

Bukti Korespondensi
Artikel
Dr. Ika Maryani

SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND CREATIVE THINKING
SKILLS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE DISTANCE
EDUCATION DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

<https://doi.org/10.3846/cs.2023.15278>

Submission in July 10, 2021

Submissions

Self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of elementary school students in the distance education during the COVID-19 pandemic

Ika Maryani, Ummu Estriningrum, ...

Submission



Review

Copyediting

Production

Submission Files

Search

▼	 54220-1	zalikh, 1.	July 10, 2021	Article Text
	Fiks_Anonymized_Document_template_custom.docx			
	Edit			
▼	 54222-1	zalikh, 2.	July 10, 2021	Other
	Fiks_Title_Page_template_custom.dotx.docx			
	Edit			

[Download All Files](#)

Pre-Review Discussions

[Add discussion](#)

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
------	------	------------	---------	--------

No Items

Platform &
workflow by
OJS / PKP

SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND CREATIVE THINKING SKILLS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE DISTANCE LEARNING DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Abstract. The research aimed to know the relation between Self-regulated learning (SRL) and Creative Thinking Skill (CTS) of elementary school students. SRL and CTS are needed by elementary school students. They train the students' cognitive development during the learning process and prepare them for the next educational level. Teachers should guide, encourage, and implement appropriate learning strategies to improve the students' SRL and CTS. It employed a quantitative approach with ex post facto and survey methods. The sample was 121 elementary school students taken randomly in Sleman, Indonesia. The data were collected using a Likert Scale questionnaire. The results showed that the students' SRL level was in the moderate category, which was the highest frequency. The CTS also reached the same level and frequency. Further, SRL and CTS were correlated with a high correlation coefficient rate ($R=0.856$). Therefore, it is recommended that teachers use a learning method that can activate and develop the SRL to increase the students' CTS.

Keywords: creative thinking, elementary school, narrative writing skill, vocabulary mastery

Introduction

COVID-19 has caused school closure in all parts of the world. A million students globally can only learn outside the classroom setting. The condition has encouraged professional educators to think about an alternative teaching method during the pandemic (Radha et al., 2020). Another change caused by the pandemic is the change of educational pattern; it simultaneously shifted from face-to-face to virtual learning through e-learning. It is implemented all over the world, including in Indonesia, with various digital platforms. Previous studies revealed that online learning has proven to improve information retention, accessibility, and shorter learning period (Panigrahi et al., 2018). Online learning is claimed to have equal effectiveness compared to face-to-face learning (Nguyen, 2015). However, for teachers, the shift to an online platform means rethinking the lesson plan to adjust to the different format (Gorey, 2020). Besides, students' readiness cannot be abandoned (Nguyen, 2015).

Cases from Indonesia the high number of positive cases of COVID-19 requires the government, particularly the Minister of Education and Culture, to implement several policies, including the student learning process carried out at home via distance learning, the mechanism for accepting new students must follow health protocols and, if possible, be done online, and school operational assistance funds can be used to finance the. Furthermore, grade promotion and graduation are determined based on report cards and the cancellation of the 2020 National Examination (UN), and the National Examination is not a requirement for graduation or selection to enter an appropriate level of higher education. Education is critical for advancing the nation's next generation, but health factors must also contribute to the long-term viability of the nation's future.

In Indonesia, online learning success during the COVID-19 pandemic is determined by the technological readiness and the national humanitarian curriculum, support, and collaboration with the stakeholders, including the government, school, teachers, parents, and society (Aliyyah et al., 2020). Besides, the learning essence is self-regulated learning (SRL) of the students during online learning. Hence, understanding the students' SRL capability is important to achieve successful learning (Sulisworo et al., 2020). SRL is part of the metacognitive skills, and it measures the extent to which an individual implements his highest cognitive dimension. Various studies about Indonesian students' SRL have been conducted. Sulisworo et al. (2020) proposed that the highest score percentage of the students' SRL in Indonesia was smaller than the moderate and low category. Wijaya et al. (2020) found that female students' SRL was better than males, especially in applying dynamic mathematics software. Anam & Stracke (2016) concluded that sixth graders feel more comfortable learning with strategies that provide them the space to explore their surroundings independently. SRL develops the students' positive inquiry community, attitude, and self-efficacy (M. H. Cho et al., 2017).

Effective and efficient guidance is required for learning success in SRL. However, the students' ability to regulate their learning is influenced by their significant diversity (Peng & Tullis, 2019). SRL has four important components: planning, monitoring, controlling, and reflecting. Accurate monitoring and adequate control are significant for effective self-regulation in solving the problems. Previous studies showed that independent learning is dangerous for students with inadequate prior knowledge because they may find difficulties monitoring and controlling (Mihalca & Mengelkamp, 2019). Nevertheless, it does not apply to the planning and reflecting aspects.

Various learning models have been applied for the students' SRL success. Flipped classroom model with the technological modification proves to significantly impact the students' SRL compared to the conventional flipped classrooms (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017). Massive open online courses (MOOCs) show a strong positive relationship between self-efficacy and SRL (Lung-Guang, 2019). In the problem-based learning

implementation, SRL influences the Physics Problem Solving Ability (PSA) (Sani & Malau, 2017). Project-based learning using LMS Moodle is effective in improving the students' SRL and creative thinking skills. Overall, creative thinking skills and independent learning achieved using the method are better than conventional learning (Sudianto et al., 2019). It means that SRL is closely related to creative thinking.

Creative thinking encourages the progress of all disciplines, benefiting individuals and society. Many individuals can demonstrate and improve their creativity (Rubenstein et al., 2018). Creative students regulate themselves in taking control over the process; they highly believe in their self-efficacy (Katz & Stupel, 2015). SRL is considered an appropriate tool to improve creativity because it can be used in autonomous learning and self-actualization (Özyaprak & Leana-Taşçılar, 2019). The SRL aspects are predicted to work on creative thinking aspects. Research on a standardized language test showed that planning could significantly predict fluency, smoothness, originality, and flexibility. Reflecting can explain the usefulness of ideas but significantly has a negative correlation with originality. Although the strategy is correlated with fluency and flexibility, it does not explain the unique variation in the creative result (Callan et al., 2019).

Although SRL and CTS are successfully improved, and both are mutually supporting variables, previous findings have not concluded how students regulate themselves during the creative process. In particular, they did not identify how the internal psychological condition, external behavior, and explicit strategy influence the creative process (Rubenstein et al., 2018). Therefore, the present study predicts the relation between SRL and creative thinking and the relation among the aspects. The results are expected to provide recommendation for teachers to design teaching method that empowers the SRL or CTS for the context of learning. According to the explanation, this study aims to predict the relation between self-regulated learning and students' creative thinking skills.

Methodology

1.1. Type of Research

The present study belongs to quantitative research with an ex post facto approach and survey method to examine the relation between the dependent and independent variables. Ex post facto was used to analyze the causal relation that was not manipulated and untreated by the researchers. A survey was selected because it helps to answer the research problem about the relation between the predicted variables.

1.2. Population and Sample

The research population included all fifth- and sixth graders of elementary schools in Sleman Regency, Yogyakarta Special Region, Indonesia. The fifth- and sixth graders were selected to represent the highest elementary school level, considering them having maximized their SRL. At both levels, students are considered ready to understand the research instruments. As many as 121 students consisting of 48 male and 73 female students were selected using the random sampling technique.

1.3. Data Collection

The data were collected through a survey. Besides, two questionnaires are used: 1) Self-regulated Learning (Schunk & Zimmerman, 2012; Zimmerman & Schunk, 2011), 2) creative thinking skills (Torrance, 1990). The questionnaires were in the form of a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, consisting of favorable and unfavorable questions. They included the aspects of SRL: metacognition (planning, monitoring, evaluating), Motivation (self-efficacy, self-attribution, interest in intrinsic tasks), and Behavior (Effort Regulation, Time/Study Environment, and Help-Seeking). Meanwhile, the CTS aspects included fluency, flexibility, originality, and elaboration.

The questions listed in the questionnaire were tested in two stages. The first was by expert judgment, which was conducted by two professionals. The questionnaires were revised based on their suggestions. The second was empirical validity tests, concluding that the questionnaires were valid and reliable. The empiric validity tests resulted in 18 valid items for SRL and 8 for CTS. The reliability test using Cronbach's Alpha produced SRL R_{α} of 0,905 and CTS R_{α} 0,840. Hence, both are reliable. The questionnaires were transferred into a Google form to take the data from the samples. The students could only fill in the questionnaires once, although they could edit their answers.

1.4. The statistical methods of data processing

The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics consisting of mean, standard deviation, and categorization. Further, cluster analysis was performed. Meanwhile, the hypotheses were tested using Pearson Product Moment Correlation, of which the normality was tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and the variables using linearity tests.

Results and Discussion

1.5. Descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning

The data about Self-Regulated Learning obtained from the questionnaires were tabulated and calculated, especially the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation, using the SPSS program. The descriptive analysis results of the students' SRL can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Students' Self-Regulated Learning

N	121
Mean	75.07
Median	75.00
Mode	70
Std. Deviation	8.679

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, they were categorized into three groups, as seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Self-regulated learning category

Figure 1 shows that 18 (14.88%) students have low SRL, 81 (66.94%) students moderate, and 22 (18.8%) students high. The highest percentage was reached by the moderate SRL category, while the lowest was a low category.

1.6. Descriptive Analysis of Creative Thinking Skills

The data of the fifth graders creative thinking skills in Muhammadiyah elementary school in the Kalasan sub-district, Yogyakarta, were obtained through questionnaires consisting of 8 items with 1-5 scales. Below are the data results of the questionnaire.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of the Students' creative thinking skills

N	121
Mean	33.20
Median	33.00
Mode	35
Std. Deviation	3.987

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, the creative thinking skills were categorized, and the results can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Creative thinking skills (CTS) Category

Based on Figure 2, 24 (19.83%) students have low CST score, 81 (66.94%) moderate, and 16 (13.22%) high. The highest percentage was the one with moderate category, while the lowest was the high category.

1.7. Cluster Analysis Results

Based on the SRL and CTS results, the cluster analysis was employed to divide the data into three clusters based on the characteristics. The final cluster center data were related to the standardization process referring to the z-score with the following criteria: 1) negative score (-), meaning that the data were below the total average; 2) positive score (+), meaning that the data were above the total average (Erisoglu et al., 2011; Kumar & Reddy, 2017). The descriptive analysis results of the final cluster centers are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Descriptive analysis of SRL and CTS final cluster centers

	Final Cluster Centers		
	1	2	3
Z-score: SRL	-0.06907	-1.39023	1.15114
Z-score: KBK	-0.02885	-1.42934	1.11125

Table 3 concluded that there were 2 clusters below the average; those were clusters 1 and 2. Meanwhile, one cluster was above the average, which was cluster 3. Cluster 1 comprised students with moderate SRL and CTS scores, while cluster 2 consisted of low SRL and CTS scores. Meanwhile, cluster 3 included those with high SRL and CTS scores. It means that in cluster 1, the Z-score for the SRL variables was -0.06907 in the moderate category, and -1.39023 in cluster 2 in the low category, while cluster 3 1.15114 in the high category. Meanwhile, the Z-score for the CTS of cluster 1 reached -0.02885 (moderate), -1.42934 in cluster 2 (low), and in cluster 3 reached 1.11125 (high).

A partial F-test was employed in the descriptive analysis of SRL and CTS final cluster centers in the cluster validity tests. The significance of the ANOVA test result is shown in Table 4.

Table 4. ANOVA of SRL and CTS c-means

	Cluster		Error		F	Sig.
	Df	Mean Square	Df			
Z-score: SRL	48.458	.196	118		247.719	.000
Z-score: KBK	48.195	.200	118		240.869	.000

Based on Table 4, the SRL partial F-test reached 247,719 with 0.000 significance. It means that the Self-Regulated Learning cluster 1, 2, and 3 are significantly different. Meanwhile, the partial F-test of the CTS reached 240.869 with 0.000 significance. Hence, like the SRL results, the three clusters of CTSs are different.

Prerequisite Analysis Results

1.8. Normality Test

Normality test can be performed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with SPSS 23.0 for Windows. The data were normally distributed if the Asymp.Sig. (2-tailed) ≥ 0.05 , while they were not normally distributed if the Asymp.Sig. (2-tailed) < 0.05 (Aishah Ahad et al., 2011; Mohd Razali & Bee Wah, 2011). The normality test of the SRL and CTS variables is presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Normality Test Results

Variables	Asymp. Sig (2-tailed)
SRL	0.689
CTS	0.312

Table 5 revealed that the SRL significant value was 0.689 and the CTS 0.312. The significance value of both variables was above 0.05, meaning that the data were normally distributed.

Linearity Test

In the present study, a linearity test was performed with a 5% significance level using the SPSS program. The results were observable through the F-test in the Deviation from Linearity. If the F sig. value < 0.05 , the relation is not linear; conversely, the relation is linear if the F sig value > 0.05 . (Aishah Ahad et al., 2011; Mohd Razali & Bee Wah, 2011). The SRL and CTS linearity test results are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Linearity test results

Variable	Sig. F	Sig. F count	Conclusion
SRL vs CTS	0.05	0.522	Linear

Table 6 concludes that the relation between SRL and CTS was linear because the sig value of the deviation from linearity was above 0.05, which was 0.522.

Hypothesis testing

The hypothesis of the research was tested using Product Moment correlation analysis with SPSS 23 for Windows. The hypothesis testing calculation is as follows.

Table 7. Hypothesis testing result

Correlation	Correlation Coefficient	Sig. (2-tailed)
-------------	-------------------------	-----------------

<i>SRL-CTS</i>	0.856	0.000
----------------	-------	-------

Based on the product-moment correlation shown in the table, the correlation coefficient value was positive, reaching a score of 0.856. To know the significance of the hypothesis testing, the significance value (p) of the sig (2-tailed) was compared to 0.05. If $p < 0.05$, the correlation among variables is significant, but it will not if the p-value is below 0.05. From the result, the p-value or sig. (2-tailed) was 0.000, or below 0.05. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis was accepted. In other words, there is a positive and significant relation between self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of the fifth graders in Muhammadiyah Elementary School in the Kalasan sub-district.

The descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning variables showed a sequence based on the highest percentage: moderate-high-low. The fifth graders of Muhammadiyah Elementary School in Kalasan Sub-district, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, with high self-regulation tend to encourage themselves to prepare and complete their tasks. They are aware of their competence and willing to show their self-confidence. Individuals with self-regulation start learning by exposing great efforts and perseverance during the learning process. Besides, they can create an interesting learning situation (Sulisworo et al., 2020, 2021). When most students have self-confidence, they will not depend on their peers to complete their tasks.

A skill component can help with self-regulation, but it is not enough. The will or desire of students to engage in self-regulation is not only crucial, but also primary. To generate the will to self-regulate, students must recognize that they are creative agents who are responsible for and capable of achieving self-development and self-determination goals, and they must appreciate and comprehend their abilities to achieve these goals. Self-regulation and the attempt to enhance self-regulation abilities follow. Integration of skill and will is thus required in interventions to promote self-regulated learning. Implications for more holistic interventions are discussed, as well as additional research on the self-as-agent framework that is required (Mccombs & Marzano, 1990).

The creative thinking skills data shows that the fifth graders of Muhammadiyah elementary school in the Kalasan sub-district were moderate. The percentage sequence was moderate-low-high. The students' abilities in creating ideas and finding answers or solutions indicate creative thinking skills. They can express their thought effortlessly, answer necessary questions, provide many solutions for a problem, and giving examples based on reality or experiences. However, in terms of originality, they cannot express great ideas to solve a problem or answer questions in their own way. This is a recommendation that the teacher guides students to take responsibility for developing their personal talent, which is critical in assisting students in transferring their skills to different contexts. Educators and researchers are attempting to determine which intellectual skills can be developed, as well as the most effective methods of encouraging learning in the classroom. Such concerns have prompted a renewed emphasis on the development of children's critical and creative thinking abilities (Rodd, 1999).

The relation between SRL and CTS was analyzed using the product-moment correlation test. Before the hypothesis testing, the prerequisite analysis was performed through normality and linearity tests. Based on both tests, it can be concluded that the data of the research were normally distributed and linear. Therefore, parametric statistics with SPSS 2.3 program can be used. Further, the research's hypothesis testing showed a positive relation between SRL and CTS, presented by the Pearson correlation coefficient as much as 0.856. The positive relation means that students with high SRL tend to have high CTS. Further, the hypothesis testing's significance was confirmed through the sig's significance value (p). (2-tailed) from the SPSS calculation results compared to 0.05. If $p\text{-value} < 0.05$, the relation of both variables is significant, if the $p\text{-value} \geq 0.05$, the relation is not significant. Indeed, it was confirmed that the p-value was smaller than 0,05, which was 0.000. Hence the relation between the fifth graders SRL and CTS in Muhammadiyah elementary school in Kalasan sub-district was significant.

The product-moment correlation result of the SLR-CTS was 0.856, which was in a strong positive category (Puth et al., 2014; Schober & Schwarte, 2018). The positive and significant relationship between the variables of self-regulated learning (X) and the creative thinking skills (Y) strengthen the theoretical description proposed by (Zimmerman, 2013). Self-regulated learning refers to the condition where participants actively use their metacognition, motivation, and behavior in the learning process. Creative thinking attitude and habit are essentially included in self-regulated learning. Further, self-regulated learning is defined as a form of learning following the learners' motivation. They autonomously develop their own measurement (cognition, metacognition, and behavior) and monitor the learning progress (Abar & Loken, 2010; Valle et al., 2008).




Figure 3. Relationship between Self-Regulated Learning and Creative Thinking Skills of Elementary School Students

The research about the fifth graders self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills revealed that the students of Muhammadiyah elementary school in the Kalasan sub-district should regulate themselves to achieve the goals. The increase of students' self-confidence helps students think better and initiate new ideas to solve a problem. However, they need the teachers' guidance through the teaching strategies and appropriate learning media during the learning process. This way, the self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of the fifth graders can be improved. This phenomenon makes it difficult for teachers to find examples of student creativity in teaching and learning situations, as well as to encourage student creativity when students interpret data or materials related to curriculum content (Y. Cho et al., 2013). The relationship between self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of elementary school students can be seen in Figure 3. SLR determine students' internal motivation to organize their learning strategies and CTS important to prepare elementary school students to become creative human resources when they are productive. The goal is for teachers, lecturers, researchers, or stakeholders to understand the importance of these two variables so that efforts are made to develop learning that empowers the two variables.

Conclusion

The quantitative research using ex post facto conducted on fifth graders at Muhammadiyah elementary school in Kalasan, Indonesia, with data obtained via questionnaires using the Likert Scale, concluded that students' self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills were moderate. Meanwhile, the correlation coefficient between self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills has reached a high value. As a result, students who have a high level of self-regulation tend to be highly creative. SLR determines students' internal motivation to organize their learning strategies, whereas CTS is important in preparing elementary school students to become creative human resources when they are productive. The goal is for teachers, lecturers, researchers, or stakeholders to recognize the significance of these two variables so that efforts can be made to create learning that empowers the two variables.

References

- Abar, B., & Loken, E. (2010). Self-regulated learning and self-directed study in a pre-college sample. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 20(1), 25–29.
- Aishah Ahad, N., Sin Yin, T., Rahman Othman, A., & Rohani Yaacob, C. (2011). Sensitivity of Normality Tests to Non-normal Data (Kepekaan Ujian Kenormalan Terhadap Data Tidak Normal). In *Sains Malaysiana* (Vol. 40, Issue 6).
- Aliyyah, R. R., Rachmadtullah, R., & Samsudin, A. (2020). The Perceptions of Primary School Teachers of Online Learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic Period: A Case Study in Indonesia. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/388>

- Anam, S., & Stracke, E. (2016). Language learning strategies of Indonesian primary school students: In relation to self-efficacy beliefs. *System*, *60*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2016.05.001>
- Blau, I., & Shamir-Inbal, T. (2017). Re-designed flipped learning model in an academic course: The role of co-creation and co-regulation. *Computers and Education*, *115*, 69–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.07.014>
- Callan, G. L., Rubenstein, L. D. V., Ridgley, L. M., & McCall, J. R. (2019). Measuring Self-Regulated Learning During Creative Problem-Solving With SRL Microanalysis. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000238>
- Cho, M. H., Kim, Y., & Choi, D. H. (2017). The effect of self-regulated learning on college students' perceptions of community of inquiry and affective outcomes in online learning. *Internet and Higher Education*, *34*, 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2017.04.001>
- Cho, Y., Chung, H. Y., Choi, K., Seo, C., & Baek, E. (2013). The emergence of student creativity in classroom settings: A case study of elementary schools in korea. *Journal of Creative Behavior*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jocb.29>
- Erisoglu, M., Calis, N., & Sakallioglu, S. (2011). A new algorithm for initial cluster centers in k-means algorithm. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, *32*(14), 1701–1705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2011.07.011>
- Gorey, J. (2020). Teaching in a Pandemic: How Educators Are Handling the Sudden Shift to Distance Learning | Earthwatch. Earth Watch.
- Katz, S., & Stupel, M. (2015). Promoting Creativity and Self-efficacy of Elementary Students through a Collaborative Research Task in Mathematics: A Case Study. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, *4*(1). <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v4n1p68>
- Kumar, K. M., & Reddy, A. R. M. (2017). An efficient k-means clustering filtering algorithm using density based initial cluster centers. *Information Sciences*, *418–419*, 286–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.07.036>
- Lung-Guang, N. (2019). Decision-making determinants of students participating in MOOCs: Merging the theory of planned behavior and self-regulated learning model. *Computers and Education*, *134*, 50–62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.02.004>
- Mccombs, B. L., & Marzano, R. J. (1990). Putting the Self in Self-Regulated Learning: The Self as Agent in Integrating Will and Skill. *Educational Psychologist*. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2501_5
- Mihalca, L., & Mengelkamp, C. (2019). Effects of Induced Levels of Prior Knowledge on Monitoring Accuracy and Performance When Learning From Self-Regulated Problem Solving. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000389>
- Mohd Razali, N., & Bee Wah, Y. (2011). Power comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling tests. *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics*, *2*(1), 13–14.

- Nguyen, T. (2015). The Effectiveness of Online Learning: Beyond No Significant Difference and Future Horizons. *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, *11*(2), 309–319.
- Özyaprak, M., & Leana-Taşçılar, M. Z. (2019). The effectiveness of self-regulated learning on teaching SCAMPER technique of creativity. In *Turkish Journal of Giftedness and Education* (Vol. 9, Issue 1).
- Panigrahi, R., Srivastava, P. R., & Sharma, D. (2018). Online learning: Adoption, continuance, and learning outcome—A review of literature. *International Journal of Information Management*, *43*, 1–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.05.005>
- Peng, Y., & Tullis, J. G. (2019). Theories of Intelligence Influence Self-Regulated Study Choices and Learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xlm0000740>
- Puth, M. T., Neuhäuser, M., & Ruxton, G. D. (2014). Effective use of Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient. In *Animal Behaviour* (Vol. 93, pp. 183–189). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.05.003>
- Radha, R., Mahalakshmi, K., Kumar, V. S., & Saravanakumar, A. R. (2020). E-Learning during Lockdown of Covid-19 Pandemic: A Global Perspective. *International Journal of Control and Automation*, *13*(4), 1088–1099.
- Rodd, J. (1999). Encouraging Young Children's Critical and Creative Thinking Skills: An Approach in One English Elementary School. *Childhood Education*, *75*(6), 350–354.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00094056.1999.10522056>
- Rubenstein, L. D. V., Callan, G. L., & Ridgley, L. M. (2018). Anchoring the Creative Process Within a Self-Regulated Learning Framework: Inspiring Assessment Methods and Future Research. In *Educational Psychology Review* (Vol. 30, Issue 3, pp. 921–945). Springer New York LLC.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-017-9431-5>
- Sani, R. A., & Malau, T. (2017). The Effect of Problem Based Learning (PBL) Model and Self Regulated Learning (SRL) toward Physics Problem Solving Ability (PSA) of Students at Senior High School. *American Journal of Educational Research*, *5*(3), 279–283. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-5-3-8>
- Schober, P., & Schwarte, L. A. (2018). Correlation coefficients: Appropriate use and interpretation. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*, *126*(5), 1763–1768.
<https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000002864>
- Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2012). Self-Regulation and Learning. In *Handbook of Psychology, Second Edition* (pp. 383–394). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118133880.hop207003>
- Sudianto, Dwijanto, & N.R. Dewi. (2019). Students' Creative Thinking Abilities and Self Regulated Learning on Project-Based Learning with LMS Moodle. *Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research*, *8*(1), 2019–2029.

- Sulisworo, D., Fitriawanati, M., Maryani, I., Hidayat, S., Agusta, E., & Saputri, W. (2020). Students' self-regulated learning (SRL) profile dataset measured during Covid-19 mitigation in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Data in Brief*, 33, 106422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.106422>
- Sulisworo, D., Maryani, I., & Kusumaningtyas, D. A. (2021). The role of information technology on the online learning success based on self-regulated learning data. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1783(1), 012109. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1783/1/012109>
- Torrance, E. P. (1990). *Torrance Tests of creative thinking* (Figural fo). Scholastic Testing Service.
- Valle, A., Núñez, J. C., Ramón G. Cabanach, Julio Antonio González-Pienda, Susana Rodríguez, Pedro Rosário, Rebeca Cerezo, & María A. Muñoz-Cadavid. (2008). Self-regulated profiles and academic achievement. *Psicothema*, 20(4), 724–731.
- Wijaya, T. T., Ying, Z., & Suan, L. (2020). Gender and Self Regulated Learning During COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 4(3), 725–732. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v4i3.422>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2013). From Cognitive Modeling to Self-Regulation: A Social Cognitive Career Path. *Educational Psychologist*, 48(3), 135–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2013.794676>
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (2011). *Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance*. Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND CREATIVE THINKING SKILLS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE DISTANCE LEARNING DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC**

Ika MARYANI ORCID iD (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7154-2902>)¹, Ummu ESTRININGRUM ORCID iD (!)², Zalik NURYANA ORCID iD (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8701-0487>)³

^{1,2,3}Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
Corresponding author: Ika Maryanai, email: ika.maryani@pgsd.uad.ac.id

Received day month year; accepted date (use style Received dates, or Alt + Ctrl + R)

Abstract. The research aimed to know the relation between Self-regulated learning (SRL) and Creative Thinking Skill (CTS) of elementary school students. SRL and CTS are needed by elementary school students. They train the students' cognitive development during the learning process and prepare them for the next educational level. Teachers should guide, encourage, and implement appropriate learning strategies to improve the students' SRL and CTS. It employed a quantitative approach with ex post facto and survey methods. The sample was 121 elementary school students taken randomly in Sleman, Indonesia. The data were collected using a Likert Scale questionnaire. The results showed that the students' SRL level was in the moderate category, which was the highest frequency. The CTS also reached the same level and frequency. Further, SRL and CTS were correlated with a high correlation coefficient rate (R=0.856). Therefore, it is recommended that teachers use a learning method that can activate and develop the SRL to increase the students' CTS.

Keywords: creative thinking, elementary school, narrative writing skill, vocabulary mastery

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, for the granted supports. Furthermore, thanks to all participated for their participation in this study.

Funding

No funding was made available for this research.

Author contributions

IM and UE conceived the study and were responsible for the design and development of the data analysis. IM, UE and ZN were responsible for data collection and analysis. IM and ZN were responsible for data interpretation. IM and UE wrote the first draft of the article.

Disclosure statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Revisi 1



Creativity Studies



99+

Compose

Mail

Inbox

140

Chat

Starred

Snoozed

Spaces

Sent

Scheduled

Meet

Drafts

More

Labels

Important Information for Authors

External

Inbox x

**Jovilė Barevičiūtė** <jovile.bareviciute@vilniustech.lt>

to ika.maryani@pgsd.uad.ac.id, umuestriningrum03@gmail.com, me

Dear Authors,

Your manuscript titled "Self-Regulated Learning and Creative Thinking Skills of Elementary School Students to Creativity Studies, has been reviewed. The reviewer comments are included at the bottom of this letter. opinions by first two ones. I would like kindly to ask to pay attention to all the three reviewers' recommend

The reviewers would like to see some revisions made to your manuscript before publication. Therefore, I ir manuscript.

When you revise your manuscript please highlight the changes you make in the manuscript by using the tr version of your manuscript which is attached in this e-mail. When you will make all the necessary correctio reviewed once again.

Also, I would like kindly to ask to write the anonymous response to reviewer 2 in informal form. Thank you

Kind regards,

Jovilė Barevičiūtė

Managing Editor

Creativity Studies Editorial Office

jovile.bareviciute@vilniustech.lt

<p>REVIEWER 1</p>	<p>The topic of the research is relevant -Self-regulated learning (SRL) and Creative Thinking Skill (CTS). However, it is necessary to describe more precisely the collected theoretical material, to improve the methodology, research results, to arrange not only the content of the article, but also the layout.</p> <p>We lack a description of the characteristics (reliability and representativeness) of the survey sample. There is a lack of justification for the validity of research instruments. What instrument validity (content, construct) was diagnosed and how? What creative thinking skills (Torrance, 1990) questionnaire was used? According to the Torrance methodology, the study of creativity is carried out using other tools. It is stated that in the first stage, the questionnaires were evaluated by two experts. Did the experts assess the correctness of the Torrance methodology? It is written that the questionnaires were in the form of a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5. In the case of the rank scale, averages are not calculated. It is not clear to us how the 5-point scale averages were averaged over 75 and so on. An explanation of what cluster analysis was used would be needed. It is not clear why the normality of the data is written at the end of the empirical part. This should be done before the application of cluster analysis, ANOVA. Hypotheses must be theoretically grounded in the theoretical part and only then tested empirically. The application of statistics cannot be described in the discussion part. The results need to be compared with the work of other researchers. The use of the image in the discussion section is questionable. In addition, it mentions variables that were not considered at all in the study (e.g., motivation). The conclusions lack scientific significance. The results of the study are relevant only at Muhammadiyah elementary school in Kalasan, Indonesia. The list of literature is dominated by sources on Self-regulated learning (SRL). There are no resources on Creative Thinking Skill (CTS). The article should be seriously improved.</p>
<p>REVIEWER 2</p>	<p>What conclusion do you draw from the study? Please do not talk about the results in the conclusions - what conclusion do you draw from the results of the study? There is no need to mention the country or other geographical details because you are talking about creativity. What Conclusion to specify. Do not write recommendations and plans for the future in the conclusions. Please improve the conclusions.</p>
<p>REVIEWER 3</p>	<p>The author of the article provides the following aim of the article: to predict the relation between self-regulated learning and students' creative thinking skills.</p> <p>The title of the article is Self-Regulated Learning and Creative Thinking Skills of Elementary School Students in the</p>

	<p>Distance Learning During the Covid-19 Pandemic. Thus, it defines the period when the research was carried out.</p> <p>The analysis of the scientific literature and documents reflects the pandemic aspect of COVID-19 (47 References).</p> <p>The description of the study should include not only the elementary school level, gender, school names of the research participants, but also the periods of the study (an ex post facto) in order to prove that the study was indeed performed during the pandemic.</p> <p>The images are black and white, thus, I recommend the author to use the Format Data Series / Fill / Pattern fill option.</p> <p>The language of the study does communicate the research content; however, it needs to be reviewed as regards word choice, word order, grammar, punctuation, etc. and a more academic and scientific, i.e. a more formal style.</p>
RECOMMENDATION	Minor Revision: Revisions are needed.
REVIEW ROUND	The new review round will be created.
EDITORIAL COMMENTS	The responses to Reviewer 2 in informal form is needed.

Revisi 2

Author Response

No.	Reviewer Comments	Authors Response
Reviewer 1		
	The topic of the research is relevant -Self-regulated learning (SRL) and Creative Thinking Skill (CTS). However, it is necessary to describe more precisely the collected theoretical material, to improve the methodology, research results, to arrange not only the content of the article, but also the layout.	Thank you for your positive response. We are very happy to get a response from an expert reviewer like you. This correction increases our enthusiasm and commitment to revise as well as possible so that this article is worthy of publication in this journal. It's an honor for us to get that response. We have revised this article according to your suggestions and feedback. Thank you
	We lack a description of the characteristics (reliability and representativeness) of the survey sample. There is a lack of justification for the validity of research instruments. What instrument validity (content, construct) was diagnosed and how? What creative thinking skills (Torrance, 1990) questionnaire was used?	<p>Thank you for your confirmation and suggestions.</p> <p>We've re-outlined validity and reliability techniques in methods (content and construct)</p> <p>The CTS questionnaire was developed with reference to The Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (TTCT), we also added a reference as an argument reinforcer in this corrected sentence.</p>
	According to the Torrance methodology, the study of creativity is carried out using other tools. It is stated that in the first stage, the questionnaires were evaluated by two experts. Did the experts assess the correctness of the Torrance methodology?	<p>Thank you for your question.</p> <p>The TTCT that I made was adjusted to the subject of the study (grades 5-6) so that it needed scrutiny from experts, especially the suitability of language with child development.</p>
	It is written that the questionnaires were in the form of a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5. In the case of the rank scale, averages are not calculated. It is not clear to us how the 5-point scale averages were averaged over 75 and so on. An explanation of what cluster analysis was used would be needed.	<p>Thank you for your corrections and suggestions</p> <p>We have transformed the data from a Likert scale of 1-5 to a scale of 100 so that it can be averaged and categorized</p>
	It is not clear why the normality of the data is written at the end of the empirical part. This should be done before the application of cluster analysis, ANOVA.	<p>Thanks for your correction</p> <p>We have moved the normality section in the section before cluster analysis</p>
	Hypotheses must be theoretically grounded in the theoretical part and only then tested empirically. The application of statistics cannot be described in the discussion part.	Thank you for your corrections and suggestions

	The results need to be compared with the work of other researchers.	We've rewritten the previous 2 paragraphs and made it 1 paragraph; next we omit the Statistical applications section. So that your suggestion we have completed Thank you
	The use of the image in the discussion section is questionable. In addition, it mentions variables that were not considered at all in the study (e.g., motivation).	Thank you for your corrections and suggestions Figure 3 was removed because it was described in the last paragraph. The use of other variables such as internal motivation is importantly explained because it is the result of synthesis from relevant research on SRL.
	The conclusions lack scientific significance. The results of the study are relevant only at Muhammadiyah elementary school in Kalasan, Indonesia. The list of literature is dominated by sources on Self-regulated learning (SRL). There are no resources on Creative Thinking Skill (CTS). The article should be seriously improved.	Thank you for your revision and suggestions. We have revised it
Reviewer 2		
	What conclusion do you draw from the study? Please do not talk about the results in the conclusions - what conclusion do you draw from the results of the study?	Thank you for your corrections and suggestions. We have revised according to your suggestions
	There is no need to mention the country or other geographical details because you are talking about creativity. What Conclusion to specify.	Thank you for your corrections and suggestions. We have revised according to your suggestions Country and area descriptions have been removed and conclusions have been revised
	Do not write recommendations and plans for the future in the conclusions. Please improve the conclusions.	Thank you for your corrections and suggestions. We have revised according to your suggestions. We have added Recommendations in this article
	The author of the article provides the following aim of the article: to predict the relation between self-regulated learning and students' creative thinking skills. The title of the article is Self-Regulated Learning and Creative Thinking Skills of Elementary School Students in the Distance Learning During the Covid-19 Pandemic.	Thank you for your corrections and suggestions. We have revised according to your suggestions. We have revised the abstract, background, and discussion

	<p>Thus, it defines the period when the research was carried out.</p> <p>The analysis of the scientific literature and documents reflects the pandemic aspect of COVID-19 (47 References).</p>	
	<p>The description of the study should include not only the elementary school level, gender, school names of the research participants, but also the periods of the study (an ex post facto) in order to prove that the study was indeed performed during the pandemic.</p>	<p>Thank you for your corrections and suggestions. We have revised according to your suggestions.</p> <p>We Have revised on the results and discussion section</p>
	<p>The images are black and white, thus, I recommend the author to use the Format Data Series / Fill / Pattern fill option.</p>	<p>Thank you for your corrections and suggestions. We have revised according to your suggestions.</p> <p>The figure has been deleted as it has been outlined in the narrative</p>
	<p>The language of the study does communicate the research content; however, it needs to be reviewed as regards word choice, word order, grammar, punctuation, etc. and a more academic and scientific, i.e. a more formal style.</p>	<p>Thank you for your corrections and suggestions. We have revised according to your suggestions.</p> <p>The article has been natively proofread according to your suggestion</p>

Template: Anonymized Document

SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND CREATIVE THINKING SKILLS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE DISTANCE EDUCATION DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Ika MARYANI

Universitas Ahmad Dahlan,

Yogyakarta, Indonesia

E-mail: ika.maryani@pgsd.uad.ac.id

Ummu ESTRININGRUM

Universitas Ahmad Dahlan,

Yogyakarta, Indonesia

E-mail: umuestriningrum03@gmail.com

Zalik NURYANA

Universitas Ahmad Dahlan,

Yogyakarta, Indonesia

E-mail: zalik.nuryana@pai.uad.ac.id

1

Commented [JB1]: T

Commented [JB2]: T
institution are needed.

Commented [JB3]: T

Commented [JB4]: T
institution are needed.

Commented [JB5]: T

Commented [JB6]: T
institution are needed.

Received: 10 July, 2021; accepted: 14 July, 2022

Abstract. The research aimed to know the relation between self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of elementary school students in the distance education during COVID-19 pandemic. Self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills are needed by elementary school students.

Template: Anonymized Document

They train the students' cognitive development during the learning process and prepare them for the next educational level. Teachers should guide, encourage, and implement appropriate learning strategies to improve the students' self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills. It employed a quantitative approach with *ex post facto* and survey methods. The sample was 121 elementary school students taken randomly in Sleman Regency, Indonesia. The data were collected using a Likert scale questionnaire. The results showed that the students' self-regulated learning level was in the moderate category, which was the highest frequency. The creative thinking skills also reached the same level and frequency. Further, self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills were correlated with a high correlation coefficient rate ($R = 0.856$). Therefore, it is recommended that teachers use a learning method that can activate and develop the self-regulated learning to increase the students' creative thinking skills.

Keywords: creative thinking, elementary school, narrative writing skills, vocabulary mastery.

2

Commented [JB7]: 5

Introduction

COVID-19 pandemic has caused school closure in all parts of the world. A million students globally can only learn outside the classroom setting. The condition has encouraged professional educators to think about an alternative teaching method during the COVID-19 pandemic (Radha *et al.* 2020). Another change caused by the COVID-19 pandemic is the change of educational pattern; it simultaneously shifted from face-to-face to virtual learning through e-learning. It is implemented all over the world, including in Indonesia, with various digital platforms. Previous studies revealed that

Template: Anonymized Document

online learning has proven to improve information retention, accessibility, and shorter learning period (Panigrahi *et al.* 2018). Online learning is claimed to have equal effectiveness compared to face-to-face learning (Nguyen 2015). However, for teachers, the shift to an online platform means rethinking the lesson plan to adjust to the different format (Gorey 2020). Besides, students' readiness cannot be abandoned (Nguyen 2015).

Cases from Indonesia the high number of positive cases of COVID-19 pandemic requires the government, particularly the Minister of Education and Culture (Indonesia), to implement several policies, including the student learning process carried out at home via distance education, the mechanism for accepting new students must follow health protocols and, if possible, be done online, and school operational assistance funds can be used to finance the. Furthermore, grade promotion and graduation are determined based on report cards and the cancellation of the 2020 national examination (NE), and the NE is not a requirement for graduation or selection to enter an appropriate level of higher education. Education is critical for advancing the nation's next generation, but health factors must also contribute to the long-term viability of the nation's future.

In Indonesia, online learning success during the COVID-19 pandemic is determined by the technological readiness and the national humanitarian curriculum, support, and collaboration with the stakeholders, including the government, school, teachers, parents, and society (Rasmitadila *et al.* 2020). Besides, the learning essence is self-regulated learning (SRL) of the students during online learning. SRL includes cognitive, metacognitive, behavioral, motivational, and emotional/affective aspects of learning. Therefore, SRL becomes a large umbrella variable and affects learning success (Panadero 2017). SRL supports students in online

Template: Anonymized Document

learning to work independently through the stages of planning, performing and evaluating (Carter *et al.* 2020).

Hence, understanding the students' SRL capability is important to achieve successful learning (Sulisworo *et al.* 2020). SRL is part of the metacognitive skills, and it measures the extent to which an individual implements his highest cognitive dimension. Various studies about Indonesian students' SRL have been conducted. Dwi Sulisworo, Meita Fitriawanawati, Ika Maryani, Saleh Hidayat, Erie Agusta, and Wulandari Saputri (2020) proposed that the highest score percentage of the students' SRL in Indonesia was smaller than the moderate and low category. Tommy Tanu Wijaya, Zhou Ying, and Lin Suan (2020) found that female students' SRL was better than males, especially in applying dynamic mathematics software. Syafi'ul Anam and Elke Stracke (2016) concluded that sixth graders feel more comfortable learning with strategies that provide them the space to explore their surroundings independently. SRL develops the students' positive inquiry community, attitude, and self-efficacy (Cho *et al.* 2017).

Effective and efficient guidance is required for learning success in SRL. However, the students' ability to regulate their learning is influenced by their significant diversity (Peng, Tullis 2020). SRL has four important components: planning, monitoring, controlling, and reflecting. Accurate monitoring and adequate control are significant for effective self-regulation in solving the problems. Previous studies showed that independent learning is dangerous for students with inadequate prior knowledge because they may find difficulties monitoring and controlling (Mihalca, Mengelkamp 2020). Nevertheless, it does not apply to the planning and reflecting aspects.

Template: Anonymized Document

Various learning models have been applied for the students' SRL success. Flipped classroom model with the technological modification proves to significantly impact the students' SRL compared to the conventional flipped classrooms (Blau, Shamir-Inbal 2017). Flipped classrooms encourage students to learn and communicate with each other in small, heterogeneous groups to achieve learning goals by combining online learning and face-to-face learning (Han *et al.* 2020; Lo, Hew 2019). Student activities during discussions, experiments, and evaluations give students the opportunity to think creatively in conveying ideas (Blau, Shamir-Inbal 2017; Park, Howell 2015).

Furthermore, the flipped project-based learning class has a significant effect on students' self-regulation performance (Zarouk *et al.* 2020). Self-regulation of students in this class provides opportunities to creatively design and implement projects to find solutions (Fisher, Baird 2005; Zarouk *et al.* 2020). The prototypes will be different from each other depending on their creativity. Students in high-SRL having interest in their work and do not need any helps. So, the creativity is theirs whole (Bayuningsih *et al.* 2018).

In other studies, Sahyar Sahyar, Ridwan A. Sani, and Tionar Malau (2017) found that in a problem-based learning classroom, SRL influences the physics problem-solving ability. Problem-based learning combined with simulation were significantly improved the students' problem-solving skills and their creative thinking skills (CTSs) (Sahyar *et al.* 2017; Purnama Simanjuntak *et al.* 2021). Students who have above average SRL able to manage themselves in preparing himself in learning, maintain motivation, set goals, monitor progress, and engage in self-reflection (Anderton 2006; Purnama Simanjuntak *et al.* 2021).

Template: Anonymized Document

Creative thinking encourages the progress of all disciplines, benefiting individuals and society. Many individuals can demonstrate and improve their creativity (DaVia Rubenstein *et al.* 2018). Creativity supports the advancement of all disciplines, providing both individual and societal benefits. Most individuals can demonstrate and improve their creativity; therefore, understanding the creative process is of particular interest to facilitate deliberate development of creative thinkers (DaVia Rubenstein *et al.* 2018). Creative students regulate themselves in taking control over the process; they highly believe in their self-efficacy (Katz, Stupel 2015). SRL is considered an appropriate tool to improve creativity because it can be used in autonomous learning and self-actualization (Özyaprak, Leana-Taşçılar 2019). The SRL aspects are predicted to work on creative thinking aspects. Research on a standardized language test showed that planning could significantly predict fluency, smoothness, originality, and flexibility. Reflecting can explain the usefulness of ideas but significantly has a negative correlation with originality. Although the strategy is correlated with fluency and flexibility, it does not explain the unique variation in the creative result (Callan *et al.* 2021).

Although SRL and CTSs are successfully improved, and both are mutually supporting variables, previous findings have not concluded how students regulate themselves during the creative process. In particular, they did not identify how the internal psychological condition, external behavior, and explicit strategy influence the creative process (DaVia Rubenstein *et al.* 2018). Therefore, the present study predicts the relation between SRL and creative thinking and the relation among the aspects. The results are expected to provide recommendation for teachers to design teaching method that empowers the SRL or CTSs for the context of learning. According to

Template: Anonymized Document

the explanation, this study aims to predict the relation between SRL and students' CTSs.

1. Methodology

1. 1. Type of research

The present study belongs to quantitative research with an *ex post facto* approach and survey method to examine the relation between the dependent and independent variables. *Ex post facto* was used to analyze the causal relation that was not manipulated and untreated by the researchers. A survey was selected because it helps to answer the research problem about the relation between the predicted variables.

1. 2. Population and sample

The research population included all fifth and sixth graders of elementary schools in Sleman Regency, in Special Region of Yogyakarta. The fifth and sixth graders were selected to represent the highest elementary school level, considering them having maximized their SRL. At both levels, students are considered ready to understand the research instruments. As many as 121 students consisting of 48 male and 73 female students were selected using the random sampling technique. This study took subjects in grades 5 and 6 because they represented the highest elementary school level. SRL requires that self-directed learners be aware of their academic strengths and weaknesses, and that they have a list of strategies that they implement appropriately to cope with academic challenges (Adodo 2013). SRL assists students in managing their thoughts, behaviors, and emotions in order to

Template: Anonymized Document

successfully navigate their learning experiences. The higher the school level, the more independent students are in learning.

1. 3. Data collection

The data were collected through a survey. Besides, two questionnaires are used: 1) SRL (Schunk, Zimmerman 2013; Schunk, Greene 2018), 2) CTSs (Torrance 1990; Kim 2017). The questionnaires were in the form of a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, consisting of favorable and unfavorable questions. They included the aspects of SRL: metacognition (planning, monitoring, evaluating), motivation (self-efficacy, self-attribution, interest in intrinsic tasks), and behavior (effort regulation, time/study environment, and help-seeking). Meanwhile, the CTSs aspects included fluency, flexibility, originality, and elaboration.

The questions listed in the questionnaire were validated in two stages. The first stage was content validity by expert judgment, which was conducted by two professionals. The questionnaires were revised based on their suggestions. The second was construct validity tests, concluding that the questionnaires were valid and reliable. The construct validity tests resulted in 18 valid items for SRL and 8 for CTSs. The reliability test using Cronbach's alpha produced SRL R_{α} of 0.905 and CTSs R_{α} 0.840. Hence, both are reliable. The questionnaires were transferred into a *Google* form to take the data from the samples. The students could only fill in the questionnaires once, although they could edit their answers.

1. 4. Ethical research

All subjects gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia, number: 011905048. Informed consent was distributed to parents to be filled out as a form of consent. In it there is an explanation of the risk that students might feel tired when filling out the instrument. This risk can be minimized by having a break between questionnaires.

1. 5. The statistical methods of data processing

The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics consisting of mean, standard deviation, and categorization. Further, cluster analysis was performed. Meanwhile, the hypotheses were tested using Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC), of which the normality was tested using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and the variables using linearity tests.

2. Results and discussion

2. 1. Results

2. 1. 1. Descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning

The data about SRL obtained from the questionnaires were tabulated and calculated, especially the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation, using the *SPSS* program. The descriptive analysis results of the students' SRL can be seen in Table 1.

Template: Anonymized Document

Table 1.

Descriptive statistics of students' self-regulated learning.

Source: created by authors.

N	121
Mean	75.07
Median	75.00
Mode	70
Standard deviation	8.679

Commented [JB8]: F

10

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, they were categorized into three groups, as seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1.

Self-regulated learning category.

Source: created by authors.

Template: Anonymized Document

Figure 1 shows that 18 (14.88%) students have low SRL, 81 (66.94%) students moderate, and 22 (18.8%) students high. The highest percentage was reached by the moderate SRL category, while the lowest was a low category.

11

2. 1. 2. Descriptive analysis of creative thinking skills

The data of the fifth graders CTSs were obtained through questionnaires consisting of 8 items with 1–5 scales. Below are the data results of the questionnaire.

Table 2.

Commented [JB9]: T
Otherwise, it should be de

Descriptive statistics of the students' creative thinking skills.

Source: created by authors.

N	121
Mean	33.20
Median	33.00
Mode	35
Standard deviation	3.987

Commented [JB10]:

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, the CTSs were categorized, and the results can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2.

Creative thinking skills category.

Source: created by authors.

Based on Figure 2, 24 (19.83%) students have low CTSs score, 81 (66.94%) moderate, and 16 (13.22%) high. The highest percentage was the one with moderate category, while the lowest was the high category.

2. 1. 3. Prerequisite analysis results

2. 1. 3. 1. Normality test

Normality test can be performed using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test with *SPSS 23.0* for *Microsoft Windows*. The data were normally distributed if the asymptotic significance (AS) (2-tailed) ≥ 0.05 , while they were not normally distributed if the AS (2-tailed) < 0.05 (Aishah Ahad *et al.* 2011;

Template: Anonymized Document

Razali, Bee Wah 2011). The normality test of the SRL and CTSs variables is presented in Table 3.

Table 3.

Normality test results.

Source: created by authors.

Variables	Asymptotic significance (2-tailed)
Self-regulated learning	0.689
Creative thinking skills	0.312

Table 3 revealed that the SRL significant value was 0.689 and the CTSs 0.312. The significance value of both variables was above 0.05, meaning that the data were normally distributed.

2. 1. 3. 2. Linearity test

In the present study, a linearity test was performed with a 5% significance level using the *SPSS* program. The results were observable through the F-test in the deviation from linearity. If the F significance value < 0.05 , the relation is not linear; conversely, the relation is linear if the F significance value > 0.05 . (Aishah Ahad *et al.* 2011; Razali, Bee Wah 2011). The SRL and CTSs linearity test results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4.

Linearity test results.

Source: created by authors.

Template: Anonymized Document

Variable	F significance	F significance count	Conclusion
Self-regulated learning <i>versus</i> creative thinking skills	0.05	0.522	Linear

Table 4 concludes that the relation between SRL and CTSs was linear because the sig value of the deviation from linearity was above 0.05, which was 0.522.

2. 1. 4. Cluster analysis results

Based on the SRL and CTSs results, the cluster analysis was employed to divide the data into three clusters based on the characteristics. The final cluster center data were related to the standardization process referring to the standard score with the following criteria: 1) negative score (-), meaning that the data were below the total average; 2) positive score (+), meaning that the data were above the total average (Erisoglu *et al.* 2011; Kumar, Reddy 2017). The descriptive analysis results of the final cluster centers are shown in Table 5.

Table 5.

Descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills final cluster centers.

Source: created by authors.

Template: Anonymized Document

Final cluster centers	Cluster		
	1	2	3
Standard score: self-regulated learning	-.06907	-1.39023	1.15114
Standard score: KBK	-.02885	-1.42934	1.11125

15

Commented [JB11]:

Table 5 concluded that there were two clusters below the average; those were clusters 1 and 2. Meanwhile, one cluster was above the average, which was cluster 3. Cluster 1 comprised students with moderate SRL and CTSs scores, while cluster 2 consisted of low SRL and CTSs scores. Meanwhile, cluster 3 included those with high SRL and CTSs scores. It means that in cluster 1, the standard score for the SRL variables was -0.06907 in the moderate category, and -1.39023 in cluster 2 in the low category, while cluster 3 1.15114 in the high category. Meanwhile, the standard score for the CTSs of cluster 1 reached -0.02885 (moderate), -1.42934 in cluster 2 (low), and in cluster 3 reached 1.11125 (high).

A partial F-test was employed in the descriptive analysis of SRL and CTSs final cluster centers in the cluster validity tests. The significance of the analysis of variance test result is shown in Table 6.

Table 6.

Analysis of variance of self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills c-means.

Source: created by authors.

Template: Anonymized Document

Analysis of variance

	Cluster		Error		F	Significance
	Df	Mean square	Df	Mean square		
Standard score: self-regulated learning.	48.458	2	.196	118	247.719	.000
Standard score: creative thinking skills	48.195	2	.200	118	240.869	.000

Commented [JB12]:

Commented [JB13]:

Based on Table 6, the SRL partial F-test reached 247.719 with 0.000 significance. It means that the SRL clusters 1, 2, and 3 are significantly different. Meanwhile, the partial F-test of the CTSs reached 240.869 with 0.000 significance. Hence, like the SRL results, the three clusters of CTSs are different.

2. 1. 5. Hypothesis testing

The hypothesis of the research was tested using PCC analysis with SPSS 23.0 for Microsoft Windows. The hypothesis testing calculation is as follows.

Table 3.

Hypothesis testing result.

Source: created by authors.

Correlation	Correlation coefficient	Significance (2-tailed)
Self-regulated learning–creative thinking skills	0.856	0.000

Based on the PCC shown in the Table 7, the correlation coefficient value was positive, reaching a score of 0.856. To know the significance of

Template: Anonymized Document

the hypothesis testing, the significance value (p) of the significance (2-tailed) was compared to 0.05. If $p < 0.05$, the correlation among variables is significant, but it will not if the p -value is below 0.05. From the result, the p -value or significance (2-tailed) was 0.000, or below 0.05. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis was accepted. In other words, there is a positive and significant relation between SRL and CTSs of the students. The correlation coefficient value which is positive and close to +1 indicates that SRL and CTSs have a strong positive relationship. The higher the student's SRL, the higher the CTSs will be. On the other hand, when the SRL is lower, the CTSs will be lower.

2. 2. Discussion

The descriptive analysis of SRL variables showed a sequence based on the highest percentage: moderate-high-low. Students with high self-regulation tend to encourage themselves to prepare and complete their tasks. They are aware of their competence and willing to show their self- efficacy (Panadero 2017; Vrieling-Teunter *et al.* 2021). Individuals with self-regulation start learning by exposing great efforts and perseverance during the learning process (Ziegler, Opdenakker 2018). Besides, they can create an interesting learning situation (Sulisworo *et al.* 2020, 2021). When most students have self-efficacy, they will not depend on their peers to complete their tasks, especially during distance education. Independence in SRL is the main key to successful distance education. Therefore, teachers are expected to consider this aspect in preparing for learning.

A skill component can help with self-regulation, but it is not enough. The will or desire of students to engage in self-regulation is not only crucial,

Template: Anonymized Document

but also primary. To generate the will to self-regulate, students must recognize that they are creative agents who are responsible for and capable of achieving self-development and self-determination goals, and they must appreciate and comprehend their abilities to achieve these goals. Self-regulation and the attempt to enhance self-regulation abilities follow. Integration of skill and will is thus required in interventions to promote SRL. Implications for more holistic interventions are discussed, as well as additional research on the self-as-agent framework that is required (McCombs, Marzano 1990).

The CTSs data shows the moderate category. The percentage sequence was moderate-low-high. The students' abilities in creating ideas and finding answers or solutions indicate CTSs (Al-Zahrani 2015; Titikusumawati *et al.* 2019). They can express their thought effortlessly, answer necessary questions, provide many solutions for a problem, and giving examples based on reality or experiences. However, in terms of originality, they cannot express great ideas to solve a problem or answer questions in their own way. This is a recommendation that the teacher guides students to take responsibility for developing their personal talent, which is critical in assisting students in transferring their skills to different contexts. Educators and researchers are attempting to determine which intellectual skills can be developed, as well as the most effective methods of encouraging learning in the classroom. Such concerns have prompted a renewed emphasis on the development of children's critical and creative thinking abilities (Rodd 1999).

The relation between SRL and CTSs was analyzed using the PCC test. The PCC result of the SRL–CTSs was 0.856, which was in a strong positive category (Puth *et al.* 2014; Schober *et al.* 2018). The analysis

Template: Anonymized Document

showed that the relation between the fifth graders SRL and CTSs was significant. SRL refers to the condition where participants actively use their metacognition, motivation, and behavior in the learning process (Zimmerman 2013). Creative thinking attitude and habit are essentially included in SRL. Further, SRL is defined as a form of learning following the learners' motivation. They autonomously develop their own measurement (cognition, metacognition, and behavior) and monitor the learning progress (Abar, Loken 2010; Valle *et al.* 2008).

The research about the students' SRL and CTSs revealed that the students should regulate themselves to achieve the goals. The increase of students' self-confidence helps students think better and initiate new ideas to solve a problem. However, they need the teachers' guidance through the teaching strategies and appropriate learning media during the learning process. This way, the SRL and CTSs can be improved. The recommended learning model to encourage these two variables are problem-based learning (Sahyar *et al.* 2017; Purnama Simanjuntak *et al.* 2021), project-based learning (Zarouk *et al.* 2020), flipped classroom (Blau, Shamir-Inbal 2017; Han *et al.* 2020; Lo, Hew 2019), problem solving (Callan *et al.* 2021), and other models that provide opportunities for students to work independently and involve creative thinking.

SRL determines students' internal motivation to organize their learning strategies and CTS is important to prepare elementary school students to become creative human resources when they are productive. The goal is that teachers, lecturers, researchers, or stakeholders understand the importance of these two variables so that efforts are made to develop learning that empowers these two variables. Since CTSs affect learning

Template: Anonymized Document

achievement, the empowerment of these skills can lead to increased learning achievement (Fatmawati *et al.* 2019).

Creative thinking involves skills in trying different solutions to these problems and generating new ideas. Those who have CTSs get an important place in society. Because they are quick minded and possess leadership qualities, they are always appreciated by those around them (Aldig, Arseven 2017). Comparing and making connections between creative thinking abilities and other skills can enrich teachers' insight into the potential or talents of their students. there is a significant effect of students' CTSs on student achievement (Resien *et al.* 2020). At the end, suggestions are proposed for distance teaching methods that can empower CTSs such as hybrid-project based learning (Rahardjanto *et al.* 2019) and web-based teaching (Lin, Wu 2016).

Conclusions

There is a positive and significant relation between SRL and CTSs. The correlation coefficient shows a strong positive relationship between SRL and CTSs. The higher the student's SRL, the higher the CTSs will be. On the other hand, when the SRL is lower, the CTSs will be lower. As a recommendation, students' SRL must be considered in planning the learning process. CTSs can be affected by the active SRL when students are involved in the learning process. Teachers can identify pre-learning to map students based on their SRL, then choose a learning model that empowers them.

References

- Abar, B.; Loken, E. 2010. Self-Regulated Learning and Self-Directed

Template: Anonymized Document

Study in a Pre-College Sample, *Learning and Individual Differences* 20(1): 25–29.

- Adodo, S. O. 2013. Effect of Mind-Mapping as a Self-Regulated Learning Strategy on Students' Achievement in Basic Science and Technology, *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 4(6): 163–172.
- Aishah Ahad, N.; Sin Yin, T.; Rahman Othman, A.; Rohani Yaacob, Ch. 2011. Sensitivity of Normality Tests to Non-Normal Data, *Sains Malaysiana* 40(6): 637–641.
- Al-Zahrani, A. M. 2015. From Passive to Active: The Impact of the Flipped Classroom through Social Learning Platforms on Higher Education Students' Creative Thinking, *British Journal of Educational Technology* 46(6): 1133–1148.
- Aldig, E.; Arseven, A. 2017. The Contribution of Learning Outcomes for Listening to Creative Thinking Skills, *Journal of Education and Learning* 6(3): 41–53.
- Anam, S.; Stracke, E. 2016. Language Learning Strategies of Indonesian Primary School Students: In Relation to Self-Efficacy Beliefs, *System* 60: 1–10.
- Anderton, B. 2006. Using the Online Course to Promote Self-Regulated Learning Strategies in Pre-Service Teachers, *Journal of Interactive Online Learning* 5(2): 156–177.
- Bayuningsih, A. S.; Usodo, B.; Subanti, S. 2018. Problem Based Learning with Scaffolding Technique on Geometry, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1013. 4th International Seminar of Mathematics, Science and Computer Science Education. 14 October, 2017. Bandung, Indonesia [online], [cited 3 June, 2021]. Available

Template: Anonymized Document

from Internet: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1013/1/012134/pdf>

- Blau, I.; Shamir-Inbal, T. 2017. Re-Designed Flipped Learning Model in an Academic Course: The Role of Co-Creation and Co-Regulation, *Computers and Education* 115: 69–81.
- Callan, G. L.; DaVia Rubenstein, L.; Ridgley, L. M.; McCall, J. R. 2021. Measuring Self-Regulated Learning during Creative Problem-Solving with SRL Microanalysis, *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts* 15(1): 136–148.
- Carter, R. A. Jr.; Rice, M.; Yang, S.; Jackson, H. A. 2020. Self-Regulated Learning in Online Learning Environments: Strategies for Remote Learning, *Information and Learning Sciences* 121(5–6): 321–329.
- Cho, M.-H.; Kim, Y.; Choi, D. 2017. The Effect of Self-Regulated Learning on College Students' Perceptions of Community of Inquiry and Affective Outcomes in Online Learning, *The Internet and Higher Education* 34: 10–17.
- DaVia Rubenstein, L.; Callan, G. L.; Ridgley, L. M. 2018. Anchoring the Creative Process within a Self-Regulated Learning Framework: Inspiring Assessment Methods and Future Research, *Educational Psychology Review* 30: 921–945.
- Erisoglu, M.; Calis, N.; Sakallioglu, S. 2011. A New Algorithm for Initial Cluster Centers in K-Means Algorithm, *Pattern Recognition Letters* 32(14): 1701–1705.
- Fatmawati, A.; Zubaidah, S.; Mahanal, S.; Sutopo, S. 2019. Critical Thinking, Creative Thinking, and Learning Achievement: How They Are Related, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1417.

Template: Anonymized Document

Mathematics, Informatics, Science and Education International Conference 2019. 28–29 September, 2019. Surabaya, Indonesia [online], [cited 3 June, 2021]. Available from Internet: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1417/1/012070/pdf>

- Fisher, M.; Baird, D. E. 2005. Online Learning Design that Fosters Student Support, Self-Regulation, and Retention, *Campus-Wide Information Systems* 22(2): 88–107.
- Gorey, J. 2020. Teaching in a Pandemic: How Educators Are Handling the Sudden Shift to Distance Learning, *Earthwatch* [online], [cited 1 June, 2021]. Available from Internet: https://earthwatch.org/stories/teaching-pandemic-how-educators-are-handling-sudden-shift-distance-learning?gclid=CjwKCAiA9bmABhBbEiwASb35V70-A7J9Cs_TA5H1OSOqRANhqG9LrCmYqTfdTofsDxS_iHMdm-ZYHxoCnoAQAvD_BwE
- Han, J.; Huh, S. Y.; Cho, Y. H.; Park, S.; Choi, J.; Suh, B.; Rhee, W. 2020. Utilizing Online Learning Data to Design Face-To-Face Activities in a Flipped Classroom: A Case Study of Heterogeneous Group Formation, *Educational Technology Research and Development* 68: 2055–2071.
- Katz, S.; Stupel, M. 2015. Promoting Creativity and Self-Efficacy of Elementary Students through a Collaborative Research Task in Mathematics: A Case Study, *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching* 4(1): 68–82.
- Kim, K. H. 2017. The Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking – Figural or Verbal: Which One Should We Use?, *Creativity: Theories –*

Template: Anonymized Document

Research – Applications 4(2): 302–321.

- Kumar, K. M.; Reddy, A. R. M. 2017. An Efficient K-Means Clustering Filtering Algorithm Using Density Based Initial Cluster Centers, *Information Sciences* 418–419: 286–301.
- Lin, Ch.-Sh.; Wu, R.-W. 2016. Effects of Web-Based Creative Thinking Teaching on Students' Creativity and Learning Outcome, *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education* 12(6): 1675–1684.
- Lo, Ch. K.; Hew, K. F. 2019. The Impact of Flipped Classrooms on Student Achievement in Engineering Education: A Meta-Analysis of 10 Years of Research, *Journal of Engineering Education* 108(4): 523–546.
- McCombs, B. L.; Marzano, R. J. 1990. Putting the Self in Self-Regulated Learning: The Self as Agent in Integrating Will and Skill, *Educational Psychologist* 25(1): 51–69.
- Mihalca, L.; Mengelkamp, Ch. 2020. Effects of Induced Levels of Prior Knowledge on Monitoring Accuracy and Performance When Learning from Self-Regulated Problem Solving, *Journal of Educational Psychology* 112(4): 795–810.
- Nguyen, T. 2015. The Effectiveness of Online Learning: Beyond No Significant Difference and Future Horizons, *MERLOT: Journal of Online Learning and Teaching* 11(2): 309–319.
- Özyaprak, M.; Leana-Taşçılar, M. Z. 2019. The Effectiveness of Self-Regulated Learning on Teaching SCAMPER Technique of Creativity, *Turkish Journal of Giftedness and Education* 9(1): 16–31.
- Panadero, E. 2017. A Review of Self-Regulated Learning: Six Models and Four Directions for Research, *Frontiers in Psychology* 8 [online],

Template: Anonymized Document

[cited 2 June, 2021]. Available from Internet: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00422/full>

- Panigrahi, R.; Srivastava, P. R.; Sharma, D. 2018. Online Learning: Adoption, Continuance, and Learning Outcome – A Review of Literature, *International Journal of Information Management* 43: 1–14.
- Park, S. E.; Howell, T. H. 2015. Implementation of a Flipped Classroom Educational Model in a Predoctoral Dental Course, *Journal of Dental Education* 79(5): 563–570.
- Peng, Y.; Tullis, J. G. 2020. Theories of Intelligence Influence Self-Regulated Study Choices and Learning, *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition* 46(3): 487–496.
- Purnama Simanjuntak, M.; Hutahaean, J.; Marpaung, N.; Ramadhani, D. 2021. Effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning Combined with Computer Simulation on Students' Problem-Solving and Creative Thinking Skills, *International Journal of Instruction* 14(3): 519–534.
- Puth, M.-Th.; Neuhäuser, M.; Ruxton, G. D. 2014. Effective Use of Pearson's Product-Moment Correlation Coefficient, *Animal Behaviour* 93: 183–189.
- Radha, R.; Mahalakshmi, K.; Sathish Kumar, V.; Saravanakumar, A. R. 2020. E-Learning during Lockdown of COVID-19 Pandemic: A Global Perspective, *International Journal of Control and Automation* 13(4): 1088–1099.
- Rahardjanto, A.; Husamah, H.; Fauzi, A. 2019. Hybrid-PjBL: Learning Outcomes, Creative Thinking Skills, and Learning Motivation of Preservice Teacher, *International Journal of Instruction* 12(2): 179–192.

Template: Anonymized Document

- Rasmitadila, R.; Rusmiati Aliyyah, R.; Rachmadtullah, R.; Samsudin, A.; Syaodih, E.; Nurtanto, M.; Suryanti Tambunan, A. R. 2020. The Perceptions of Primary School Teachers of Online Learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic Period: A Case Study in Indonesia, *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies* 7(2): 90–109.
- Razali, N. M.; Bee Wah, Y. 2011. Power Comparisons of Shapiro–Wilk, Kolmogorov–Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson–Darling Tests, *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics* 2(1): 21–33.
- Resien, R.; Sitompul, H.; Situmorang, J. 2020. The Effect of Blended Learning Strategy and Creative Thinking of Students on the Results of Learning Information and Communication Technology by Controlling Initial Knowledge, *Budapest International Research and Critics in Linguistic and Education Journal* 3(2): 879–893.
- Rodd, J. 1999. Encouraging Young Children’s Critical and Creative Thinking Skills: An Approach in One English Elementary School, *Childhood Education* 75(6): 350–354.
- Sahyar, S.; Sani, R. A.; Malau, T. 2017. The Effect of Problem Based Learning (PBL) Model and Self Regulated Learning (SRL) toward Physics Problem Solving Ability (PSA) of Students at Senior High School, *American Journal of Educational Research* 5(3): 279–283.
- Schober, P.; Boer, Ch.; Schwarte, L. A. 2018. Correlation Coefficients: Appropriate Use and Interpretation, *Anesthesia and Analgesia* 126(5): 1763–1768.
- Schunk, D. H.; Greene, J. A. (Eds.). 2018. *Handbook of Self-Regulation of Learning and Performance*. Series: Educational Psychology Handbook Series. Alexander, P. A. (Series Ed.). New York and London: Routledge.

Template: Anonymized Document

- Schunk, D. H.; Zimmerman, B. J. 2013. Self-Regulation and Learning, in Irving, I. B. (Ed.-in-Chief). *Handbook of Psychology*. Vol. 7: Educational Psychology. Reynolds, W. M.; Miller, G. E. (Eds.). Hoboken, N. J.: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 45–68.
- Sulisworo, D.; Fitriyanawati, M.; Maryani, I.; Hidayat, S.; Agusta, E.; Saputri, W. 2020. Students' Self-Regulated Learning (SRL) Profile Dataset Measured during COVID-19 Mitigation in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, *Data in Brief* 33 [online], [cited 3 June, 2021]. Available from <https://reader.elsevier.com/reader/sd/pii/S2352340920313044?token=08B5BAFDA05D2A017D9649B587673E5D0AE38A0E87F8418239BD57ABD3A1CD6E548FBA864371986E509A68F6130AA4F4&originRegion=eu-west-1&originCreation=20221231124608> Internet:
- Sulisworo, D.; Maryani, I.; Kusumaningtyas, D. A. 2021. The Role of Information Technology on the Online Learning Success Based on Self-Regulated Learning Data, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1783. Annual Conference on Science and Technology Research. 19–21 October, 2020. Online Conference [online], [cited 3 June, 2021]. Available from <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1783/1/012109/pdf> Internet:
- Tanu Wijaya, T.; Ying, Zh.; Suan, L. 2020. Gender and Self-Regulated Learning during COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia, *Journal of Elementary Education* 4(3): 725–732.
- Titikusumawati, E.; Sa'dijah, C.; As'ari, A. R.; Susanto, H. 2019. An Analysis of Students' Creative Thinking Skill in Creating Open-Ended Mathematics Problems through Semi-Structured Problem

Template: Anonymized Document

Posing, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1227. The 2nd Annual International Conference on Mathematics and Science Education. 28–29 August, 2018. Malang, Indonesia [online], [cited 3 June, 2021]. Available from Internet: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1783/1/012109/pdf>

- Torrance, E. P. 1990. *The Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking Norms – Technical, Manual, Figural (Streamlined): Forms A & B*. Bensenville, IL: Scholastic Testing Service.
- Valle, A.; Núñez, J. C.; Cabanach, R. G.; González-Pienda, J. A.; Rodríguez, S.; Rosário, P.; Cerezo, R.; Muñoz-Cadavid, M. A. 2008. Self-Regulated Profiles and Academic Achievement, *Psicothema* 20(4): 724–731.
- Vrieling-Teunter, E.; Stijnen, S.; Bastiaens, Th. 2021. Promoting Student Teachers’ Self-Regulated Learning in the Workplace, *Vocations and Learning* 14: 223–242.
- Zarouk, M. Y.; Olivera, E.; Peres, P.; Khaldi, M. 2020. The Impact of Flipped Project-Based Learning on Self-Regulation in Higher Education, *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning* 15(17): 127–146.
- Ziegler, N.; Opendakker, M.-Ch. 2018. The Development of Academic Procrastination in First-Year Secondary Education Students: The Link with Metacognitive Self-Regulation, Self-Efficacy, and Effort Regulation, *Learning and Individual Differences* 64: 71–82.
- Zimmerman, B. J. 2013. From Cognitive Modeling to Self-Regulation: A Social Cognitive Career Path, *Educational*

Template: Anonymized Document

Psychologist 48(3): 135–147.

Ika MARYANI ORCID iD (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7154-2902>)¹,

ika.maryani@pgsd.uad.ac.id

Ummu ESTRININGRUM ORCID iD (!)², umuestriningrum03@gmail.com

Zalik NURYANA ORCID iD (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8701-0487>)³

zalik.nuryana@pai.uad.ac.id

^{1,2,3}Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Corresponding author: Ika Maryani, email: ika.maryani@pgsd.uad.ac.id

SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND CREATIVE THINKING SKILLS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE DISTANCE LEARNING DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Abstract. The research aimed to know the relation between Self-regulated learning (SRL) and Creative Thinking Skill (CTS) of elementary school students. SRL and CTS are needed by elementary school students. They train the students' cognitive development during the learning process and prepare them for the next educational level. Teachers should guide, encourage, and implement appropriate learning strategies to improve the students' SRL and CTS. It employed a quantitative approach with ex post facto and survey methods. The sample was 121 elementary school students taken randomly in Sleman, Indonesia. The data were collected using a Likert Scale questionnaire. The results showed that the students' SRL level was in the moderate category, which was the highest frequency. The CTS also reached the same level and frequency. Further, SRL and CTS were correlated with a high correlation coefficient rate ($R=0.856$). Therefore, it is recommended that teachers use a learning method that can activate and develop the SRL to increase the students' CTS.

Keywords: creative thinking, elementary school, narrative writing skill, vocabulary mastery

Introduction

COVID-19 has caused school closure in all parts of the world. A million students globally can only learn outside the classroom setting. The condition has encouraged professional educators to think about an alternative teaching method during the pandemic (Radha et al., 2020). Another change caused by the pandemic is the change of educational pattern; it simultaneously shifted from face-to-face to virtual learning through e-learning. It is implemented all over the world, including in Indonesia, with various digital platforms. Previous studies revealed that online learning has proven to improve information retention, accessibility, and shorter learning period (Panigrahi et al., 2018). Online learning is claimed to have equal effectiveness compared to face-to-face learning (Nguyen, 2015). However, for teachers, the shift to an online platform means rethinking the lesson plan to adjust to the different format (Gorey, 2020). Besides, students' readiness cannot be abandoned (Nguyen, 2015).

Cases from Indonesia the high number of positive cases of COVID-19 requires the government, particularly the Minister of Education and Culture, to implement several policies, including the student learning process carried out at home via distance learning, the mechanism for accepting new students must follow health protocols and, if possible, be done online, and school operational assistance funds can be used to finance the. Furthermore, grade promotion and graduation are determined based on report cards and the cancellation of the 2020 National Examination (UN), and the National Examination is

not a requirement for graduation or selection to enter an appropriate level of higher education. Education is critical for advancing the nation's next generation, but health factors must also contribute to the long-term viability of the nation's future.

In Indonesia, online learning success during the COVID-19 pandemic is determined by the technological readiness and the national humanitarian curriculum, support, and collaboration with the stakeholders, including the government, school, teachers, parents, and society (Aliyyah et al., 2020). Besides, the learning essence is self-regulated learning (SRL) of the students during online learning. SRL includes cognitive, metacognitive, behavioral, motivational, and emotional/affective aspects of learning. Therefore, SRL becomes a large umbrella variable and affects learning success (Panadero, 2017). SRL supports students in online learning to work independently through the stages of planning, performing and evaluating (Carter et al., 2020).

Hence, understanding the students' SRL capability is important to achieve successful learning (Sulisworo et al., 2020). SRL is part of the metacognitive skills, and it measures the extent to which an individual implements his highest cognitive dimension. Various studies about Indonesian students' SRL have been conducted. Sulisworo et al. (2020) proposed that the highest score percentage of the students' SRL in Indonesia was smaller than the moderate and low category. Wijaya et al. (2020) found that female students' SRL was better than males, especially in applying dynamic mathematics software. Anam & Stracke (2016) concluded that sixth graders feel more comfortable learning with strategies that provide them the space to explore their surroundings independently. SRL develops the students' positive inquiry community, attitude, and self-efficacy (Cho et al., 2017).

Effective and efficient guidance is required for learning success in SRL. However, the students' ability to regulate their learning is influenced by their significant diversity (Peng & Tullis, 2019). SRL has four important components: planning, monitoring, controlling, and reflecting. Accurate monitoring and adequate control are significant for effective self-regulation in solving the problems. Previous studies showed that independent learning is dangerous for students with inadequate prior knowledge because they may find difficulties monitoring and controlling (Mihalca & Mengelkamp, 2019). Nevertheless, it does not apply to the planning and reflecting aspects.

Various learning models have been applied for the students' SRL success. Flipped classroom model with the technological modification proves to significantly impact the students' SRL compared to the conventional flipped classrooms (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017). Flipped classrooms encourage students to learn and communicate with each other in small, heterogeneous groups to achieve learning goals by combining online learning and face-to-face learning (Han et al., 2020; Lo & Hew, 2019). Student activities during discussions, experiments, and evaluations give students the opportunity to think creatively in conveying ideas (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017; Park & Howell, 2015).

Furthermore, the flipped project-based learning class has a significant effect on students' self-regulation performance (Zarouk et al., 2020). Self-regulation of students in this class provides opportunities to creatively design and implement projects to find solutions (Fisher & Baird, 2005; Zarouk et al., 2020). The prototypes will be different from each other depending on their creativity. Students in high-SRL having interest in their work and do not need any helps. So, the creativity is theirs whole (Bayuningsih et al., 2018).

In other studies, Sani & Malau (2017) found that in a problem-based learning classroom, SRL influences the Physics Problem Solving Ability (PSA). Problem-based learning combined with simulation were significantly improved the students' problem-solving skills and their creative thinking skills (Sani & Malau, 2017)(Simanjuntak et al.,

2021). Students who have above average SRL able to manage themselves in preparing himself in learning, maintain motivation, set goals, monitor progress, and engage in self-reflection (Anderton, 2006; Simanjuntak et al., 2021).

Creative thinking encourages the progress of all disciplines, benefiting individuals and society. Many individuals can demonstrate and improve their creativity (Rubenstein et al., 2018). Creativity supports the advancement of all disciplines, providing both individual and societal benefits. Most individuals can demonstrate and improve their creativity; therefore, understanding the creative process is of particular interest to facilitate deliberate development of creative thinkers (Rubenstein et al., 2017). Creative students regulate themselves in taking control over the process; they highly believe in their self-efficacy (Katz & Stupel, 2015). SRL is considered an appropriate tool to improve creativity because it can be used in autonomous learning and self-actualization (Özyaprak & Leana-Taşçılar, 2019). The SRL aspects are predicted to work on creative thinking aspects. Research on a standardized language test showed that planning could significantly predict fluency, smoothness, originality, and flexibility. Reflecting can explain the usefulness of ideas but significantly has a negative correlation with originality. Although the strategy is correlated with fluency and flexibility, it does not explain the unique variation in the creative result (Callan et al., 2019).

Although SRL and CTS are successfully improved, and both are mutually supporting variables, previous findings have not concluded how students regulate themselves during the creative process. In particular, they did not identify how the internal psychological condition, external behavior, and explicit strategy influence the creative process (Rubenstein et al., 2018). Therefore, the present study predicts the relation between SRL and creative thinking and the relation among the aspects. The results are expected to provide recommendation for teachers to design teaching method that empowers the SRL or CTS for the context of learning. According to the explanation, this study aims to predict the relation between self-regulated learning and students' creative thinking skills.

Methodology

1.1. Type of Research

The present study belongs to quantitative research with an ex post facto approach and survey method to examine the relation between the dependent and independent variables. Ex post facto was used to analyze the causal relation that was not manipulated and untreated by the researchers. A survey was selected because it helps to answer the research problem about the relation between the predicted variables.

1.2. Population and Sample

The research population included all fifth- and sixth graders of elementary schools in Sleman Regency, Yogyakarta Special Region, Indonesia. The fifth- and sixth graders were selected to represent the highest elementary school level, considering them having maximized their SRL. At both levels, students are considered ready to understand the research instruments. As many as 121 students consisting of 48 male and 73 female students were selected using the random sampling technique. This study took subjects in grades 5 and 6 because they represented the highest elementary school level. SRL requires

that self-directed learners be aware of their academic strengths and weaknesses, and that they have a list of strategies that they implement appropriately to cope with academic challenges (Adodo, 2013). SRL assists students in managing their thoughts, behaviors, and emotions in order to successfully navigate their learning experiences. The higher the school level, the more independent students are in learning.

1.3. Data Collection

The data were collected through a survey. Besides, two questionnaires are used: 1) Self-regulated Learning (Schunk & Zimmerman, 2012; Zimmerman & Schunk, 2011), 2) creative thinking skills (Torrance, 1990). The questionnaires were in the form of a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, consisting of favorable and unfavorable questions. They included the aspects of SRL: metacognition (planning, monitoring, evaluating), Motivation (self-efficacy, self-attribution, interest in intrinsic tasks), and Behavior (Effort Regulation, Time/Study Environment, and Help-Seeking). Meanwhile, the CTS aspects included fluency, flexibility, originality, and elaboration.

The questions listed in the questionnaire were tested in two stages. The first was by expert judgment, which was conducted by two professionals. The questionnaires were revised based on their suggestions. The second was empirical validity tests, concluding that the questionnaires were valid and reliable. The empiric validity tests resulted in 18 valid items for SRL and 8 for CTS. The reliability test using Cronbach's Alpha produced SRL R_{α} of 0,905 and CTS R_{α} 0,840. Hence, both are reliable. The questionnaires were transferred into a Google form to take the data from the samples. The students could only fill in the questionnaires once, although they could edit their answers.

1.4. Ethical Research

All subjects gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Number: 011905048. Informed consent was distributed to parents to be filled out as a form of consent. In it there is an explanation of the risk that students might feel tired when filling out the instrument. This risk can be minimized by having a break between questionnaires

1.5. The statistical methods of data processing

The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics consisting of mean, standard deviation, and categorization. Further, cluster analysis was performed. Meanwhile, the hypotheses were tested using Pearson Product Moment Correlation, of which the normality was tested using Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests and the variables using linearity tests.

Results and Discussion

Result

1.6. Results

1.6.1. Descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning

The data about Self-Regulated Learning obtained from the questionnaires were tabulated and calculated, especially the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation, using the SPSS program. The descriptive analysis results of the students' SRL can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Students' Self-Regulated Learning

N	121
Mean	75.07
Median	75.00
Mode	70
Std. Deviation	8.679

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, they were categorized into three groups, as seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Self-regulated learning category

Figure 1 shows that 18 (14.88%) students have low SRL, 81 (66.94%) students moderate, and 22 (18.8%) students high. The highest percentage was reached by the moderate SRL category, while the lowest was a low category.

1.6.2. Descriptive Analysis of Creative Thinking Skills

The data of the fifth graders creative thinking skills in Muhammadiyah elementary school in the Kalasan sub-district, Yogyakarta, were obtained through questionnaires consisting of 8 items with 1-5 scales. Below are the data results of the questionnaire.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of the Students' creative thinking skills

N	121
Mean	33.20
Median	33.00
Mode	35
Std. Deviation	3.987

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, the creative thinking skills were categorized, and the results can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Creative thinking skills (CTS) Category

Based on Figure 2, 24 (19.83%) students have low CST score, 81 (66.94%) moderate, and 16 (13.22%) high. The highest percentage was the one with moderate category, while the lowest was the high category.

1.6.3. Cluster Analysis Results

Based on the SRL and CTS results, the cluster analysis was employed to divide the data into three clusters based on the characteristics. The final cluster center data were related to the standardization process referring to the z-score with the following criteria: 1) negative score (-), meaning that the data were below the total average; 2) positive score (+), meaning

that the data were above the total average (Erisoglu et al., 2011; Kumar & Reddy, 2017). The descriptive analysis results of the final cluster centers are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Descriptive analysis of SRL and CTS final cluster centers

	Final Cluster Centers		
	Cluster		
	1	2	3
Z-score: SRL	-.06907	-1.39023	1.15114
Z-score: KBK	-.02885	-1.42934	1.11125

Table 3 concluded that there were 2 clusters below the average; those were clusters 1 and 2. Meanwhile, one cluster was above the average, which was cluster 3. Cluster 1 comprised students with moderate SRL and CTS scores, while cluster 2 consisted of low SRL and CTS scores. Meanwhile, cluster 3 included those with high SRL and CTS scores. It means that in cluster 1, the Z-score for the SRL variables was -0.06907 in the moderate category, and -1.39023 in cluster 2 in the low category, while cluster 3 1.15114 in the high category. Meanwhile, the Z-score for the CTS of cluster 1 reached -0.02885 (moderate), -1.42934 in cluster 2 (low), and in cluster 3 reached 1.11125 (high).

A partial F-test was employed in the descriptive analysis of SRL and CTS final cluster centers in the cluster validity tests. The significance of the ANOVA test result is shown in Table 4.

Table 4. ANOVA of SRL and CTS c-means

	ANOVA					
	Cluster	Error		F	Sig.	
		Df	Mean Square			Df
Z-score: SRL	48.458	2	.196	118	247.719	.000
Z-score: KBK	48.195	2	.200	118	240.869	.000

Based on Table 4, the SRL partial F-test reached 247,719 with 0.000 significance. It means that the Self-Regulated Learning cluster 1, 2, and 3 are significantly different. Meanwhile, the partial F-test of the CTS reached 240.869 with 0.000 significance. Hence, like the SRL results, the three clusters of CTSs are different.

1.6.4. Prerequisite Analysis Results

1.6.4.1. Normality Test

Normality test can be performed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with SPSS 23.0 for Windows. The data were normally distributed if the Asymp.Sig. (2-tailed) ≥ 0.05 , while they were not normally distributed if the Asymp.Sig. (2-tailed) < 0.05 (Aishah Ahad et al., 2011; Mohd Razali & Bee Wah, 2011). The normality test of the SRL and CTS variables is presented in Table 5.

Table 5. Normality Test Results

Variables	Asymp. Sig (2-tailed)
SRL	0.689
CTS	0.312

Table 5 revealed that the SRL significant value was 0.689 and the CTS 0.312. The significance value of both variables was above 0.05, meaning that the data were normally distributed.

1.6.4.2. Linearity Test

In the present study, a linearity test was performed with a 5% significance level using the SPSS program. The results were observable through the F-test in the Deviation from Linearity. If the F sig. value < 0.05 , the relation is not linear; conversely, the relation is linear if the F sig value > 0.05 . (Aishah Ahad et al., 2011; Mohd Razali & Bee Wah, 2011). The SRL and CTS linearity test results are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Linearity test results

Variable	Sig. F	Sig. F count	Conclusion
SRL vs CTS	0.05	0.522	Linear

Table 6 concludes that the relation between SRL and CTS was linear because the sig value of the deviation from linearity was above 0.05, which was 0.522.

1.6.5. Hypothesis testing

The hypothesis of the research was tested using Product Moment correlation analysis with SPSS 23 for Windows. The hypothesis testing calculation is as follows.

Table 7. Hypothesis testing result

Correlation	Correlation Coefficient	Sig. (2-tailed)
SRL-CTS	0.856	0.000

Based on the product-moment correlation shown in the table, the correlation coefficient value was positive, reaching a score of 0.856. To know the significance of the hypothesis testing, the significance value (p) of the sig (2-tailed) was compared to 0.05. If $p < 0.05$, the correlation among variables is significant, but it will not if the p-value is below 0.05. From the result, the p-value or sig. (2-tailed) was 0.000, or below 0.05. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis was accepted. In other words, there is a positive and significant relation between self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of the fifth graders in Muhammadiyah Elementary School in the Kalasan sub-district.

1.7. Discussion

The descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning variables showed a sequence based on the highest percentage: moderate-high-low. The fifth graders of Muhammadiyah Elementary School in Kalasan Sub-district, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, with high self-regulation tend to encourage themselves to prepare and complete their tasks. They are aware of their competence and willing to show their self- efficacy (Panadero, 2017; Vrieling-Teunter et al., 2021). Individuals with self-regulation start learning by exposing

great efforts and perseverance during the learning process (Ziegler & Opendakker, 2018). Besides, they can create an interesting learning situation (Sulisworo et al., 2020, 2021). When most students have self-efficacy, they will not depend on their peers to complete their tasks.

A skill component can help with self-regulation, but it is not enough. The will or desire of students to engage in self-regulation is not only crucial, but also primary. To generate the will to self-regulate, students must recognize that they are creative agents who are responsible for and capable of achieving self-development and self-determination goals, and they must appreciate and comprehend their abilities to achieve these goals. Self-regulation and the attempt to enhance self-regulation abilities follow. Integration of skill and will is thus required in interventions to promote self-regulated learning. Implications for more holistic interventions are discussed, as well as additional research on the self-agent framework that is required (Mccombs & Marzano, 1990).

The creative thinking skills data shows that the fifth graders of Muhammadiyah elementary school in the Kalasan sub-district were moderate. The percentage sequence was moderate-low-high. The students' abilities in creating ideas and finding answers or solutions indicate creative thinking skills. They can express their thought effortlessly, answer necessary questions, provide many solutions for a problem, and giving examples based on reality or experiences. However, in terms of originality, they cannot express great ideas to solve a problem or answer questions in their own way. This is a recommendation that the teacher guides students to take responsibility for developing their personal talent, which is critical in assisting students in transferring their skills to different contexts. Educators and researchers are attempting to determine which intellectual skills can be developed, as well as the most effective methods of encouraging learning in the classroom. Such concerns have prompted a renewed emphasis on the development of children's critical and creative thinking abilities (Rodd, 1999).

The relation between SRL and CTS was analyzed using the product-moment correlation test. Before the hypothesis testing, the prerequisite analysis was performed through normality and linearity tests. Based on both tests, it can be concluded that the data of the research were normally distributed and linear. Therefore, parametric statistics with SPSS 2.3 program can be used. Further, the research's hypothesis testing showed a positive relation between SRL and CTS, presented by the Pearson correlation coefficient as much as 0.856. The positive relation means that students with high SRL tend to have high CTS. Further, the hypothesis testing's significance was confirmed through the sig's significance value (p). (2-tailed) from the SPSS calculation results compared to 0.05. If $p\text{-value} < 0.05$, the relation of both variables is significant, if the $p\text{-value} \geq 0.05$, the relation is not significant. Indeed, it was confirmed that the $p\text{-value}$ was smaller than 0,05, which was 0.000. Hence the relation between the fifth graders SRL and CTS in Muhammadiyah elementary school in Kalasan sub-district was significant.

The product-moment correlation result of the SLR-CTS was 0.856, which was in a strong positive category (Puth et al., 2014; Schober & Schwarte, 2018). The positive and significant relationship between the variables of self-regulated learning (X) and the creative thinking skills (Y) strengthen the theoretical description proposed by (Zimmerman, 2013). Self-regulated learning refers to the condition where participants actively use their metacognition, motivation, and behavior in the learning process. Creative thinking attitude and habit are essentially included in self-regulated learning. Further, self-regulated learning is defined as a form of learning following the learners' motivation. They autonomously develop their own measurement (cognition, metacognition, and behavior) and monitor the learning progress (Abar & Loken, 2010; Valle et al., 2008).

The research about the fifth graders self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills revealed that the students of Muhammadiyah elementary school in the Kalasan sub-district should regulate themselves to achieve the goals. The increase of students' self-confidence helps students think better and initiate new ideas to solve a problem. However, they need the teachers' guidance through the teaching strategies and appropriate learning media during the learning process. This way, the self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of the fifth graders can be improved. **The recommended learning model to encourage these two variables are problem-based learning (Sani & Malau, 2017; Simanjuntak et al., 2021), project-based learning (Zarouk et al., 2020), flipped classroom (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017; Han et al., 2020; Lo & Hew, 2019), problem solving (Callan et al., 2021), and other models that provide opportunities for students to work independently and involve creative thinking.**




Figure 3. Relationship between Self-Regulated Learning and Creative Thinking Skills of Elementary School Students

The relationship between self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of elementary school students can be seen in Figure 3. SLR determine students' internal motivation to organize their learning strategies and CTS important to prepare elementary school students to become creative human resources when they are productive. The goal is for teachers, lecturers, researchers, or stakeholders to understand the importance of these two variables so that efforts are made to develop learning that empowers the two variables.

Conclusion

The quantitative research using ex post facto conducted on fifth graders at Muhammadiyah elementary school in Kalasan, Indonesia, with data obtained via questionnaires using the Likert Scale, concluded that students' self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills were moderate. Meanwhile, the correlation coefficient between self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills has reached a high value. As a result, students who have a high level of self-regulation tend to be highly creative. SLR determines students' internal motivation to organize their learning strategies, whereas CTS is important in preparing elementary school students to become creative human resources when they are productive.

Template: Anonymized Document

The goal is for teachers, lecturers, researchers, or stakeholders to recognize the significance of these two variables so that efforts can be made to create learning that empowers the two variables.

References

- Abar, B., & Loken, E. (2010). Self-regulated learning and self-directed study in a pre-college sample. *Learning and Individual Differences, 20*(1), 25–29.
- Adodo, S. O. (2013). Effect of mind-mapping as a self-regulated learning strategy on students' achievement in basic science and technology. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 4*(6), 163–172. <https://doi.org/10.5901/MJSS.2013.V4N6P163>
- Aishah Ahad, N., Sin Yin, T., Rahman Othman, A., & Rohani Yaacob, C. (2011). Sensitivity of Normality Tests to Non-normal Data (Kepekaan Ujian Kenormalan Terhadap Data Tidak Normal). In *Sains Malaysiana* (Vol. 40, Issue 6).
- Aliyyah, R. R., Rachmadtullah, R., & Samsudin, A. (2020). *The Perceptions of Primary School Teachers of Online Learning during the COVID-19 Pandemic Period: A Case Study in Indonesia*. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/388>
- Anam, S., & Stracke, E. (2016). Language learning strategies of Indonesian primary school students: In relation to self-efficacy beliefs. *System, 60*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2016.05.001>
- Anderton, B. (2006). Using the Online Course to Promote Self-regulated Learning Strategies in Pre-service Teachers. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning Wwww.Ncolr.Org/Jiol, 5*. www.ncolr.org/jiol
- Bayuningsih, A. S., Usodo, B., & Subanti, S. (2018). Problem based learning with scaffolding technique on geometry You may also like Problem based learning with scaffolding technique on geometry. *J. Phys, 12134*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1013/1/012134>
- Blau, I., & Shamir-Inbal, T. (2017). Re-designed flipped learning model in an academic course: The role of co-creation and co-regulation. *Computers and Education, 115*, 69–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.07.014>
- Callan, G. L., Rubenstein, L. D. V., Ridgley, L. M., & McCall, J. R. (2019). Measuring Self-Regulated Learning During Creative Problem-Solving With SRL Microanalysis. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000238>
- Callan, G. L., Rubenstein, L. D. V., Ridgley, L. M., & McCall, J. R. (2021). Measuring self-regulated learning during creative problem-solving with SRL microanalysis. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts, 15*(1), 136–148. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ACA0000238>
- Carter, R. A., Rice, M., Yang, S., & Jackson, H. A. (2020). Self-regulated learning in online learning environments: strategies for remote learning. *Information and Learning Science, 121*(5–6), 311–319. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ILS-04-2020-0114/FULL/XML>
- Cho, M. H., Kim, Y., & Choi, D. H. (2017). The effect of self-regulated learning on college students' perceptions of community of inquiry and affective outcomes in online learning. *Internet and Higher Education, 34*, 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2017.04.001>
- Erisoglu, M., Calis, N., & Sakallioglu, S. (2011). A new algorithm for initial cluster centers in k-means algorithm. *Pattern Recognition Letters, 32*(14), 1701–1705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2011.07.011>
- Fisher, M., & Baird, D. E. (2005). Online learning design that fosters student support, self-regulation,

Template: Anonymized Document

- and retention. *Campus-Wide Information Systems*, 22(2), 88–107. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10650740510587100/FULL/XML>
- Gorey, J. (2020). *Teaching in a Pandemic: How Educators Are Handling the Sudden Shift to Distance Learning* | Earthwatch. Earth Watch. https://earthwatch.org/stories/teaching-pandemic-how-educators-are-handling-sudden-shift-distance-learning?gclid=CjwKCAiA9bmABhBbEiwASb35V7O-A7J9Cs_TA5H1OSOqRAnhqG9LrCmYqTfdTofsDxS_iHMdm-ZYHxoCnoAQAvD_BwE
- Han, J., Huh, S. Y., Cho, Y. H., Park, S. H., Choi, J., Suh, B., & Rhee, W. (2020). Utilizing online learning data to design face-to-face activities in a flipped classroom: a case study of heterogeneous group formation. *Educational Technology Research and Development* 68:5, 68(5), 2055–2071. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11423-020-09743-Y>
- Katz, S., & Stupel, M. (2015). Promoting Creativity and Self-efficacy of Elementary Students through a Collaborative Research Task in Mathematics: A Case Study. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v4n1p68>
- Kumar, K. M., & Reddy, A. R. M. (2017). An efficient k-means clustering filtering algorithm using density based initial cluster centers. *Information Sciences*, 418–419, 286–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.07.036>
- Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2019). The impact of flipped classrooms on student achievement in engineering education: A meta-analysis of 10 years of research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 108(4), 523–546. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JEE.20293>
- Mccombs, B. L., & Marzano, R. J. (1990). Putting the Self in Self-Regulated Learning: The Self as Agent in Integrating Will and Skill. *Educational Psychologist*. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2501_5
- Mihalca, L., & Mengelkamp, C. (2019). Effects of Induced Levels of Prior Knowledge on Monitoring Accuracy and Performance When Learning From Self-Regulated Problem Solving. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000389>
- Mohd Razali, N., & Bee Wah, Y. (2011). Power comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling tests. *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics*, 2(1), 13–14.
- Nguyen, T. (2015). The Effectiveness of Online Learning: Beyond No Significant Difference and Future Horizons. *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 11(2), 309–319. https://jolt.merlot.org/Vol11no2/Nguyen_0615.pdf
- Özyaprak, M., & Leana-Taşçılar, M. Z. (2019). The effectiveness of self-regulated learning on teaching SCAMPER technique of creativity. In *Turkish Journal of Giftedness and Education* (Vol. 9, Issue 1). <http://www.tuzed.org>
- Panadero, E. (2017). A review of self-regulated learning: Six models and four directions for research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8(APR), 422. <https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2017.00422/BIBTEX>
- Panigrahi, R., Srivastava, P. R., & Sharma, D. (2018). Online learning: Adoption, continuance, and learning outcome—A review of literature. *International Journal of Information Management*, 43, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.05.005>
- Park, S. E., & Howell, T. H. (2015). Implementation of a Flipped Classroom Educational Model in a Predoctoral Dental Course. *Journal of Dental Education*, 79(5), 563–570. <https://doi.org/10.1002/J.0022-0337.2015.79.5.TB05916.X>
- Peng, Y., & Tullis, J. G. (2019). Theories of Intelligence Influence Self-Regulated Study Choices and Learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning Memory and Cognition*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xlm0000740>

Template: Anonymized Document

- Puth, M. T., Neuhäuser, M., & Ruxton, G. D. (2014). Effective use of Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient. In *Animal Behaviour* (Vol. 93, pp. 183–189). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.05.003>
- Radha, R., Mahalakshmi, K., Kumar, V. S., & Saravanakumar, A. R. (2020). E-Learning during Lockdown of Covid-19 Pandemic: A Global Perspective. *International Journal of Control and Automation*, 13(4), 1088–1099. https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/64029090/covid-pandemic-scopus.pdf?1595852267=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DE_Learning_during_Lockdown_of_Covid_19_P.pdf&Expires=1611591155&Signature=G8TG2J91CKxHPqn4fPxswTvAjbMc2Bl4hUaLEcAe30m7Nu
- Rodd, J. (1999). Encouraging Young Children's Critical and Creative Thinking Skills: An Approach in One English Elementary School. *Childhood Education*, 75(6), 350–354. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00094056.1999.10522056>
- Rubenstein, L. D. V., Callan, G. L., & Ridgley, L. M. (2017). Anchoring the Creative Process Within a Self-Regulated Learning Framework: Inspiring Assessment Methods and Future Research. *Educational Psychology Review 2017 30:3*, 30(3), 921–945. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10648-017-9431-5>
- Rubenstein, L. D. V., Callan, G. L., & Ridgley, L. M. (2018). Anchoring the Creative Process Within a Self-Regulated Learning Framework: Inspiring Assessment Methods and Future Research. In *Educational Psychology Review* (Vol. 30, Issue 3, pp. 921–945). Springer New York LLC. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-017-9431-5>
- Sani, R. A., & Malau, T. (2017). The Effect of Problem Based Learning (PBL) Model and Self Regulated Learning (SRL) toward Physics Problem Solving Ability (PSA) of Students at Senior High School. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 5(3), 279–283. <https://doi.org/10.12691/education-5-3-8>
- Schober, P., & Schwarte, L. A. (2018). Correlation coefficients: Appropriate use and interpretation. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*, 126(5), 1763–1768. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000002864>
- Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2012). Self-Regulation and Learning. In *Handbook of Psychology, Second Edition* (pp. 383–394). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118133880.hop207003>
- Simanjuntak, M. P., Hutahaean, J., Marpaung, N., & Ramadhani, D. (2021). Effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning Combined with Computer Simulation on Students' Problem-Solving and Creative Thinking Skills. *Effectiveness of Problem-Based Learning Combined with ... International Journal of Instruction*, 14(3), 519–534. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2021.14330a>
- Sulisworo, D., Fitriawanati, M., Maryani, I., Hidayat, S., Agusta, E., & Saputri, W. (2020). Students' self-regulated learning (SRL) profile dataset measured during Covid-19 mitigation in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Data in Brief*, 33, 106422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.106422>
- Sulisworo, D., Maryani, I., & Kusumaningtyas, D. A. (2021). The role of information technology on the online learning success based on self-regulated learning data. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1783(1), 012109. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1783/1/012109>
- Torrance, E. P. (1990). *Torrance Tests of creative thinking* (Figural fo). Scholastic Testing Service.
- Valle, A., Núñez, J. C., Ramón G. Cabanach, Julio Antonio González-Pienda, Susana Rodríguez, Pedro Rosário, Rebeca Cerezo, & María A. Muñoz-Cadavid. (2008). Self-regulated profiles and academic achievement. *Psicothema*, 20(4), 724–731. <https://digibuo.uniovi.es/dspace/bitstream/handle/10651/10350/Psicothema.2008.20.4.724-31.pdf;jsessionid=616FAE486F77F50675AA3CC388789B03?sequence=1>
- Vrieling-Teunter, E., Stijnen, S., & Bastiaens, T. (2021). Promoting Student Teachers' Self-Regulated

Template: Anonymized Document

- Learning in the Workplace. *Vocations and Learning*, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12186-021-09264-6>
- Wijaya, T. T., Ying, Z., & Suan, L. (2020). Gender and Self Regulated Learning During COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 4(3), 725–732. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v4i3.422>
- Zarouk, M., Zarouk, M., Olivera, E., Peres, P., & Khaldi, M. (2020). The Impact of Flipped Project-Based Learning on Self-Regulation in Higher... *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 15(17), 127–147.
- Ziegler, N., & Opendakker, M. C. (2018). The development of academic procrastination in first-year secondary education students: The link with metacognitive self-regulation, self-efficacy, and effort regulation. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 64, 71–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.LINDIF.2018.04.009>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2013). From Cognitive Modeling to Self-Regulation: A Social Cognitive Career Path. *Educational Psychologist*, 48(3), 135–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2013.794676>
- Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (2011). *Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance*. Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.

Submissions

Self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of elementary school students in the distance education during the COVID-19 pandemic

Ika Maryani, Ummu Estriningrum, ...

[Submission](#)[Review](#)[Copyediting](#)[Production](#)[Round 1](#)[Round 2](#)[Round 3](#)**Round 3 Status**

Submission accepted.

Reviewer's Attachments[Search](#)*No Files***Revisions**[Search](#)[Upload File](#)*No Files***Review Discussions**[Add discussion](#)Platform &
workflow by
OJS / PKP

Creativity Studies

Tasks 0

 **English**

 **View Site**

 **zalik**

reply

▼ [Revision Sent](#)

zalik

-

0



2022-03-12

09:15 AM

[Edit](#)



Creativity Studies

99+

Compose



Mail

Inbox

140

Chat

Starred

Snoozed

Spaces

Sent

Scheduled

Meet

Drafts

More

Labels

[CS] Your article proofs for review



Giedrė Cesiulytė <giedre.cesiulyte@vilniustech.lt>
to me

Dear Author,

your proofs are now available for review.

Your username and password were sent to you in a separate email.

Please approve these proofs or return corrections by replying to this email.

Corrections must be limited to answers to queries, typos and missing references.

1. Retrieve your PDF proof from Galley by clicking on the link in the email.
2. Please check your proofs thoroughly before returning corrections.
3. Submit your corrections by adding a message to the proof.

Please sign by hand the Licence Agreement.

1. Please download Licence Agreement from the link in the email.
2. Sign the Licence Agreement and upload it to the proof.

After we have received your corrections and filled in the missing references, we will send you the final proof.

You can access your submission in Creativity Studies by clicking on the link in the email.

Creativity Studies URL: <https://journals.vilniustech.lt/index.php/creativity-studies>

Submission URL: <https://journals.vilniustech.lt/index.php/creativity-studies/submit>

SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND CREATIVE THINKING SKILLS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE DISTANCE EDUCATION DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Ika MARYANI ¹, Ummu ESTRININGRUM², Zalik NURYANA ^{3*}

^{1,2}Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Jl Ki Ageng Pemanahan 19 Sorosutan, Kec Umbulharjo, Kota Yogyakarta, 55162 Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta, Indonesia

³Faculty of Islam Religion, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Jl Ringroad Selatan, Tamanan, Kec. Banguntapan, Kabupaten Bantul, 55191 Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Received 10 July 2021; accepted 14 July 2022

Abstract. The research aimed to know the relation between self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of elementary school students in the distance education during COVID-19 pandemic. Self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills are needed by elementary school students. They train the students' cognitive development during the learning process and prepare them for the next educational level. Teachers should guide, encourage, and implement appropriate learning strategies to improve the students' self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills. It employed a quantitative approach with *ex post facto* and survey methods. The sample was 121 elementary school students taken randomly in Sleman Regency, Indonesia. The data were collected using a Likert scale questionnaire. The results showed that the students' self-regulated learning level was in the moderate category, which was the highest frequency. The creative thinking skills also reached the same level and frequency. Further, self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills were correlated with a high correlation coefficient rate ($R = 0.856$). Therefore, it is recommended that teachers use a learning method that can activate and develop the self-regulated learning to increase the students' creative thinking skills.

Keywords: creative thinking, elementary school, narrative writing skills, self-regulated learning, vocabulary mastery.

Introduction

COVID-19 pandemic has caused school closure in all parts of the world. A million students globally can only learn outside the classroom setting. The condition has encouraged professional educators to think about an alternative teaching method during the COVID-19 pandemic (Radha et al., 2020). Another change caused by the COVID-19 pandemic is the change of educational pattern; it simultaneously shifted from face-to-face to virtual learning

*Corresponding author. E-mail: zalik.nuryana@pai.uad.ac.id

through e-learning. It is implemented all over the world, including in Indonesia, with various digital platforms. Previous studies revealed that online learning has proven to improve information retention, accessibility, and shorter learning period (Panigrahi et al., 2018). Online learning is claimed to have equal effectiveness compared to face-to-face learning (Nguyen, 2015). However, for teachers, the shift to an online platform means rethinking the lesson plan to adjust to the different format (Gorey, 2020). Besides, students' readiness cannot be abandoned (Nguyen, 2015).

Cases from Indonesia the high number of positive cases of COVID-19 pandemic requires the government, particularly the Minister of Education and Culture (Indonesia), to implement several policies, including the student learning process carried out at home via distance education, the mechanism for accepting new students must follow health protocols and, if possible, be done online, and school operational assistance funds can be used to finance the. Furthermore, grade promotion and graduation are determined based on report cards and the cancellation of the 2020 national examination (NE), and the NE is not a requirement for graduation or selection to enter an appropriate level of higher education. Education is critical for advancing the nation's next generation, but health factors must also contribute to the long-term viability of the nation's future.

In Indonesia, online learning success during the COVID-19 pandemic is determined by the technological readiness and the national humanitarian curriculum, support, and collaboration with the stakeholders, including the government, school, teachers, parents, and society (Rasmitadila et al., 2020). Besides, the learning essence is self-regulated learning (SRL) of the students during online learning. SRL includes cognitive, metacognitive, behavioral, motivational, and emotional/affective aspects of learning. Therefore, SRL becomes a large umbrella variable and affects learning success (Panadero, 2017). SRL supports students in online learning to work independently through the stages of planning, performing and evaluating (Carter et al., 2020).

Hence, understanding the students' SRL capability is important to achieve successful learning (Sulisworo et al., 2020). SRL is part of the metacognitive skills, and it measures the extent to which an individual implements his highest cognitive dimension. Various studies about Indonesian students' SRL have been conducted. Sulisworo et al. (2020) proposed that the highest score percentage of the students' SRL in Indonesia was smaller than the moderate and low category. Tanu et al. (2020) found that female students' SRL was better than males, especially in applying dynamic mathematics software. Anam and Stracke (2016) concluded that sixth graders feel more comfortable learning with strategies that provide them the space to explore their surroundings independently. SRL develops the students' positive inquiry community, attitude, and self-efficacy (Cho et al., 2017).

Effective and efficient guidance is required for learning success in SRL. However, the students' ability to regulate their learning is influenced by their significant diversity (Peng & Tullis, 2020). SRL has four important components: planning, monitoring, controlling, and reflecting. Accurate monitoring and adequate control are significant for effective self-regulation in solving the problems. Previous studies showed that independent learning is dangerous for students with inadequate prior knowledge because they may find difficulties monitoring and controlling (Mihalca & Mengelkamp, 2020). Nevertheless, it does not apply to the planning and reflecting aspects.

Various learning models have been applied for the students' SRL success. Flipped classroom model with the technological modification proves to significantly impact the students' SRL compared to the conventional flipped classrooms (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017). Flipped classrooms encourage students to learn and communicate with each other in small, heterogeneous groups to achieve learning goals by combining online learning and face-to-face learning (Han et al., 2020; Lo & Hew, 2019). Student activities during discussions, experiments, and evaluations give students the opportunity to think creatively in conveying ideas (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017; Park & Howell, 2015).

Furthermore, the flipped project-based learning class has a significant effect on students' self-regulation performance (Zarouk et al., 2020). Self-regulation of students in this class provides opportunities to creatively design and implement projects to find solutions (Fisher & Baird, 2005; Zarouk et al., 2020). The prototypes will be different from each other depending on their creativity. Students in high-SRL having interest in their work and do not need any helps. So, the creativity is theirs whole (Bayuningsih et al., 2018).

In other studies, Sahyar et al. (2017) found that in a problem-based learning classroom, SRL influences the physics problem-solving ability. Problem-based learning combined with simulation were significantly improved the students' problem-solving skills and their creative thinking skills (CTSs) (Sahyar et al., 2017; Purnama Simanjuntak et al., 2021). Students who have above average SRL able to manage themselves in preparing himself in learning, maintain motivation, set goals, monitor progress, and engage in self-reflection (Anderton, 2006; Purnama Simanjuntak et al., 2021).

Creative thinking encourages the progress of all disciplines, benefiting individuals and society. Many individuals can demonstrate and improve their creativity (DaVia Rubenstein et al., 2018). Creativity supports the advancement of all disciplines, providing both individual and societal benefits. Most individuals can demonstrate and improve their creativity; therefore, understanding the creative process is of particular interest to facilitate deliberate development of creative thinkers (DaVia Rubenstein et al., 2018). Creative students regulate themselves in taking control over the process; they highly believe in their self-efficacy (Katz & Stupel, 2015). SRL is considered an appropriate tool to improve creativity because it can be used in autonomous learning and self-actualization (Özyaprak & Leana-Taşçılar, 2019). The SRL aspects are predicted to work on creative thinking aspects. Research on a standardized language test showed that planning could significantly predict fluency, smoothness, originality, and flexibility. Reflecting can explain the usefulness of ideas but significantly has a negative correlation with originality. Although the strategy is correlated with fluency and flexibility, it does not explain the unique variation in the creative result (Callan et al., 2021).

Although SRL and CTSs are successfully improved, and both are mutually supporting variables, previous findings have not concluded how students regulate themselves during the creative process. In particular, they did not identify how the internal psychological condition, external behavior, and explicit strategy influence the creative process (DaVia Rubenstein et al., 2018). Therefore, the present study predicts the relation between SRL and creative thinking and the relation among the aspects. The results are expected to provide recommendation for teachers to design teaching method that empowers the SRL or CTSs for the context of learning. According to the explanation, this study aims to predict the relation between SRL and students' CTSs.

1. Methodology

1.1. Type of research

The present study belongs to quantitative research with an *ex post facto* approach and survey method to examine the relation between the dependent and independent variables. *Ex post facto* was used to analyze the causal relation that was not manipulated and untreated by the researchers. A survey was selected because it helps to answer the research problem about the relation between the predicted variables.

1.2. Population and sample

The research population included all fifth and sixth graders of elementary schools in Sleman Regency, in Special Region of Yogyakarta. The fifth and sixth graders were selected to represent the highest elementary school level, considering them having maximized their SRL. At both levels, students are considered ready to understand the research instruments. As many as 121 students consisting of 48 male and 73 female students were selected using the random sampling technique. This study took subjects in grades 5 and 6 because they represented the highest elementary school level. SRL requires that self-directed learners be aware of their academic strengths and weaknesses, and that they have a list of strategies that they implement appropriately to cope with academic challenges (Adodo, 2013). SRL assists students in managing their thoughts, behaviors, and emotions in order to successfully navigate their learning experiences. The higher the school level, the more independent students are in learning.

1.3. Data collection

The data were collected through a survey. Besides, two questionnaires are used: 1) SRL (Schunk & Zimmerman, 2013; Schunk & Greene, 2018), 2) CTSs (Torrance, 1990; Kim, 2017). The questionnaires were in the form of a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, consisting of favorable and unfavorable questions. They included the aspects of SRL: metacognition (planning, monitoring, evaluating), motivation (self-efficacy, self-attribution, interest in intrinsic tasks), and behavior (effort regulation, time/study environment, and help-seeking). Meanwhile, the CTSs aspects included fluency, flexibility, originality, and elaboration.

The questions listed in the questionnaire were validated in two stages. The first stage was content validity by expert judgment, which was conducted by two professionals. The questionnaires were revised based on their suggestions. The second was construct validity tests, concluding that the questionnaires were valid and reliable. The construct validity tests resulted in 18 valid items for SRL and 8 for CTSs. The reliability test using Cronbach's alpha produced SRL R_{α} of 0.905 and CTSs R_{α} 0.840. Hence, both are reliable. The questionnaires were transferred into a *Google* form to take the data from the samples. The students could only fill in the questionnaires once, although they could edit their answers.

1.4. Ethical research

All subjects gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the protocol was approved by the Ethics

Committee of Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia, number: 011905048. Informed consent was distributed to parents to be filled out as a form of consent. In it there is an explanation of the risk that students might feel tired when filling out the instrument. This risk can be minimized by having a break between questionnaires.

1.5. The statistical methods of data processing

The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics consisting of mean, standard deviation, and categorization. Further, cluster analysis was performed. Meanwhile, the hypotheses were tested using Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC), of which the normality was tested using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and the variables using linearity tests.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Results

2.1.1. Descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning

The data about SRL obtained from the questionnaires were tabulated and calculated, especially the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation, using the SPSS program. The descriptive analysis results of the students' SRL can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of students' self-regulated learning (source: created by authors)

Formula	Data
N	121
Mean	75.07
Median	75.00
Mode	70
Standard deviation	8.679

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, they were categorized into three groups, as seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Self-regulated learning category (source: created by authors)

Figure 1 shows that 18 (14.88%) students have low SRL, 81 (66.94%) students moderate, and 22 (18.8%) students high. The highest percentage was reached by the moderate SRL category, while the lowest was a low category.

2.1.2. Descriptive analysis of creative thinking skills

The data of the fifth graders CTSs were obtained through questionnaires consisting of 8 items with 1-5 scales. Below are the data results of the questionnaire. The descriptive analysis results of the students' CTSs can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the students' creative thinking skills (source: created by authors)

Formula	Data
N	121
Mean	33.20
Median	33.00
Mode	35
Standard deviation	3.987

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, the CTSs were categorized, and the results can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Creative thinking skills category (source: created by authors)

Based on Figure 2, 24 (19.83%) students have low CTSs score, 81 (66.94%) moderate, and 16 (13.22%) high. The highest percentage was the one with moderate category, while the lowest was the high category.

2.1.3. Prerequisite analysis results

2.1.3.1. Normality test

Normality test can be performed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with SPSS 23.0 for Microsoft Windows. The data were normally distributed if the asymptotic significance (AS) (2-tailed) ≥ 0.05, while they were not normally distributed if the AS (2-tailed) < 0.05 (Aishah Ahad et al., 2011; Razali & Bee Wah, 2011). The normality test of the SRL and CTSs variables is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Normality test results (source: created by authors)

Variables	Asymptotic significance (2-tailed)
Self-regulated learning	0.689
Creative thinking skills	0.312

Table 3 revealed that the SRL significant value was 0.689 and the CTSs 0.312. The significance value of both variables was above 0.05, meaning that the data were normally distributed.

2.1.3.2. Linearity test

In the present study, a linearity test was performed with a 5% significance level using the SPSS program. The results were observable through the F-test in the deviation from linearity. If the F significance value < 0.05 , the relation is not linear; conversely, the relation is linear if the F significance value > 0.05 . (Aishah Ahad et al., 2011; Razali & Bee Wah, 2011). The SRL and CTSs linearity test results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Linearity test results (source: created by authors)

Variable	F significance	F significance count	Conclusion
Self-regulated learning <i>versus</i> creative thinking skills	0.05	0.522	Linear

Table 4 concludes that the relation between SRL and CTSs was linear because the sig value of the deviation from linearity was above 0.05, which was 0.522.

2.1.4. Cluster analysis results

Based on the SRL and CTSs results, the cluster analysis was employed to divide the data into three clusters based on the characteristics. The final cluster center data were related to the standardization process referring to the standard score with the following criteria: 1) negative score (-), meaning that the data were below the total average; 2) positive score (+), meaning that the data were above the total average (Erisoglu et al., 2011; Kumar & Reddy, 2017). The descriptive analysis results of the final cluster centers are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills final cluster centers (source: created by authors)

Final cluster centers			
	Cluster		
	1	2	3
Standard score: self-regulated learning	-.06907	-1.39023	1.15114
Standard score: critical thinking skills	-.02885	-1.42934	1.11125

Table 5 concluded that there were two clusters below the average; those were clusters 1 and 2. Meanwhile, one cluster was above the average, which was cluster 3. Cluster 1 comprised students with moderate SRL and CTSs scores, while cluster 2 consisted of low SRL and CTSs scores. Meanwhile, cluster 3 included those with high SRL and CTSs scores. It means that in cluster 1, the standard score for the SRL variables was -0.06907 in the moderate category, and -1.39023 in cluster 2 in the low category, while cluster 3 1.15114 in the high category. Meanwhile, the standard score for the CTSs of cluster 1 reached -0.02885 (moderate), -1.42934 in cluster 2 (low), and in cluster 3 reached 1.11125 (high).

A partial F-test was employed in the descriptive analysis of SRL and CTSs final cluster centers in the cluster validity tests. The significance of the analysis of variance test result is shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Analysis of variance of self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills c-means (source: created by authors)

	Cluster		Error		F	Significance
		Degree of freedom	Mean square	Degree of freedom		
Standard score: self-regulated learning	48.458	2	.196	118	247.719	.000
Standard score: creative thinking skills	48.195	2	.200	118	240.869	.000

Based on Table 6, the SRL partial F-test reached 247.719 with 0.000 significance. It means that the SRL clusters 1, 2, and 3 are significantly different. Meanwhile, the partial F-test of the CTSs reached 240.869 with 0.000 significance. Hence, like the SRL results, the three clusters of CTSs are different.

2.1.5. Hypothesis testing

The hypothesis of the research was tested using PCC analysis with *SPSS 23.0 for Microsoft Windows*. The hypothesis testing calculation is as follows.

Table 7. Hypothesis testing result (source: created by authors)

Correlation	Correlation coefficient	Significance (2-tailed)
Self-regulated learning-creative thinking skills	0.856	0.000

Based on the PCC shown in the Table 7, the correlation coefficient value was positive, reaching a score of 0.856. To know the significance of the hypothesis testing, the significance value (p) of the significance (2-tailed) was compared to 0.05. If $p < 0.05$, the correlation among variables is significant, but it will not if the p-value is below 0.05. From the result, the p-value or significance (2-tailed) was 0.000, or below 0.05. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis was accepted. In other words, there is a positive and significant relation between SRL and

CTSs of the students. The correlation coefficient value which is positive and close to +1 indicates that SRL and CTSs have a strong positive relationship. The higher the student's SRL, the higher the CTSs will be. On the other hand, when the SRL is lower, the CTSs will be lower.

Discussion

The descriptive analysis of SRL variables showed a sequence based on the highest percentage: moderate-high-low. Students with high self-regulation tend to encourage themselves to prepare and complete their tasks. They are aware of their competence and willing to show their self-efficacy (Panadero, 2017; Vrieling-Teunter et al., 2021). Individuals with self-regulation start learning by exposing great efforts and perseverance during the learning process (Ziegler & Opdenakker, 2018). Besides, they can create an interesting learning situation (Sulisworo et al., 2020, 2021). When most students have self-efficacy, they will not depend on their peers to complete their tasks, especially during distance education. Independence in SRL is the main key to successful distance education. Therefore, teachers are expected to consider this aspect in preparing for learning.

A skill component can help with self-regulation, but it is not enough. The will or desire of students to engage in self-regulation is not only crucial, but also primary. To generate the will to self-regulate, students must recognize that they are creative agents who are responsible for and capable of achieving self-development and self-determination goals, and they must appreciate and comprehend their abilities to achieve these goals. Self-regulation and the attempt to enhance self-regulation abilities follow. Integration of skill and will is thus required in interventions to promote SRL. Implications for more holistic interventions are discussed, as well as additional research on the self-as-agent framework that is required (McCombs & Marzano, 1990).

The CTSs data shows the moderate category. The percentage sequence was moderate-low-high. The students' abilities in creating ideas and finding answers or solutions indicate CTSs (Al-Zahrani, 2015; Titikusumawati et al., 2019). They can express their thought effortlessly, answer necessary questions, provide many solutions for a problem, and giving examples based on reality or experiences. However, in terms of originality, they cannot express great ideas to solve a problem or answer questions in their own way. This is a recommendation that the teacher guides students to take responsibility for developing their personal talent, which is critical in assisting students in transferring their skills to different contexts. Educators and researchers are attempting to determine which intellectual skills can be developed, as well as the most effective methods of encouraging learning in the classroom. Such concerns have prompted a renewed emphasis on the development of children's critical and creative thinking abilities (Rodd, 1999).

The relation between SRL and CTSs was analyzed using the PCC test. The PCC result of the SRL-CTSs was 0.856, which was in a strong positive category (Puth et al., 2014; Schober et al., 2018). The analysis showed that the relation between the fifth graders SRL and CTSs was significant. SRL refers to the condition where participants actively use their metacognition, motivation, and behavior in the learning process (Zimmerman, 2013). Creative thinking attitude and habit are essentially included in SRL. Further, SRL is defined as a form of learning following the learners' motivation. They autonomously develop their own measurement (cognition, metacognition, and behavior) and monitor the learning progress (Abar & Loken, 2010; Valle et al., 2008).

The research about the students' SRL and CTSs revealed that the students should regulate themselves to achieve the goals. The increase of students' self-confidence helps students think better and initiate new ideas to solve a problem. However, they need the teachers' guidance through the teaching strategies and appropriate learning media during the learning process. This way, the SRL and CTSs can be improved. The recommended learning model to encourage these two variables are problem-based learning (Sahyar et al., 2017; Purnama Simanjuntak et al., 2021), project-based learning (Zarouk et al., 2020), flipped classroom (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017; Han et al., 2020; Lo & Hew, 2019), problem solving (Callan et al., 2021), and other models that provide opportunities for students to work independently and involve creative thinking.

SRL determines students' internal motivation to organize their learning strategies and CTS is important to prepare elementary school students to become creative human resources when they are productive. The goal is that teachers, lecturers, researchers, or stakeholders understand the importance of these two variables so that efforts are made to develop learning that empowers these two variables. Since CTSs affect learning achievement, the empowerment of these skills can lead to increased learning achievement (Fatmawati et al., 2019).

Creative thinking involves skills in trying different solutions to these problems and generating new ideas. Those who have CTSs get an important place in society. Because they are quick minded and possess leadership qualities, they are always appreciated by those around them (Aldig & Arseven, 2017). Comparing and making connections between creative thinking abilities and other skills can enrich teachers' insight into the potential or talents of their students. there is a significant effect of students' CTSs on student achievement (Resien et al., 2020). At the end, suggestions are proposed for distance teaching methods that can empower CTSs such as hybrid-project based learning (Rahardjanto et al., 2019) and web-based teaching (Lin & Wu, 2016).

Conclusions

There is a positive and significant relation between SRL and CTSs. The correlation coefficient shows a strong positive relationship between SRL and CTSs. The higher the student's SRL, the higher the CTSs will be. On the other hand, when the SRL is lower, the CTSs will be lower. As a recommendation, students' SRL must be considered in planning the learning process. CTSs can be affected by the active SRL when students are involved in the learning process. Teachers can identify pre-learning to map students based on their SRL, then choose a learning model that empowers them.

References

- Abar, B., & Loken, E. (2010). Self-regulated learning and self-directed study in a pre-college sample. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 20(1), 25–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2009.09.002>
- Adodo, S. O. (2013). Effect of mind-mapping as a self-regulated learning strategy on students' achievement in basic science and technology. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(6), 163–172. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2013.v4n6p163>
- Aishah Ahad, N., Sin Yin, T., Rahman Othman, A., & Rohani Yaacob, Ch. (2011). Sensitivity of normality tests to non-normal data. *Sains Malaysiana*, 40(6), 637–641.

- Al-Zahrani, A. M. (2015). From passive to active: The impact of the flipped classroom through social learning platforms on higher education students' creative thinking. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(6), 1133–1148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12353>
- Aldig, E., & Arseven, A. (2017). The contribution of learning outcomes for listening to creative thinking skills. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 6(3), 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v6n3p41>
- Anam, S., & Stracke, E. (2016). Language learning strategies of Indonesian primary school students: In relation to self-efficacy beliefs. *System*, 60, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2016.05.001>
- Anderton, B. (2006). Using the online course to promote self-regulated learning strategies in pre-service teachers. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 5(2), 156–177.
- Bayuningsih, A. S., Usodo, B., & Subanti, S. (2018). Problem based learning with scaffolding technique on geometry. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1013. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1013/1/012134>
- Blau, I., & Shamir-Inbal, T. (2017). Re-designed flipped learning model in an academic course: The role of co-creation and co-regulation. *Computers and Education*, 115, 69–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.07.014>
- Callan, G. L., DaVia Rubenstein, L., Ridgley, L. M., & McCall, J. R. (2021). Measuring self-regulated learning during creative problem-solving with SRL microanalysis. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 15(1), 136–148. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000238>
- Carter, R. A. Jr., Rice, M., Yang, S., & Jackson, H. A. (2020). Self-regulated learning in online learning environments: Strategies for remote learning. *Information and Learning Sciences*, 121(5–6), 321–329. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ILS-04-2020-0114>
- Cho, M.-H., Kim, Y., & Choi, D. (2017). The effect of self-regulated learning on college students' perceptions of community of inquiry and affective outcomes in online learning. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 34, 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2017.04.001>
- DaVia Rubenstein, L., Callan, G. L., & Ridgley, L. M. (2018). Anchoring the creative process within a self-regulated learning framework: Inspiring assessment methods and future research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30, 921–945. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-017-9431-5>
- Erisoglu, M., Calis, N., & Sakallioglu, S. (2011). A new algorithm for initial cluster centers in k-means algorithm. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 32(14), 1701–1705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2011.07.011>
- Fatmawati, A., Zubaidah, S., Mahanal, S., & Sutopo, S. (2019). Critical thinking, creative thinking, and learning achievement: How they are related. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1417. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1417/1/012070>
- Fisher, M., & Baird, D. E. (2005). Online learning design that fosters student support, self-regulation, and retention. *Campus-Wide Information Systems*, 22(2), 88–107. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10650740510587100>
- Gorey, J. (2020). Teaching in a pandemic: How educators are handling the sudden shift to distance learning. *Earthwatch*. https://earthwatch.org/stories/teaching-pandemic-how-educators-are-handling-sudden-shift-distance-learning?gclid=CjwKCAiA9bmABhBbEiwASb35V7O-A7J9Cs_TA5H1OSOqRAnhqG9LrCmYqTfdTofsDxS_iHMdm-ZYHxoCnoAQAvD_BwE
- Han, J., Huh, S. Y., Cho, Y. H., Park, S., Choi, J., Suh, B., & Rhee, W. (2020). Utilizing online learning data to design face-to-face activities in a flipped classroom: A case study of heterogeneous group formation. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68, 2055–2071. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09743-y>
- Katz, S., & Stupel, M. (2015). Promoting creativity and self-efficacy of elementary students through a collaborative research task in mathematics: A case study. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 4(1), 68–82. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v4n1p68>
- Kim, K. H. (2017). The torrance tests of creative thinking – figural or verbal: Which one should we use? *Creativity: Theories – Research – Applications*, 4(2), 302–321. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ctra-2017-0015>

- Kumar, K. M., & Reddy, A. R. M. (2017). An efficient k-means clustering filtering algorithm using density based initial cluster centers. *Information Sciences*, 418–419, 286–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.07.036>
- Lin, Ch.-Sh., & Wu, R.-W. (2016). Effects of web-based creative thinking teaching on students' creativity and learning outcome. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 12(6), 1675–1684. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016.1558a>
- Lo, Ch. K., & Hew, K. F. (2019). The impact of flipped classrooms on student achievement in engineering education: A meta-analysis of 10 years of research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 108(4), 523–546. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20293>
- McCombs, B. L., & Marzano, R. J. (1990). Putting the self in self-regulated learning: The self as agent in integrating will and skill. *Educational Psychologist*, 25(1), 51–69. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2501_5
- Mihalca, L., & Mengelkamp, Ch. (2020). Effects of induced levels of prior knowledge on monitoring accuracy and performance when learning from self-regulated problem solving. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 112(4), 795–810. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000389>
- Nguyen, T. (2015). The effectiveness of online learning: Beyond no significant difference and future horizons. *MERLOT: Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 11(2), 309–319.
- Özyaprak, M., & Leana-Taşçılar, M. Z. (2019). The effectiveness of self-regulated learning on teaching SCAMPER technique of creativity. *Turkish Journal of Giftedness and Education*, 9(1), 16–31.
- Panadero, E. (2017). A review of self-regulated learning: Six models and four directions for research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00422>
- Panigrahi, R., Srivastava, P. R., & Sharma, D. (2018). Online learning: Adoption, continuance, and learning outcome – a review of literature. *International Journal of Information Management*, 43, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.05.005>
- Park, S. E., & Howell, T. H. (2015). Implementation of a flipped classroom educational model in a predoctoral dental course. *Journal of Dental Education*, 79(5), 563–570. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2015.79.5.tb05916.x>
- Peng, Y., & Tullis, J. G. (2020). Theories of intelligence influence self-regulated study choices and learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 46(3), 487–496. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xlm0000740>
- Purnama Simanjuntak, M., Hutahaean, J., Marpaung, N., & Ramadhani, D. (2021). Effectiveness of problem-based learning combined with computer simulation on students' problem-solving and creative thinking skills. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(3), 519–534. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2021.14330a>
- Puth, M.-Th., Neuhäuser, M., & Ruxton, G. D. (2014). Effective use of Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient. *Animal Behaviour*, 93, 183–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.05.003>
- Radha, R., Mahalakshmi, K., Sathish Kumar, V., & Saravanakumar, A. R. (2020). E-Learning during lockdown of COVID-19 pandemic: A global perspective. *International Journal of Control and Automation*, 13(4), 1088–1099.
- Rahardjanto, A., Husamah, H., & Fauzi, A. (2019). Hybrid-PjBL: Learning outcomes, creative thinking skills, and learning motivation of preservice teacher. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(2), 179–192. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2019.12212a>
- Rasmitadila, R., Rusmiati Aliyyah, R., Rachmadtullah, R., Samsudin, A., Syaodih, E., Nurtanto, M., & Suryanti Tambunan, A. R. (2020). The perceptions of primary school teachers of online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic period: A case study in Indonesia. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies*, 7(2), 90–109. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/388>
- Razali, N. M., & Bee Wah, Y. (2011). Power comparisons of Shapiro–Wilk, Kolmogorov–Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson–Darling tests. *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics*, 2(1), 21–33.

- Resien, R., Sitompul, H., & Situmorang, J. (2020). The effect of blended learning strategy and creative thinking of students on the results of learning information and communication technology by controlling initial knowledge. *Budapest International Research and Critics in Linguistic and Education Journal*, 3(2), 879–893. <https://doi.org/10.33258/birle.v3i2.997>
- Rodd, J. (1999). Encouraging young children's critical and creative thinking skills: An approach in one English elementary school. *Childhood Education*, 75(6), 350–354. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00094056.1999.10522056>
- Sahyar, S., Sani, R. A., & Malau, T. (2017). The effect of problem based learning (PBL) model and self regulated learning (SRL) toward physics problem solving ability (PSA) of students at senior high school. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 5(3), 279–283.
- Schober, P., Boer, Ch., & Schwarte, L. A. (2018). Correlation coefficients: Appropriate use and interpretation. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*, 126(5), 1763–1768. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000002864>
- Schunk, D. H., & Greene, J. A. (Eds.). (2018). *Educational psychology handbook series. Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance*. P. A. Alexander (Series Ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315697048>
- Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2013). Self-regulation and learning. In I. B. Irving (Ed.-in-Chief), *Handbook of psychology. Educational psychology* (Vol. 7, pp. 45–68). W. M. Reynolds & G. E. Miller (Eds.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Sulisworo, D., Fitriyanawati, M., Maryani, I., Hidayat, S., Agusta, E., & Saputri, W. (2020). Students' self-regulated learning (SRL) profile dataset measured during COVID-19 mitigation in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Data in Brief*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.106422>
- Sulisworo, D., Maryani, I., & Kusumaningtyas, D. A. (2021). The role of information technology on the online learning success based on self-regulated learning data. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1783. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1783/1/012109>
- Tanu Wijaya, T., Ying, Zh., & Suan, L. (2020). Gender and self-regulated learning during COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Journal of Elementary Education*, 4(3), 725–732. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v4i3.422>
- Titikusumawati, E., Sa'dijah, C., Aşari, A. R., & Susanto, H. (2019). An analysis of students' creative thinking skill in creating open-ended mathematics problems through semi-structured problem posing. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1227. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1227/1/012024>
- Torrance, E. P. (1990). *The Torrance tests of creative thinking norms – technical, manual, figural (streamlined): Forms A & B*. Scholastic Testing Service.
- Valle, A., Núñez, J. C., Cabanach, R. G., González-Pienda, J. A., Rodríguez, S., Rosário, P., Cerezo, R., & Muñoz-Cadavid, M. A. (2008). Self-regulated profiles and academic achievement. *Psicothema*, 20(4), 724–731.
- Vrieling-Teunter, E., Stijnen, S., & Bastiaens, Th. (2021). Promoting student teachers' self-regulated learning in the workplace. *Vocations and Learning*, 14, 223–242. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12186-021-09264-6>
- Zarouk, M. Y., Olivera, E., Peres, P., & Khaldi, M. (2020). The impact of flipped project-based learning on self-regulation in higher education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 15(17), 127–146. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i17.14135>
- Ziegler, N., & Opendakker, M.-Ch. (2018). The development of academic procrastination in first-year secondary education students: The link with metacognitive self-regulation, self-efficacy, and effort regulation. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 64, 71–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2018.04.009>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2013). From cognitive modeling to self-regulation: A social cognitive career path. *Educational Psychologist*, 48(3), 135–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2013.794676>



Creativity Studies

99+

Compose

Mail

Inbox

140

Chat

Starred

Snoozed

Spaces

Sent

Scheduled

Meet

Drafts

More

Labels



Publication in Creativity Studie:



Jovilė Barevičiūtė <jovile.bareviciute@vilniustech.lt to ika.maryani@pgsd.uad.ac.id, umuestriningrum03@gr

Dear Authors,

I would like kindly to inform that your article for Creativity Studies is published in the journal [cs.2023.15278](#)

Thank you so much for the contribution in our journal.

Kind regards,
Jovilė Barevičiūtė
Managing Editor

...

[Message clipped] [View entire message](#)

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail



SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AND CREATIVE THINKING SKILLS OF ELEMENTARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE DISTANCE EDUCATION DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC

Ika MARYANI ¹, Ummu ESTRININGRUM², Zalik NURYANA ^{3*}

^{1,2}*Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Jl Ki Ageng Pemanahan 19 Sorosutan, Kec Umbulharjo, Kota Yogyakarta, 55162 Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta, Indonesia*

³*Faculty of Islamic Studies, Ahmad Dahlan University, Jl Ringroad Selatan, Tamanan, Kec. Banguntapan, Bantul Regency, 55191 Special Region of Yogyakarta, Indonesia*

Received 10 July 2021; accepted 14 July 2022

Abstract. The research aimed to know the relation between self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills of elementary school students in the distance education during COVID-19 pandemic. Self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills are needed by elementary school students. They train the students' cognitive development during the learning process and prepare them for the next educational level. Teachers should guide, encourage, and implement appropriate learning strategies to improve the students' self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills. It employed a quantitative approach with *ex post facto* and survey methods. The sample was 121 elementary school students taken randomly in Sleman Regency, Indonesia. The data were collected using a Likert scale questionnaire. The results showed that the students' self-regulated learning level was in the moderate category, which was the highest frequency. The creative thinking skills also reached the same level and frequency. Further, self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills were correlated with a high correlation coefficient rate ($R = 0.856$). Therefore, it is recommended that teachers use a learning method that can activate and develop the self-regulated learning to increase the students' creative thinking skills.

Keywords: creative thinking, elementary school, narrative writing skills, self-regulated learning, vocabulary mastery.

Introduction

COVID-19 pandemic has caused school closure in all parts of the world. A million students globally can only learn outside the classroom setting. The condition has encouraged professional educators to think about an alternative teaching method during the COVID-19 pandemic (Radha et al., 2020). Another change caused by the COVID-19 pandemic is the change of educational pattern; it simultaneously shifted from face-to-face to virtual learning

*Corresponding author. E-mail: zalik.nuryana@pai.uad.ac.id

through e-learning. It is implemented all over the world, including in Indonesia, with various digital platforms. Previous studies revealed that online learning has proven to improve information retention, accessibility, and shorter learning period (Panigrahi et al., 2018). Online learning is claimed to have equal effectiveness compared to face-to-face learning (Nguyen, 2015). However, for teachers, the shift to an online platform means rethinking the lesson plan to adjust to the different format (Gorey, 2020). Besides, students' readiness cannot be abandoned (Nguyen, 2015).

Cases from Indonesia the high number of positive cases of COVID-19 pandemic requires the government, particularly the Minister of Education and Culture (Indonesia), to implement several policies, including the student learning process carried out at home via distance education, the mechanism for accepting new students must follow health protocols and, if possible, be done online, and school operational assistance funds can be used to finance the. Furthermore, grade promotion and graduation are determined based on report cards and the cancellation of the 2020 national examination (NE), and the NE is not a requirement for graduation or selection to enter an appropriate level of higher education. Education is critical for advancing the nation's next generation, but health factors must also contribute to the long-term viability of the nation's future.

In Indonesia, online learning success during the COVID-19 pandemic is determined by the technological readiness and the national humanitarian curriculum, support, and collaboration with the stakeholders, including the government, school, teachers, parents, and society (Rasmitadila et al., 2020). Besides, the learning essence is self-regulated learning (SRL) of the students during online learning. SRL includes cognitive, metacognitive, behavioral, motivational, and emotional/affective aspects of learning. Therefore, SRL becomes a large umbrella variable and affects learning success (Panadero, 2017). SRL supports students in online learning to work independently through the stages of planning, performing and evaluating (Carter et al., 2020).

Hence, understanding the students' SRL capability is important to achieve successful learning (Sulisworo et al., 2020). SRL is part of the metacognitive skills, and it measures the extent to which an individual implements his highest cognitive dimension. Various studies about Indonesian students' SRL have been conducted. Sulisworo et al. (2020) proposed that the highest score percentage of the students' SRL in Indonesia was smaller than the moderate and low category. Tanu et al. (2020) found that female students' SRL was better than males, especially in applying dynamic mathematics software. Anam and Stracke (2016) concluded that sixth graders feel more comfortable learning with strategies that provide them the space to explore their surroundings independently. SRL develops the students' positive inquiry community, attitude, and self-efficacy (Cho et al., 2017).

Effective and efficient guidance is required for learning success in SRL. However, the students' ability to regulate their learning is influenced by their significant diversity (Peng & Tullis, 2020). SRL has four important components: planning, monitoring, controlling, and reflecting. Accurate monitoring and adequate control are significant for effective self-regulation in solving the problems. Previous studies showed that independent learning is dangerous for students with inadequate prior knowledge because they may find difficulties monitoring and controlling (Mihalca & Mengelkamp, 2020). Nevertheless, it does not apply to the planning and reflecting aspects.

Various learning models have been applied for the students' SRL success. Flipped classroom model with the technological modification proves to significantly impact the students' SRL compared to the conventional flipped classrooms (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017). Flipped classrooms encourage students to learn and communicate with each other in small, heterogeneous groups to achieve learning goals by combining online learning and face-to-face learning (Han et al., 2020; Lo & Hew, 2019). Student activities during discussions, experiments, and evaluations give students the opportunity to think creatively in conveying ideas (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017; Park & Howell, 2015).

Furthermore, the flipped project-based learning class has a significant effect on students' self-regulation performance (Zarouk et al., 2020). Self-regulation of students in this class provides opportunities to creatively design and implement projects to find solutions (Fisher & Baird, 2005; Zarouk et al., 2020). The prototypes will be different from each other depending on their creativity. Students in high-SRL having interest in their work and do not need any helps. So, the creativity is theirs whole (Bayuningsih et al., 2018).

In other studies, Sahyar et al. (2017) found that in a problem-based learning classroom, SRL influences the physics problem-solving ability. Problem-based learning combined with simulation were significantly improved the students' problem-solving skills and their creative thinking skills (CTSs) (Sahyar et al., 2017; Purnama Simanjuntak et al., 2021). Students who have above average SRL able to manage themselves in preparing himself in learning, maintain motivation, set goals, monitor progress, and engage in self-reflection (Anderton, 2006; Purnama Simanjuntak et al., 2021).

Creative thinking encourages the progress of all disciplines, benefiting individuals and society. Many individuals can demonstrate and improve their creativity (DaVia Rubenstein et al., 2018). Creativity supports the advancement of all disciplines, providing both individual and societal benefits. Most individuals can demonstrate and improve their creativity; therefore, understanding the creative process is of particular interest to facilitate deliberate development of creative thinkers (DaVia Rubenstein et al., 2018). Creative students regulate themselves in taking control over the process; they highly believe in their self-efficacy (Katz & Stupel, 2015). SRL is considered an appropriate tool to improve creativity because it can be used in autonomous learning and self-actualization (Özyaprak & Leana-Taşçılar, 2019). The SRL aspects are predicted to work on creative thinking aspects. Research on a standardized language test showed that planning could significantly predict fluency, smoothness, originality, and flexibility. Reflecting can explain the usefulness of ideas but significantly has a negative correlation with originality. Although the strategy is correlated with fluency and flexibility, it does not explain the unique variation in the creative result (Callan et al., 2021).

Although SRL and CTSs are successfully improved, and both are mutually supporting variables, previous findings have not concluded how students regulate themselves during the creative process. In particular, they did not identify how the internal psychological condition, external behavior, and explicit strategy influence the creative process (DaVia Rubenstein et al., 2018). Therefore, the present study predicts the relation between SRL and creative thinking and the relation among the aspects. The results are expected to provide recommendation for teachers to design teaching method that empowers the SRL or CTSs for the context of learning. According to the explanation, this study aims to predict the relation between SRL and students' CTSs.

1. Methodology

1.1. Type of research

The present study belongs to quantitative research with an *ex post facto* approach and survey method to examine the relation between the dependent and independent variables. *Ex post facto* was used to analyze the causal relation that was not manipulated and untreated by the researchers. A survey was selected because it helps to answer the research problem about the relation between the predicted variables.

1.2. Population and sample

The research population included all fifth and sixth graders of elementary schools in Sleman Regency, in Special Region of Yogyakarta. The fifth and sixth graders were selected to represent the highest elementary school level, considering them having maximized their SRL. At both levels, students are considered ready to understand the research instruments. As many as 121 students consisting of 48 male and 73 female students were selected using the random sampling technique. This study took subjects in grades 5 and 6 because they represented the highest elementary school level. SRL requires that self-directed learners be aware of their academic strengths and weaknesses, and that they have a list of strategies that they implement appropriately to cope with academic challenges (Adodo, 2013). SRL assists students in managing their thoughts, behaviors, and emotions in order to successfully navigate their learning experiences. The higher the school level, the more independent students are in learning.

1.3. Data collection

The data were collected through a survey. Besides, two questionnaires are used: 1) SRL (Schunk & Zimmerman, 2013; Schunk & Greene, 2018), 2) CTSs (Torrance, 1990; Kim, 2017). The questionnaires were in the form of a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, consisting of favorable and unfavorable questions. They included the aspects of SRL: metacognition (planning, monitoring, evaluating), motivation (self-efficacy, self-attribution, interest in intrinsic tasks), and behavior (effort regulation, time/study environment, and help-seeking). Meanwhile, the CTSs aspects included fluency, flexibility, originality, and elaboration.

The questions listed in the questionnaire were validated in two stages. The first stage was content validity by expert judgment, which was conducted by two professionals. The questionnaires were revised based on their suggestions. The second was construct validity tests, concluding that the questionnaires were valid and reliable. The construct validity tests resulted in 18 valid items for SRL and 8 for CTSs. The reliability test using Cronbach's alpha produced SRL R_{α} of 0.905 and CTSs R_{α} 0.840. Hence, both are reliable. The questionnaires were transferred into a *Google* form to take the data from the samples. The students could only fill in the questionnaires once, although they could edit their answers.

1.4. Ethical research

All subjects gave their informed consent for inclusion before they participated in the study. The study was conducted in accordance with the protocol was approved by the Ethics

Committee of Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Indonesia, number: 011905048. Informed consent was distributed to parents to be filled out as a form of consent. In it there is an explanation of the risk that students might feel tired when filling out the instrument. This risk can be minimized by having a break between questionnaires.

1.5. The statistical methods of data processing

The data were analyzed using descriptive statistics consisting of mean, standard deviation, and categorization. Further, cluster analysis was performed. Meanwhile, the hypotheses were tested using Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC), of which the normality was tested using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and the variables using linearity tests.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Results

2.1.1. Descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning

The data about SRL obtained from the questionnaires were tabulated and calculated, especially the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation, using the SPSS program. The descriptive analysis results of the students' SRL can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of students' self-regulated learning (source: created by authors)

Formula	Data
N	121
Mean	75.07
Median	75.00
Mode	70
Standard deviation	8.679

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, they were categorized into three groups, as seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1. Self-regulated learning category (source: created by authors)

Figure 1 shows that 18 (14.88%) students have low SRL, 81 (66.94%) students moderate, and 22 (18.8%) students high. The highest percentage was reached by the moderate SRL category, while the lowest was a low category.

2.1.2. Descriptive analysis of creative thinking skills

The data of the fifth graders CTSs were obtained through questionnaires consisting of 8 items with 1-5 scales. Below are the data results of the questionnaire. The descriptive analysis results of the students' CTSs can be seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the students' creative thinking skills (source: created by authors)

Formula	Data
N	121
Mean	33.20
Median	33.00
Mode	35
Standard deviation	3.987

After the mean, median, modus, and standard deviation scores were obtained, the CTSs were categorized, and the results can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Creative thinking skills category (source: created by authors)

Based on Figure 2, 24 (19.83%) students have low CTSs score, 81 (66.94%) moderate, and 16 (13.22%) high. The highest percentage was the one with moderate category, while the lowest was the high category.

2.1.3. Prerequisite analysis results

2.1.3.1. Normality test

Normality test can be performed using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with SPSS 23.0 for Microsoft Windows. The data were normally distributed if the asymptotic significance (AS) (2-tailed) ≥ 0.05, while they were not normally distributed if the AS (2-tailed) < 0.05 (Aishah Ahad et al., 2011; Razali & Bee Wah, 2011). The normality test of the SRL and CTSs variables is presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Normality test results (source: created by authors)

Variables	Asymptotic significance (2-tailed)
Self-regulated learning	0.689
Creative thinking skills	0.312

Table 3 revealed that the SRL significant value was 0.689 and the CTSs 0.312. The significance value of both variables was above 0.05, meaning that the data were normally distributed.

2.1.3.2. Linearity test

In the present study, a linearity test was performed with a 5% significance level using the SPSS program. The results were observable through the F-test in the deviation from linearity. If the F significance value < 0.05 , the relation is not linear; conversely, the relation is linear if the F significance value > 0.05 . (Aishah Ahad et al., 2011; Razali & Bee Wah, 2011). The SRL and CTSs linearity test results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Linearity test results (source: created by authors)

Variable	F significance	F significance count	Conclusion
Self-regulated learning <i>versus</i> creative thinking skills	0.05	0.522	Linear

Table 4 concludes that the relation between SRL and CTSs was linear because the sig value of the deviation from linearity was above 0.05, which was 0.522.

2.1.4. Cluster analysis results

Based on the SRL and CTSs results, the cluster analysis was employed to divide the data into three clusters based on the characteristics. The final cluster center data were related to the standardization process referring to the standard score with the following criteria: 1) negative score (-), meaning that the data were below the total average; 2) positive score (+), meaning that the data were above the total average (Erisoglu et al., 2011; Kumar & Reddy, 2017). The descriptive analysis results of the final cluster centers are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Descriptive analysis of self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills final cluster centers (source: created by authors)

Final cluster centers			
	Cluster		
	1	2	3
Standard score: self-regulated learning	-.06907	-1.39023	1.15114
Standard score: critical thinking skills	-.02885	-1.42934	1.11125

Table 5 concluded that there were two clusters below the average; those were clusters 1 and 2. Meanwhile, one cluster was above the average, which was cluster 3. Cluster 1 comprised students with moderate SRL and CTSs scores, while cluster 2 consisted of low SRL and CTSs scores. Meanwhile, cluster 3 included those with high SRL and CTSs scores. It means that in cluster 1, the standard score for the SRL variables was -0.06907 in the moderate category, and -1.39023 in cluster 2 in the low category, while cluster 3 1.15114 in the high category. Meanwhile, the standard score for the CTSs of cluster 1 reached -0.02885 (moderate), -1.42934 in cluster 2 (low), and in cluster 3 reached 1.11125 (high).

A partial F-test was employed in the descriptive analysis of SRL and CTSs final cluster centers in the cluster validity tests. The significance of the analysis of variance test result is shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Analysis of variance of self-regulated learning and creative thinking skills c-means (source: created by authors)

	Cluster		Error		F	Significance
		Degree of freedom	Mean square	Degree of freedom		
Standard score: self-regulated learning	48.458	2	.196	118	247.719	.000
Standard score: creative thinking skills	48.195	2	.200	118	240.869	.000

Based on Table 6, the SRL partial F-test reached 247.719 with 0.000 significance. It means that the SRL clusters 1, 2, and 3 are significantly different. Meanwhile, the partial F-test of the CTSs reached 240.869 with 0.000 significance. Hence, like the SRL results, the three clusters of CTSs are different.

2.1.5. Hypothesis testing

The hypothesis of the research was tested using PCC analysis with SPSS 23.0 for Microsoft Windows. The hypothesis testing calculation is as follows.

Table 7. Hypothesis testing result (source: created by authors)

Correlation	Correlation coefficient	Significance (2-tailed)
Self-regulated learning–creative thinking skills	0.856	0.000

Based on the PCC shown in the Table 7, the correlation coefficient value was positive, reaching a score of 0.856. To know the significance of the hypothesis testing, the significance value (p) of the significance (2-tailed) was compared to 0.05. If $p < 0.05$, the correlation among variables is significant, but it will not if the p-value is below 0.05. From the result, the p-value or significance (2-tailed) was 0.000, or below 0.05. Therefore, the proposed hypothesis was accepted. In other words, there is a positive and significant relation between SRL and

CTSs of the students. The correlation coefficient value which is positive and close to +1 indicates that SRL and CTSs have a strong positive relationship. The higher the student's SRL, the higher the CTSs will be. On the other hand, when the SRL is lower, the CTSs will be lower.

Discussion

The descriptive analysis of SRL variables showed a sequence based on the highest percentage: moderate-high-low. Students with high self-regulation tend to encourage themselves to prepare and complete their tasks. They are aware of their competence and willing to show their self-efficacy (Panadero, 2017; Vrieling-Teunter et al., 2021). Individuals with self-regulation start learning by exposing great efforts and perseverance during the learning process (Ziegler & Opdenakker, 2018). Besides, they can create an interesting learning situation (Sulisworo et al., 2020, 2021). When most students have self-efficacy, they will not depend on their peers to complete their tasks, especially during distance education. Independence in SRL is the main key to successful distance education. Therefore, teachers are expected to consider this aspect in preparing for learning.

A skill component can help with self-regulation, but it is not enough. The will or desire of students to engage in self-regulation is not only crucial, but also primary. To generate the will to self-regulate, students must recognize that they are creative agents who are responsible for and capable of achieving self-development and self-determination goals, and they must appreciate and comprehend their abilities to achieve these goals. Self-regulation and the attempt to enhance self-regulation abilities follow. Integration of skill and will is thus required in interventions to promote SRL. Implications for more holistic interventions are discussed, as well as additional research on the self-as-agent framework that is required (McCombs & Marzano, 1990).

The CTSs data shows the moderate category. The percentage sequence was moderate-low-high. The students' abilities in creating ideas and finding answers or solutions indicate CTSs (Al-Zahrani, 2015; Titikusumawati et al., 2019). They can express their thought effortlessly, answer necessary questions, provide many solutions for a problem, and giving examples based on reality or experiences. However, in terms of originality, they cannot express great ideas to solve a problem or answer questions in their own way. This is a recommendation that the teacher guides students to take responsibility for developing their personal talent, which is critical in assisting students in transferring their skills to different contexts. Educators and researchers are attempting to determine which intellectual skills can be developed, as well as the most effective methods of encouraging learning in the classroom. Such concerns have prompted a renewed emphasis on the development of children's critical and creative thinking abilities (Rodd, 1999).

The relation between SRL and CTSs was analyzed using the PCC test. The PCC result of the SRL-CTSs was 0.856, which was in a strong positive category (Puth et al., 2014; Schober et al., 2018). The analysis showed that the relation between the fifth graders SRL and CTSs was significant. SRL refers to the condition where participants actively use their metacognition, motivation, and behavior in the learning process (Zimmerman, 2013). Creative thinking attitude and habit are essentially included in SRL. Further, SRL is defined as a form of learning following the learners' motivation. They autonomously develop their own measurement (cognition, metacognition, and behavior) and monitor the learning progress (Abar & Loken, 2010; Valle et al., 2008).

The research about the students' SRL and CTSs revealed that the students should regulate themselves to achieve the goals. The increase of students' self-confidence helps students think better and initiate new ideas to solve a problem. However, they need the teachers' guidance through the teaching strategies and appropriate learning media during the learning process. This way, the SRL and CTSs can be improved. The recommended learning model to encourage these two variables are problem-based learning (Sahyar et al., 2017; Purnama Simanjuntak et al., 2021), project-based learning (Zarouk et al., 2020), flipped classroom (Blau & Shamir-Inbal, 2017; Han et al., 2020; Lo & Hew, 2019), problem solving (Callan et al., 2021), and other models that provide opportunities for students to work independently and involve creative thinking.

SRL determines students' internal motivation to organize their learning strategies and CTS is important to prepare elementary school students to become creative human resources when they are productive. The goal is that teachers, lecturers, researchers, or stakeholders understand the importance of these two variables so that efforts are made to develop learning that empowers these two variables. Since CTSs affect learning achievement, the empowerment of these skills can lead to increased learning achievement (Fatmawati et al., 2019).

Creative thinking involves skills in trying different solutions to these problems and generating new ideas. Those who have CTSs get an important place in society. Because they are quick minded and possess leadership qualities, they are always appreciated by those around them (Aldig & Arseven, 2017). Comparing and making connections between creative thinking abilities and other skills can enrich teachers' insight into the potential or talents of their students. there is a significant effect of students' CTSs on student achievement (Resien et al., 2020). At the end, suggestions are proposed for distance teaching methods that can empower CTSs such as hybrid-project based learning (Rahardjanto et al., 2019) and web-based teaching (Lin & Wu, 2016).

Conclusions

There is a positive and significant relation between SRL and CTSs. The correlation coefficient shows a strong positive relationship between SRL and CTSs. The higher the student's SRL, the higher the CTSs will be. On the other hand, when the SRL is lower, the CTSs will be lower. As a recommendation, students' SRL must be considered in planning the learning process. CTSs can be affected by the active SRL when students are involved in the learning process. Teachers can identify pre-learning to map students based on their SRL, then choose a learning model that empowers them.

References

- Abar, B., & Loken, E. (2010). Self-regulated learning and self-directed study in a pre-college sample. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 20(1), 25–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2009.09.002>
- Adodo, S. O. (2013). Effect of mind-mapping as a self-regulated learning strategy on students' achievement in basic science and technology. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(6), 163–172. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2013.v4n6p163>
- Aishah Ahad, N., Sin Yin, T., Rahman Othman, A., & Rohani Yaacob, Ch. (2011). Sensitivity of normality tests to non-normal data. *Sains Malaysiana*, 40(6), 637–641.

- Al-Zahrani, A. M. (2015). From passive to active: The impact of the flipped classroom through social learning platforms on higher education students' creative thinking. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 46(6), 1133–1148. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12353>
- Aldig, E., & Arseven, A. (2017). The contribution of learning outcomes for listening to creative thinking skills. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 6(3), 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v6n3p41>
- Anam, S., & Stracke, E. (2016). Language learning strategies of Indonesian primary school students: In relation to self-efficacy beliefs. *System*, 60, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2016.05.001>
- Anderton, B. (2006). Using the online course to promote self-regulated learning strategies in pre-service teachers. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 5(2), 156–177.
- Bayuningsih, A. S., Usodo, B., & Subanti, S. (2018). Problem based learning with scaffolding technique on geometry. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1013. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1013/1/012134>
- Blau, I., & Shamir-Inbal, T. (2017). Re-designed flipped learning model in an academic course: The role of co-creation and co-regulation. *Computers and Education*, 115, 69–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.07.014>
- Callan, G. L., DaVia Rubenstein, L., Ridgley, L. M., & McCall, J. R. (2021). Measuring self-regulated learning during creative problem-solving with SRL microanalysis. *Psychology of Aesthetics, Creativity, and the Arts*, 15(1), 136–148. <https://doi.org/10.1037/aca0000238>
- Carter, R. A. Jr., Rice, M., Yang, S., & Jackson, H. A. (2020). Self-regulated learning in online learning environments: Strategies for remote learning. *Information and Learning Sciences*, 121(5–6), 321–329. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ILS-04-2020-0114>
- Cho, M.-H., Kim, Y., & Choi, D. (2017). The effect of self-regulated learning on college students' perceptions of community of inquiry and affective outcomes in online learning. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 34, 10–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2017.04.001>
- DaVia Rubenstein, L., Callan, G. L., & Ridgley, L. M. (2018). Anchoring the creative process within a self-regulated learning framework: Inspiring assessment methods and future research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 30, 921–945. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-017-9431-5>
- Erisoglu, M., Calis, N., & Sakallioglu, S. (2011). A new algorithm for initial cluster centers in k -means algorithm. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 32(14), 1701–1705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2011.07.011>
- Fatmawati, A., Zubaidah, S., Mahanal, S., & Sutopo, S. (2019). Critical thinking, creative thinking, and learning achievement: How they are related. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1417. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1417/1/012070>
- Fisher, M., & Baird, D. E. (2005). Online learning design that fosters student support, self-regulation, and retention. *Campus-Wide Information Systems*, 22(2), 88–107. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10650740510587100>
- Gorey, J. (2020). Teaching in a pandemic: How educators are handling the sudden shift to distance learning. *Earthwatch*. https://earthwatch.org/stories/teaching-pandemic-how-educators-are-handling-sudden-shift-distance-learning?gclid=CjwKCAiA9bmABhBbEiwASb35V7O-A7J9Cs_TA5H1OSOqRAnhqG9LrCmYqTfdTofsDxS_iHMdm-ZYHxoCnoAQAvD_BwE
- Han, J., Huh, S. Y., Cho, Y. H., Park, S., Choi, J., Suh, B., & Rhee, W. (2020). Utilizing online learning data to design face-to-face activities in a flipped classroom: A case study of heterogeneous group formation. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68, 2055–2071. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09743-y>
- Katz, S., & Stupel, M. (2015). Promoting creativity and self-efficacy of elementary students through a collaborative research task in mathematics: A case study. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 4(1), 68–82. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v4n1p68>
- Kim, K. H. (2017). The torrance tests of creative thinking – figural or verbal: Which one should we use? *Creativity: Theories – Research – Applications*, 4(2), 302–321. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ctra-2017-0015>

- Kumar, K. M., & Reddy, A. R. M. (2017). An efficient k -means clustering filtering algorithm using density based initial cluster centers. *Information Sciences*, 418–419, 286–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2017.07.036>
- Lin, Ch.-Sh., & Wu, R.-W. (2016). Effects of web-based creative thinking teaching on students' creativity and learning outcome. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 12(6), 1675–1684. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016.1558a>
- Lo, Ch. K., & Hew, K. F. (2019). The impact of flipped classrooms on student achievement in engineering education: A meta-analysis of 10 years of research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 108(4), 523–546. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jee.20293>
- McCombs, B. L., & Marzano, R. J. (1990). Putting the self in self-regulated learning: The self as agent in integrating will and skill. *Educational Psychologist*, 25(1), 51–69. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2501_5
- Mihalca, L., & Mengelkamp, Ch. (2020). Effects of induced levels of prior knowledge on monitoring accuracy and performance when learning from self-regulated problem solving. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 112(4), 795–810. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000389>
- Nguyen, T. (2015). The effectiveness of online learning: Beyond no significant difference and future horizons. *MERLOT: Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 11(2), 309–319.
- Özyaprak, M., & Leana-Taşçılar, M. Z. (2019). The effectiveness of self-regulated learning on teaching SCAMPER technique of creativity. *Turkish Journal of Giftedness and Education*, 9(1), 16–31.
- Panadero, E. (2017). A review of self-regulated learning: Six models and four directions for research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00422>
- Panigrahi, R., Srivastava, P. R., & Sharma, D. (2018). Online learning: Adoption, continuance, and learning outcome – a review of literature. *International Journal of Information Management*, 43, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.05.005>
- Park, S. E., & Howell, T. H. (2015). Implementation of a flipped classroom educational model in a predoctoral dental course. *Journal of Dental Education*, 79(5), 563–570. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.0022-0337.2015.79.5.tb05916.x>
- Peng, Y., & Tullis, J. G. (2020). Theories of intelligence influence self-regulated study choices and learning. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 46(3), 487–496. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xlm0000740>
- Purnama Simanjuntak, M., Hutahaean, J., Marpaung, N., & Ramadhani, D. (2021). Effectiveness of problem-based learning combined with computer simulation on students' problem-solving and creative thinking skills. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(3), 519–534. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2021.14330a>
- Puth, M.-Th., Neuhäuser, M., & Ruxton, G. D. (2014). Effective use of Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient. *Animal Behaviour*, 93, 183–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.05.003>
- Radha, R., Mahalakshmi, K., Sathish Kumar, V., & Saravanakumar, A. R. (2020). E-Learning during lockdown of COVID-19 pandemic: A global perspective. *International Journal of Control and Automation*, 13(4), 1088–1099.
- Rahardjanto, A., Husamah, H., & Fauzi, A. (2019). Hybrid-PjBL: Learning outcomes, creative thinking skills, and learning motivation of preservice teacher. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(2), 179–192. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2019.12212a>
- Rasmitadila, R., Rusmiati Aliyyah, R., Rachmadtullah, R., Samsudin, A., Syaodih, E., Nurtanto, M., & Suryanti Tambunan, A. R. (2020). The perceptions of primary school teachers of online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic period: A case study in Indonesia. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies*, 7(2), 90–109. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejecs/388>
- Razali, N. M., & Bee Wah, Y. (2011). Power comparisons of Shapiro–Wilk, Kolmogorov–Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson–Darling tests. *Journal of Statistical Modeling and Analytics*, 2(1), 21–33.

- Resien, R., Sitompul, H., & Situmorang, J. (2020). The effect of blended learning strategy and creative thinking of students on the results of learning information and communication technology by controlling initial knowledge. *Budapest International Research and Critics in Linguistic and Education Journal*, 3(2), 879–893. <https://doi.org/10.33258/birle.v3i2.997>
- Rodd, J. (1999). Encouraging young children's critical and creative thinking skills: An approach in one English elementary school. *Childhood Education*, 75(6), 350–354. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00094056.1999.10522056>
- Sahyar, S., Sani, R. A., & Malau, T. (2017). The effect of problem based learning (PBL) model and self regulated learning (SRL) toward physics problem solving ability (PSA) of students at senior high school. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 5(3), 279–283.
- Schober, P., Boer, Ch., & Schwarte, L. A. (2018). Correlation coefficients: Appropriate use and interpretation. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*, 126(5), 1763–1768. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000002864>
- Schunk, D. H., & Greene, J. A. (Eds.). (2018). *Educational psychology handbook series. Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance*. P. A. Alexander (Series Ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315697048>
- Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2013). Self-regulation and learning. In I. B. Irving (Ed.-in-Chief), *Handbook of psychology. Educational psychology* (Vol. 7, pp. 45–68). W. M. Reynolds & G. E. Miller (Eds.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Sulisworo, D., Fitriawanati, M., Maryani, I., Hidayat, S., Agusta, E., & Saputri, W. (2020). Students' self-regulated learning (SRL) profile dataset measured during COVID-19 mitigation in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *Data in Brief*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.106422>
- Sulisworo, D., Maryani, I., & Kusumaningtyas, D. A. (2021). The role of information technology on the online learning success based on self-regulated learning data. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1783. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1783/1/012109>
- Tanu Wijaya, T., Ying, Zh., & Suan, L. (2020). Gender and self-regulated learning during COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Journal of Elementary Education*, 4(3), 725–732. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v4i3.422>
- Titikusumawati, E., Sa'dijah, C., As'ari, A. R., & Susanto, H. (2019). An analysis of students' creative thinking skill in creating open-ended mathematics problems through semi-structured problem posing. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1227. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1227/1/012024>
- Torrance, E. P. (1990). *The Torrance tests of creative thinking norms – technical, manual, figural (streamlined): Forms A & B*. Scholastic Testing Service.
- Valle, A., Núñez, J. C., Cabanach, R. G., González-Pienda, J. A., Rodríguez, S., Rosário, P., Cerezo, R., & Muñoz-Cadavid, M. A. (2008). Self-regulated profiles and academic achievement. *Psicothema*, 20(4), 724–731.
- Vrieling-Teunter, E., Stijnen, S., & Bastiaens, Th. (2021). Promoting student teachers' self-regulated learning in the workplace. *Vocations and Learning*, 14, 223–242. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12186-021-09264-6>
- Zarouk, M. Y., Olivera, E., Peres, P., & Khaldi, M. (2020). The impact of flipped project-based learning on self-regulation in higher education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 15(17), 127–146. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v15i17.14135>
- Ziegler, N., & Opendakker, M.-Ch. (2018). The development of academic procrastination in first-year secondary education students: The link with metacognitive self-regulation, self-efficacy, and effort regulation. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 64, 71–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2018.04.009>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2013). From cognitive modeling to self-regulation: A social cognitive career path. *Educational Psychologist*, 48(3), 135–147. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2013.794676>