
[EECSI 2022] Your paper #1570800273 ('Optimizing SVM Hyperparameters using Predatory Swarms Algorithms for Use Case Points Estimation')

1 pesan

EECSI 2022 (eecs.iaes@gmail.com) <eecs.iaes@gmail.com@edas.info>

17 Juni 2022 10.20

Balas Ke: EECSI 2022 <eecs.iaes@gmail.com>

Kepada: Ardiansyah Ardiansyah <ardiansyah@tif.uad.ac.id>, Ridi Ferdiana <ridi@ugm.ac.id>, Adhistya Erna Permanasari <adhistya@ugm.ac.id>

Dear Mr. Ardiansyah Ardiansyah:

Congratulations - your paper #1570800273 ('Optimizing SVM Hyperparameters using Predatory Swarms Algorithms for Use Case Points Estimation') for the 2022 9th International Conference on Electrical Engineering, Computer Science and Informatics (EECSI 2022) has been ACCEPTED WITH REVISION.

Please make the necessary changes based on reviewers' comments and suggestions. The reviews are below or can be found at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570800273>. The Technical Paper Committee will check whether the revision has been performed or not. If you fail to do so, we have a right to exclude your paper from the proceedings. For your information, the conference will be conducted using virtual conference platform at 6-7 October 2022.

We would like your attention to double-check your paper:

- (1) For the paper final version: Please Strictly use and follow to IEEE template Manuscripts (Word Format): http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/conferences/2014_04_msw_a4_format.doc
- (2) All tables and figures should be cited/referred in the text and explained.
- (3) Tables are to be presented with single horizontal line under: the table caption, the column headings and at the end of the table. All tables are produced by creating tables in MS Word, not as an image.
- (4) Please DELETE the copyright footer from your paper to prevent conflict with automatically generated copyright footer.
- (5) Please ensure the maximum page of your final paper is 6-page.
- (6) All the papers have to go through the file conversion offered by IEEE PDF eXpress. You can refer to the link here: <https://ieee-pdf-express.org>. Conference ID : 56542x. After successful file conversion provided by IEEE PDF eXpress, you can upload PDF file paper final version in EDAS at 'Final manuscript'
- (7) Please take notice that the Final Paper should be submitted by September 1, 2022
- (8) Most importantly, please ensure the similarity score is less than 25%. If the similarity score of final version is more than the threshold, the TPC has the right to cancel the paper to be presented at EECSI 2022.
- (9) Any paper that has been "Accepted" must be registered no later than September 10, 2022. The paper which is not registered will be dropped automatically.
- (10) IEEE reserves the right to exclude a paper from distribution after the conference (e.g. removal from IEEE Xplore) if the paper is not presented at the conference.

We are looking forward for receiving your final camera ready paper.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Best Regards,
Muhammad Syafrullah, Ph.D.
Chair, EECSI 2022

===== Review 1 =====

> *** Novelty and Contribution: Rate the degree of scientific contribution provided by this paper. Do the authors offer new findings? Do they give proper explanation and detailed analysis?

Good (3)

> *** Paper Presentation: What is your evaluation on the quality of presentation from this paper (e.g. figures, tables, formats, etc.)?

Poor (2)

> *** Detailed Comments: Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper. Also provide feedback to the authors.

1. In the theoretical background, avoid using Ref. [], instead try to use (In []).
2. In the Use Case Point Estimation, you are trying to explain the UCP in a confusing way where you reference an equation, then include it in another column. This should be done in a mannerly order, so please rewrite this subsection.
3. As I can see, w_i in equation (1) and (2) are the same but you did not justify why it takes values 1,2, and 3 in eq 1, while taking 5,10, and 15 in eq 2? I am finding it hard to follow the theoretical aspects of the UCP.
4. In the Support vector machine, what is m in x belongs to R_m ?
5. In the Methodology and experiment setup, you made the same confusion where the transition of information is not coherent.
6. Reseasons, why some optimization algorithms are better, are not discussed.

> *** Recommendation: Your overall rating.
Borderline (3)

=====
Review 2
=====

> *** Novelty and Contribution: Rate the degree of scientific contribution provided by this paper. Do the authors offer new findings? Do they give proper explanation and detailed analysis?
Average (2)

> *** Paper Presentation: What is your evaluation on the quality of presentation from this paper (e.g. figures, tables, formats, etc.)?
Acceptable (3)

> *** Detailed Comments: Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper. Also provide feedback to the authors.

The topic is not new, and studied before
but the presentation and results are satisfied

> *** Recommendation: Your overall rating.
Weak Accept (4)

=====
Review 3
=====

> *** Novelty and Contribution: Rate the degree of scientific contribution provided by this paper. Do the authors offer new findings? Do they give proper explanation and detailed analysis?
Good (3)

> *** Paper Presentation: What is your evaluation on the quality of presentation from this paper (e.g. figures, tables, formats, etc.)?
Acceptable (3)

> *** Detailed Comments: Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper. Also provide feedback to the authors.

Grey Wolf Optimiser is applied in process in SA algo. Work seems to be fine.

> *** Recommendation: Your overall rating.
Weak Accept (4)

=====
Review 4
=====

> *** Novelty and Contribution: Rate the degree of scientific contribution provided by this paper. Do the authors offer new findings? Do they give proper explanation and detailed analysis?
Average (2)

> *** Paper Presentation: What is your evaluation on the quality of presentation from this paper (e.g. figures, tables, formats, etc.)?
Acceptable (3)

> *** Detailed Comments: Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper. Also provide feedback to the authors.

1. There is no link of code in the paper. Provide Github or any other suitable link so that proposed work may be tested.
2. Highlight all assumptions and limitations of your work.
3. Mention time complexity of entire pipeline.

> *** Recommendation: Your overall rating.
Weak Accept (4)

=====
Review 5
=====

> *** Novelty and Contribution: Rate the degree of scientific contribution provided by this paper. Do the authors offer new findings? Do they give proper explanation and detailed analysis?
Good (3)

> *** Paper Presentation: What is your evaluation on the quality of presentation from this paper (e.g. figures, tables, formats, etc.)?
Excellent (4)

> *** Detailed Comments: Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper. Also provide feedback to the authors.

This is a very nice paper and well structured paper.

> *** Recommendation: Your overall rating.
Strong Accept (5)