
[OPSI] Submission Acknowledgement

1 message

Eko Nursubiyantoro <admin.jurnal@upnyk.ac.id>

Sun, Nov 7, 2021 at 2:12 PM

To: Tatbita Titin Suhariyanto <tatbita.suhariyanto@ie.uad.ac.id>

Tatbita Titin Suhariyanto:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Implementasi Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) dan Life Cycle Cost (LCC) pada Industri Furnitur Kayu Papan Partikel di Yogyakarta" to OPSI. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL:

<http://jurnal.upnyk.ac.id/index.php/opsi/author/submission/6045>

Username: bita0502

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Eko Nursubiyantoro

OPSI

jurnal.opsi@upnyk.ac.id

[OPSI] Editor Decision

1 message

Mr. Hasan Mastrisiswadi <admin.jurnal@upnyk.ac.id>
To: Tatbita Titin Suhariyanto <tatbita.suhariyanto@ie.uad.ac.id>

Thu, Nov 18, 2021 at 10:36 PM

Tatbita Titin Suhariyanto:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to OPSI, "Implementasi Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) dan Life Cycle Cost (LCC) pada Industri Furnitur Kayu Papan Partikel di Yogyakarta".

Our decision is to: revision required

Some things need attention

1. similarity check: 15% it is good enough
2. check the reviewer result
3. please revise it before September 3rd, 2021, so that it can be published immediately

Mr. Hasan Mastrisiswadi
(Scopus ID: 57204196253) Universitas Pembangunan Nasional "Veteran"
Yogyakarta
mastrisiswadi@upnyk.ac.id

Reviewer A:

(1) Relevance: Does the paper have good relevance to the focus and scope of the OPSI journal?:

Highly Relevant: Very suitable to focus and scope (Score 4)

(2) Novelty: Does the Paper have novelty?:

Good: The paper has novelty (Grade 3)

(3) Contribution: Does the paper contribute to the development of science?:

Moderate contribution: The paper contributes to the development of science (Score 3)

(4) Writing Presentation: Is the paper easy to read and understand?:

Readability: Paper can be read and understood (Score 3)

(5) Background: Does the manuscript have a good background?:

Good: The background of the text explains the problem and purpose well (Score 3)

(6) Method: Does the manuscript explain the method well?:

Very Good: All methods used in the manuscript are explained very well

(Score 4)

(7) Results and Analysis: Did the author perform data processing and synthesising results correctly and sharp analysis?:

Good: The author performs data processing and synthesis of results correctly and sharp analysis (Score 3)

(8) Conclusion: Does the conclusion answer the objectives well?:

Good: The conclusion answered the goal well (Score 3)

(9) Sustainability: Does the author provide suggestions regarding this matter so that it can develop science well?:

Less: The author does not provide advice (Score 1)

(10) References: Did the author use appropriate references?:

Appropriate: The author uses appropriate references (Score 3)

Comments for the author:

pemodelan input output gabi dimunculkan dalam bentuk tabel (ok). Telah dijelaskan dalam analisis LCIA, perlu ditambahkan mengenai upaya perbaikan yang dapat dilakukan agar dapat menurunkan nilai terutama pada GWP / GP. Perlu ditambahkan pula saran pengembangan berikutnya

jurnal.opsi@upnyk.ac.id