	a	13 mail.goo	gle.com/mail/u/0	/#search/j	ournalived	%40gmail.op	on/WhetKJV	CCLdKhbi	DICRept	VikGDMw	CitTqtSpn	wKW/bo	(S.RIZPK	PPwcrRcP	UKIng@JwBcvl	4						领众	•		Ø	=1	*	а	8
=	M	Gmail		Q jo	umal.wu	/©gmail.co	am.							×	藉							O Away	e	0	۲	Ш	Xeena	IO DAVILAN	8
	0	Compose		4		0 8	e	0 6	8	a D	1														20	0 yê 10	¢	2	
		Inbox	5.990		[WJ	ST] Subn	nission	Acknov	vled	gemer	t (Leber)	1	18														ø		
Chur Ch	☆ ©	Starred Snoozed		(IIS	to our •	litor of Wala	iilak J Sci 6	Tech day	mai, wayib	Figmalizion	5											Sur	May 31	2020, 9-	arev	ŵ	47	I	e
Moet.	1000	Sent			Dear P	rofessor Ratu	Matahari																						
		Drafts More	67			you for submit ement system													oor" to Walailak	Journal of 5	cience and	lechnology (WJST), 1	With the	online	jeunal			
	Labe	els	+			onge URL <u>http</u> me. ratumatal		th/index.ph	o/elst/a	wtherDash	boardibuter	laakin/10/	455																*
					lf you h	ave any ques	tions, please	contact me	Thank	you for co	nsidering th	s journal	as a ver	ue for your	work and hop	pe you will e	enjoy publishi	ning in this jour	mal, and look to	prward to rec	eiving article	is from you is	a future						+
						encourage a		mit the nan	nes of 5	-7 referees	suitable to	review the	e work to	aid in the p	eer review pr	POCESS.													
						of Walaitaik J 5 Climago Journ		0.0.138																					
					titte //wi	R Journal of S ist.wu.ac.th JR (SCOPUS		echnology	(WJST)																				
					2018 5	TH (SCUPUS	9.0.138																					0	P
					Tha	nk you for y	our mail.	Thank	s a lot.	Not	ed with t?	anka.																	
					_		_	_																					
					("	Reply) (r* Forwa	rd)																					

← →	G 72	mail.google.com/mail/u	il/u/0/#search/journal.wu%40gmail.com/WhctKJWQgIMfMKnQdzBHQFMhjSNKqJtfwdbLgsNkzcvLTMTHBwJjhQBHfmzSgsfwnPTfzZL	\$	• 1	2 🛙	}	=, ,	ŁO	8	3
≡	M G	mail	Q journal.wu@gmail.com X 荘	way ~	0) (<u>)</u>		: 3	HMAD DAHL	AN 1	
Mail	0 Cor	mpose					18 of 2	20 <	>		
Chat Chat Meet	© Sno ⊳ Ser	arred oozed nt afts 62	Walailak Journal of Science and Technology -journal.wu@gmail.com> to me, Fitriana, Sri, Sari + Dear Professor Ratu Matahari, Fitriana Putri Utami, Sri Sugiharti, Sari Kistiana:		nong Uri	ban Poo	ar". Pier		se the		0
	Labels	+	differentiated with red colour and submit it.								+
			2019 SCImago Journal Rank (SJR): 0.154 							C)
			Reviewer B: Recommendation: Revisions Required								>

Dear Professor Ratu Matahari, Fitriana Putri Utami, Sri Sugiharti, Sari Kistiana:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Walailak Journal of Science and Technology (WJST), "Access of Family Planning Services among Urban Poor Women in Yogyakarta: Family Planning Among Urban Poor". Please revise the manuscript carefully. The manuscript should be resubmitted along with point-by-point explanation according to reviewers'comments. If you disagree with any of the comments, please state your reasons. **All corrections are mandatory and must be differentiated with red colour and submit it.**

Our decision is to: Revise the Manuscript

We request that you send a revised manuscript within 30 days, otherwise it may be considered withdrawn.

PS. Please submit in Microsoft Word version with WJST template, the references should be in WJST format, please recheck. Thank you very much for your kind helps.

STEP for upload revised version: Submissions > My Queue > My Assigned > Review > Revisions > Upload file

Author Guidelines: <u>https://wjst.wu.ac.th/index.php/wjst/about/submissions#authorGuidelines</u>

2019 SCImago Journal Rank (SJR): 0.154

https://wjst.wu.ac.th

Reviewer B: Recommendation: Revisions Required

Originality of the work

Poor

Experimental design and methodology

Poor

Adequacy of the discussion

Poor

Technical accuracy

Poor

Suitability of references

Poor

Use of Tables and Figures

Poor

Standard of English

Poor

Clarity and conciseness

Poor

Referee's Comments

his paper needs a major revision if it accepted (introduction, research gap, methods, results, discussion, benefit, and limitation)

Reviewer C: Recommendation: Revisions Required

Originality of the work

Adequate

Experimental design and methodology

Adequate

Adequacy of the discussion

Poor

Technical accuracy

Adequate

Suitability of references

Adequate

Use of Tables and Figures

Adequate

Standard of English

Adequate

Clarity and conciseness

Adequate

Referee's Comments

lack of writing academic in paper and lack of analysis data

Reviewer G: Recommendation: Revisions Required

Originality of the work

Adequate

Experimental design and methodology

Adequate

Adequacy of the discussion

Poor

Technical accuracy

Poor

Suitability of references

Adequate

Use of Tables and Figures

Poor

Standard of English

Good

Clarity and conciseness

Poor

Referee's Comments-----

Reviewer H: Recommendation: See Comments

Originality of the work

Adequate

Experimental design and methodology

Poor

Adequacy of the discussion

Poor

Technical accuracy

Poor

Suitability of references

Good

Use of Tables and Figures

Poor

Standard of English

Adequate

Clarity and conciseness

Poor

Referee's Comments-----

Reviewer J: Recommendation: Revisions Required

Originality of the work

Good

Experimental design and methodology

Adequate

Adequacy of the discussion

Good

Technical accuracy

Good

Suitability of references

Adequate

Use of Tables and Figures

Adequate


Standard of English

Adequate

Clarity and conciseness


Good

Referee's Comments-----



6.0	a	t maigeogle.com	n/mail/u/0/#se	earch/journal.wu/b40gmail.com/Whick/WQmetfogVXGhrWaMCKpwgNC	SNPbbQa8der/RImsVGDHcZWvNXTMgrmsRgdGGzGlexLg	න ර 🕈 📕 🗋 🔍	표 🛙	0 1
ш	M	Gmail		Q, journal.wu@gmail.com	× 荘	0 Annty - 🕥 🕲 🖽	Annual (see, a	- 🔒
		Compose Inbox Starmd Sincazed Sent Drafts More	5,940		Natallak Journal of Science and Technology (W25T)		€ 1 © 1	0 0 1
	Lab		. +	Beyleter or connect your OBCID ID More information about OFICID at Weieles Journal of Science and Fryou have any questions, please contact me Editor of Weielek J Sci & Tech Weielek Journal of Science and Technology (WJST) Miter Journal of Science and Technology (WJST) Miter Journal of Science	Tautnology, (W4811)			+
				ers Reply (* Forward				P

the second second	e.com/mail/u/0/#search	h/journal.wu%40gmail.com/WhetKKXHETKXeWtShariPnHsZKm	uzivmTPBnbPgZgsbdWwFvPMKgrpGBd	odbrum. Kästrusia	ው 🗢 🍵 🔟 🖸				
M Gmail	Q	journal.wu@gmail.com	×	莊	O Away - 🔘 😣				
Ø Compose	*		5 E		1				
inbox	5,990	[Trends in Sciences] Volume 18 (202	1) Proofreading Request I	D 10455 (Internel) Internel					
☆ Starred	fi:	Trends in Sciences -pound wodgmail.com-			III 04 21, 2011 12 25 AM				
③ Snoczed ▶ Sent		Dear Professor Ratu Matahari,							
Drafts	62	Please inspect this proof version of your article and answer the following queries;							
 More 		- Please recheck and confirm all comments in the doc	ument.						
		Please give "Graphical Abstract" (if available). Please sign the "TIS Consent to Publish and Copyrigh Please promptly respond to these queries rapidly, of If there are any minor changes, please let us know b Please revice your paper in the attached file. Please revice your paper in the attached file. Please ceturn it as soon as you can, possibly within PS. Watallak Journal of Science and Technology (WIST We apologize for any inconvenience this may cause yo Yours sincerely, Trends in Sciences https://fis.wu.ac.th 2020 SIR (SCOPUS): 0.346	herwise this paper will be published in v the list of changes in the word file or 24 hours. This been renamed as Trends in Science	the list of corrections in a regiv mail es (Tr\$). The TIS was launched in Octobe	2021. Please visit our website at http://ds/wulatath				



6	σ	tt mail.goog	gle.com/mail/u/0/4	asandyjournal.wu/R40gmail.com/Whet8000HITInFIC/038kddBkgfWGR0NIMXQapkin32DIM56vzWqABs0bHdBXMmsGqAfSivgtSCVv	@ # 🕈 🖬 🖸 🖤	4 01 1	0 1
=	M	Gmail		Q, journat.wu@gmail.com X 菲	O Away - 🕐 🛞 🖽		
	1	Compose		* 00 0 5 0 6 8 0 1	6.620	€>	
Sail Dort O Meet		Indux Sharred Sent Drafts More	6.990 67 +	[TIS] Your article has now been published online Common interest Image: Imag		49 (Z +- 1	□ ● ● ● ● ●
				Formerly know as Weislank Journal of Eclerose and Technology 2020 SJR (SCOPUS) 0 146			P

Revised Manuscript

Reviewer C No. Reviewer Has been revised Responding to the reviewer, we improved the title 1. Overall the statistical data is lack to representative places in Yogyakarta of the manuscript as before Yogyakarta to The City Lack of discussion to reveal results of Yogyakarta. The data in the manuscript captured the situation among urban poor which is represented into 3 areas of the poorest area in the City of Yogyakarta (Mergangsan, Tegalrejo, and Umbulharjo) What's your novelty? Lack novelty Novelty of the research added research data from 2. other countries that are similar to the theme of this manuscript. Data related to the recovery of traditional methods of contraception is very minimal. Right, this study uses L.Green's theoretical 3. Look for the basic source approach and has been revised according to the I think this theory is L. Green reviewers' input. (abstract page 1, background page 3 on the last paragraph) We added some information about data analysis 4. Not mention yet analysis data (Page 4) Frequency distribution is done to identify all responses. In addition, it was followed by bivariate statistical analysis (cross tabulation) to identify the relationship between the dependent and independent variables to obtain candidate variables (p < 0.25) which would be used as predictors in multivariate analysis (multiple logistic regression). All statistical analyzes of quantitative results will be carried out with the help of STATA version 13.0. Show your good results Peer becomes a factor associated with access to 5. contraceptive services in urban poor groups. It's mentioned in the conclusion of this manuscript. Too general and not representative results The results shown show an overview of the case 6. investigated and in line with the purposes of the study. What's your gap research (in Yogyakarta)? This research gap is a review of the factors 7. associated with the use of traditional contraceptive methods. 8. Show your real conclusions based on results The conclusions on this study have been revised (page 11). Based on the results of data analysis, it can be concluded that the factor affecting to family program access among poor couple in the city of Yogyakarta is peer support.

	ewer G	Has been revised
<u>No.</u> 1.	Reviewer Add more about the name of the country studied and statements reflecting the methodological approach of the study should be indicated.	Has been revised Study in other countries has been added to the background (Page 2)
2.	Add recommendations for implementing the research on access to family planning services for poor urban women in Indonesia.	Added in the abstract. It is recommended to form a community that focuses on contraception so that it can become a form of education and a support system.
3.	The introduction part, the problems at the national level and the problems created for the population of the study, namely poor urban women in Indonesia, are explained. Although there is supporting evidence showing the severity of the problems, the statistics on rates such as population should include additional references for the reliability of the information.	See page 2 in the red paragraph.
4.	The authors should review these factors, which have been studied in Indonesia in the past. This will lead to reasons for supporting a gap in this research. The PRECEED- PROCEED model was used as the conceptual framework for this study. Therefore, the predisposing, enabling and reinforcing factors should be explained in greater detail.	Limited information about traditional contraceptive use in Indonesia.
5.	Additional description should be provided on the methods for collecting data and the accuracy of the research instruments. Furthermore, there are no explanations of the population and sampling process. In the data analysis, the authors should add the statistics used. Were any other analysis methods used than chi square?	 [Page 3: Instrument] This research instrument uses a questionnaire which is an improvement from the 2017 Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey questionnaire which consists of 6 parts, namely the sociodemography of respondents, knowledge of family planning, contraceptive use behavior, and access to family planning services, family support, friends, and health workers. In order to maintain the confidentiality of informant data, informed consent was conducted prior to conducting interviews. [Page 4: Data analysis] Frequency distribution is done to identify all responses. In addition, it was followed by bivariate
		responses. In addition, it was followed by bivariate statistical analysis (cross tabulation) to identify the relationship between the dependent and

		independent variables to obtain candidate variables ($p < 0.25$) which would be used as predictors in multivariate analysis (multiple logistic regression). All statistical analyzes of quantitative results will be carried out with the help of STATA version 13.0.
6.	In particular, the family support factor is related to religious and cultural values in Indonesia. Are there any weaknesses or limitations in this research? If there are, these should be stated.	[page 10] Based on the results of the research that has been done, it shows that it is interesting that friends are a factor influencing someone to choose a contraceptive method in the urban poor group. Thus, it is very important to educate couples of childbearing age by initiating the formation of family planning care groups as peer educators, as well as strengthening family planning cadres in each region as family planning facilitators. This research becomes richer if it is deepened by conducting a qualitative study exploring the reasons for using traditional contraceptives from a social and religious perspective.