hasil-The Mediating Role of Meaning at Work in Promoting Teacher Commitment and Burnout

by Suyatno Suyatno

Submission date: 31-May-2022 12:58PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1847630896

File name: The_Mediating_Role_of_Meaning_at_Work-Authors_detail.docx (476.67K)

Word count: 8728

Character count: 50395





The Mediating Role of Meaning at Work in Promoting Teacher Commitment and Burnout

6

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

35

36

37

40

41

42

43

47

Suyatno Suyatno 1*, Dholina Inang Pambudi 2, Wantini Wantini 3, Abdurrohim Abdurrohim, 4 and Asih Mardati 5

- Department of Education Management, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia; suyatno@pgsd.uad.ac.id
- Department of Primary Teacher Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia; dholina.pambudi@pgsd.uad.ac.ic
- Department of Islamic Education, Faculty of Islamic Religion, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia; wantini@mpai.uad.ac.id
- Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Syariah (STIS) Hidayatullah Balikpapan, Indonesia; abiem.syam@gmail.com
- Department of Primary Teacher Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia; asih.mardati@pgsd.uad.ac.id
- Correspondence: suyatno@pgsd.uad.ac.id, Department of Education Management, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia)

Abstract: The influence of teachers' commitment and burnout on student learning outcomes and their performance requires astute research to identify the antecedent factors of these two variables. Commitment and burnout are peremptorily related to the positive and negative aspects of performance, respectively. Most of the previous research showed inconsistency, therefore, a new assay is needed to produce more convincing findings. This study aims to identify the antecedent variables of teachers' commitment and job burnout by using meaning at work as a mediating variable. Based on the random sampling technique, 304 respondents were selected among the teachers in primary and secondary education levels in the East Kalimantan Province, Indonesia. A structural equation model (SEM) was used in the data analysis. The results showed that school support, self-actualization, and meaning at work were antecedent variables for teachers' commitment and burnout. The meaning at work also mediates the relationship between school support and self-actualization. Meanwhile, the remaining four mediating roles were not proven in this research. These findings offer a framework for principals to increase commitment and reduce teachers' burnout by increasing school support and self-actualization through meaning at work.

Keywords: meaning at work, school support, self-actualization, teacher burnout, teacher commitment

1. Introduction

The empirical evidence of teacher's role in student learning outcome [1] require an astute research on the collection of factors associated with successful educational system [2]. A productive teacher has certain characteristics however, previous research has succeeded in indicating various related variables, such as commitment [3] and burnout [4], which greatly influence the performance of student learning outcomes [5]. The personal intervention related to the two variables has a positive effect on their performance as well as on student learning achievement [6]. Commitment and burnout are in correlation with the positive and negative aspects of teachers' performance [7].

Commitment is important in maintaining professional motivation and promote teachers to be responsive to changes in learning practices [10], and is further classified into two, namely organizational and professional aspects [8] Organizational commitment is the relative strength of teacher's involvement and the willingness to make sacrificial efforts on behalf of the school [10]. Professional commitment describes the teacher's involvement level and the importance of work rendered in general. This research [11] also explained that commitment, both affective and normative, predicts well-being, growth opportunities, recognition, and job satisfaction. Contrary to commitment which is a positive aspect of teaching, tutors' burnout is a variable that reduces negative elements

Citation: Lastname, F.: Lastname, F.: Lastname, F. Title. Educ. Sci. 2022, 12, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Received: date Accepted: date Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license

52

53

54

55

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

83

89

93

94

95

96

97

99

100

101

related to other performance. Research [12] stated that burnout manifest in all types of work, but it is mainly experienced by teachers because their daily job demands and pressures [13].

Teachers' burnout is associated with multiple experiences and negative outcomes. The teaching profession is reported as a job with a high risk of burnout [18]. In the work environment, they often face challenges, such as getting cynicism from co-workers or unpleasant treatment. Emotional exhaustion and mood swings eventually lead to burnout [14][15]. This provides a lower impetus in responding to student learning achievements [7]. Teachers also tend to have a negative relationship with students for example, getting angry when pupils do not follow instructions and have contradicting iews [21]. The burnout that occurs also affect the probability of staying in the job [22]. This is because it leads to increased absenteeism, lower work commitment, and enhanced desire [16]. Teachers' fatigue also have an impact on students through a contagion effect, there burnout passes from tutors to their pupils [17], because learners have a tendency to pick up and imitate emotional cues [25]. This implies that students also face direct consequences of burnout, such as decreased achievement [18], increased forms of controlled motivation [19], and depression [20]. Due to the significance of teachers' commitment and burnout on their performance and pupils' achievement, the antecedents of these two variables required to be determine.

This research outlined three independent variables, namely transformational leadership, school support, and self-actualization, which are predicted to affect teachers' commitment and job burnout. The empirical relationship between these variables was investigated, but there are still inconsistencies in results of these studies. In research that expressed transfernational leadership as an independent variable, the majority of the results showed a positive effect on teacher commitment. In line with these findings, Pietsch et al. [21] stated that "teachers who feel that their principals have better understanding of their intrinsic needs, recognize their abilities, develop and empower them individually are more strongly committed to their schools than their peers". Research with similar results stated that transformational leadership predicts commitment to both organizational and professional commitment [22][23][24][33][25][26][27][28]. The principal's role as a transformational leader is key in ensuring teacher commitment [29]. Other results by [30][31][32][33] also showed that when principals act as transformational leaders, teachers feel a higher commitment to the school. Headteacher who apply leadership that builds positive relationships affect commitment [34] and negatively impact burnout [35]. Principal and teacher relationships increase work commitment [43]. Although many results showed 5 at there is a significant effect of transformational leadership on teachers' commitment and burnout. [36] explored the relationship between tego ers' perceptions of principals' leadership and commitment. The results showed that transformational leadership was uniquely associated with organizational trust and efficacy, but not with teachers' commitment. Additionally, similar research was also conducted by Cahyono et al. [37], although the context is slightly different, it showed that, among the 4 transformational leadership sub-variables, only one affects teachers' commitment (namely intellectual stimulation). While the other three subvariables (ideal effect, inspirationada notivation, and individual considerations) do not affect organizational commitment in higher egacation. This trend is also supported by Ling et al. [38], although it has a correlation between transformational leadership and teachers' commitment, but it has a weak effect.

The influence of the second independent variable (school support) on teachers' commitment and baseout also contains inconsistencies. Most research showed that employee perceived support is positively related to adaptability and negatively related to burnout and disengagement [39]. Organizational support has an impact on several indicators of employee performance, such as decreased absenteeism and increased commitment and job satisfaction [40]. Support and quality of leader relationships affect teachers' commitment and burnout [41]. Perceived organizational support (POS) serves to

meet socio-emotional needs (for example rewards, affiliati 15 and emotional support) and leads to affective commitment. [49]. POS which involves employees' perception that the organization values their contributions and cares about their well-being, is the most strongly associated work experience with the emotional attachment to the establishment [42]. However, little is known about the effect of perceived organizational support on education [43], which is similar to the self-actualization variable. Several studies have stated that self-actualization affects organizational commitment [44] [45], but there is no very convincing empirical evidence about this relationship. Based on the inconsistency of independent influence on the dependent variable, this research used meaning at work as a mediating factor. This is predicted to mediate between the three independent variables on the two dependents.

Based on the above background, this research aims to: (1) Invastigate the effect of transformational leadership, school support, and self-actualization on meaning at work, (2) Examine the impact of transformational leadership, school suppart, self-actualization, and the meaning at work on teachers' commitment and burnout (3) Determine whether the meaning at work mediates this relationship. These results contribute (1) to filling the gap in the literature on the relationship between teachers' commitment, baseout, and the meaning at work, because, there is few empirical research that examine the antecedents and consequences of meaning at work. (2) Based on the education management perspective, teachers' commitment and burnout are important outcomes of school support, transformational leadership, and self-actualization. Given the importance of commitment and the low level of burnout in education, school management should maintain the commitment by minimizing teachers' burnout. Furthermore, (3) this research used the meaning at work as a mediator, in respect to this model, schools have more opportunities to recruit productive teachers with the assurance of school support and recognizing the teaching profession as meaningful work.

1.1. Expothesis

Based on the theoretical assumptions described in the previous section, the research hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H1: School transformational leadership increases the teacher's meaning at work

H2: School support increases the teacher's meaning at work

H3: Self-actualization increases the teacher's meaning at work

H4: Meaning at work increases teachers' commitment

H5: The meaning aduces their burnout

H6a: Meaning at work mediates the positive influence of school transformational leadership on teaching commitment

H6b: Meaning at work mediates the positive effect of school support on teaching commitment [54]

H6c: Meaning at work mediates the positive effect of self-actualization on teaching commitments

H6d: Meaning at work mediates the negative effect of self-actualization on the burnout

H6e: Meaning work mediates the negative effect of school support on the burnout H6f: Meaning at work mediates the negative effect of transformational leadership on the burnout

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Collection

This cross-sectional research aims to determine the relationship between the independent and dependent variables as the basis for discovering the factors that influence teachers' commitment and job burnout using the AMOS SEM model [54]. It includes 6 types of variables, namely; 1) three exogenous (the principal's transformational

leadership, school support, and student self-actualization); 2) one mediator (meaning at work), and 3) two endogenous (the commitment and the level of burnout).

2.2. Research respondents

The research respondents consisted of 304 teachers from Private Elementary, Junior High, and Senior High School/Vocational in East Kalimantan Province, Indonesia with the demographic details described in Table 1.

Table 1. Demographics of respondents

Profile	Description	Total Respondents	Percentage
Gender	Male	128	42%
	14 female	176	58%
School Type	Elementary School	107	35,2%
	Junior High School	91	29,9%
	Senior High School	106	34,9%
Education Level	Senior High	40	13%
	School/Vocational High		
	School		
	Diploma 3	12	4%
	Bachelor Degree	224	74%
	Master Degree	27	9%
	Doctorol Degree	1	0%
Work experience	0-5 years	136	45%
•	6-15 years	110	36%
	16-25 years	48	16%
	> 25 years	10	3%

2.3. Data Collection Instruments

The data collection was carried out using 6 types of questionnaires representing each research variable, namely (a) The principal transformational leadership adopted from Bass and Riggio [46], (b) The school support obtained from Lam et al. [47], (c) Student self-actualization adopted from Robbins et al. [57], (d) Meaning at work obtained from Steger & Duffy [48] (e) Teachers' commitment adopted from Allen and Meyer [49], as well as (f) Teachers' burnout level obtained from Dorman [50]. Each variable was developed into several indicators as described in Table 2.

Table 2. Variable Indicator

Variable		Indicator	Code	
Principal's	1.	Idealized influence	TL1	
transformational		Leaders are perceived as inspiring role models for		
leadership		their subordinates including:		
		a. consistently acting in accordance with the values		
		adopted by the management of student activities,		
		b. role model for subordinates, and		
		c. involving subordinates in designing various		
		school programs.		
	2.	Motivational inspiration	TL2	
		The leader shares a vision that attracts and motivates		
		subordinates by conducting the following:		
		a. direct teachers to focus on the needful, in order to		
		succeed,		

Educ. Sci. 2022, 12, x FOR PEER REVIEW

School support

	b. makes the teachers work with enthusiasm and	
	optimism, and	
	c. encourages subordinates to work hard to achieve	
	common goals.	
3.	Intellectual stimulation	TL3
	Leaders stimulate their subordinates to be creative	
	and innovative by performing the following:	
	a. help solve problems based on strong evidence and	
	arguments, rather than unfounded opinions,	
	different perspective, and	
	c. encourage teachers to attempt new strategies in	
	learning.	
4.	Individual consideration	TL4
	Leaders provide support, reassurance, and guidance	
	to subordinates by paying attention to individual	
	needs, as follows:	
	a. treat subordinates as individuals with unique	
	needs and skills	
	b. pay attention to the opinions of subordinates in	
	respect to their responsibilities	
	c. pay attention to the personal needs of	
	subordinates	
1.	Competence support	SS1
٠.	a. provide opportunities and facilities for	001
	subordinates to develop competence	
	b. provide sufficient training, therefore	
	subordinates have good teaching skills	
	time for subordinates	
_	d. properly coordinate programs for all parties	000
2.	Autonomy support	SS2
	a. formulate school programs, especially those	
	related to the teaching and learning process	
	b. listen to the opinions of teachers and use them	
	as material for the development of the learning	
	process	
	c. participate in various activities at school	
	voluntarily	
	d. schools provide freedom in planning and	
	implementing learning	
	e. the principal listens to subordinates' ideas	
3.	Collegial support	SS3
	a. there is a promotion of enthusiasm among co-	
	workers in dealing with teaching difficulties	
	b. sharing resources that support teaching tasks	
	among colleagues	
	c. share experiences that support teaching tasks	
	among colleagues	
	d. coworkers are interested in each other's	
	difficulties	
	anneance	

	er cooperation to contact the content	
	carrying out teaching tasks	
Student self-	1. Growth needs	SA1
actualization	2. The need for achieving one's potential	SA2
actuanzation	3. Self-fulfillment needs	
	4. Encouragement needs	SA3
	27	SA4
Meaning at	1. Positive meaning	MOW1
work	2. Meaning making through work	MOW2
	3. Greater motivations	MOW3
Teachers'	Teaching Commitment	TC1
Commitment	2. Organizational Commitment	TC2
T. 1	1. Emotional exhaustion	TB1
Teachers'	1. Emotional exhaustion	101
Burnout Level	2. Physical exhaustion	TB2
	3. Depersonalization	TB3

cooperation is established between colleagues in

The questionnaire was made using a Likert scale from a score of 1 to 5, with the information collected in the form of interval data. The score of 1 for "strongly disagree", 2 for "disagree", 3 for "undecided", 423 r "agree", and 5 for "strongly agree". These are different for negative statements, i.e., a score of 5 for "strongly disagree", 4 for "disagree", 3 for "undecided", 2 for "agree", and 1 for "strongly agree".

2.4. Data Analysis

The data analysis used a structural equation modeling (SEM) with the AMOS application [51]. The SEM analysis was used to determine the relationship between the principal transformational leadership, school support, and student self-actualization as exogenous variables with meaning at work as mediating variables and teaching commitment and burnout levels as the endogenous. The considerations for using AMOS as SEM analysis software are; 1) the availability of various SEM imaging tools, and 2) accuracy, speed, and ease of AMOS in SEM path analysis (Byrne, 2001). SEM analysis is divided into two, namely the measurement model for explaining the relationship between variables with their indicators. While structural design is used for expressing the relationship between variables (Gerbing & Anderson, 1988). In the AMOS SEM, Regression Weight Output is obtained to determine the acceptable level of the proposed hypothesis.

3. Results

Based on data analysis, these findings are divided into three, namely the measurement, structural, and hypothesis model.

3.1. Measurement Model

The measurement model provides the relationship of values between the observed indicator and the construction of the designed to be measured (unobserved latent variables). It was analyzed using Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) to produce the validity of the indicator variables [51]. The variable indicators described in table 2 have passed the validity test in the CFA analysis on AMOS. The validity of the indicators was determined from it results of the CFA (confirmatory factor analysis) test with the provisions of the CR (criting ratio) > 1.96 and probability or p < 0.05. In this research, the validity of each indicator is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. CR value and probability on the CFA test

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
MOW	<	TL	-,045	,103	-,435	,663	par_16
MOW	<	SS	,442	,163	2,708	,007	par_17
MOW	<	SA	,333	,152	2,188	,029	par_18
TC	<	MOW	1,130	,159	7,086	***	par_19
TB	<	MOW	,865	,138	6,267	***	par_20
TL4	<	TL	1,000				
TL3	<	TL	1,211	,108	11,218	***	par_1
TL2	<	TL	1,144	,104	10,990	***	par_2
TL1	<	TL	1,111	,104	10,633	***	par_3
SS3	<	SS	1,000				
SS2	<	SS	1,393	,128	10,885	***	par_4
SS1	<	SS	1,470	,130	11,269	***	par_5
SA4	<	SA	1,000				
SA3	<	SA	1,712	,206	8,312	***	par_6
SA2	<	SA	1,342	,179	7,516	***	par_7
TC1	<	TC	1,000				
TC2	<	TC	,982	,099	9,890	***	par_8
TB1	<	TB	1,000				
TB2	<	TB	,977	,092	10,630	***	par_9
TB3	<	TB	,893	,083	10,765	***	par_10
MOW3	<	MOW	1,000				
MOW2	<	MOW	,978	,136	7,211	***	par_11
MOW1	<	MOW	,951	,141	6,752	***	par_12

Description: *** = p value < 0.001CR value > 1.96 and probability < 0.05 from table 3 shows that e variable indicator has met the validity requirements and reflected the variables. The validity test was also carried out by using the standardized loading estimate or factor > 0.5 as shown in table 4. It shows when the indicator for each variable has exceeded the required loading factor.

Table 4. Standardized loading estimate value

208 209

207

203

			Estimate
MOW	<	TL	-,066
MOW	<	SS	,562
MOW	<	SA	,286
TC	<	MOW	,930
TB	<	MOW	,685
TL4	<	TL	,618
TL3	<	TL	,851
TL2	<	TL	,851
TL1	<	TL	,804
SS3	<	SS	,632
SS2	<	SS	,806
SS1	<	SS	,846
SA4	<	SA	,538
SA3	<	SA	,844
SA2	<	SA	,619
TC1	<	TC	,737
TC2	<	TC	,696
TB1	<	TB	,762
TB2	<	TB	,757
TB3	<	TB	,732
MOW3	<	MOW	,493
MOW2	<	MOW	,655
MOW1	<	MOW	,603

25 3.2. Structural model

The structural model describes the relationship between latent variables [52][53], such as exogenous, mediating, and endogenous. The validity of the structural model is measured by the GOF (Goodness of Fit) value or the feasibility test by using the achievement of the index suitability criteria and the cut-off point [63]. These indices are GFI, AGFI, CMIN/DF, TLI, CFI, and RMSEA. The Contact and AGFI are references to describe the level of model suitability with a size range of 0 (poor fit) to 1.0 (perfect fit). The GFI and AGFI values are close to 1.0, indicating that the tested model has a good fit (Arguckle, 2014). The results obtained for the value of GFI 0.915 and AFFI 0.883 proved that the model is a good fit. The CMIN/DF and TLI become indicators to measure the fitness level of the model with the criteria of CMIN/DF 2.0 and TLI 0.95 (Byrne et al., 1989). The analysis indicated the value of CMIN/DF at 1.966 and TLI at 0.935, stating that the criteria for the model acceptance were met. The next two criteria that determine the model acceptance level include (a) the CFI with a value criterion of 0 - 1, where the closer to 1, the higher the level of acceptance (Arbuckle, 2014), and (b) the RMSEA with criteria of 0.08 (Cudeck & Browne, 1983). The CFI was 0_{33}° and the RMSEA was 0.058, indicating a high model acceptance level, as described in Table 5.

Table 5. The goodness of Fit Indices

The goodness of	Cut-Off Value	Analysis Results	Model
Fit Indices			Evaluation

211

231

11			
CMIN/DF	≤ 2.00	1.966	Fit
RMSEA	≤ 0.08	0.058	Fit
GFI	≥ 0.90	0.915	Fit
AGFI	≥ 0.90	0.883	Marginal Fit
TLI	≥ 0.95	0.935	Fit
CFI	≥ 0.95	0.947	Fit

Based on the analysis as described in table 5, the resulting research model scheme is represented in Figure 1.

GOODNESS OF FIT:
Chi-square=245,689
Probs_100
df=125
GFIs,915
AGFIs,837
TLL 935
GFIs,947
TLL 935
TLL 935
GFIS,947
TLL 935
TLL 935
GFIS,947
TLL

Figure 1. Research model schema

3.3. Hypothesis testing

The hypothesis test in the SEM AMOS was carried out using the Critical Ratio (CR) and p-value in the output regression weights table, with the condition that the hypothesis is accepted when the CR value is > 1.96 and p 0.05. The influence between variables is determined by the existing estimate value. The results of the hypothesis testing are shown in Tables 6 and 7.

Table 6. Regression Weight Output

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.	P	Label
MOW	<	TL	-,045	,103	-,435	,663	par_16
MOW	<	SS	,442	,163	2,708	,007	par_17
MOW	<	SA	,333	,152	2,188	,029	par_18
TC	<	MOW	1,130	,159	7,086	***	par_19
TB	<	SA MOW MOW	,865	,138	6,267	***	par_20

Description: *** = p value < 0,001

Table 7. Indirect Effects

242

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

243

	SA	SS	TL	MOW	TB	TC
MOW				,000		
TB	,196	,385	-,045	,000	,000	,000
TB TC	,266	,522	-,062	,000	,000	,000

Based on the hypothesis testing shown in tables 6 and 7, the following proofs were obtained:

- H1.1: There is an effect of the principal's transformational leadership (TL) on the teacher's meaning of work (MOW). The hypothesis of the effect of TL on MOW has a CR value of 0.435 and p 0.663. Therefore, this hypothesis is rejected, because it does not meet the criteria for CR values> 1.96 and p < 0.05.
- H1.2: There is an effect of school support (SS) on the teacher's meaning of work (MOW). The hypothesis of the effect of SS on MOW has a CR value of 2.708 and p 0.007. Therefore, this hypothesis is declared accepted, because it has met the criteria for CR values > 1.96 and p < 0.05.
- 3. H1.3: There is an effect of self-actualization (SA) on the teacher's meaning of work (MOW). The hypothesis of the effect of SA on MOW has a CR value of 2.188 and p 0.029. Therefore, this hypothesis is accepted, because it has met the criteria for CR > 1.96 and p < 0.05.
- 4. H1.4: There is an effect of the teacher's meaning of work (MOW) on teaching commitment (TC). The hypothesis of the effect of MOW on TC has a CR value of 7.086 and p 0.000. Therefore, this hypothesis is declared accepted, because it meets the criteria for CR value > 1.96 and p < 0.05.
- 5. H1.5: There is an effect of teacher's meaning of work (MOW) on the level of teacher's burnout (TB). The hypothesis of the effect of MOW on TB has a CR value of 6.267 and p 0.000. Therefore, this hypothesis is declared accepted, because it has met the criteria for CR 1.96 and p < 0.05.
- 6. H1.6a: There is an indirect effect of transformational leadership (TL) on the level of teacher's fatigue (TB) mediated by Meaning Of Work (MOW). Based on table 7, this hypothesis is rejected, because the indirect effect of TL on TB mediated by MOW has an estimated value (β) of -0.045 < 0.000.
- H1.6b: There is an indirect effect of transformational leadership (TL) on teacher's commitment (TC) mediated by Meaning of Work (MOW). Based on table 7, this hypothesis is rejected because the indirect effect of TL on TB mediated by MOW has an estimated value (β) of – 0.062 < 0.000.
- 8. H1.6c: There is an indirect effect of school support (SS) on the level of teacher's fatigue (TB) mediated by Meaning of Work (MOW). Based on table 7, this hypothesis is accepted, because the indirect effect of SS on TB mediated by MOW has an estimated value (β) of 0.385 > 0.000.
- H1.6d: There is an indirect effect of school support (SS) on teaching commitment (TC)
 mediated by Meaning of Work (MOW). Based on table 7, this hypothesis is rejected,
 because the indirect effect of SS on TC mediated by MOW has an estimated value (β)
 of 0.522 > 0.000.
- 10. H1.6c: There is an indirect effect of self-actualization (SA) on the level of teacher's fatigue (TB) mediated by Meaning of Work (MOW). Based on table 7, this hypothesis is accepted because the indirect effect of SS on TB mediated by MOW has an estimated value (β) of 0.196 > 0.000.
- 11. H1.6d: There is an indirect effect of self-actualization (SA) on teaching commitment (TC) mediated by Meaning of Work (MOW). Based on table 7, this hypothesis is

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

319

320

321

322

323

324 325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

rejected, because the indirect effect of SS on TC mediated by MOW has an estimated value (β) of 0.266 > 0.000.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

The data analysis, in general is divided into two, namely: six accepted and five rejected hypotheses. Hypothesis 2 which showed that school support has an effect on teacher's meaning of work is accepted. Hypothesis 3 which indicated that self-actualization affects the teacher's meaning of work is accepted. Hypothesis 4 which expressed the effect of teacher's meaning of work on teaching commitment is accepted. Hypothesis 5 which explained the effect of teacher's meaning of work on tutor's burnout is accepted. Meanwhile, hypothesis 6 which showed the meaning of work mediates the relationship between the antecedent and the consequence variables is partly supported and rejected.

The results indicated that the teacher's meaning of work has two antecedent factors, namely school support and self-actualization. School support received by teachers is their general perception about how important it is for institutions to contribute to their interests and well-being. Teachers who feel they received more support from the organization recognize the efforts. According to the theory of perceived organizational support (POS), members who received valuable resources from the organization, for example in the form of salary increment, opportunities to attend training, and various self-development activities, have a higher sense of responsibility in helping to achieve organizational goals, as a form of reciprocation to the organization according to the norm of reciprocity [54] [54] [55]. Organizational support has an impact on several indicators of employee performance, such as decreased absenteeism, increased commitment, and job satisfaction [40]. Perceived organizational support is an indicator of organizational concern [66]. It makes members feel that the organization is willing to provide the sources they needed, to support the growth and development in their capacities [56]. Based on the conceptual framework of the POS theory, the support felt by teachers from schools is seen as their perception of how the institution values their efforts, cares about their welfare, and appreciates all their performance. Based on the theory [57], this conceptual framework enable teachers to feel positive in carrying out their duties. Feeling positive at work is one of the four critical attributes of meaning at work. Meaning at work is obtained when someone feels that their job is important and has a valuable purpose [48]. This framework is also matted to one of the three aspects of the conceptualization belonging to [58], which stated that the support they receive from the organization enables an individual to gain sense of meaning at work. Teachers' avolvement in school-wide policy-making is positively related to individual professional commitment [59].

The meaning at work is also influenced by the self-actualization variable, enabling individuals to use their full potential [60]. According to Maslow, self-actualization is the highest human need [61]. The fulfillment of this need lead an individual to achieve mental health and desired personal goals. For teachers, self-actualization is important after carrying out various activities that boost their potential and competence to come professional educators [62]. Forms of self-actualization are carried out by building awareness of the main role of a teacher and developing strategic steps to continue to improve their competencies. The research conducted by [63], showed that selfactualization improved teachers' performance. A self-actualizing individual is able to think about the complexities of life, balance, and integrate emotions into real-life realities. Teachers make choices that support their growth even in difficult conditions. They also overcome various dilemmas they face, such as anxiety, disappointmental and doubt [64]. People who have achieved self-actualization become servants of life. These findings are in line with several previous research which explained that, self-action is a major source of sense of meaning at work [65][66]. Research [67] explains that self-actualization is a source of meaning at work, which accounts for 8.5% of an individual lifestyle.

347

348

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373 374

377

378

385

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

The meaning at work also fully supports the consequence variables, namely teacher's commitment and fatigue. This implies that the high meaning of work increases their commitment and reduces work fatigue. In the first case, this finding supports previous research that meaning at work affects one's work 50 mmitment [79][80][81][82]. It succeeded in confirming a positive relationship with organizational commitment [69]. According to Morrison et al. [70] and Leape et al. [71], the concept of meaning of work has been suggested as an approach to increase one's 20 commitment. Some literature showed that a person's commitment grows when the values and goal 20 of the organization are identified. The identification enhances a person's willingness to work on behalf of and remain in the organization [86]. Awards and support, achievements achieved, and organizational involvement affects a teacher's commitment [72]. The meaning of work helps create a school environment that encourages teacher's commitment, prevents dropouts from their profession, and improves the quality of education[73].

Besides fully support the commitment of teachers, meaning at work also has a negative effect on burnout level. Those with high meaning at work reduce burnout levels, and vice versa. The burnout level is a description of the gradual process of fatigue and the loss of commitment at work [8]. The reduced burnout is directly proportional to work involvement, which is a positive factor in performance [89]. It has a lot of negative impacts on teachers, for example, they become more critical and respond slower to student success [7], teacher's intention to quit [74], lower pupils' motivation and academic achievement [75][76], lower job satisfaction [77], and even detrimental to health [78]. Furthermore, 19-30% of teachers stop working because of burnout [79]. High burnout is influenced by anxiety, stress, lack of administrative support [79], and low quality of social interaction in schools [80][81]. This research supports several previous findings that, low meaning at work is a predictor of teacher's burnout. The fulfillment of existential needs prevents burnout [82]. An individual who is oriented towards achievement and meaningful goals is able to reduce burnout [83][84]. The findings indicated that meaning at work is an important variable in reducing burnout. Meaning at work is influenced by the existence of a calling orientation, where someone works because they perceive the calling [85]. Increased meaning at work intensified awareness in daily work [86]. Therefore, the results help in establishing schools that promote job satisfaction and the delivery of high-quality

In contrast to the findings of this research, the hypothesis that considers the effect of transformational leadeship on teachers' sense of meaning at work is not significantly supported by the data. This finding is in contradiction with most of the previous research, which showed that transformational leadership is an important predictor of meaning at work [87]. This makes teachers feel respected and trusted [88]. Transformational leaders maintain good relationship with employees and cause perceptions of the organization's reputation to be better [89]. The four hypotheses linking the mediating role of meaning at work are no not significantly supported by the empirical data. This is because, it did not mediate the effect of transformational leadership on burnout and commitment, school support on commitment, and self-actualization 37 commitment. The reason behind these findings is related to the population. The main objective of this research is to identify the antecedent factors of teachers' commitment and burnout. Considering this objective, this research focuses on teachers in private schools, from primary and secondary education levels. The mults of Sun et al. [90] explained the context of this research, in which the principal's transformational leadership is associated with three sets of antecedents, namely leader qualities (24 luding self-efficacy, values, traits, and emotional intelligence), organizational features, and the leader's colleagues' characteristics (e.g., follower's initial developmental level). In the context of schools in Indonesia, these three sets of leadership qualities are lacking in private institutions compared to the government-owned.

This research has both theoretical and practical contributions. Theoretically, it indicated that teachers' commitment increases when they recognize their meaning at work. This indicates that when teachers complete the important and valuable tasks, they

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427 428

429

430

432

433

434

435

436

437 438

439 440

441

442

443

444

445

447

conduct this with all their mind, knowledge, skills, and dedication to the school organization. The meaning at work also reduces teachers' burnout levels. The feeling that their work is meaningful, amplifies positive emotions, creates meaningful goals, and job satisfaction [57] Therefore, making the level of burnout at work to decrease, which in turn have an impact on their intention to stop teaching [90], increase job satisfaction [93], improve mental health quality [94], decrease anxiety in thing [95], and enhance the care for student learning achievement [7][75][76]. For sense of meaning at work to increase, there is need for support from school organizations and teacher selfactualization. In this research, these two variables simultaneously increase the sense of meaning at work. Furthermore, the mediating effect is partly supported by this research, which increases the understanding f how school support and self-actualization increase commitment and reduce burnout. The mediating role of meaning at work shows that the teachers' perception of their work is an important factor in determining commitment. The findings supported the argument that meaning at work plays a mediating role in the relationship between school support and self-actualization with teachers' commitment and burnout. Finally, this research offers a more comprehensive concept of sense of meaning at mrk and provides empirical evidence that school support and selfactualization lead to higher levels of teachers' commitment and reduce burnout in their profession.

The findings offer a framework for principals to increase commitment and reduce teachers' burnout by increasing school support and self-actualization through meaning at work at work. It is observed that school support and self-actualization increase meaning at work, therefore, principals should emphasize that school organizations provide full support and the widest opportunity for teachers to achieve self-actualization. To increase school support, principals are required to create a fair institution climate [91][92], provide opportunities for growth [93], support superiors [94], and colleagues[95][96]. Meanwhile, to increase self-actualization, principals should increase teachers' involvement and job satisfaction [113][114], provide freedom of choice [115], growth opportunities, psychological comfort, and security [97]. The principal's ability to establish good interactions also predicts the perception of school support toward teachers' well-being [98].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.S; W.W. and D.I.P.; methodology, S.S., and Y.R; software, S.S. and Y.R.; validation, S.S.; D.I.P., and A.A..; formal analysis, S.S. and Y.R.; vestigation, S.S., D.I.P., and A.A.; resources, S.S.; D.I.P. and W.W.; writing—original draft preparation, S.S. and Y.R.; writing—review and editing, S.S.; W.W. and Y.R.; visualization, A.A.; supervision, S.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: "This research was funded by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, grant number 009/SKP.TJ.PD/LPPM/IV/2022"

Institutional Review Board Statement: "Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, since all participants were adults that provided informed consent prior to their participation in the research."

Informed Consent Statement: "Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study."

Data Availability Statement: Data are available in the Indonesian language version upon request by the authors.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology (Kemendikbud-Ristek) of Indonesia for funding this research.

Conflicts of Interest: "The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study, the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data, the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results".

References 448

[1]	M. Aliakbari and F. A. Amoli, "The Effects of Teacher Empowerment on Teacher Commitment and Student Achievement,"	449
	Mediterr. J. Soc. Sci., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 649-657, 2016, doi: 10.5901/mjss.2016.v7n4p649.	450
[2]	A. A. Faskhodi and M. Siyyari, "Dimensions of work engagement and teacher burnout: A study of relations among Iranian	451
	EFL Teachers," Aust. J. Teach. Educ., vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 78–93, 2018, doi: 10.14221/ajte.2018v43n1.5.	452
[3]	K. T. Tran, P. V Nguyen, T. D. Nguyen, and U. N. Hoang, "The Impact of Organisational Commitment on the Relationship	453
	between Motivation and Turnover Intention in the Public Sector," Int. J. Innov. Creat. Chang., vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 1–25, 2020.	454
[4]	$J.\ J.\ Hakanen\ and\ W.\ B.\ Schaufeli,\ "Do\ burnout\ and\ work\ engagement\ predict\ depressive\ symptoms\ and\ life\ satisfaction?\ A$	455
	$three-wave seven-year\ prospective\ study, "\it J.\ Affect.\ Disord., vol.\ 141,\ no.\ 2-3,\ pp.\ 415-424,\ 2012,\ doi:\ 10.1016/j.jad.\ 2012.02.043.$	456
[5]	J. C. K. Lee, H. B. Yin, Z. H. Zhang, and Y. Le Jin, "Teacher empowerment and receptivity in curriculum reform in China,"	457
	Chinese Educ. Soc., vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 64–81, 2011, doi: 10.2753/CED1061-1932440404.	458
[6]	J. Van Wingerden, D. Derks, and A. B. Bakker, "The Impact of Personal Resources and Job Crafting Interventions on Work	459
	Engagement and Performance," Hum. Resour. Manage., vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 51-67, 2017, doi: 10.1002/hrm.21758.	460
[7]	D. J. Madigan and L. E. Kim, "Does teacher burnout affect students? A systematic review of its association with academic	461
	achievement and student-reported outcomes," Int. J. Educ. Res., vol. 105, no. November, p. 101714, 2021, doi:	462
	10.1016/j.ijer.2020.101714.	463
[8]	A. N. Lee and Y. Nie, "Understanding teacher empowerment: Teachers' perceptions of principal's and immediate	464
	supervisor's empowering behaviours, psychological empowerment and work-related outcomes," Teach. Teach. Educ., vol. 41,	465
	pp. 67–79, 2014.	466
[9]	Y. Ni, Teacher working conditions, teacher commitment, and charter schools, vol. 119, no. 6. 2017.	467
[10]	J. Han, H. Yin, and W. Wang, "The effect of tertiary teachers' goal orientations for teaching on their commitment: the	468
	mediating role of teacher engagement," Educ. Psychol., vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 526–547, 2016, doi: 10.1080/01443410.2015.1044943.	469
[11]	D. M. McInerney, F. A. Ganotice, R. B. King, H. W. Marsh, and A. J. S. Morin, "Exploring commitment and turnover intentions	470
	among teachers: What we can learn from Hong Kong teachers," Teach. Teach. Educ., vol. 52, no. January 2018, pp. 11–23, 2015,	471
	doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2015.08.004.	472
[12]	A. E. Iancu, A. Rusu, C. Măroiu, R. Păcurar, and L. P. Maricuoiu, "The Effectiveness of Interventions Aimed at Reducing	473
	Teacher Burnout: a Meta-Analysis," Educ. Psychol. Rev., vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 373–396, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10648-017-9420-8.	474
[13]	C. J. McCarthy, R. G. Lambert, S. Lineback, P. Fitchett, and P. G. Baddouh, "Assessing Teacher Appraisals and Stress in the	475
	Classroom: Review of the Classroom Appraisal of Resources and Demands," Educ. Psychol. Rev., vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 577-603,	476
	2016, doi: 10.1007/s10648-015-9322-6.	477
[14]	V. Capone, M. Joshanloo, and M. SA. Park, "Burnout, depression, efficacy beliefs, and work-related variables among school	478
	teachers," Int. J. Educ. Res., vol. 95, pp. 97–108, 2019.	479
[15]	J. J. Hakanen, A. B. Bakker, and W. B. Schaufeli, "Burnout and work engagement among teachers," J. Sch. Psychol., vol. 43,	480
	no. 6, pp. 495–513, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.jsp.2005.11.001.	481
[16]	A. Brouwers and W. Tomic, "A longitudinal study of teacher burnout and perceived self-efficacy in classroom management,"	482
	Teach. Teach. Educ., vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 239–253, 2000, doi: 10.1016/S0742-051X(99)00057-8.	483
[17]	A. B. Bakker and W. B. Schaufeli, "Burnout contagion processes among teachers 1," J. Appl. Soc. Psychol., vol. 30, no. 11, pp.	484
	2289–2308, 2000.	485
[18]	D. J. Madigan and T. Curran, "Does burnout affect academic achievement? A meta-analysis of over 100,000 students," Educ.	486
	Psychol. Rev., vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 387–405, 2021.	487
[19]	X. Zhang and K. M. Bartol, "Linking empowering leadership and employee creativity: The influence of psychological	488
	empowerment, intrinsic motivation, and creative process engagement," Acad. Manag. J., vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 107–128, 2010.	489
[20]	W. IsHak, R. Nikravesh, S. Lederer, R. Perry, D. Ogunyemi, and C. Bernstein, "Burnout in medical students: a systematic	490

	review," Clin. Teach., vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 242–245, 2013.	491
[21]	M. Pietsch, P. Tulowitzki, and T. Koch, "On the differential and shared effects of leadership for learning on teachers'	492
	organizational commitment and job satisfaction: A multilevel perspective," Educ. Adm. Q., vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 705–741, 2019.	493
[22]	$A.\ Ibrahim,\ W.\ K.\ A.\ W.\ Mokhtar,\ S.\ Ali,\ and\ M.\ H.\ A.\ Simin,\ "Effect\ of\ transformational\ principal\ leadership\ style\ on\ teachers$	494
	commitments and school achievement," Int. J. Acad. Res. Bus. Soc. Sci., vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 2222-6990, 2017.	495
[23]	S. S. Khumalo, "The role of transformational school leadership in promoting teacher commitment: An antecedent for	496
	sustainable development in South Africa," Discourse Commun. Sustain. Educ., vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 22-32, 2019.	497
[24]	M. T. Skelton, "The Relationship Between Organizational Climate and Organizational Commitment of Teachers," p. 234,	498
	2019.	499
[25]	MS. Chung, "Teacher efficacy, collective self-esteem, and organizational commitment of childcare teachers: A moderated	500
	mediation model of social support," Front. Psychol., vol. 10, p. 955, 2019.	501
[26]	$M.\ Qadach, C.\ Schechter, and\ R.\ Da'as, "Instructional\ leadership\ and\ teachers'\ intent\ to\ leave: The\ mediating\ role\ of\ collective$	502
	teacher efficacy and shared vision," Educ. Manag. Adm. Leadersh., vol. 48, no. 4, pp. 617-634, 2020.	503
[27]	R. Hosseingholizadeh, A. Amrahi, and H. El-Farr, "Instructional leadership, and teacher's collective efficacy, commitment,	504
	and professional learning in primary schools: a mediation model," Prof. Dev. Educ., vol. 00, no. 00, pp. 1-18, 2020, doi:	505
	10.1080/19415257.2020.1850510.	506
[28]	K. H. To, H. Yin, W. W. Y. Tam, and C. P. C. Keung, "Principal leadership practices, professional learning communities, and	507
	teacher commitment in Hong Kong kindergartens: A multilevel SEM analysis," Educ. Manag. Adm. Leadersh., 2021, doi:	508
	10.1177/17411432211015227.	509
[29]	$I.\ Berkovich\ and\ O.\ Eyal,\ "The\ mediating\ role\ of\ principals'\ transformational\ leadership\ behaviors\ in\ promoting\ teachers'$	510
	emotional wellness at work: A study in Israeli primary schools," Educ. Manag. Adm. Leadersh., vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 316–335, 2017,	511
	doi: 10.1177/1741143215617947.	512
[30]	$K.\ Zacharo, K.\ Marios,\ and\ P.\ Dimitra,\ "Connection\ of\ teachers'\ organizational\ commitment\ and\ transformational\ leadership.$	513
	A case study from Greece," Int. J. Learn. Teach. Educ. Res., vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 89–106, 2018, doi: 10.26803/ijlter.17.8.6.	514
[31]	$R.\ Cansoy, \text{``The Relationship between School Principals'}\ Leadership\ Behaviours\ and\ Teachers'\ Job\ Satisfaction:\ A\ Systematic$	515
	Review," Int. Educ. Stud., vol. 12, no. 1, p. 37, 2018, doi: 10.5539/ies.v12n1p37.	516
[32]	S. R. Ninković and O. Č. Knežević Florić, "Transformational school leadership and teacher self-efficacy as predictors of	517
	perceived collective teacher efficacy," Educ. Manag. Adm. Leadersh., vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 49-64, 2018.	518
[33]	$S.\ Jeong, Y.\ Hsiao, J.\ H.\ Song,\ J.\ Kim,\ and\ S.\ H.\ Bae,\ "The\ moderating\ role\ of\ transformational\ leadership\ on\ work\ engagement:$	519
	The influences of professionalism and openness to change," Hum. Resour. Dev. Q., vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 489-516, 2016.	520
[34]	S. M Almandeel and M. Dawood, "The Relationship between Ethical leadership and Teachers Continuance Organizational	521
	Commitment," J. Res. Business, Econ. Manag., vol. 12, no. 1, 2019.	522
[35]	F. Eslamieh and A. H. Mohammad Davoudi, "An analysis of the relationship between managers' ethical leadership style	523
	with teachers' organizational commitment and job burnout," Int. J. Organ. Leadersh., vol. 5, pp. 380-392, 2016.	524
[36]	G. T. Freeman and D. Fields, "School leadership in an urban context: complicating notions of effective principal leadership,	525
	organizational setting, and teacher commitment to students," Int. J. Leadersh. Educ., vol. 00, no. 00, pp. 1-21, 2020, doi:	526
	10.1080/13603124.2020.1818133.	527
[37]	Y. Cahyono et al., "The Effect of Transformational Leadership Dimensions on Job Satisfaction and Organizational	528
	Commitment: Case Studies in Private University Lecturers," Solid State Technol., vol. 63, no. 1s, pp. 158–184, 2020, [Online].	529
	Available: http://www.solidstatetechnology.us/index.php/JSST/article/view/707.	530
[38]	S. Ling, M. Ling, M. Sani, and B. Ibrahim, "Transformational Leadership and Teacher Commitment in Secondary Schools of	531
	Sarawak," Int. J. Indep. Res. Stud., vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 51–65, 2013.	532

[39]	R. J. Collie, H. Granziera, and A. J. Martin, "Teachers' perceived autonomy support and adaptability: An investigation	533
	employing the job demands-resources model as relevant to workplace exhaustion, disengagement, and commitment," Teach.	534
	Teach. Educ., vol. 74, pp. 125–136, 2018.	535
[40]	M. C. Johlke, C. L. Stamper, and M. E. Shoemaker, "Antecedents to boundary-spanner perceived organizational support," J.	536
	Manag. Psychol., 2002.	537
[41]	T. G. Ford, J. Olsen, J. Khojasteh, J. Ware, and A. Urick, "The effects of leader support for teacher psychological needs on	538
	teacher burnout, commitment, and intent to leave," J. Educ. Adm., 2019.	539
[42]	K. Y. Kim, R. Eisenberger, and K. Baik, "Perceived organizational support and affective organizational commitment:	540
	Moderating influence of perceived organizational competence," J. Organ. Behav., vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 558–583, 2016.	541
[43]	R. Bogler and A. E. Nir, "The importance of teachers' perceived organizational support to job satisfaction: What's	542
	empowerment got to do with it?," J. Educ. Adm., vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 287-306, 2012, doi: 10.1108/09578231211223310.	543
[44]	R. Gopinath, "Role of Self-Actualization on Job Involvement, Organizational Commitment and Job Satisfaction of Academic	544
	Leaders in Tamil Nadu Universities," 2021.	545
[45]	R. Gopinath and D. Litt, "Impact of self-actualization on organizational commitment, job involvement and job satisfaction	546
	among academic leaders using structural equation modeling," Palarch's J. Archaeol. Egypt/Egyptology, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 13999–	547
	14011, 2020.	548
[46]	B. M. Bass and R. E. Riggio, "The transformational model of leadership," Lead. Organ. Perspect. a new era, vol. 2, pp. 76-86,	549
	2010.	550
[47]	S. fong Lam, R. W. yi Cheng, and H. C. Choy, "School support and teacher motivation to implement project-based learning,"	551
	Learn. Instr., vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 487–497, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.learninstruc.2009.07.003.	552
[48]	M. F. Steger, B. J. Dik, and R. D. Duffy, "Measuring meaningful work: The Work and Meaning Inventory (WAMI)," J. Career	553
	Assess., vol. 00, no. 0, pp. 1–16, 2012, doi: 10.1177/1069072711436160.	554
[49]	N. J. Allen and J. P. Meyer, "The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the	555
	organization," J. Occup. Psychol., vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 1990.	556
[50]	J. Dorman, "Testing a model for teacher burnout," Aust. J. Educ. Dev. Psychol., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 35-47, 2003.	557
[51]	J. E. Collier, Applied structural equation modeling using AMOS: Basic to advanced techniques. Routledge, 2020.	558
[52]	R. O. Mueller and G. R. Hancock, Structural equation modeling. Routledge, 2018.	559
[53]	M. E. Civelek, "Essentials of structural equation modeling," Essentials Struct. Equ. Model., 2018.	560
[54]	J. N. Kurtessis, R. Eisenberger, M. T. Ford, L. C. Buffardi, K. A. Stewart, and C. S. Adis, "Perceived Organizational Support:	561
	A Meta-Analytic Evaluation of Organizational Support Theory," J. Manage., vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1854–1884, 2017, doi:	562
	10.1177/0149206315575554.	563
[55]	P. Neves and R. Eisenberger, "Perceived organizational support and risk taking," J. Manag. Psychol., 2014.	564
[56]	S. Armeli, R. Eisenberger, P. Fasolo, and P. Lynch, "Perceived organizational support and police performance: the	565
	moderating influence of socioemotional needs.," J. Appl. Psychol., vol. 83, no. 2, p. 288, 1998.	566
[57]	S. Lee, "A concept analysis of 'Meaning in work' and its implications for nursing," J. Adv. Nurs., vol. 71, no. 10, pp. 2258–	567
	2267, 2015, doi: 10.1111/jan.12695.	568
[58]	J. A. Hicks and L. A. King, "Meaning in life as a subjective judgment and a lived experience.," Soc. Personal. Psychol. Compass,	569
	vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 638–653, 2009, doi: 10.1111/j.1751-9004.2009.00193.x.	570
[59]	$ JH.\ Park,\ N.\ Cooc,\ and\ KH.\ Lee,\ "Relationships\ between\ teacher\ influence\ in\ managerial\ and\ instruction-related\ decision-related\ decis$	571
	making, job satisfaction, and professional commitment: A multivariate multilevel model," <i>Educ. Manag. Adm. Leadersh.</i> , p. 1741143220971287, 2020.	572 573
[60]	G. Özaslan, "The Essential Meaning of Self-Actualization via Principalship: A Phenomenological Study," J. Qual. Res. Educ.,	574

	57
	57
nhancement of Teachers	57
	57
Actualization Level and	579
ngate.net/profile/Mehdi-	58
f-	58
94188c/The-	582
	583
022167818761929, 2018.	58-
ociety, vol. 54, no. 6, pp.	58
	58
g the meaning in life of	58
	58
meaning in adulthood,"	589
	59
indonesian teachers: A	59
5/2021.4970.	59
ganisational citizenship	593
7, [Online]. Available:	59
king.aspx?sid=ProQ:abi	59
	59
	59
nner life?," J. Heal. Hum.	598
	59
6, pp. 424–428, 2009, doi:	60
	60
pp. 23–27, 2019, [Online].	60
	603
l variables," Teach. Teach.	60
	60
uc. Res., vol. 53, pp. 160–	60
	60
en teacher burnout and	608
	609
nalysis of teacher supply	61
	61
job satisfaction," Teach.	61
	613
vels," Teach. Teach. Educ.,	61
	61.
pp. 325–327, 2021, doi:	61
pp.	325–327, 2021, doi:

	10.3102/0013189X211004138.	617
[80]	F. Van Droogenbroeck, B. Spruyt, and C. Vanroelen, "Burnout among senior teachers: Investigating the role of workload and	618
	interpersonal relationships at work," Teach. Teach. Educ., vol. 43, no. September 2018, pp. 99-109, 2014, doi:	619
	10.1016/j.tate.2014.07.005.	620
[81]	C. Fernet, F. Guay, C. Senécal, and S. Austin, "Predicting intraindividual changes in teacher burnout: The role of perceived	621
	school environment and motivational factors," Teach. Teach. Educ., vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 514–525, 2012, doi:	622
	10.1016/j.tate.2011.11.013.	623
[82]	B. Loonstra, A. Brouwers, and W. Tomic, "Feelings of existential fulfilment and burnout among secondary school teachers,"	624
	Teach. Teach. Educ., vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 752–757, 2009, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2009.01.002.	625
[83]	$J.\ Pietarinen, K.\ Pyh\"{a}lt\"{o}, T.\ Soini,\ and\ K.\ Salmela-Aro,\ "Reducing teacher burnout: A socio-contextual approach,"\ \textit{Teach}.\ \textit{Teach}.$	626
	Educ., vol. 35, pp. 62–72, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2013.05.003.	627
[84]	C. Devos, V. Dupriez, and L. Paquay, "Does the social working environment predict beginning teachers' self-efficacy and	628
	feelings of depression?," Teach. Teach. Educ., vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 206–217, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.tate.2011.09.008.	629
[85]	E. Fouché, S. Rothmann, and C. Van Der Vyver, "Antecedents and outcomes of meaningful work among school teachers,"	630
	SA J. Ind. Psychol., vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2017, doi: 10.4102/sajip.v43i0.1398.	631
[86]	S. Lavy and S. Bocker, "A Path to Teacher Happiness? A Sense of Meaning Affects Teacher-Student Relationships, Which	632
	Affect Job Satisfaction," J. Happiness Stud., vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1485-1503, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10902-017-9883-9.	633
[87]	I. Bernarto, D. Bachtiar, N. Sudibjo, I. N. Suryawan, A. Purwanto, and M. Asbari, "Effect of transformational leadership,	634
	$perceived\ organization al\ support,\ job\ satisfaction\ toward\ life\ satisfaction:\ Evidences\ from\ indonesian\ teachers, {\it ''}\ Int.\ J.\ Adv.$	635
	Sci. Technol., vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 5495–5503, 2020.	636
[88]	Y. Yang, "Principals' transformational leadership in school improvement," Int. J. Educ. Manag., 2014.	637
[89]	L. R. Men, "CEO credibility, perceived organizational reputation, and employee engagement," Public Relat. Rev., vol. 38, no.	638
	1, pp. 171–173, 2012.	639
[90]	J. Sun, X. Chen, and S. Zhang, "education sciences A Review of Research Evidence on the Antecedents of Transformational	640
	Leadership," 2017, doi: 10.3390/educsci7010015.	641
[91]	PY. Cheng, JT. Yang, CS. Wan, and MC. Chu, "Ethical contexts and employee job responses in the hotel industry: The	642
	roles of work values and perceived organizational support," Int. J. Hosp. Manag., vol. 34, pp. 108-115, 2013.	643
[92]	G. Jacobs, F. D. Belschak, and D. N. Den Hartog, "(Un)Ethical Behavior and Performance Appraisal: The Role of Affect,	644
	Support, and Organizational Justice," J. Bus. Ethics, vol. 121, no. 1, pp. 63-76, 2014, doi: 10.1007/s10551-013-1687-1.	645
[93]	$M.\ B.\ Mendelson,\ N.\ Turner,\ and\ J.\ Barling,\ "Perceptions\ of\ the\ presence\ and\ effectiveness\ of\ high\ involvement\ work\ systems$	646
	and their relationship to employee attitudes: A test of competing models," Pers. Rev., vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 45-69, 2011, doi:	647
	10.1108/00483481111095519.	648
[94]	M. Simosi, "Disentangling organizational support construct: The role of different sources of support to newcomers' training	649
	transfer and organizational commitment," Pers. Rev., vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 301-320, 2012, doi: 10.1108/00483481211212959.	650
[95]	$I.\ Ahmed\ and\ M.\ M.\ Nawaz, "Antecedents\ and\ outcomes\ of\ perceived\ organizational\ support:\ A\ literature\ survey\ approach,"$	651
	J. Manag. Dev., vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 867–880, 2015, doi: 10.1108/JMD-09-2013-0115.	652
[96]	T. J. Zagenczyk, K. D. Scott, R. Gibney, A. J. Murrell, and J. B. Thatcher, "Social influence and perceived organizational	653
	support: A social networks analysis," Organ. Behav. Hum. Decis. Process., vol. 111, no. 2, pp. 127-138, 2010, doi:	654
	10.1016/j.obhdp.2009.11.004.	655
[97]	$S.\ Alaghmand, F.\ Mozaffar, S.\ B.\ Hosseini, and\ B.\ S.\ Sedghpour,\ "Investigating\ Factors\ Affecting\ Students'\ Self-Actualization$	656
	at University Spaces.," Rom. J. Multidimens. Educ. Rom. pentru Educ. Multidimens., vol. 10, 2018.	657
[98]	R. Bogler and A. E. Nir, "The contribution of perceived fit between job demands and abilities to teachers' commitment and	658

Educ. Sci. 2022 , 12, x FOR PEER	REVIEW	19 of 19
job satisfaction," Edu	ac. Manag. Adm. Leadersh., vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 541–560, 2015, doi: 10.1177/174	1143214535736.

hasil-The Mediating Role of Meaning at Work in Promoting Teacher Commitment and Burnout

	ICHER COMMINIUMENT AND BURNOUT	
1	6 _% 13 _% 13 _% 6 _%	6 PENT PAPERS
PRIMAF	RY SOURCES	
1	Submitted to Universidad Internacional de la Rioja Student Paper	2%
2	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	1 %
3	Submitted to University of Debrecen Student Paper	1 %
4	Yilmaz Akgunduz, Ceylan Alkan, Özge Adan Gök. "Perceived organizational support, employee creativity and proactive personalit The mediating effect of meaning of work", Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 2018 Publication	1 %
5	worldwidescience.org Internet Source	1 %
6	www.vdu.lt Internet Source	1 %

7	Joo-Ho Park, North Cooc, Kang-Ho Lee. "Relationships between teacher influence in managerial and instruction-related decision-making, job satisfaction, and professional commitment: A multivariate multilevel model", Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 2020 Publication	<1%
8	Submitted to The Chicago School of Professional Psychology Student Paper	<1%
9	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	<1%
10	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	<1%
11	Submitted to Universitas Pelita Harapan Student Paper	<1%
12	Daniel J. Madigan, Lisa E. Kim. "Does teacher burnout affect students? A systematic review of its association with academic achievement and student-reported outcomes", International Journal of Educational Research, 2021 Publication	<1%
13	Supandi Supandi, Hardi Suyitno, Yohanes Leonardus Sukestiyarno, Dwijanto Dwijanto.	<1%

"Self-Efficacy and the Ability to Think

Creatively by Prospective Mathematics Teachers Based on Learning Barriers", Journal of Educational and Social Research, 2021

Publication

14	es.scribd.com Internet Source	<1%
15	Kyoung Yong Kim, Robert Eisenberger, Kibok Baik. "Perceived organizational support and affective organizational commitment: Moderating influence of perceived organizational competence", Journal of Organizational Behavior, 2016 Publication	<1%
16	Submitted to Monash University Student Paper	<1%
17	ijdri.com Internet Source	<1%
18	mdpi-res.com Internet Source	<1%
19	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1%
20	Ramazan Cansoy, Hanifi Parlar, Mahmut Polatcan. "Collective teacher efficacy as a mediator in the relationship between instructional leadership and teacher	<1%

commitment", International Journal of Leadership in Education, 2020

Publication

21	onlinelibrary.wiley.com Internet Source	<1%
22	Submitted to Universita degli Studi di Torino Student Paper	<1%
23	prr.hec.gov.pk Internet Source	<1%
24	eprints.uny.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
25	hdl.handle.net Internet Source	<1%
26	María Angeles Peláez-Fernández, Sergio Mérida-López, Lourdes Rey, Natalio Extremera. "Burnout, work engagement and life satisfaction among Spanish teachers: The unique contribution of core self-evaluations", Personality and Individual Differences, 2022 Publication	<1%
27	ajss.abasyn.edu.pk Internet Source	<1%
28	dc.uwm.edu Internet Source	<1%
29	dspace.library.uu.nl Internet Source	<1%

30	hamdardislamicus.com.pk Internet Source	<1%
31	www.cambridge.org Internet Source	<1%
32	www.ijicc.net Internet Source	<1%
33	Jonghun Sun, Young Woo Sohn. "The Influence of Dual Missions on Employees' Meaning of Work and Turnover Intention in Social Enterprises", Sustainability, 2021 Publication	<1%
34	Rosana Stan, Delia Vîrgă. "Psychological needs matter more than social and organizational resources in explaining organizational commitment", Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 2021 Publication	<1%
35	downloads.hindawi.com Internet Source	<1%
36	eudl.eu Internet Source	<1%
37	ir-library.egerton.ac.ke Internet Source	<1%
38	scholar.sun.ac.za Internet Source	<1%

39	www.atlantis-press.com Internet Source	<1%
40	Publication	<1%
41	"Psychology of Retention", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018 Publication	<1%
42	Andrés Salas-Vallina, Joaquín Alegre, Rafael Fernández Guerrero. "Happiness at work in knowledge-intensive contexts: Opening the research agenda", European Research on Management and Business Economics, 2018 Publication	<1%
43	Anugamini Priya Srivastava, Venkatesh Mani, Mohit Yadav, Yatish Joshi. "Authentic leadership towards sustainability in higher education – an integrated green model", International Journal of Manpower, 2020 Publication	<1%
44	Budi Sasongko, Eny Lestari Widarni, Suryaning Bawono. "Training Analysis and Locus of Control on Self Efficacy and Work Ability of Employees", HOLISTICA – Journal of Business and Public Administration, 2020 Publication	<1%
45	Nadia Zahoor, Francis Donbesuur, Michael	<1%

Christofi, Domnan Miri. "Technological

innovation and employee psychological well-being: The moderating role of employee learning orientation and perceived organizational support", Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2022
Publication

<1%

Wantini Suyatno, Baidi Baidi, Ganis Amurdawati. "The influence of values and achievement motivation on teacher professionalism at Muhammadiyah 2 High School Yogyakarta, Indonesia", Pedagogika, 2019

Publication

47	books.atla.com Internet Source	<1%
48	ijsrm.in Internet Source	<1%
49	mdpi.com Internet Source	<1%
50	publisher.unimas.my Internet Source	<1%
51	pubs.rsc.org Internet Source	<1%
52	www.frontiersin.org Internet Source	<1%

www.scribd.com

Judith Amels, Meta L. Krüger, Cor J. M. Suhre, Klaas van Veen. "The effects of distributed leadership and inquiry-based work on primary teachers' capacity to change: testing a model", School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 2020

<1%

Publication

Kouni Zacharo, Marios Koutsoukos, Dimitra Panta. "Connection of Teachers' Organizational Commitment and Transformational Leadership. A Case Study from Greece", International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, 2018

<1%

Publication

Suyatno, Dholina Inang Pambudi, Ganis Amurdawati, Wantini, Mei Vita Romadon Ningrum. "Factors Affecting the Meaning in Life of Teachers", Pedagogika, 2020

<1%

Ken Hang To, Hongbiao Yin, Winnie Wing Yi Tam, Chrysa Pui Chi Keung. "Principal leadership practices, professional learning communities, and teacher commitment in Hong Kong kindergartens: A multilevel SEM

<1%

analysis", Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 2021

Publication

Exclude quotes On Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography On