mathematical literacy ability (Stacey, 2014).

On the other hand, along with the results released by OECD, Indonesian students ranked 3rd in terms of their mathematical literacy (Stacey, 2014). The motivation of the Indonesian students to study mathematics is far above the average of all participating countries and also above high-ranking countries such as Finland, Japan, and Shanghai (China). These results are quite surprising, especially for experts and researchers in mathematics education.

One of the speculations of why this happened is unfavorable learning strategies and methods in Indonesia (Hendroanto, 2018a). Many mathematics teachers still use

traditional approach since their practice tends to be a delivering information speech (Hidayah et al., 2017). This is one of the factors that affect the students' mathematics literacy skill.

The implementation of strategies and methods of learning becomes successful when it could facilitate the students to reach the learning goals, while the success depends on the competence of teachers (Pepin et al., 2017; Istiandaru et al., 2017; Istiandaru & Istihapsari, 2017). If a learning aims to promote the students' ability, then the teachers should have good mathematical literacy.

Therefore, teachers have to also possess sufficient mathematical literacy skill so that the purpose of the developed learning activities can be achieved. This is supported by Stacey (2014) who suggests that the educational system in the high achiever countries in PISA are focusing more towards their teacher training. Considering these facts, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan (UAD) as one of the teacher training university in Indonesia, certainly should be able to prepare prospective mathematics teachers so that they have good mathematical literacy.

Mathematical literacy is defined as an ability to formulate, to use, and to interpret various mathematics problems in daily life (OECD, 2013). There are three main abilities called mathematical processes. They are (1) the ability to formulate mathematics problems, (2) the ability to use appropriate mathematical strategies, and (3) the ability to interpret the solution.

In PISA, all these mathematical processes are integrated with mathematical four contents such as change and relationship, space and shape, quantity, and uncertainty and data. They are also integrated with four types of mathematical context (Kamaliyah et al., 2013; Kohar et al., 2014). In order to prepare prospective teachers with good mathematical literacy based on PISA framework, a deep research on the ability of their current mathematical literacy needs to be done in order to obtain an insight and description of their difficulties to further support them.

This information is needed to design learning materials through many processes, one of which is didactical phenomenology (Hendroanto, 2018b). The recent study conducted by Rusmining (2017) in UAD is only focused on investigating the ability of mathematics students in UAD to study their difficulties on solving problems. However, it is not described thoroughly to overview student ability.

Therefore, to further investigate the ability of students in mathematics education, a study on student mathematical learning types, mathematical processes, level of problems,

clusters, and many more, is needed. The IJEME ISSN: 2549-4996 ? **How Students Solves PISA Tasks: An Overview** Study Hendroanto, Istiandaru, Syakrina, Setyawan, Prahmana, & Hidayat 131 present research on mathematical literacy in mathematics education program, UAD. For these purposes, this study uses PISA 2012 items as a research instrument.

This is because the PISA questions are developed to test the participants' mathematical literacy ability and include all types of mathematical processes and abilities. PISA also uses a variety of contexts and mathematical contents to enable a deeper investigation of the difficulties faced by students in developing their mathematical literacy.

RESEARCH METHOD **This research was conducted** in the Mathematics Education Department of Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, which is located at Jalan Ringroad Selatan, Tamanan, Bantul, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. The **research subjects were 20 new enrolled students in the 2016/2017 academic year.** These 20 students have various level of mathematics ability. This study is a descriptive qualitative research.

However, we also used quantitative data with numbers and basic statistics to support arguments and findings in the discussion. Data collection techniques in this study were questionnaire in the form of test, interview, and documentation. The test questionnaire used is PISA 2012 items that have been translated and adapted to local culture and validated by experts.

Figure 1, Figure 2, and Figure 3 show some of the examples of the items that have been adapted from original ISAProb. In the validity and reliability of data analysis, we conducted a peer review assessment of student. Figure 1 presents the problem addressed to the participants which shows a design of an apartment with the details of the rooms.

Normally, **to estimate the total** area of the apartment, students should find the size of each room, find **the area of the** rooms, and then sum up all of them. The students, however, were challenged to find only four lengths to estimate the whole **area of the apartment** as it would be the most efficient method to find the total area, and then provide the reason. Figure 1. Example of problem about apartment purchase ? **P-ISSN: 2549-4996 | E-ISSN: 2548-5806 IJEME, Vol. 2, No.**

2, September 2018, 129-138 132 Figure 2 presents a problem of sailing ship. The owner of the sailing ship wants to equip the **ship with a kite sail** since it can reduce the cost of fuel. **The size of the ship** is given and also the price of the installation.

The students were asked to estimate the year when the saving of fuel budget could

substitute the price of kite installation. Figure 3 presents a problem of selecting a car. There are four types of car with each specification. The students were asked to determine the car with the smallest capacity. Overall, there are 11 items with different context and types.

Each item, sometimes, has more than two questions. Students did the work based on the translated problem in Bahasa. However, we did not change the numbers because they have some purpose. Only the currency is also changed into the US dollar since it is already well known among students. Figure 2. Example of problem about sailing ships Figure 3.

Example of problem about choosing cars IJEME ISSN: 2549-4996 ? **How Students Solves PISA Tasks: An** Oview Stu' heicLity Hendroanto, Istiandaru, Syakrina, Setyawan, Prahmana, & Hidayat 133 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Overview of the Students'esul There are three types of mathematical processes in the definition of mathematical literacy. **They are (1) process** of formulating, (2) process of employing, and (3) process of interpreting (OECD, 2013; Wijaya et al., 2014).

In the framework of PISA in 2012, the questions consisted of 7 problems with a focus on the formulating process, 14 problems with the focus on the process of employing and 4 problems on the process of interpretation. We analyzed and identified the students' work based on this three kind of processes. The overall result can be seen in Table 1. Table 1. PISA test result based on three mathematical processes

Type of Processes	Understand	Correct	Wrong	Formulate	Employ	Interpret
	52.6%	33.1%	66.9%	66.5%	43.6%	56.4%
	85.5%	82.9%	17.1%			

Table 1 indicates that the students showed a very good result on the problem with the interpretation process. There were 82.9% of the students who could answer the problem correctly.

While in the problems with the process of formulating and the process of employing, the students might have more difficulties because it was only 33.1% and 43.6% respectively of all students who were able to solve the problem correctly. In this point of view, the students have good performance on problems related to the interpreting process.

On the contrary, the problems related to the formulating process are the most difficult to understand and to solve for the students. PISA items also consist of various mathematical contents. Based on its framework, there are (1) change and relationship, (2) space and shape, (3) quantity, and (4) uncertainty and data (OECD, 2013; Wijaya et al., 2014).

If we look deeper into the instrument, there are 8 questions about space and shape, 7 questions about change and relationship, 4 questions about uncertainty and data, and 6 problems related to quantity. Table 2 shows the result of the student work analyzed based on the mathematical contents mentioned above. Table 2. PISA test result based on mathematical content

Content	Understand	Correct	Wrong
Space and Shape	50.7%	38.2%	61.8%
Change and Relationship	67.7%	39.8%	60.2%
Uncertainty and Data	94.7%	85.5%	14.5%
Quantity	64%	41.2%	58.8%

Based on Table 2, the highest achievement is in problems related to uncertainty and data with 85.5% of the students answered correctly.

The other mathematical content problems have almost the same level of result where approximately 60% of students cannot answer the problem correctly. Furthermore, PISA 2012 were constructed based on 4 types of contexts, namely (1) individual, (2) work, (3) social, and (4) scientific (OECD, 2013). It also involved 4 aspects of mathematical content: (1) space and shape, (2) change and relationship, (3) uncertainty and data, and (4) quantity (De Lange, 2006; OECD, 2013).

The four types of mathematical contexts are scattered in the PISA problems with the proportions of 7 ? P-ISSN: 2549-4996 | E-ISSN: 2548-5806 IJEME, Vol. 2, No. 2, September 2018, 129-138 134 questions in the context of individuals, 5 questions in the context of work, 7 questions in the social context, and 6 questions in the scientific context. Tabtuden based on four types of contexts

Type of Contexts	Understand	Correct	Wrong
Individual	74.4%	59.4%	40.6%
Work	57.9%	29.5%	70.5%
Social	80.5%	65.4%	34.6%
Scientific	44.7%	25.4%	74.6%

From the results in Table 3, we found that the students do not experience much difficulty in individual and social contexts.

However, in the work and scientific context, the percentage of the students' correct answers is very low at 29.5% and 25.4% respectively. These results indicate that the students have difficulties to understand the problem in the professional work and scientific world which are more complex than the other contexts.

This should be a concern since the students in mathematics education are projected to be professional teachers and should be capable to deal with similar situations in the context of work and scientific issues. In terms of PISA level, the analysis shows that the students are only able to answer most of the questions in the range of level 1 to level 3.

This result can be seen in Table 4 where 82.5% students could answer the problems correctly at level 1, 70.2% at level 2, and 73.7% at level 3. Only 36.8% of the students were able to solve the level 6 problems and 40% of students were successful at level 4 and 5. These results indicate that students were still less capable in selecting, comparing, and evaluating strategies to solve complex problems and using broad thinking and

reasoning, then connecting knowledge and skills effectively to mathematics according to the situation at hand (OECD, 2013; Wijaya et al., 2014). Tabel 4.

Students' test result by the level of the problem

Level	Understand	Correct	Wrong
Level 1	82.5%	71.9%	28.1%
Level 2	70.2%	57.9%	42.1%
Level 3	73.7%	51.3%	48.7%
Level 4	56.1%	33.3%	66.7%
Level 5	47.4%	17.5%	82.5%
Level 6	36.8%	23.7%	76.3%

PISA distinguishes 3 clusters/groups in PISA questions: (1) reproduction, (2) connection, and (3) reflection (Wijaya et al., 2014).

In the reproduction group, students are able to recognize facts, objects and their properties, equivalent, using routine procedures, standard algorithms, and technical skills. While in the reflection group, students can make connections between ideas in mathematics and integrated information to solve a problem. In the reflection group, students are required to be able to identify and find the mathematical ideas behind the context of the given problem.

The reflection competence is the highest level in PISA. In the instrument used in this study, there 9 items categorized as reproduction problem, 10 items as connection problems and 6 items as reflection problem. Table 5 presents the result of students' works based on cluster groups.

IJEME ISSN: 2549-4996 ? How Students Solves PISA Tasks: An Overview of Students' Ability Hendroanto, Istiandaru, Syakrina, Setyawan, Prahmana, & Hidayat 135

Cluster	Understand	Correct	Wrong
Reproduction	73.7%	59.1%	40.9%
Connection	71.6%	49.5%	50.5%
Reflection	43.9%	24.6%	75.4%

From the analysis result in Table 5, it is found that most of the students are in the category of a cluster of reproduction and connection where each of them is 73.7% and 71.6% respectively. However, the students have not been very good at solving the problems in the cluster because only 59.1% and 49.5% in each cluster who could solve the problems correctly. The reflection cluster became the lowest group because only 43.9% of the students were able to understand and only 24.6% were able to answer the problem correctly.

Example of Students' Answers The analysis of students' answers supports the findings of student mathematical literacy (Hendroanto, 2018a). Many students' answers were far from what was asked. One of the examples can be seen in Figure 4. The question asked students to select only 4 sides of an apartment to be measured so that they can calculate its area (see Figure 1).

In the answer, many students actually look for the area of the apartment. This finding indicates that students were difficult to understand the problem and struggled to

formulating process. Look at student's answer in Figure 5, the problem asked students to calculate how many years they need to get the amount of money to install a kite system on a ship (see Figure 2).

There are students who actually use 3,500,000 as the amount of money for using fuels in one year while that number actually is the volume of fuels needed per year. We can clearly see that students are struggling to select relevant information **so that they can** solve the problem. This is one of the high-level questions because of the number of information presented.

In this case, students mostly fail to solve high-level question because they cannot select relevant information. Figure 4 .t's Translation: Calculating **the area of the apartment** using length \times ? **P-ISSN: 2549-4996 | E-ISSN: 2548-5806 IJEME, Vol. 2, No. 2, September 2018, 129-138** 136 Lack of understanding and digesting the information provided on the problem is also a concern (see Figure 6).

For example in Figure 3, they are asked to choose which car has the smallest machine capacity. Many students are wrong in picking information to compare the machines. Instead of comparing the capacity of each machine, they answered the car with medium or large capacity because they compare the other aspects. Actually, this is a trivial error but the impact is quite significant in determining the final result.

Although this is an easy question, some students are failed to answer correctly. In relation with the interpretation process and mathematical content of change and data, some students have low literacy in this kind of problem. **In addition to the** above examples, the creativity of student to choose a strategy or a method to solve the problem is also lacking.

Many students cannot determine the method or strategy to solve the problem and just write "confused" on the answer sheet. For instance, in one of the questions, the student should look for the length of the kite strap but they were not able to find the right method to complete. In another problem of relating the circles under the context of Bianglala, they write on the answer sheet that they forgot the formula to solve the problem while this problem is actually can be solved even without a formula. But they just give up trying a method or a strategy.

On the other problem, students are unable to find a method to calculate **the speed of the** wind on kites which is 25% faster than **the speed of the** wind on the vessel. In the end, they concluded that 25% of the vessel's speed is the answer. Although these questions are categorized as easy for higher education students, they Figure 5 .t's an inn

Translation: The price of the fuel is 0,42 dollar per liter Fuel saving is 20% of 3.500.000 dollar Instalment cost is 2.500.000 dollar Answer: 4 years needed to cover the instalment cost × Translation: if the price of the fuel per year is 3.500.000 liter, then per year the cost is 8 million dollar. = dollar (fuel saving per year if using the kite.)

Then after three and a half year can cover the installment cost Figure 6 Which car has the smallest machine capacity? IJEME ISSN: 2549-4996 ? **How Students Solves PISA Tasks: An** Oview Stu' heicLity Hendroanto, Istiandaru, Syakrina, Setyawan, Prahmana, & Hidayat 137 still have many difficulties. This finding is related to the process ability of the students. They are struggled to choose which strategy or method to solve the problems.

From the analysis result of student's answer, we also have some findings. Firstly, students are less able to perform the formulation process because this process requires good reasoning ability to identify problems, create mathematical models and select information and elements involved. In the use of information to solve the problem, there are still many errors found.

Secondly, the lack of understanding of students in digesting the information given to the problem and process it. Many students are wrong in using methods or selecting information that will be used. Finally, the students' creativity ability is still lacking, so many students cannot determine the method or strategy to solve the problem.

Though the problems faced include easy categories for student level. CONCLUSION In general, the mathematical literacy of the **new students of mathematics education** department of **Universitas Ahmad Dahlan in the 2016/2017 academic year** is low. They are less capable **to understand the problem** and determine how to solve the given problem. For a college student, this result is very less than expected.

Moreover, if we look at the problem, PISA was actually intended for school students. Meanwhile, in terms of PISA level, students are mostly located in the range of level 1 to level 3. Only some students managed to level 5 and 6. In terms of aspects of mathematical literacy, students demonstrated good performance on problems with the interpretation process, but in the process of formulating and process of employment, students still found difficulties. Good performance is also found in **problems with individual and social contexts.**

However, in the work context and scientific context, students are not good enough in understanding and solving the problems. This should be a concern since **students of mathematics education** themselves are projected to be professional teachers who are

capable of facing similar situations **in the context of** work and scientific issues. Most students are grouped in the clusters of reproduction and connection.

However, they still has not been very good at solving the problems within these clusters correctly. The reflection cluster becomes the lowest because few students are able to understand and answer correctly the question in this cluster.

INTERNET SOURCES:

<1% - lewatmana.com › lokasi › 21861
<1% - repository.unpas.ac.id › 33588 › 1
<1% - pmat.uad.ac.id › artikel-pendidikan-matematika
2% - journal.uad.ac.id › index › IJEME
1% - core.ac.uk › display › 295349287
<1% - zuscholars.zu.ac.ae › cgi › viewcontent
<1% - files.eric.ed.gov › fulltext › EJ1183972
<1% - www2.ed.gov › about › inits
<1% - files.eric.ed.gov › fulltext › EJ1209459
<1% - www.edarabia.com › ahmad-dahlan-university
<1% - ijtmer.saintispub.com › index › ijtmer
<1% - files.eric.ed.gov › fulltext › EJ1204856
<1% - www.atlantis-press.com › article › 125941453
<1% - pisa2022-maths.oecd.org › ca › index
<1% - www.semanticscholar.org › paper › How-Students-Solves
<1% - seminar.uny.ac.id › icriems › sites
<1% - www.chegg.com › homework-help › questions-and
<1% - www.gigacalculator.com › calculators › square
<1% - www.oecd.org › pisa › test
<1% - bluefieldhigh.ca › 2018 › 03
<1% - www.maritimemanual.com › ship-sizes-classification
<1% - 103.19.180.66 › index › IJEME
<1% - www.transportation.gov › sites › dot
<1% - iopscience.iop.org › 1742/6596/895-1 › 012082
<1% - www.cnn.com › 2021/11/18 › world
<1% - www.academia.edu › es › 54114890
<1% - www.frontiersin.org › articles › 10
<1% - alg.manifoldapp.org › read › introduction-to-art
<1% - www.questionpro.com › blog › student-survey
<1% - ed.stanford.edu › news › stanford-researchers-find
<1% - www.teachhub.com › classroom-activities › 2017/05/10

<1% - byjus.com › govt-exams › speed-time-distance

<1% - files.eric.ed.gov › fulltext › EJ1230050

<1% - www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov › pmc › articles