

Editor/Author Correspondence

Editor Subject: [IJPHS] Editor Decision

[DELETE](#)

2022-12-28 09:31 AM
The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Solikhah Solikhah,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission entitled "SARS-Cov-2 Transmission among Healthcare Workers and Related Potential Stigma during COVID-19 Pandemic in Batam, Indonesia" to International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS), a peer-reviewed and an OPEN ACCESS journal that makes significant contributions to major areas of public health science.

Our decision is to revisions

The goal of your revised paper is to describe novel technical results.

A high quality paper MUST has:

- (1) a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --> explain in "Introduction" section
- (2) the proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/
- (3) results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.

In preparing your revised paper, you should pay attention to:

1. Please ensure that: all references have been cited in your text; Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text; The references must be presented in numbering and CITATION ORDER is SEQUENTIAL [1], [2], [3], [4],

Please download & study our published papers for your references:

- <http://ijphs.iaescore.com>

- <http://ijere.iaescore.com>

- <http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/edulearn>

- <http://iaescore.com/journals> (other journals)

(Please use "Search" menu under "JOURNAL CONTENT" menu in right side of the site)

2 An Introduction should contain the following three (3) parts:

- Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about.
- The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.
- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. At least, 5 references (recently journal articles) are used in this section.

3. Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate. You should present the comparison between performance of your approach and other researches. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. It is very important to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.

Please submit your revised paper within 6 weeks.

I look forward for hearing from you

Thank you

Best Regards,
Dr. Lina Handayani

The following template should be used for responses to reviewers:

I would like to thank the reviewers for their insightful feedback. All comments from Reviewer 1 are highlighted in yellow, those from Reviewer 2 are highlighted in red, and those from Reviewer 3 are highlighted in green.

Reviewer 1

Comment 1: There are some references that are not required.

Response: We thoroughly updated our references; 5 references were eliminated, and two were replaced by more recent publications.

Comment 2: The presentation of Figures 2 and 3 should be improved.

Response: The necessary adjustments have been made.

Comment 3: Equation (2) seems to be incorrect.

Response: Equation (2) is correct. This can be proven as follows:...

In order to clarify equation 9 in the manuscript, the following remarks have been added... etc.

All changes for reviewer 1 are highlighted in yellow in the main text.

Reviewer 2

Comment 1:

Response:

Comment 2:

Response:

Comment 3:

Response:

All changes for reviewer 2 are highlighted in red in the main text.

Etc.

Such a document clarifies everything and will aid the reviewers in evaluating the work fast. When providing your amended primary document files, you must also upload your corrections statement. Before your manuscript, the declaration of revisions should appear.

Reviewer A:

Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?:
Yes

Is the paper technically sound?:
Yes

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:
Yes

Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words:
no need of change

Is the abstract a clear description of the paper?:
:
Yes

Please suggest change of the abstract
:
no need of change

Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?:
Yes

Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:
Yes

Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:

- 9-10 Excellent - Outstanding
- 7-8 Good
- 5-6 Average
- 3-4 Poor
- 0-2 Very Poor
- :
- 8

Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper)::
please add the discussions

Reviewer E:

Does the paper contain an original contribution to the field?:
Yes

Is the paper technically sound?:
Yes

Does the title of the paper accurately reflect the major focus contribution of this paper?:
Yes

Please suggest change of the title as appropriate within 10 words:
the title of the manuscript accurately reflects the main focus of the research being reported

Is the abstract a clear description of the paper?:
:
Yes

Please suggest change of the abstract
:
The abstract should inform the number of informants involved in the research

Is the paper well written (clear, concise, and well organized)?:
Yes

Are the equations, figures and tables in this journal style, clear, relevant, and are the captions adequate?:
Yes

Please score the paper on a scale of 0 - 10 as per the directions below:

- 9-10 Excellent - Outstanding
- 7-8 Good
- 5-6 Average

3-4 Poor
0-2 Very Poor
:
8

Comments to the Authors (how to improve this paper)::

Abstract:

The abstract should inform the number of informants involved in the research

Introduction:

The novelty of the research has not emerged clearly because the author has not conducted a gap analysis between previous studies (research related to society's negative stigma towards HCWs) and the research that the author reports.

Because the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic has begun to decline and there have been many studies related to COVID-19, the urgency for research needs to be strengthened, especially the urgency of research findings for post-pandemic or future pandemics.

Methods:

The research method was informed in detail by the authors

Results:

the last sentence in the paragraph below Table 1: the name of the virus is missing the letter S

Discussion:

In the discussion, the author should place more emphasis on informing the summary of the findings, comparing these findings with previous research findings that are in line or not in line with the author's findings, and discussing these findings.

The first paragraph should be deleted because the contents of the first paragraph are more suitable to appear in the introduction.

In the last paragraph, the author states: "Some limitations have been acknowledged in this study". However, the author still conveys only one limitation

-
- Ref. from Journal article must be completed with vol., issue, pages, DOI
 - Update references in recent 10 years
 - Ref. in Bahasa must be translated into English in the bracket
 - Cite references in IEEE Style, not APA Style
 - Write biographies of authors after ref. section
 - Complete the ORCID ID for each author in Biographies section.
 - Make sure that each paragraph at least contained three sentences.
 - Each reference must be completed with DOI and can be traced online.
 - Similarity should be no more than 20 percent.
 - Proof read the English to expert.
 - State the research funding and its contract number, if any in the acknowledgment section

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)
<http://ijphs.iaescore.com>

Author Subject: SARS-Cov-2 Transmission among Healthcare Workers and Related Potential Stigma during COVID-19 Pandemic in Batam, Indonesia [DELETE](#)

2023-01-08 09:26 PM
The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Dear Editor

We have revised and sent to the website our revised manuscript with highlight. Thank you for your kindness

Best Regards,

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)
<http://ijphs.iaescore.com>

Editor Subject: [IJPHS] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)

2023-01-09 01:03 PM
The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Solikhah Solikhah,

- Complete the ORCID ID for each author in Biographies section.
 - State the research funding and its contract number, if any in the acknowledgment section
 - Ref. in Bahasa must be translated into English in the bracket
- Ref no. 44, 50 missed the DOI

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)
<http://ijphs.iaescore.com>

Author Subject: SARS-Cov-2 Transmission among Healthcare Workers and Related Potential Stigma during COVID-19 Pandemic in Batam, Indonesia [DELETE](#)

2023-01-09 03:00 PM
The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Dear Editor

We would like to inform that our revised manuscript has been uploaded.
Thank you

Best regards

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)
<http://ijphs.iaescore.com>

Editor Subject: [IJPHS] Editor Decision [DELETE](#)

2023-01-10 12:25 AM
The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

-- Paper ID# 22680

-- Authors must strictly follow the guidelines for authors at <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx>

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Solikhah Solikhah,

It is my great pleasure to inform you that your paper entitled "SARS-Cov-2 Transmission among Healthcare Workers and Related Potential Stigma during COVID-19 Pandemic in Batam, Indonesia" is ACCEPTED and will be published on the International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS). This journal is accredited SINTA 1 by Ministry of Research and Technology/National Research and Innovation Agency, Republic of Indonesia (RISTEK-BRIN) and has ACCEPTED for inclusion (indexing) in Scopus (<https://suggestor.step.scopus.com/progressTracker/?trackingID=D331D503BA1584BF>) since 2020 issues ([https://www.scopus.com/results/results.uri?src=s&st1=&st2=&sot=b&sdt=b&origin=searchbasic&rr=&sl=57&s=SRCTITLE%20\(International%20Journal%20of%20Public%20Health%20Science\).](https://www.scopus.com/results/results.uri?src=s&st1=&st2=&sot=b&sdt=b&origin=searchbasic&rr=&sl=57&s=SRCTITLE%20(International%20Journal%20of%20Public%20Health%20Science).) Congratulations!

Please prepare your final camera-ready paper (in MS Word or LATEX file format) adheres to every detail of the guide of authors (MS Word: <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx>, or <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.rar> for LATEX file format), and check it for spelling/grammatical mistakes. Then you should upload your final paper through our online system (as "author version" under our decision, NOT as new submission).

You should submit your camera-ready paper along with your payment receipt and similarity report (that less than 20%) within 6 weeks.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Thank you

Best Regards,
Dr. Lina Handayani

You should submit all your documents:

1. Camera-ready paper
 2. Similarity report, along with
 3. Your payment evidence
- to email: ijphs@iaescore.com within 6 weeks.

All correspondence should be addressed to the emails (support by phone is not provided).

IMPORTANT!!!

1). PLEASE ADHERE STRICTLY THE GUIDE OF AUTHORS !! <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx> and pay attention to the checklist for preparing your FINAL paper for publication: <http://ijphs.iaescore.com/index.php/IJPHS/about/editorialPolicies#custom-5>

2). It is mandatory to present final paper in the sections structure "IMRADC style":

1. INTRODUCTION
2. The Proposed Method/Framework/Procedure specifically designed (optional)
3. METHOD
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
5. CONCLUSION

See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx>

3). It is mandatory!! Add biographies of authors as our template (include links to the authors' profiles, do not delete any icons in the template). See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx>

--> Provide links for all authors to the 4 icons (Scholar, Scopus, Publons and ORCID)

4). Use different PATTERNS for presenting different results in your graphics (instead of different colors). It is mandatory!! Re-check all your figures. See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx>

5). Please ensure that all references have been cited in your text. Use a tool such as EndNote, Mendeley, or Zotero for reference management and formatting, and choose IEEE style. Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text in square brackets. For example, the first citation [1], the second citation [2], and the third and fourth citations [3], [4]. When citing multiple sources at once, the preferred method is to list each number separately, in its own brackets, using a comma or dash between numbers, as such: [1], [3], [5]. It is not necessary to mention an author's name, pages used, or date of publication in the in-text citation. Instead, refer to the source with a number in a square bracket, e.g. [9], that will then correspond to the full citation in your reference list. Examples of in-text citations:

This theory was first put forward in 1970 [9].

Zadeh [10] has argued that ...

Several recent studies [7], [9], [11]-[15] have suggested that...

... end of the line for my research [16].

6). Please present all references as complete as possible and use IEEE style (include information of DOIs, volume, number, pages, etc). If it is available, DOI information is mandatory!! See <http://iaescore.com/gfa/ijphs.docx>

In order to cover part of the publication cost, each accepted paper is charged: USD 265 (~IDR 3850K). (Your paper is 9 pages; however, we provide one page waiver since Ratu is our reviewer, so you just need to pay for basic 8 pages IDR 3850K). No additional page fee is charged.

The payment should be made by bank transfer (T/T):

Bank Account name (please be exact)/Beneficiary: LINA HANDAYANI
Bank Name: CIMB NIAGA Bank
Branch Office: Kusumanegara Yogyakarta
City: Yogyakarta
Country : Indonesia
Bank Account #: 760164155700
SWIFT Code: BNI AIDJAXXX (PT. BANK CIMB NIAGA, TBK. in JAKARTA)

or as an alternative of the bank transfer, through PayPal to email: info@iaesjournal.com

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)
<http://ijphs.iaescore.com>

Author Subject: SARS-Cov-2 Transmission among Healthcare Workers and Related Potential Stigma during COVID-19 Pandemic in Batam, Indonesia [DELETE](#)

2023-01-25 05:27 AM
The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Dear editor

I would like to send: 1)update the last revision after check plagiarism machine, 2) result of plagiarism check, 3) payment receipt. Thank you

Best
Solikhah S

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)
<http://ijphs.iaescore.com>

Close