

# HASIL CEK\_Integrating metacognitive strategies impact in virtual science experiments for undergraduate students hots

*by Universitas Ahmad Dahlan Yogyakarta 43*

---

**Submission date:** 03-Nov-2023 11:46AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 2215999961

**File name:** virtual\_science\_experiments\_for\_undergraduate\_students\_hots.pdf (415.14K)

**Word count:** 6594

**Character count:** 38580



## **Integrating metacognitive strategies impact in virtual science experiments for undergraduate students' hots**

**Trikinasih Handayani<sup>1\*</sup>, Butch O. Saulon<sup>2</sup>, Ika Maryani<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology Education, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, <sup>2</sup>School of Graduate Studies, University of Nueva Caceres, Bicol Region, Philippines, <sup>3</sup>Department of Elementary School Teacher Education, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

\*Corresponding Author: [trikinasih@pbio.uad.ac.id](mailto:trikinasih@pbio.uad.ac.id)

### **ABSTRACT**

Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) are essential variables that support the achievement of science learning objectives. However, distance learning during the Covid-19 Pandemic was the cause of the lack of maximum HOTS for undergraduate science students due to their lack of involvement in experiments. Therefore, this study aims to apply a metacognition-integrated science virtual experiment model and examine its impact on students' HOTS science. A quasi-experiment was involved with a randomized pretest-posttest comparison group design to see the impact of the implementation of this model. Participants in the two treatment groups were randomly selected from two private universities in Indonesia. The HOTS of participants were assessed using multiple-choice questions. Observation sheets were used to measure the implementation of the learning model being developed. A general linear model with MANOVA was used to test the effect of the model, while Partial Eta Squared was used to measure the effect size of the model on HOTS. The results showed that the virtual science experimental model integrated with metacognition strategies significantly affected students' HOTS. The effect size measurement shows a high effect in the experimental group. Researchers recommend that universities apply a similar model to encourage students' achievement of HOTS.

**Keywords:** higher-order thinking skills, metacognition, undergraduate science students, virtual science experiment

### **Article history**

**Received:**  
09 August 2022

**Revised:**  
08 September 2022

**Accepted:**  
18 November 2022

**Published:**  
14 May 2023

**Citation (APA Style):** Handayani, T., Saulon, B. O., & Maryani, I. (2023). Integrating metacognitive strategies impact in virtual science experiments for undergraduate students' hots. *Cakrawala Pendidikan: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan*, 42(2), 380-391. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v42i2.51752>

## **INTRODUCTION**

Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) for pre-service teacher is very important in supporting the achievement of Technological Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK) (Ilmi et al., 2020). There have been many lessons that empower HOTS, including ILMIZI (Ichsan, 2019), Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) (Rosidin et al., 2019), discovery learning (Indah, 2020), Project-based Learning (PjBL) (Suherman et al., 2020), or the combination of STEM-PjBL (Maryani, Astrianti, et al., 2021). These models have proven effective in training HOTS, but the Covid-19 Pandemic hampers their implementation.

The Covid-19 pandemic provides the impetus for institutions to change the learning process (Giatman et al., 2020; Stevanović et al., 2021). This necessitates lecturers' and students' readiness to use technology and solve problems effectively (Gestiardi et al., 2021). The situation in the field is the lack of preparedness of lecturers and students to adapt to the rapid changes, so the follow-up to learning is also not optimal (Firmansyah et al., 2021). As a result, there are many learning losses (Storey & Zhang, n.d.), learning objectives are not achieved (Yusuf, 2021), and student

interest and involvement in distance learning are very low (Nambiar, 2020). These problems have a direct impact on student HOTS.

Higher-order Thinking Skills (HOTS) play a significant role in developing and applying scientific concepts in adult learners. These skills assess an individual's memory and his or her capacity to analyze, synthesize, and evaluate (Thomas & Thorne, 2009). The higher-order thinking process is pursued through remembering, understanding, applying, analyzing, making judgments, and making decisions (Brookhart, 2010; Heong et al., 2011).

The strong demand for the development of HOTS in the Institutes of Education and Education Personnel (IEEPs) runs counter to the HOTS of pre-service teachers at these institutions. It means that many prospective teachers in IEEPs have low levels of thinking (lower-order thinking skills) (Gradini et al., 2018; Wiyoko & Aprizan, 2020). According to studies, the learning models in IEEPs have not been able to promote HOTS in their students. Previous research has been conducted to assess the effectiveness of the following learning models in improving college students' HOTS: PBL (Fakhriyah, 2014), RMS (Reading, Mapping, and Learning) (Diani et al., 2018), CUPs (Conceptual Understanding Procedures) (Saregar et al., 2016), Constructive Controversy (CC) and Modified Free Inquiry (MFI) (Pratiwi, 2014), film (Anthony et al., 2014), and Guided Inquiry Laboratory-Based Module (Prihwardoyo et al., 2017). Unfortunately, research in Indonesia still revolves around HOTS measurement and analysis instruments. Only a few academics have developed a distance learning method built on HOTS empowerment, and many overlook the incorporation of the aforementioned learning models into distance learning during the Covid-19 epidemic.

The key to success in distance learning is independence (Kauffman, 2015). The virtual science experiment model must be developed in such a way that student independence is maximized. One approach would be to incorporate metacognitive strategies into the learning model (Panahandeh & Asl, 2014). Metacognition is the knowledge of cognition as well as the regulation of cognition (Winne, 2017). The former involves metacognitive knowledge and experience, whereas the latter incorporates metacognitive strategies. This theory is relevant in this case because the metacognitive dimension is the most important dimension of knowledge after the factual, conceptual, and procedural dimensions.

Previous related studies show that metacognitive strategies have several advantages, including assisting students in monitoring their progress and controlling their learning process (via reading, writing, and problem-solving), contributing to the learner's desire to learn beyond his or her intellectual abilities; and improving student academic achievement across age, cognitive abilities, and learning domains, including reading, writing, math, reasoning, and problem-solving (Veenman et al., 2004; Wang et al., 1990). Undergraduate students' metacognition should be optimized for them to sharpen their thinking skills in overcoming real-world problems (Kleitman & Narciss, 2019).

Students can engage in metacognition activities in the classroom by reflecting on the thinking processes involved in the learning process; looking for other concrete examples from previous learning experiences and thought patterns; analyzing the benefits of using the mindset and the disadvantages of not using it, leading to an understanding of where the strategy should be used; and generalizing and formulating rules regarding these thought patterns (Zohar, 1999, 2004; Zohar & Dori, 2012). Previous research has also succeeded in developing a metacognition-based learning model called MiSHE (Metacognition in science for higher education) (Maryani, Prasetyo, & Wilujeng, 2021). This model has the advantage of involving students from lesson planning to reflection. The MiSHE model is also claimed to be successful in training students' self-regulation for distance learning and managing the tasks assigned to them. Furthermore, one part of the MiSHE Model is virtual experiment-based learning. Thus, researchers are interested in implementing this learning model, which is integrated with metacognition strategies, to determine its effect on students' HOTS Science.

## METHOD

This study used an experimental study with a randomized pretest-posttest comparison group design (Creswell, 2012), to know the impact of this model compared with the other model.

<sup>15</sup> The <sup>37</sup> experimental class consisted of 39 students, while the control class consisted of 40 students. The research design used a randomized Pretest-Posttest Comparison Group Design referring to Creswell (2012).

HOTS data is collected through a test that uses seven valid questions for each <sup>11</sup> material (there are seven materials in this lesson). The indicators of HOTS questions are logic, reasoning, analysis, evaluation, creation, problem-solving, and decision making (Heong et al., 2011; R. Marzano, 2013; R. J. Marzano, 1993; R. J. Marzano & Kendall, 2006; Zohar & Dori, 2003). The validity and reliability of the questions are sought with response theory items for multiple-choice questions. The construct validity of the items was analyzed using item response theory with EFA (Explanatory Factor Analysis). The results can be seen in the attachment and previously published by Maryani, Prasetyo, Wilujeng, et al (2021) Data analysis was performed using the General Linear Model with Multivariate of Variance (MANOVA). After establishing the significant effect of the model on students' HOTS, the effect size was calculated. Effect size shows the degree of the experiment model's significant effect on students' HOTS. It is defined as the standard deviation between the control and experimental <sup>10</sup> groups' scores. Cohen's d is the appropriate effect size in this circumstance. A large Cohen's d value indicates that the difference between the control and experimental groups is significant. The effect <sup>18</sup> size was also computed in MANOVA using Eta squared. An Eta squared value of 0.01 suggests a small effect, 0.03 indicates a moderate effect, and 0.5 indicates a big effect (Bakker et al., 2019; Cohen, 1988; Mordkoff, 2019).

Hypothesis

H0: The integrated metacognition virtual practicums have significant effects on students' HOTS.

H1: The integrated metacognition virtual practicums have no significant effects on students' HOTS.

## FINDING AND DISCUSSION

### Finding

The syntax of the metacognition integrated virtual science learning model results from integrating metacognition theory into virtual experiments. The syntax for this model uses the MiSHE model, which was previously developed by Maryani, Prasetyo, et al (2021) and Maryani et al (2022) by integrating metacognition into project-based learning. The syntax is: awareness, essential questions, planning, monitoring, evaluation, and reflection.

The learning process took place over seven sessions in two groups at different universities. Researchers involved three practicing lecturers as observers who also provided input on the implementation of the model. Observations are carried out through monitoring in Google Classroom so that the syntax of this model can be monitored optimally. Learning is carried out online using Google Classroom, Google Meet, Google Forms, YouTube, and <sup>29</sup> PhET simulation to carry out each stage of the model. The practicum carried out by students can be seen in Table 1.

**Table 1. Virtual experiment activities**

Subject Matter	Experiment
Motion and Force	Make a wind-powered toy car
Effort and energy	Analyze the motion of a toy car using Tracker Software
Electricity	Create electrical circuits with PhET simulation
Magnets	Create a magnet and a simple compass
Vibration, wave, and sound	Analyze vibration and sound intensity
Light	Analyze the phenomenon of the properties of light in the environment
Earth and solar system	Create solar system replicas and simulations

Metacognition consists of aspects of knowledge and regulation. Metacognitive knowledge consists of three components, namely awareness of knowledge/person variables, awareness of thinking/task variables, and awareness of thinking/strategy variables (Thamraksa, 2005). Metacognition regulation is a person's internal subjective response to metacognitive knowledge. Metacognition regulation is monitoring cognitive activity and ensuring that cognitive goals have been achieved (Berry, 1983).

Metacognition activities in this model are carried out through five activities. The first activity is to reflect on students' thinking processes in virtual experiments. The second activity is to look for other concrete examples from previous learning experiences. The third activity analyzes the learning experience's advantages and disadvantages. The fourth activity is generalizing and formulating rules regarding the learning experience. The last activity is to name the learning experience as a learning strategy (Zohar, 1999, 2004; Zohar & Dori, 2012). The metacognition learning model has components of planning, monitoring, and evaluating (Dimaggi et al., 2014). Based on the description of the integration of metacognitive knowledge and the regulation of metacognition, the syntax of the virtual science experiment model is metacognitively integrated, which consists of six steps, namely, awareness, posing essential questions, planning, monitoring, evaluating, and reflecting.

**Table 2. Result of student HOTS**

Treatment	Parameter	Pretest	Posttest						
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Metacognition-virtual practicum	Mean	48,84	66,34	73,52	73,61	78,13	73,31	80,01	81,33
	Std.dev	11,15	15,93	15,53	10,44	13,02	14,26	10,93	8,63
	Min	32,6	32,1	33,3	51,4	53,6	46,4	59,5	60
	Max	73,1	96,4	100	94,3	100	96,4	100	100
	Mean	41,02	55,94	60,32	55,52	61,81	65,11	67,36	72,31
Virtual practicum	Std.dev	10,19	18,69	15,69	14,99	18,39	23,47	20,24	8,1
	Min	14,8	17,9	28,6	24,29	21,4	3,6	26,3	56,67
	Max	63,8	96,4	88,1	90	96,4	100	100	86,67

**Table 3. Tests of normality**

Data	Model	Kolmogorov-Smirnov <sup>a</sup>	
		Statistic	Sig.
Pretest	experiment	.101	.200*
	control	.105	.200*
Post-test 1	experiment	.157	.015
	control	.131	.092
Post-test 2	experiment	.071	.200*
	control	.086	.200*
Post-test 3	experiment	.097	.200*
	control	.096	.200*
Post-test 4	experiment	.120	.151
	control	.126	.118
Post-test 5	experiment	.147	.029
	control	.082	.200*
Post-test 6	experiment	.106	.200*
	control	.125	.131
Post-test 7	experiment	.139	.051
	control	.090	.200*

\*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

After the model was implemented, students' HOTS data were obtained for each material. The pre-test was conducted once, while the post-test was performed seven times. The descriptive analysis of HOTS data can be seen in Table 2.

The data is then analyzed for prerequisites (normality and homogeneity) to determine whether the influence of the model on HOTS can be analyzed using parametric statistics. The results of the normality test conducted as the requirement for multivariate analysis are presented in Table 3.

Table 1 shows that all data are normally distributed, confirmed by the value of  $\text{sig} > 0.05$  ( $\alpha$ ) in the Shapiro-Wilk column. The normality of the data in all treatment groups has met the assumption to continue testing the hypothesis using the General Linear Model (Multivariate of Variance). The second assumption test is the homogeneity test (Table 4).

Table 4. Tests of homogeneity through box's test of equality of covariance matrices

Box's Test of Equality of Covariance Matrices	
Box's M	223,844
F	2,8
df1	72
df2	36809,703
Sig.	0

Tests the null hypothesis that the observed covariance matrices of the dependent variables are equal across groups.  
a. Design: Intercept + Model

Within Subjects Design: time

Box's M value shows the homogeneity of the HOTS scores achieved by the experimental and control groups. Rencher and Cristensen made the following observation that M-test is available in many software packages including SPSS and the rejection of null hypothesis of equal covariance matrices is not a serious problem when the number of observations is the same in each group (Sarma & Vardhan, 2018). A sig value of 0.000 or below 0.05 indicates that the data are not homogeneous or that the HOTS scores in each treatment group vary greatly. In an experimental study, this inhomogeneity is not a problem, because it is difficult to get the same variation in scores in two groups that are subjected to different treatments. In a quasi-experimental design, the error factor (subject, sample, treatment, etc.) has a significant effect on the change in pretest to posttest scores, resulting in broad variation in the scores achieved by research subjects. Additionally, it is difficult for all subjects in the experimental group to see identical improvement in scores. Due to the impossibility of obtaining the same variance in scores between two groups given to different treatments (Widhiarso, 2011), this inhomogeneity can be overlooked (Blanca et al., 2017). MANOVA is a robust test for data homogeneity disturbances when the sample size difference between the two treatment groups is between 7 and 15 participants (Ramsey, 2007).

The GLM test with Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) revealed an interaction between time (pre-post-test) and group (experiment-control). The interaction demonstrated that the difference in scores between the two groups (experiment-control) was substantially different from pre- to post-test. The MD value the experimental group was -17.505 with a significance value of 0.000 (0.05), indicating that the experimental group saw a significant rise in HOTS. The MD value in the control group was -11.069\* with a significance value of 0.001, showing a statistically significant increase. The greatest rise occurred in the experimental group, with a mean difference of 17.505 between pretest and posttest. Additionally, the findings of the multivariate test in table 5 were evaluated to ascertain the virtual practicum's impact on students' HOTS.

Within each level a combination of the other effects shown. These tests are based on the linearly independent pairwise comparisons among the estimated marginal means.

The significance values in Table 3 indicate that virtual practicums influence increasing students' HOTS. As mentioned by (Leech et al., 2013), the treatment's efficacy can be seen in Wilks' Lambda column. In the experimental group, Partial Eta Squared of 0.745 indicates that the

given treatment successfully increased students' HOTS by 74.5%, while the observed increase in HOTS in the control group was only 35.4%.

**Table 5. Multivariate tests**

	Learning model	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared
Experiment	Pillai's trace	.000	.745
	Wilks' lambda	.000	.745
	Hotelling's trace	.000	.745
	Roy's largest root	.000	.745
	Pillai's trace	.000	.354
Control	Wilks' lambda	.000	.354
	Hotelling's trace	.000	.354
	Roy's largest root	.000	.354

The partial eta square value indicates the magnitude of an effect of a treatment (with a small effect being 0.01; a medium effect being 0.3, and a large effect being 0.5) (Bakker et al., 2019; Cohen, 1988; Mordkoff, 2019). The effect size discovered in this study is regarded as quite high, as it exceeds 50%. Thus, with big effect size and a 74.5% rise in HOTS, it can be concluded that virtual practicums have a considerable influence on students' HOTS. This rise is bigger than the increase in HOTS observed in students studying using other models.

## Discussion

Individualized The virtual experiment syntax established in this study is the outcome of incorporating metacognitive theory into the stages of virtual science experiment. Students who participate actively in experiment, they will demonstrate an enhancement in both their individual and collaborative cognitive and metacognitive functions (Zarouk et al., 2020). Metacognition is comprised of knowledge and regulation components. Metacognitive knowledge consists of three components, namely awareness of knowledge/person variables, awareness of thinking/task variables, and awareness of thinking/strategy variables. Declarative, procedural, and conditional knowledge are all examples of metacognitive knowledge (Thamraksa, 2005). Metacognition regulation is the subjective internal response of an individual to metacognitive knowledge. This response is likewise directed at problem-solving strategic tasks. Metacognition regulation is the process of observing cognitive activity and ascertaining if cognitive objectives are met (Berry, 1983). Planning, monitoring, and assessing are the components of the metacognition learning model (Dimaggi et al., 2014). These three elements then become part of the virtual experiment phases in the planning, monitoring, and reflection portions, which correspond to the PjBL model.

The virtual experiment model used in this study places a premium on students' autonomy and flexibility of thought when it comes to problem-solving through work-based projects. Students are compelled to explore contextual learning problems. The problem-solving activities conducted in the classroom include mind mapping, contextual project work in the surrounding environment, virtual project work using Tracker, PhET, and sound meter software, as well as making video presentations. Each lesson began with an activity that helps students identify their strengths and weaknesses (awareness) in terms of science topics, and then move on to developing problem-solving methods (planning, monitoring, evaluating).

The implementation phase of the model also showed that the students' HOTS increased due to the use of this model. The increase in students' HOTS in the areas of logic, reasoning, and analysis was seen in their activity of assessing science problems that arise in their environment (Ichsan et al., 2019). The students were tasked with the responsibility of resolving these issues through the development of works. Each session contained a variety of works, including mind mapping, science experiments (contextual and virtual), and video presentations. The students had to study and understand the information using logic and reasoning to complete the project in the form of mind mapping. They were required to examine difficulties in completing science projects

such as building simple automobiles, electrical circuits, simple compasses, simple pendulums, and solar system simulations. Besides that, the students were also accustomed to discussing issues with other students to develop their problem-solving skills.

The increase in students' HOTS in the evaluation aspect occurred because they were required to evaluate the achievement of their learning objectives, the suitability of the work produced with the problem, as well as the suitability of time and strategy with the expected results. The increase in the students' creation scores happened because of students becoming accustomed to making items that correspond to the learning objectives. The students were allowed to collaborate to convey their thoughts. At this step, opinions were gathered, clarified, logically reasoned, and expressed to others (Mumford & McIntosh, 2017; Sodikova, 2020). Each student's product was unique in terms of shape, substance, and outcome since they used the materials available in their immediate area while leveraging their prior knowledge.

At each step of learning, students' higher-order thinking skills (HOTS), specifically their ability to solve problems and make judgments, were also developed. For instance, when students used Tracker software to analyze the motion of an object (a wind-powered car), they ran into numerous complications. Despite the availability of the tutorials, some students were unable to complete the project by the deadline. This occurred because some pupils were technically incapable of using the software used in the analytical procedure. Students who had completed the project were then asked to mentor other students during virtual face-to-face encounters. This accomplishment arose because of students' willingness to experiment with various methods for solving issues, such as using MS Excel for mathematical operations and graph creation. Another example is the experiment with electricity using PhET Simulation, where numerous electrical circuits burnt throughout the project due to faulty wiring and resistance. Students who ventured to experiment with alternate steps were successful in determining the correct order. Problem-solving is a fundamental cognitive function in humans that interacts with other skills such as abstraction, decision making, analysis, and synthesis (Drigas & Mitsea, 2020). Students who develop strong problem-solving and judgment abilities will develop into self-assured, creative, and autonomous thinkers. The society produced by these individuals is easily capable of resolving everyday difficulties (Özreçberoğlu & Çağanağa, 2018).

The advantages of this virtual experiment model are that it is designed using quantifiable scientific procedures and involving experts, adaptable to normal or pandemic conditions by adjusting the learning activities, consists of learning activities that teach students to make decisions, take responsibility for their actions, and complete complex tasks or assist other friends with their assignments, is grounded in real-world problems and emphasizes project-based learning, which is critical for the development of outcome-based education curriculum, and is comprised of projects that foster the emergence of open-ended solutions, thereby preparing students to be problem solvers. However, the efficiency of this virtual experiment is also influenced by other aspects, such as self-regulation (Sulisworo et al., 2020) and student technology readiness (Indriyanti et al., 2020). Future research can adopt or modify this model to measure its impact on these two variables. The linkage of metacognition to self-regulation makes it seem like an association (Kristiani et al., 2015; Review, 2018; Rhodes, 2019; Shen & Liu, 2011). Meanwhile, the virtual lab used during practicum requires technological readiness (Firdaus et al., 2020; Halamka & Cerrato, 2021). Continuous application of the model allows students to be trained in HOTS continuously. When in real life or in industry, it can support their ability to solve contextual problems for better work performance.

## CONCLUSION

This study was successful in generating a virtual science experiment design that incorporates metacognitive strategies using syntax, including increasing awareness, posing essential questions, planning, monitoring, evaluating, reflecting. This model has a significant effect on students' HOTS, particularly in the areas of logic, reasoning, analysis, assessment, invention, problem-solving, and making judgments. These criteria are met when a support system in the form of instructional materials and student worksheets is in place. Collaboration between



students and communication between students and the instructor as a social system, as well as the principle of reactions that occur when the lecturer provides reinforcement, all contribute to the experiment model's feasibility. According to the findings, lecturers should monitor students' knowledge and reflection of learning objectives to ensure that learning activities truly engage the domain of metacognition. Although it will take some time, this strategy appears to be worth considering by university science departments as a science experiment solution during the pandemic age.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We want to express our gratitude to Alpendi, Moh. Irma Sukarelawan, and Rully Charitas Indra Prahmana for their contributions to this final analysis, revision, and discussion manuscript. Furthermore, we are grateful to Universitas Ahmad Dahlan and University of Nueva Caceres for giving us a research collaboration opportunity. Finally, we would like to express our gratitude to Universitas Ahmad Dahlan for providing financial support through a supported professorship candidate research grant.

## REFERENCES

- Anthony, R., Aryani, F., & Wrastari, T. (2014). Pengaruh penggunaan film sebagai media belajar terhadap pencapaian higher order thinking skill pada mahasiswa Fakultas Psikologi UNAIR. *Jurnal Psikologi Klinis dan Kesehatan Mental*, 03(1), 40–47. <http://journal.unair.ac.id/download-fullpapers-jpkkb65e5e6f32full.pdf>
- Bakker, A., Cai, J., English, L., Kaiser, G., Mesa, V., & Van Dooren, W. (2019). Beyond small, medium, or large: points of consideration when interpreting effect sizes. *Educational Studies in Mathematics 2019 102:1*, 102(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10649-019-09908-4>
- Berry, D. C. (1983). Metacognitive experience and transfer of logical reasoning. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology Section A*, 35(1), 39–49. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14640748308402115>
- Blanca, M. J., Alarcón, R., Arnau, J., Bono, R., & Bendayan, R. (2017). Datos no normales: ¿es el ANOVA una opción válida? *Psicothema*, 29(4), 552–557. <https://doi.org/10.7334/PSICOTHEMA2016.383>
- Brookhart, S. M. (2010). *How to assess higher-order thinking skills in your classroom - Susan M. Brookhart* - Google Buku. ASCD. <https://books.google.co.id/books?id=AFIxeGsV6SMC&printsec=frontcover&hl=id#v=onepage&q&f=false>
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative* (4th ed.). Pearson Education Inc.
- Diani, R., Asyhari, A., & Julia, O. N. (2018). Pengaruh model RMS (Reading, mind mapping and sharing) terhadap kemampuan berpikir tingkat tinggi siswa pada pokok bahasan impuls dan momentum. *Jurnal Pendidikan Edutama*, 5(1), 31. <https://doi.org/10.30734/jpe.v5i1.128>
- Dimaggi, G., MacBethab, A., Gumleyc, A., Schwannauerd, M., Carcionee, A., Fisherd, R., & Hamish McLeod, J. (2014). Metacognition, symptoms and premorbid functioning in a first episode psychosis sample. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 55(2), 268–273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPPSYCH.2013.08.027>
- Drigas, A., & Mitsea, E. (2020). The 8 pillars of metacognition. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 15(21), 162–178. <https://www.online-journals.org/index.php/i-jet/article/view/14907>
- Fakhriyah, F. (2014). Penerapan problem based learning dalam upaya mengembangkan kemampuan berpikir kritis mahasiswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 3(1), 95–101. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v3i1.2906>
- Firdaus, Fatiatun, Jumini, S., Trisnowati, E., & Dahnuss, D. (2020). Proteus as a virtual simulation

- to improve readiness and process skills in laboratory experiment. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1517(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1517/1/012074>
- Firmansyah, R., Firmansyah, R., Putri, D., Wicaksono, M., Putri, S., Widiyanto, A., & Palil, M. (2021). Educational transformation: An evaluation of online learning due to COVID-19. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 16(7), 61–76.
- Gestiardi, R., Sarwanto, S., Chumdari, C., & Maryani, I. (2021). Using an technology readiness model to understand perceived usefulness of learning in the Covid-19 era. *International Journal of Elementary Education*, 5(4), 631. <https://doi.org/10.23887/ijee.v5i4.39188>
- Giatman, M., Siswati, S., & Basri, I. Y. (2020). Online learning quality control in the pandemic Covid-19 era in Indonesia. *Journal of Nonformal Education*, 6(2), 168–175. <https://doi.org/10.15294/JNE.V6I2.25594>
- Gradini, E., Firmansyah, F., & Noviani, J. (2018). Menakar kemampuan berpikir tingkat tinggi calon guru matematika melalui level HOTS Marzano. *Eduma : Mathematics Education Learning and Teaching*, 7(2), 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.24235/eduma.v7i2.3357>
- Halamka, J., & Cerrato, P. (2021). Understanding the role of digital platforms in technology readiness. *Regenerative Medicine*, 16(3), 207–213. <https://doi.org/10.2217/rme-2020-0135>
- Heong, Y. M., Othman, W. B., Yunos, J. B. M., Kiong, T. T., Hassan, R. Bin, & Mohamad, M. M. B. (2011). The level of Marzano higher order thinking skills among technical education students. *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, 1(2), 121–125. <https://doi.org/10.7763/ijssh.2011.v1.20>
- Ichsan, I. Z. (2019). ILMIZI: Innovation learning model for natural science and environmental learning based on HOTS. *International Journal for Educational and Vocational Studies*, 1(6), 578–584. <https://doi.org/10.29103/IJEVS.V1I6.1640>
- Ichsan, I. Z., Sigit, D. V., Miarsyah, M., Ali, A., Arif, W. P., & Prayitno, T. A. (2019). HOTS-AEP: Higher order thinking skills from elementary to master students in environmental learning. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(4), 935–942. <http://www.eu-er.com/>
- Ilmi, A. M., Sukarmin, & Sunarno, W. (2020). Development of TPACK based-physics learning media to improve HOTS and scientific attitude. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1440(1), 012049. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1440/1/012049>
- Indah, P. (2020). Development of HOTS (High order thinking skill) oriented learning through discovery learning model to increase the critical thinking skill of high school students. *International Journal of Chemistry Education Research*, 3(3), 26–32. <https://doi.org/10.20885/IJCER.VOL4.ISS1.ART4>
- Indriyanti, N. Y., Yaminah, S., & Muawiyah, D. (2020). An inquiry into students' metacognition and learning achievement in a blended learning design. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 15(21), 77–88. <https://www.online-journals.org/index.php/i-jet/article/view/12907>
- Kauffman, H. (2015). A review of predictive factors of student success in and satisfaction with online learning. *Research in Learning Technology*, 23. <https://doi.org/10.3402/RLT.V23.26507>
- Kleitman, S., & Narciss, S. (2019). Introduction to the special Issue “applied metacognition: real-world applications beyond learning.” *Metacognition and Learning* 14:3, 14(3), 335–342. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11409-019-09214-7>
- Kristiani, N., Susilo, H., Rohman, F., & Corebima, A. D. (2015). The contribution of students' metacognitive skills and scientific attitude towards their academic achievements in biology learning implementing thinking empowerment by questioning (TEQ) learning integrated with inquiry learning (TEQI). *International Journal of Educational Policy Research and Review*, 2(9), 113–120. <https://doi.org/10.15739/IJEPRR.020>
- Leech, N., Barrett, K., & Morgan, G. A. (2013). SPSS for intermediate statistics: use and interpretation, third edition. *SPSS for Intermediate Statistics*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410616739>
- Maryani, I., Astrianti, C., Yuli, V., Prodi, E., Guru, P., Dasar, S., Dahlan, A., Ki, J., Pemanahan, A., & Yogyakarta, S. (2021). The effect of the STEM-PjBL model on the higher-order

- thinking skills of elementary school students. *Sekolah Dasar: Kajian Teori Dan Praktik Pendidikan*, 30(2), 110–122. <https://doi.org/10.17977/UM009V30I22021P110>
- Maryani, I., Prasetyo, Z. K., & Wilujeng, I. (2021). *Model pembelajaran MiSHE (Metacognition in science for higher education)*. k-media. [https://books.google.co.id/books?id=2AtBEAAAQBAJ&lr=&source=gbs\\_navlinks\\_s](https://books.google.co.id/books?id=2AtBEAAAQBAJ&lr=&source=gbs_navlinks_s)
- Maryani, I., Prasetyo, Z. K., Wilujeng, I., & Purwanti, S. (2022). Promoting higher-order thinking skills during online learning: The integration of metacognition in science for higher education. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 11(4), 1980–1988. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v11i4.23129>
- Maryani, I., Prasetyo, Z. K., Wilujeng, I., Purwanti, S., & Fitriawanawati, M. (2021). HOTS multiple choice and essay questions: A validated instrument to measure higher order thinking skills of prospective teachers. *The Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 18(3), 1–20.
- Marzano, R. (2013). Objectives that students understand. *School, Families, Communities*, 68(8).
- Marzano, R. J. (1993). How classroom teachers approach the teaching of thinking. *Theory Into Practice*, 32(3), 154–160. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405849309543591>
- Marzano, R. J., & Kendall, J. S. (2006). *The new taxonomy of educational objectives* (2nd ed.). Corwin Press.
- Mordkoff, J. T. (2019). A simple method for removing bias from a popular measure of standardized effect size: Adjusted partial eta squared. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245919855053>, 2(3), 228–232.
- Mumford, M. D., & McIntosh, T. (2017). Creative thinking processes: the past and the future. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 51(4), 317–322. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JOCB.197>
- Nambiar, D. (2020). The impact of online learning during Covid-19 Pandemic: Students' and teachers' perspective. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 8(2), 686–690. <https://doi.org/10.25215/0802.094>
- Özreçberoğlu, N., & Çağanağa, Ç. K. (2018). Making it count: Strategies for improving problem-solving skills in Mathematics for students and teachers' classroom management. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(4), 1253–1261. <https://doi.org/10.29333/EJMSTE/82536>
- Panahandeh, E., & Asl, S. E. (2014). The effect of planning and monitoring as metacognitive strategies on Iranian EFL learners' argumentative writing accuracy. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 98, 1409–1416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2014.03.559>
- Pratiwi, R. D. (2014). Penerapan constructive controversy dan modified free inquiry terhadap Hots mahasiswa. *Formatif: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan MIPA*, 4(2), 100–111.
- Priharmadoyo, W., Sajidan, & Maridi. (2017). Effectiveness of guided inquiry laboratory-based module and indicator of analytical thinking skills in the matter of respiratory system in senior high school Wahyu. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research (ASSEHR)*, 158(Ictte), 803–813.
- Ramsey, P. .(2007). Factorial design. In *Encyclopedia of measurement and statistics* (In Salkind). Sage Publication.
- Review, E. P. (2018). *The role of self-regulatory and metacognitive competence in the motor performance difficulties of children with developmental coordination disorder: A theoretical and empirical review author (s): Claire Sangster Jokić and David Whitebread Published by .* 23(1), 75–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s>
- Rhodes, M. G. (2019). Metacognition: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0098628319834381>, 46(2), 168–175. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0098628319834381>
- Rosidin, U., Suyatna, A., & Abdurrahman, A. (2019). A combined HOTS-based assessment/STEM learning model to improve secondary students' thinking skills: A development and evaluation study. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(3), 435–448. <https://doi.org/10.17478/JEGYS.518464>
- Saregar, A., Latifah, S., & Sari, M. (2016). Efektivitas model pembelajaran CUPs: Dampak terhadap kemampuan berpikir tingkat tinggi peserta didik madrasah Aliyah Mathla'ul Anwar Gisting Lampung. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 5(2), 233.

- <https://doi.org/10.24042/jpifalbiruni.v5i2.123>
- Sarma, K., & Vardhan, R. V. (2018). *Multivariate statistic made simple (A practical approach)*. Taylor & Francis Group. <https://books.google.co.id/books?id=RouADwAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&q&f=false>
- Shen, C.-Y., & Liu, H.-C. (2011). Metacognitive skills development: A web-based approach in higher education. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology - TOJET*, 10(2), 140–150.
- Sodikova, D. (2020). Formation of creative relationship through students using the creativity of eastern thinkers. *Mental Enlightenment Scientific-Methodological Journal Volume*, 2020(1). <https://uzjournals.edu.uz/tziuj/vol2020/iss1/44>
- Stevanović, A., Božić, R., & Radović, S. (2021). Higher education students' experiences and opinion about distance learning during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 37(6), 1682–1693. <https://doi.org/10.1111/JCAL.12613>
- Storey, N., & Zhang, Q. (n.d.). *A meta-analysis of COVID learning loss*. <https://doi.org/10.35542/OSF.IO/QEKW2>
- Suherman, Prananda, M. R., Proboningrum, D. I., Pratama, E. R., Laksono, P., & Amiruddin. (2020). Improving higher order thinking skills (HOTS) with project based learning (PjBL) model assisted by Geogebra. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1467(1), 012027. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1467/1/012027>
- Sulisworo, D., Fitriawanati, M., & Maryani, I. (2020). Students' self-regulated learning (SRL) profile dataset measured during Covid-19 mitigation in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Data in Brief*, 33, 106422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.106422>
- Thamraksa, C. (2005). *Metacognition: A key to success for EFL learners*. [http://www.bu.ac.th/knowledgecenter/epaper/jan\\_june2005/chutima.pdf](http://www.bu.ac.th/knowledgecenter/epaper/jan_june2005/chutima.pdf)
- Thomas, A., & Thorne, G. (2009). *How to increase higher level thinking I Center for development and learning*. The Center for Development And Learning. <http://www.cdl.org/articles/how-to-increase-high-order-thinking/>
- Veenman, M. V. J., Wilhelm, P., & Beishuizen, J. J. (2004). The relation between intellectual and metacognitive skills from a developmental perspective. *Learning and Instruction*, 14, 89–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2003.10.004>
- Wang, M. C., Haertel, G. D., & Walberg, H. J. (1990). What influences learning? a content analysis of review literature. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 84(1), 30–43. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.1990.10885988>
- Widhiarso, W. (2011). *Aplikasi anava campuran untuk eksperimen pretest dan posttest desain eksperimen*. [http://widhiarso.staff.ugm.ac.id/files/Aplikasi Anava Mixed Design untuk Eksperimen-revised 2011.pdf](http://widhiarso.staff.ugm.ac.id/files/Aplikasi%20Anava%20Mixed%20Design%20untuk%20Eksperimen-revised%202011.pdf)
- Winne, P. H. (2017). Cognition and metacognition within self-regulated learning. *Handbook of Self-Regulation of Learning and Performance*, 36–48. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315697048-3>
- Wiyoko, T., & Aprizan, A. (2020). Analisis profil kemampuan kognitif mahasiswa PGSD pada mata kuliah ilmu alamiah dasar. *IJIS Edu: Indonesian Journal of Integrated Science Education*, 2(1), 2020. <https://doi.org/10.29300/ijisedu.v2i1.2384>
- Yusuf, N. (2021). *The effect of online tutoring applications on student learning outcomes during the COVID-19 Pandemic*. 11(2), 81–88.
- Zarouk, M. Y., Olivera, E., Peres, P., & Khaldi, M. (2020). The impact of flipped project-based learning on self-regulation in higher education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 15(17), 127–147. <https://www.online-journals.org/index.php/i-jet/article/view/14135>
- Zohar, A. (1999). Teachers' metacognitive knowledge and the instruction of higher order thinking. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 15, 413–429. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.2295%7B%7Drep=rep1%7B%7Dtype=pdf>
- Zohar, A. (2004). Teachers' metacognitive declarative knowledge and the teaching of higher

- order thinking. In *Higher Order Thinking in Science Classrooms: Students' Learning and Teachers' Professional Development* (pp. 177–196). Springer Netherlands. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-1854-1\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-1854-1_11)
- Zohar, A., & Dori, Y. (Yehudit). (2012). *Metacognition in science education : trends in current research*. Springer.
- Zohar, A., & Dori, Y. J. (2003). Higher order thinking skills and low-achieving students: are they mutually exclusive? *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 12(2), 145–181. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327809JLS1202\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327809JLS1202_1)

# HASIL CEK\_Integrating metacognitive strategies impact in virtual science experiments for undergraduate students

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

17%

SIMILARITY INDEX

15%

INTERNET SOURCES

7%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

1 Submitted to Universitas Negeri Semarang 3%  
Student Paper

2 Submitted to Liberty University 1%  
Student Paper

3 Trikinasih Handayani, Moh Irma Sukarelawan, Mohd Effendi Ewan Mohd Matore, Much Fuad Saifuddin. "Adaptation and evaluation of psychometric properties of HELAM using the Rasch model in the Indonesian context", International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE), 2023 1%  
Publication

4 dokumen.pub 1%  
Internet Source

5 www.csdcotac.org 1%  
Internet Source

6 media.neliti.com 1%  
Internet Source

journal.uny.ac.id

7	Internet Source	1 %
8	<a href="http://library.unisel.edu.my">library.unisel.edu.my</a> Internet Source	1 %
9	<a href="http://repository.unika.ac.id">repository.unika.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
10	<a href="http://www.academypublisher.com">www.academypublisher.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
11	<a href="http://www.journalijar.com">www.journalijar.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
12	<a href="http://files.eric.ed.gov">files.eric.ed.gov</a> Internet Source	<1 %
13	<a href="http://journal.unnes.ac.id">journal.unnes.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
14	<a href="http://d.docksci.com">d.docksci.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
15	A. Amer. "The effect of the teacher's reading aloud on the reading comprehension of EFL students", <i>ELT Journal</i> , 01/01/1997 Publication	<1 %
16	Lucy Asri Purwasi. "THE DEVELOPMENT OF HIGHER-ORDER THINKING SKILLS ON JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS THROUGH GUIDED INQUIRY-BASED LEARNING"	<1 %

# APPROACH", Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika dan IPA, 2020

Publication

---

17	<a href="http://www.sciopen.com">www.sciopen.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
18	<a href="http://dspace.nwu.ac.za">dspace.nwu.ac.za</a> Internet Source	<1 %
19	<a href="http://econstor.eu">econstor.eu</a> Internet Source	<1 %
20	<a href="http://ejournal.unp.ac.id">ejournal.unp.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
21	<a href="http://journals.ums.ac.id">journals.ums.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
22	<a href="http://baixardoc.com">baixardoc.com</a> Internet Source	<1 %
23	<a href="http://core.ac.uk">core.ac.uk</a> Internet Source	<1 %
24	<a href="http://eprints.uad.ac.id">eprints.uad.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
25	<a href="http://ouci.dntb.gov.ua">ouci.dntb.gov.ua</a> Internet Source	<1 %
26	<a href="http://repository.ung.ac.id">repository.ung.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1 %
27	<a href="http://www.pegegog.net">www.pegegog.net</a> Internet Source	<1 %



28

[www.researchgate.net](http://www.researchgate.net)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

29

[www.scielo.br](http://www.scielo.br)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

30

Anita Juniarti, Zingiswa Jojo, Rully Charitas Indra Prahmana. "Designing the learning trajectory for the topic of circles through a tambourine context", Journal of Honai Math, 2022

Publication

&lt;1 %

31

Moosa Ahmed Hassan Bait Ali Sulaiman. "Application of Teachers' Knowledge of Socratic Questioning in Developing EFL Critical Thinking Skills among Omani Post-Basic Learners", Arab World English Journal, 2020

Publication

&lt;1 %

32

[awej.org](http://awej.org)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

33

[cronfa.swan.ac.uk](http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

34

[ejournal.undiksha.ac.id](http://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

35

[epdf.tips](http://epdf.tips)

Internet Source

&lt;1 %

36	mail.pegegog.net Internet Source	<1 %
37	ojs.unm.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
38	olj.onlinelearningconsortium.org Internet Source	<1 %
39	www.igi-global.com Internet Source	<1 %
40	www.neliti.com Internet Source	<1 %
41	www.pbr.co.in Internet Source	<1 %
42	Pallant, Julie. "EBOOK: SPSS Survival Manual", EBOOK: SPSS Survival Manual, 2016 Publication	<1 %

Exclude quotes  On

Exclude matches  Off

Exclude bibliography  On