History Artikel

Identification of Druggable Genes for Asthma by Integrated Genomic Network Analysis

Home		
Manage Accounts	Manuscript ID	biomedicines-1499906
Change Password	Status	Website online
Edit Profile	DOI	10.3390/biomedicines10010113
Logout	Publication Certificate	
	T ablication octanicate	Download Publication Certificate (PDF) v
Submissions Menu 🛛 🔞	Banner	Download Banner (PDF)
Submit Manuscript	Website Links	Abstract HTML version PDF version Manuscript
Display Submitted	Article type	Article
Manuscripts	Title	Identification of Druggable Genes for Asthma by Integrated Genomic Network Analysis
Display Co-Authored	Journal	Biomedicines
Manuscripts English Editing	Volume	10
Discount Vouchers	Issue	1
	Section	Drug Discovery
LaTex Word Count	Special Issue	Feature Papers in Drug Discovery and Development
	Abstract	Asthma is a common and heterogeneous disease characterized by chronic airway inflammation. Currently,
✓ Reviewers Menu ② ■ Reviews		the two main types of asthma medicines are inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β 2-adrenoceptor agonists (LABAs). In addition, biological drugs provide another therapeutic option, especially for patients with severe asthma. However, these drugs were less effective in preventing severe asthma exacerbation,
Volunteer Preferences		and other drug options are still limited. Herein, we extracted asthma-associated single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from the genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and phenome-wide association
Reviewer Preferences		studies (PheWAS) catalog and prioritized candidate genes through five functional annotations. Genes
		enriched in more than two categories were defined as "biological asthma risk genes." Then, DrugBank was used to match target genes with FDA-approved medications and identify candidate drugs for asthma. We discovered 139 biological asthma risk genes and identified 64 drugs targeting 22 of these genes. Seven of them were approved for asthma, including reslizumab, mepolizumab, theophylline, dyphylline, aminophylline, oxtriphylline, and enprofylline. We also found 17 drugs with clinical or preclinical evidence in treating asthma. In addition, eleven of the 40 candidate drugs were further identified as promising asthma therapy. Noteworthy, <i>ILBR</i> is considered a target for asthma drug repurposing based on its high target scores. Through in silico drug repurposing approach, we identified sanlumab and satralizumab as the most promising drug for asthma treatment.
	Keywords	asthma; bioinformatic; drug repositioning; genome-wide association study; phenome-wide association study
	🔡 data	Data is of paramount importance to scientific progress, yet most research data drowns in supplementary files or remains private. Enhancing the transparency of the data processes will help to render scientific research results reproducible and thus more accountable. Co-submit your methodical data processing articles or data descriptors for a linked data set in <i>Data</i> journal to make your data more citable and reliable.
		Deposit your data set in an online repository, obtain the DOI number or link to the deposited data set.
		Download and use the Microsoft Word template or LaTeX template to prepare your data article.
		Upload and send your data article to the Data journal here.
		Submit To Data

Author Information	
Submitting Author	Wirawan Adikusuma
Corresponding Authors	Wei-Pin Chang Wei-Chiao Chang 🙉
Author #1	Wirawan Adikusuma 🎧
Affiliation	 Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Health Science, University of Muhammadiyah Mataram, Mataram 83127, Indonesia
E-Mail	adikusuma28@gmail.com (co-author email has been published))
Author #2	Wan-Hsuan Chou 😣
Affiliation	1. Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan
E-Mail	ocean.chou@tmu.edu.tw (co-author email has been published))
Author #3	Min-Rou Lin 😰
Affiliation	1. Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan
E-Mail	jennlin@tmu.edu.tw (co-author email has been published))
Author #4	Jafit Ting
Affiliation	1. Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan
E-Mail	jkt5265@tmu.edu.tw (co-author email has been published))
Author #5	Lalu Muhammad Irham 🛞
Affiliation	3. Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta 55164, Indonesia
E-Mail	lalu.irham@pharm.uad.ac.id (co-author email has been published))
Author #6	Dyah Aryani Perwitasari 🌑
Affiliation	3. Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Ahmad Dahlan, Yogyakarta 55164, Indonesia
E-Mail	dyah.perwitasari@pharm.uad.ac.id (co-author email has been published))
Author #7	Wei-Pin Chang
Affiliation	 School of Health Care Administration, College of Management, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan
E-Mail	wpchang@tmu.edu.tw (corresponding author email)
Author #8	Wei-Chiao Chang 😣
Affiliation	 Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11031, Taiwan TMU Research Center of Cancer Translational Medicine, Taipei 11031, Taiwan Department of Pharmacy, Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11696, Taiwan Integrative Research Center for Critical Care, Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11696, Taiwan Integrative Research Center for Critical Care, Wan Fang Hospital, Taipei Medical University, Taipei 11696, Taiwan Bepartment of Pharmacology, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei 11490, Taiwan
E-Mail	wcc@tmu.edu.tw (corresponding author email)

Manuscript Information

Received Date	1 December 2021
Revised Date	22 December 2021
Accepted Date	24 December 2021
Published Date	6 January 2022
Submission to First Decision (Days)	22
Submission to Publication (Days)	35
Round of Revision	1
Size of PDF	3156 KiB
Word Count	3531
Page Count	11
Figure Count	4
Table Count	1
Reference Count	53
Citations	13

Editor Decision

Decision Accept in current form
Decision Date 24 December 2021

Review Report

Reviewer 1	Review Report (Round 1) Review Report (Round 2)	
Reviewer 2	Review Report (Round 1)	
Reviewer 3	Review Report (Round 1) Review Report (Round 2)	

APC information

Journal APC:	2,000.00 CHF
IOAP Participant:	Taipei Medical University
IOAP Payment:	Non-central: Invoiced to author
Author Eligible Central:	No
IOAP Discount:	10%
Total Payment Amount:	1,800.00 CHF

Funding

Funding information

Ministry of Science and Technology: MOST108-2314-B-038-027; National Health Research Institutes: 08A1-MGGP08-037; Taipei Medical University: 106-5807-001-400

Komentar reviewer 1 tahap 1:

Authors' Responses to I	Reviewer's Comments	(Revie	ewer 1)						
Author's Notes	Please see the attachm	ent							
Author's Notes File	Depart Natas								
Author's Notes File	Report Notes								
Review Report Form									
Quality of English Language	 () English very difficu () Extensive editing o () Moderate English () English language a () I am not qualified to 	f Englis change ind styl	sh languag s required le are fine/r	e and style re minor spell cł	required check required				
		Yes		Must be improved a	Not applicable				
Does the introdu	uction provide sufficient		()						
background and include a	all relevant references?	()	(x)	()	()				
Is the resear	ch design appropriate?	()	(x)	()	()				
Are the methods	adequately described?	()	(x)	()	()				
Are the res	sults clearly presented?	()	(x)	()	()				
Are the conclusions su	pported by the results?	()	(x)	()	()				
Comments and Suggestions for Authors	Dear Authors,								
	I Have read the manusc	ript and	d I send yo	u my comme	ients:				
	 Introduction: line 60-62: please delete "To date, aspirin has been successfully used as an antiplatelet drug and colorectal cancer therapy by drug repurposing. Aspirin has been used initially as Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in treating various pain and inflammatory disorders [15]. " 								
	2) Methods: please clar	fy meth	nods used i	nn this study	ły				
	3) Results: please add I	L-17							
	2) Discussion: line 195 please add PMID: 17044077;								
	line 209 please add PM	ID: 235	510472						
Submission Date	01 December 2021								
Date of this review	05 Dec 2021 21:35:57								

Komentar reviewer 2 tahap 1

Authors' Responses to I	Reviewer's Comments	(Revi	ewer 2	2)			
Author's Notes	Please see the attachm	ent					
Author's Notes File	Report Notes						
Review Report Form							
Quality of English Language	 () English very difficu () Extensive editing of () Moderate English (x) English language at () I am not qualified t 	of Engli change and sty	sh lang s requi le are f	uag red ine/r	e and style minor spell	requir check	required
		Yes	Can impro	be ved	Must be improved		ot cable
Does the introdu background and include a	uction provide sufficient all relevant references?	(x)	()	()	()
Is the resear	ch design appropriate?	(x)	()	()	()
Are the methods	adequately described?	(x)	()	()	()
Are the res	sults clearly presented?	(x)	()	()	()
Are the conclusions su	pported by the results?	(x)	()	()	()
Comments and Suggestions for Authors	databases to identify the interesting study and po	e asthr otential	ma risk ly, this a	loci appr	that could oach could	guide t I be us	ated the use of GWAS and PheWas the drug discovery. This is an sed for personalized medicine. As the onal studies to predict clinical
Submission Date	01 December 2021						
Date of this review	12 Dec 2021 12:12:44						

Komentar reviewer 3 tahap 1

Authors' Responses to	Reviewer's Comments (Reviewer 3)										
Author's Notes	Please see the attachment										
Author's Notes File	Report Notes										
Review Report Form											
	 Charlish very difficult to understand/incomprehensible Extensive editing of English language and style required Moderate English changes required English language and style are fine/minor spell check required I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper 										
	Yes Can be Must be Not improved improved applicable										
	uction provide sufficient										
background and include											
	rch design appropriate? (x) () () ()										
	adequately described? () (x) () ()										
Are the res	sults clearly presented? (x) () () ()										
Are the conclusions su	upported by the results? () () (x) ()										
Comments and Suggestions for Authors	First of all, congratulations to the authors for their work, which opens up interesting new avenues of treatment in asthmatic disease. However, I would like to point out some improvements that I believe will enhance the focus of the article. 1 The first sentence of the article, where the authors state that "Asthma is the most severe inflammatory disorder of the lung" is, I think, rather debatable. It should be rewritten in a less pretentious way.										
	2. In both the abstract and the introduction, the authors state that "Currently, the two main types of asthma medicines are inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β2-adrenoceptor agonists (LABAs)", however, the authors do not include the third major group which is constituted by biologic drugs (specifically monoclonal antibodies) against different targets.										
	3 At no point in the introduction or the article do the authors cite the GINA (The Global Initiative for Asthma) Guidelines or Reports. Their latest edition of 2021 should be cited and included in the article, mentioning also the different phenotypes within the asthmatic disease.										
	4 Section "2.4 Statistical analysis" should be updated. The authors indicate only the programs used, but do not describe the statistical methods used in the work.										
	5. Table 1 represents a result of the authors' research and should therefore be included in this section and not in the discussion.										
	8- The discussion could be more elaborated, taking into account the different asthma phenotypes. A critical discussion of previous failures should also be included: for example, a critical reference should be made to other drugs that have not been successful in the treatment of asthma after repositioning, as was recently the case with Risankizumab in Severe Asthma: N Engl J Med 2021; 385:1869-1679. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2030880.										
	7 In the discussion, the last sentence "In sum, the anti-LL6R (Sarilumab and Satralizumab) therapy could become novel asthma treatment options" should be rewritten. The authors should lower the expectations of their proposal given that neither further in vitro experiments nor experiments in animal models have been carried out.										
	8 The bibliography should be updated as there is not a single citation of articles published in 2021, and at least include the two named in this revision.										
Submission Date	01 December 2021										
	14 Dec 2021 11:05:53										

Merespon terhadap saran dan masukan dari para reviewer:

December 22, 2021

Dear Editors,

We appreciate this opportunity to submit our revised manuscript: "Identification of druggable genes for asthma by integrated genomic network analysis" which we are submitting for consideration for publication as Original Research article in "*Biomedicines''* (Manuscript ID: biomedicines-1499906). We would like to thank the reviewers for the constructive and insightful comments. Here, we are sending our revised manuscript in accordance with the comments given by the reviewers. We have carefully examined the raised questions and have answered or made changes accordingly. The revised parts are highlighted as red color. We believe that the manuscript is greatly improved by the revisions. Thank you very much for your consideration of our work. Hope very much these revisions are adequate. We appreciate your assistance and are looking forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely yours,

Wei-Chiao Chang (D.Phil.; Oxon) Professor, Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Taipei Medical University, Taiwan 250 Wu-Hsing Street, Taipei 110, Taiwan

Reviewer 1

Introduction: line 60-62: please delete "To date, aspirin has been successfully used as an antiplatelet drug and colorectal cancer therapy by drug repurposing. Aspirin has been used initially as Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in treating various pain and inflammatory disorders [15]. "
 Answer: We thank you for the suggestions. We have deleted the sentence according to the

reviewer's suggestions.

- 2. Methods: please clarify the methods used in this study
- Answer: We sincerely appreciate the reviewer's comments. In the present study, we first acquired a list of asthma-associated SNPs from the GWAS and PheWAS catalog. The SNPs were then extended to asthma risk genes using HaploReg v4.1. The list of genes were prioritized by a scoring system using five functional annotations: (1) Genes containing missense/nonsense variants, which a single base change causes a substitution of a different amino acid in the resulting protein. (2) Genes containing an SNP with cis-eQTL effect. The polymorphism is associated with a change in gene expression in the target tissue, resulting in biological implications. In our analyses, we set the lung as the target tissue. (3) Genes that were significantly enriched in the over-representation analysis of knockout mice. (4) Genes with protein-protein interactions (PPIs) that are involved in the biological protein networks related to asthma pathogenesis. (5) Genes involved in the enriched pathway using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database. The cut-off points for statistical significance were mentioned in the method. Each annotation corresponded to one point. Based on the number of fulfilled criteria, an asthma risk gene can obtain a score from 1 to 5. We defined the genes that were annotated to meet more than or equal to two criteria (score > 2) as "biological asthma risk genes". This scoring system has also been utilized by Yukinori Okada et al. to prioritize genes related to Rheumatoid Arthritis disease and find the candidate drugs for repurposing. The biological asthma risk genes were then mapped to DrugBank to find candidate drugs. ClinicalTrial.gov and PubMed literature reviews were done manually to identify the most promising drug for Asthma.
- 3. Results: please add IL-17

Answer: According to our study pipeline, a total of seven promising targets were identified, including *HMGCR*, *PIK3CD*, *CD86*, *BCR*, *NOS1*, *IL6R*, and *ADORA1*. Unfortunately, *IL-17* was not identified as a candidate target for Asthma.

4. Discussion: line 195 please add PMID: 17044077; line 209 please add PMID: 23510472 **Answer:** Thank you for the suggestions. We have already added the reference as suggested by the reviewer (PMID: 17044077 line 222 and PMID: 23510472 line 242).

References

- 1. Okada, Y.; Wu, D.; Trynka, G.; Raj, T.; Terao, C.; Ikari, K. Genetics of rheumatoid arthritis contributes to biology and drug discovery. *Nature* **2013**, *506*, 376–381, doi:10.1038/nature12873.
- 2. Pelaia, G.; Gallelli, L.; D'agostino, B.; Vatrella, A.; Cuda, G.; Fratto, D.; Renda, T.; Galderisi, U.; Piegari, E.; Crimi, N.; et al. Effects of TGF-b and Glucocorticoids on Map

Kinase Phosphorylation, IL-6/IL-11 Secretion and Cell Proliferation in Primary Cultures of Human Lung Fibroblasts. J. Cell. Physiol. **2006**, 211(3), 736–747, doi:10.1002/JCP.

 Falcone, D.; Gallelli, L.; Virgilio, A. Di; Tucci, L.; Scaramuzzino, M.; Terracciano, R.; Pelaia, G. Effects of simvastatin and rosuvastatin on RAS protein , matrix metalloproteinases and NF- j B in lung cancer and in normal pulmonary tissues. *Cell Prolif.* 2013, 46, 172–182, doi:10.1111/cpr.12018.

Reviewer 2

In the manuscript by Adikusuma et al., the authors investigated the use of GWAS and PheWas databases to identify the asthma risk loci that could guide the drug discovery. This is an interesting study and potentially, this approach could be used for personalized medicine. As the authors mentioned, this study needs a further *in-vitro* functional studies to predict clinical application.

Answer: Many thanks for the reviewer comments. This approach can narrow down the candidate drugs before conducting a clinical trial. We believe it can open up new avenues for the drug discovery process and provide potential gene targets and drug candidates for the drug repurposing and treatment of Asthma.

Reviewer 3

First of all, congratulations to the authors for their work, which opens up interesting new avenues of treatment in asthmatic disease. However, I would like to point out some improvements that I believe will enhance the focus of the article.

The first sentence of the article, where the authors state that "Asthma is the most severe inflammatory disorder of the lung" is, I think, rather debatable. It should be rewritten in a less pretentious way.
 Answer: Thank you for the comments. We have revised the sentence to "Asthma is a common

Answer: Thank you for the comments. We have revised the sentence to "Asthma is a common and heterogeneous disease characterized by chronic airway inflammation." (Page 1, line 18-19)

2. In both the abstract and the introduction, the authors state that "Currently, the two main types of asthma medicines are inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β 2-adrenoceptor agonists (LABAs)", however, the authors do not include the third major group which is constituted by biologic drugs (specifically monoclonal antibodies) against different targets.

Answer: We sincerely thank the reviewer's comments and suggestions. We have revised the manuscript according to the reviewer's comments. There are currently two main types of asthma medicines, inhaled corticosteroids and long-acting β_2 -adrenoceptor agonists (LABAs). In addition, biologic drugs provide another therapeutic option especially for the patients with severe asthma (**Abstract page 1, line 20-21**). Severe asthma patients need add-on therapies, such as biological drugs (Monoclonal antibodies) that target specific molecular pathways (**Introduction page 2, line 62-64**).

- 3. At no point in the introduction or the article do the authors cite the GINA (The Global Initiative for Asthma) Guidelines or Reports. Their latest edition of 2021 should be cited and included in the article, mentioning also the different phenotypes within the asthmatic disease. Answer: We thank you for the reviewer suggestions. We have mentioned the clinical phenotype of Asthma and cited the GINA 2021 in the introduction: "Asthma, a heterogeneous disease, is classified into different clinical phenotypes such as allergic asthma, non-allergic asthma, adult-onset asthma, asthma with persistent airflow limitation, and asthma with obesity." (Page 1, line 40-43)
- 4. Section "2.4 Statistical analysis" should be updated. The authors indicate only the programs used, but do not describe the statistical methods used in the work. Answer: Thanks for the reviewer comments. In this study, all analytic workflows were performed on RStudio version 4.0.3. We have added the citations for each program. Missense and Cis-expression quantitative trait locus (*Cis*-eQTL) were performed in R using the haploR package (Zhbannikov, I.Y et al., 2021). Over-representation analysis (ORA), including Knockout Mouse Phenotype, PPI network, and Molecular Pathway were performed using the WebGestalt R package (Wang, J. et al., 2020) (Page 4, line 141-145).
- 5. Table 1 represents a result of the authors' research and should therefore be included in this section and not in the discussion.

Answer: We are very grateful for the reviewer's suggestion. We have moved Table 1 to the result section as suggested by the reviewer (**Page 7-8**, **line 196-197**).

6. The discussion could be more elaborated, taking into account the different asthma phenotypes. A critical discussion of previous failures should also be included: for example, a critical reference should be made to other drugs that have not been successful in the treatment of Asthma after repositioning, as was recently the case with Risankizumab in Severe Asthma: N Engl J Med 2021; 385:1669-1679. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2030880.

Answer: We sincerely thank you for your valuable suggestions. The last paragraph of the discussion were largely revised as follows:

Drug repurposing offers various advantages over developing an entirely new drug for a given indication, such as fewer risks, lower cost, and shorter development time (Fetro C et al., 2020). Nevertheless, this approach does not always succeed, one example was a recent case of risankizumab in severe Asthma. A phase 2a, placebo-controlled trial (NCT02443298) showed the repurposed drug might not benefit severe asthma patients (Christopher EB et al., 2021). The failure may be due to biological variations of biomarkers, etiology of disease and clinical phenotypes among patients. The deviations lead to different patterns of treatment response. In this study, we included previous reports of any types of asthma (e.g., chronic obstructive asthma, adult-onset asthma, atopic asthma, childhood-onset asthma), and extracted the asthmaassociate genetic variants from GWAS and PheWAS catalogs. Without the further specification of patient subgroups, studies on animal models with different phenotypes and clinical trials are necessary to validate the effectiveness of the candidate drugs in practical usage. This study utilized incorporated genetic data, computational methods, and publicly accessible big data sets to prioritize the best candidate genes and identify new drugs for asthma therapy. However, there are some limitations. First, genes from the GWAS and PheWAS catalog's is not always druggable, and not all gene targets emerge distinct pharmacological activity. Our analysis showed that among 139 biological asthma risk genes, however, only 22 genes are druggable. Second, the therapeutic drugs found in this pipeline have not been validated. Further functional studies and clinical studies are required to determine the possibility of clinical application and implementation of our findings. (Page 9-10, line 283-304).

7. In the discussion, the last sentence "In sum, the anti-IL6R (Sarilumab and Satralizumab) therapy could become novel asthma treatment options" should be rewritten. The authors should lower the expectations of their proposal given that neither further in vitro experiments nor experiments in animal models have been carried out.

Answer: We appreciate the reviewer's reminder. This sentence has been rewritten as follows. In summary, our results reveal that the anti-IL6R (Sarilumab and satralizumab) are promising candidates for drug repositioning to asthma therapy. However more studies from animal models and clinical trials will be helpful to carry out the mechanisms of anti-IL6R in asthma. (**Page 10, line 358-360**).

The bibliography should be updated as there is not a single citation of articles published in 2021, and at least include the two named in this revision.
 Answer: Thank you for the suggestions. We have added two reference articles published in 2021 (references 3 and 52).

References

- 1. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global Strategy for Asthma Management and Prevention, 2021. Available online: https://ginasthma.org/.
- 2. Zhbannikov, I.Y.; Arbeev, K.; Yashin, A.I. Package ' haploR ' Available online: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/haploR/index.html.
- 3. Wang, J.; Liao, Y.; Jaehnig, E.; Shi, Z.; Sheng, Q. Package ' WebGestaltR ' Available online: <u>https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/WebGestaltR/index.html</u>.
- 4. Fetro, C.; Scherman, D. Drug repurposing in rare diseases : Myths and reality. Therapies 2020, 75, 157–160, doi:10.1016/j.therap.2020.02.006.
- E, C.; Brightling; Nair, P.; Cousins, D.J.; Louis, R.; Singh, D. Risankizumab in Severe Asthma — A Phase 2a, Placebo-Controlled Trial. N. Engl. J. Med. 2021, 385, 1669–1679, doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2030880.

Komentar reviewer 1 tahap 2:

Review Report Form								
Quality of English Language	 () English very difficu () Extensive editing o () Moderate English o (x) English language a () I am not qualified to 	f Engli change and sty	ish langu es require le are fir	iage ed ne/m	and style	require check	required	
		Yes	Can b improv		Must be improved	No applic		
Does the introdu	uction provide sufficient							
		(x)	()		()	()	
background and include a	all relevant references?							
Is the resear	ch design appropriate?	(x)	()		()	()	
		. /	()		. ,	`	,	
Are the methods	Are the methods adequately described?				()	()	
Are the res	sults clearly presented?	(x)	()		()	()	
Are the conclusions su	pported by the results?	(x)	()		()	()	
Comments and Suggestions for Authors	Dear Authors,							
	I have read the revised manuscript and your comments and I can inform you that I have not comments							
	best regards							
	Luca							
Submission Date	01 December 2021							
Date of this review	23 Dec 2021 00:18:41							

Komentar reviewer 3 tahap 2:

Review Report Form								
Quality of English () English very difficult to understand/incomprehensible Language () Extensive editing of English language and style required () Moderate English changes required () English language and style are fine/minor spell check required () I am not qualified to assess the quality of English in this paper								
	Yes	Can be improved	Must be improved	Not plicable				
Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references?	(x)	()	()	()				
Is the research design appropriate?	(x)	()	()	()				
Are the methods adequately described?	(x)	()	()	()				
Are the results clearly presented?	(x)	()	()	()				
Are the conclusions supported by the results?	(x)	()	()	()				
Comments and Thanks to the authors indicated, so I think the				ded all the points I had previously proved.				
Submission Date 01 December 2021								
Date of this review 23 Dec 2021 12:32:16								

Artikel accepted 24 desember 2021 dan terbit pada tanggal 6 januari 2022

