



CONTENTS	
Introduction	vii
Chapter 1	1
Chapter 2	47
Chapter 3	111
Chapter 4	139
Chapter 5	163
Chapter 6	183
Chapter 7	221
Chapter 8	239

In: Nationalism: Past as Prologue
Editors: B. E. Johansen and A. Akande
ISBN: 978-1-53618-231-5
© 2021 Nova Science Publishers, Inc.

Chapter 7

**DOMESTIC VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN
IN INDONESIA AND AOTEAROA (NEW ZEALAND):
MAKING SENSE OF DIFFERENCES AND SIMILARITIES**

Elli Nur Hayati¹, PhD and Mandy Morgan², PhD
¹Faculty of Psychology, Ahmad Dahlan University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
²School of Psychology, Massey University, Palmerston North, Aotearoa, New Zealand

ABSTRACT

Indonesia and Aotearoa New Zealand hold very different ranks in the United Nations Human Development Programme assessments, where Indonesia is classified as a medium development country and Aotearoa New Zealand as a very high development country. Yet despite their socio-economic differences, one in three women in each country experience physical or sexual intimate partner violence over their lifetimes. In this chapter we aim to deepen understandings of domestic violence through discussion of the socio-economic and demographic characteristics of our countries alongside studies of women's attitudes to gender norms and experience of intimate partner violence. We consider both expected and unexpected differences and similarities in women's accounts of their experiences and provide suggestions for further research.

INTRODUCTION

Indonesia and Aotearoa New Zealand are both island nations of the Asia-Pacific region with different colonial histories, populations and economies. Yet despite their

222
Elli Nur Hayati and Mandy Morgan

socio-cultural and historical differences, the lifetime prevalence of sexual and physical violence towards women is remarkably similar: one in three Indonesian and New Zealand women will experience physical or sexual violence in their lifetimes (Biro Pusat Statistik, 2017; Fanslow, Robinson, Crengle and Perese, 2010).

Our interest exploring the similarities and differences of gender-based intimate partner violence in our countries arises from recognizing stereotypes about the relationship between women's experiences of domestic violence and the socio-economic conditions of the countries in which they live. Through the United Nations Human Development Index (HDI), Aotearoa New Zealand is categorized as a very high human development country and Indonesia as a medium human development country. Since we have visited each other and taught each other's students, we have been exposed to assumptions about the development ranking of our respective countries. In Indonesia, postgraduate students were surprised to learn that women in Aotearoa New Zealand experienced any violence from their intimate partners because they assumed high status human development would be a protective factor against IPV violence. In Aotearoa New Zealand, students were surprised to learn that divorce was very accessible for women in Indonesia because they assumed that lower-status human development involved greater restrictions on women's choice to end a marital relationship. As we have worked together, we have become increasingly sensitive to misunderstandings and misrepresentations of domestic violence against women based on the development status of our countries.

We aim to deepen understandings of gender-based domestic violence in our different national contexts by re-examining findings from previous qualitative studies that theoretically analyze women's accounts of their experiences of intimate partner violence (IPV) in Indonesia and Aotearoa New Zealand (Hayati, Eriksson, Hatim, Högberg and Emmelin, 2013; Morgan, Coombes, Te Hivi & Gray, 2007). To contextualise our analysis, we begin by reviewing demographic information, national initiatives to address domestic violence and studies of women's attitudes to gender norms in Indonesia and Aotearoa New Zealand. Insights from our analysis open up new pathways for research that takes account of women's experience across and within diverse settings.

DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILES: INDONESIA AND AOTEAROA (NEW ZEALAND)

The Human Development Index (HDI) was developed to provide indicators of a country's development that take account of the well-being and capabilities of the people as well as economic indicators (United Nations Development Programme/UNDP, 2017). HDI indices are based on aggregated data of life expectancy, education attainment, and

per capita income. Since they simplify human development across these domains, the UNDP also provides indices of gender inequality (GINI) that take account of differences in the status of men and women within countries profiled for HDI. Indonesia and Aotearoa New Zealand held very different ranks in HDI, with Aotearoa New Zealand ranked 13 in the world for human development, while Indonesia is ranked at 113. Aotearoa New Zealand and Indonesia also achieve very different scores for gender inequality (0.158 and 0.467 respectively). Table 1 below compares country profiles on HDI, GINI and other relevant indicators of wealth, population wellbeing and gender equality.

Table 1. Comparison of country profiles

	Indonesia	NZ
Population*	260 811 000	4 581 000
Population density and settlement*	143/km ²	13/km ²
ODP*	52% urban	82% urban
	Agriculture 13%	Agriculture 6.8%
	Industry 47%	Industry 27%
	Service 40%	Service 66%
HDI rank**	113 (medium)	13 (very high)
GINI rank**	113 (upper middle income)	26 (high income)
GINI index & rank**	0.467 (105)	0.158 (54)
Labour force participation**	7 100 890, M (84%)	7 022 890, M (79%)
Women's vote in national parliament**	15%	25.4%
International homicide rate**	9.1/100 000	0.9/100 000
Lifetime prevalence of IPV (physical and/or sexual violence)	1 out of 10 women*	1 out of 20 women*
Current prevalence of IPV (physical and/or sexual violence)	1 out of 10*	1 out of 20*

*Source: Human Development Report 2010 (UNDP, 2010); World Statistics Pocketbook 2010 (CSO, 2010); Source: Indonesian Statistics Bureau (BPS) in Women's Life Experiences (2017).

**Source: Fainbow et al. (2016).

***Source: One Zealand Crime and Safety Survey (2014).

The large gap in socio-economic wellbeing and gender disparities in the countries' development apparently do not mitigate similar numbers of lifetime prevalence of violence against women. In both Aotearoa New Zealand and Indonesia, community and Government organisations have been making concerted efforts to develop and integrate responses to intimate partner violence across different sectors for more than two decades.

Women's Attitudes on Gender Norms

After Indonesia gained independence from Dutch colonialism in 1945, Women's involvement in political life was strictly limited by the new order regime (1967 – 1998).

Complimentary Contributor Copy

Women were co-opted into a 'soft' nationwide organization called *Dharma Wanita* (Women's Dedication), with socially authorized roles as their husbands' companions and caregivers of their children, in line with the Marriage Law No. 1/1974, which states that although husband and wife have equal rights within the marriage, husbands are the heads of household and wives are responsible for household routines. The ideology was 'family harmony', where women were responsible for maintaining harmonious family relations (Sispa, 1996). Deeply rooted in a mixture of Javanese traditions and Islamic teaching, the ideology presented an 'ideal woman' who was submissive and obedient to her husband (Hakima, Hayati, Utari and Wulandari, 2011). These days *Dharma Wanita* is no longer mandatory for women civil servants.

The traditional British colonial gender norms in New Zealand also regarded women as most suitable for obedience to their husbands, submissiveness, responsibility for the care of the family and domestic duties (Morgan and Weatherley, 2016). These norms were disruptively imposed on Māori women during the process of colonization (Mkaree, 1999).

However, Aotearoa New Zealand led the world in legislating for women's suffrage in the late 19th Century and by the mid-20th Century the second wave feminist movement had significantly influenced social expectations for women's equal rights and particularly their participation in the workforce. Women's attitudes to gender norms in Aotearoa New Zealand vary considerably by ethnicity, yet among all ethnic groups only a minority of women (< 50%) endorse traditional gender expectations of women's and men's roles in the family.

Table 2 above how women in Indonesia endorse traditional norms for men and women in intimate relationships, while New Zealand women are seemingly less traditional. Even so, the majority of women in both countries agree that there is no justification for men to hit their wives and women have the right to refuse sex when their spouse asks for it.

Table 2. Comparison of women's attitudes on gender norms within intimate relationships

Gender norm	Indonesia	NZ
Control over women's behaviour	Majority agree (83%)	Majority disagree (59%)
Women obliged to have sex with the husband	Majority agree (81%)	Majority disagree (59%)
Men should share with the house	Majority agree (83%)	Majority disagree (59%)
Men entitled to work for any reason	Majority disagree (51%)	Majority disagree (59%)
Women's right to refuse sex for any reason	Majority agree (89%)	Majority agree (83%)

*Data from the WHO Multi-Country Study on domestic violence prevention

**Source: BPS et al. (2011).

***Source: Fainbow et al. (2016).

Complimentary Contributor Copy

I didn't tell anyone. I didn't want to. I wanted to try and make it work and yeah, so I didn't tell anyone. And I used to have bruises and stuff, but I used to cover up for him a lot. I used to make excuses for him and I used to blame myself like, "if I didn't do that, then he wouldn't hit me" and, "oh, maybe it is my fault and I'm the crazy one." (D237)

Strategies of subterfuge and silence have been identified in research into men's coercive control over their partners where patterns of psychological, sexual and/or physical violence occur over extended periods of time (see, for example, Stark, 2007). Rather than conceptualising IPV as a series of discrete incidents of harm, the concept of coercive control takes account of accumulating harm. It also draws attention to the relationship between the social norms of masculinity and femininity that intersect women's expectations of relationships and their experiences of intimate partner violence. Women in both of our studies reported experiences of living under their partners' control that seemed to transcend the boundaries of our socio-cultural differences.

CONCLUSION

Our aim in this chapter was to draw on research we had each conducted in our countries to address misunderstandings based on assumptions about the relationship between women's experiences of intimate partner violence and the economic or human development status of the country. While there is strong evidence that prevalence of IPV is higher in some countries that have lower HDI ranks (WHO, 2013), the lifetime prevalence rates for IPV against women in Indonesia and Aotearoa New Zealand are similar. By examining the accounts of women in our studies, we have found similarities we expected, such as the health consequences of stress related to IPV and mothers' actions to protect their children. We have also identified some unexpected similarities, such as humiliation involved in financial abuse, and differences, such as the ways our participants talked about sexual violence.

The small samples of our studies were designed to provide rich and detailed accounts of specific women's experiences and they are not intended to draw broader inferences. The comparison between women's accounts in our two studies has drawn our attention to areas that would be fruitful for further international research collaboration. How women experience coercive control and sexual violence in our two countries is one such area of further study. There were also areas that we did not explore in this paper that would benefit from further research. For example, given the differences in Indonesia's consensual law against domestic violence and Aotearoa New Zealand's family law, further comparative research on women's experiences of police intervention and court processes would be helpful in understanding how different institutional responses are effective in our specific locations. Another example is the experience of spontaneity as a source of

Complimentary Contributor Copy

strength and resistance. These experiences were evident in the Indonesian study but did not emerge in the Aotearoa New Zealand study. Perhaps this is a consequence of the research questions that the studies addressed, or their locations, or the particular samples. Yet it may also be a significant factor in the social differences influencing the context of IPV in both countries. The complex role of religion and spirituality in relation to violence against women is gaining increasing attention globally (see for example, Johnson, 2015; Meadows, Kailow, Thompson and Jurkovic, 2005; Nelson, Wang and Haegemon, 2017) and will be an important future direction for research aiming to understand and end IPV against women.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the School of Psychology at Massey University for providing funding and the Department of Psychology, Alimul Dahlan University for time release to enable Dr. Hayati to visit Aotearoa New Zealand so that we were able to develop this chapter together. We are also grateful to our colleagues in the Health Research Center for their support of our work together.

REFERENCES

Biro Pusat Statistik (BPS). *Laporan Bulanan Data Sosial Ekonomi (Monthly Report on Social Economic Data)*. Mei 2017.

Chabrock, N., Covey, N., Elizabeth, V., & Talmis, J. (2014). The portrayal of post-separation parents in the speeches of the Principal Family Court Judge of New Zealand. *Women's Studies Journal*, 28(1), 4-17.

Fainbow, J., and Olliver, P. (2015). Exploiting risk and protective factors for recent and past intimate partner violence against New Zealand women. *Violence and Victims*, 30(6), 960-983.

Fainbow, J. L., and Robinson, E. M. (2004). Violence against women in New Zealand: Prevalence and health consequences. *The New Zealand Medical Journal*, 117(1206), 1-12.

Fainbow, J. L., and Robinson, E. M. (2010). Help-seeking behaviors and reasons for help seeking reported by a representative sample of women victims of intimate partner violence in New Zealand. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 25(3), 939-951.

Fainbow, J., Robinson, E., Crengle, S., and Perese, L. (2010). Justifying belief and reality: Prevalence rates of intimate partner violence and attitudes to violence and

Complimentary Contributor Copy

gender roles reported by New Zealand women. *Violence Against Women*, 16(7), 812-831.

Hain, S., & Treweek, C. (2015). *Creating change: Mobilizing New Zealand communities to prevent family violence* (Issue Paper No. 8). <http://nzfvf.org.nz/issue-paper-8-creating-change-2015>.

Hayati, E. N., Höglberg, U., Hakimi, M., Ellberg, M. C., & Emanuel, M. (2011). Behind the silence of harmony: Risk factors for physical and sexual violence among women in rural Indonesia. *BMC Women's Health*, 11(1), 52-59.

Hayati, E. N., Eriksson, M., Hakimi, M., Höglberg, U., & Emanuel, M. (2013). 'Elastic band strategy': Women's lived experience of coping with domestic violence in rural Indonesia. *Global Health Action*, 6(1), 1-12.

Hakimi, M., Hayati, E. N., Utari, V. M., Wulandari, A., Ellberg, M. C. (2011). *Silence for the sake of harmony* (2nd ed.). Yogyakarta: CERN-EL-GEM; Yogyakarta: Rikha Annisa Women's Crisis Center, Unesa, Unesa University, Women's Health Exchange & Program for Appropriate Technology, USA.

Johnson, A. J. (Ed.) (2015). *Religion and Men's Violence Against Women*. Springer.

Lapierre, S. (2010). Striving to be 'good' mothers: Absent women's experiences of mothering. *Child Abuse Review*, 19(5), 342-357.

Meadows, L. A., Kailow, N. J., Thompson, M. P., and Jurkovic, G. J. (2005). Protective factors against suicide attempt risk among African American women experiencing intimate partner violence. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 36(1-2), 109-121.

Mkaree, A. (1999). Colonization and the imposition of patriarchy: A Ngati Raukawa woman's perspective. *Ti Hanga*, 1, 34-49.

Ministry of Justice (2014). *The New Zealand Crime and Safety Survey 2014*.

Ministry of Social Development. (2017a). *Taskforce for Action on Family Violence*. <https://www.msd.govt.nz/about-us-and-our-work/work-programmes/initiatives/action-family-violence/>.

Ministry of Social Development. (2017b). *It's not a ok*. <http://www.msd.govt.nz/>.

Morgan, M. (2014). Researching violence against women in Aotearoa New Zealand. *Journal of Educational, Health and Community Psychology*, 1(1), 79-98.

Morgan, M., Coombes, L. (2016). Protective mothers: Women's understandings of protecting children in the context of legal interventions into intimate partner violence. *The Australian Community Psychologist*, 38(1), 55-74.

Morgan, M., Coombes, L., To Hei, E., and McGray, S. (2008). *Accounting for safety: A sample of women victims' experience of safety through the Waitakere Family Violence Court*. Palmerston North, Aotearoa NZ: Massey University.

Morgan, M. and Weatherley, G. (2016). *Aotearoa/New Zealand: Paradox and tensions for feminist transformation*. *Labrys, endas feministas/ estudos feministas*, 29. <http://www.labrys.net.br/>.

Complimentary Contributor Copy

African University in South Africa. He has authored more than 200 journal articles, several of which have been reprinted in anthologies. Among the multiple awards conferred, Akande received the ABPP's ("Dr. Phillips") Award, Chicago, and Frank Andrew ISR Award, University of Michigan, in 1996. Tenison Government International Scholar Fellow 2003; Nippon Foundation of Japan Fellowship, 2008, Fellow Schloss Leopoldsdorf, Salzburg, Austria 2008, Certificate of Honor, The Indian Institute of Planning and Management, New Delhi, India; the Emerson Scholar award, as well as many awards for outstanding publications. He was a co-recipient of the 2007 Uvinda Guelin Global Psychology Book Award and the Gordon W. Allport Prize (2005) for their research on individualism versus, which are Scientific Citation Classics. Akande is the CCI Professor of the Global Leadership and Organizational Behavior Effectiveness Research Program (GLOBE). Akande's major research interests were varied but focused on relationships among transformational self-esteem, learning, power, ethics, political influence, personality, and leadership in contributing to organizational issues. He currently serves as an international director for IR and guest professor, to a number of Canadian Universities.

ABOUT THE EDITORS

Bruce Elliot Johansen, PhD
Professor Emeritus
School of Communication University of Nebraska at Omaha,
Omaha, NE, US
Email: bjohansen@unomaha.edu

As a professor of Communication and Native American Studies, Bruce E. Johansen taught, researched, and wrote at the University of Nebraska at Omaha from 1982 to 2019, retiring to emeritus status as Frederick W. Kayser research professor. He has published 50 books in several fields: history, anthropology, law, the Earth sciences, and others. Johansen's writing has been published, debated, and reviewed in many academic venues, among them the *William and Mary Quarterly*, *American Historical Review*, *Carver History*, and *Nature*, as well as in many popular newspapers and magazines, such as *The New York Times* and *The National Geographic*.

Adebowale Akande
IR, GLOBE Cross-Cultural, Vancouver, BC, Canada
Email: adebowale@yahoo.com

Adebowale Akande received his PhD degree in Guidance, Psychology & Management from the Boston University with postdoc studies in two American Universities (University of Michigan and SUNY at Binghamton). He went on to hold faculty appointments at several African Universities. In 1998 he was appointed the first black incumbent of the Chair in Applied Psychological Research in a prestigious

Complimentary Contributor Copy

Complimentary Contributor Copy

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

- Adebowale Akande (Editor), IR, GLOBE Cross-Cultural, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- Richard D. Anderson, Jr., PhD, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, US
- Eli Nur Hayati, PhD, Faculty of Psychology, Ahmad Dahlan University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
- Bartosz Hlebowitcz, PhD, Florence, Italy and Białystok, Poland
- Bruce E. Johansen, PhD (Editor/Chapter Author), School of Communication, University of Nebraska at Omaha, Omaha, Nebraska, US
- Ronan Le Coedic, PhD, University of Rennes, Rennes, France
- Barbara Alice Mann, PhD, Honors College, University of Toledo, Toledo, OH, US
- Mandy Morgan, PhD, School of Psychology, Massey University, Palmerston North, Auckland, New Zealand
- Stephanie Mae Pedron, International Studies, Asian Politics, Georgia Southern University, GA, US
- José Filipe Pinto, PhD, Department of Political Science, Security and International Relations, Loupshon University of Hammarström & Teichgraber, Lisbon, Portugal
- Nahanda Rey, PhD, International Studies, Asian Politics, Georgia Southern University, GA, US
- Bernard Tamas, PhD, Political Science, Valdosta State University, Valdosta, GA, US
- Ming Xia, PhD, Department of Political Science & Criminal Justice, West Texas A & M University, Canyon, TX, US

Complimentary Contributor Copy

As a professor of Communication and Native American Studies, Bruce E. Johansen taught, researched, and wrote at the University of Nebraska at Omaha from 1982 to 2019, retiring to emeritus status as Frederick W. Kayser research professor. He has published 50 books in several fields: history, anthropology, law, the Earth sciences, and others. Johansen's writing has been published, debated, and reviewed in many academic venues, among them the *William and Mary Quarterly*, *American Historical Review*, *Carver History*, and *Nature*, as well as in many popular newspapers and magazines, such as *The New York Times* and *The National Geographic*.

"No one writes, or thinks, with the same passion, fearlessness and accessibility as Bruce Johansen, a figure who has been speaking truth to power on global environmental and indigenous issues for over forty years. You're going to scream at this work that nationalism is not all bad, but you'll also learn much more than you ever expected and finish it determined to make changes and learn more. Enjoy!" Professor Joy Porter, Pi Treatiespaces.com, Leverhulme Major Research Fellow and Lead Editor with Dina Gilio-Whittaker and Clint Carroll of the Cambridge University Press series, *Elements in Indigenous Environmental Research*.

Joy Porter Leverhulme
Research Fellow
Indigenous History
United Kingdom



Complimentary Contributor Copy