See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/341329609

Feasibility of Ni/Ti and Ni/ GF cathodes in microbial electrolysis cells for hydrogen production from fermentation effluent: A step toward real application



Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Integrated Biophotoelectrochemical Cell for water treatment, desalination, and hydrogen generation View project

Project

Zirconia ceramic membrane as a separator in microbial fuel cell View project

Revised: 1 April 2020

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ENERGY RESEARCH WILEY

Feasibility of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes in microbial electrolysis cells for hydrogen production from fermentation effluent: A step toward real application

Ibdal Satar^{1,2,3} | Mimi Hani Abu Bakar¹ | Wan Ramli Wan Daud^{1,4} | Nazlina Haiza Mohd Yasin⁵ | Mahendra Rao Somalu¹ | Byung Hong Kim^{1,6,7}

¹Fuel Cell Institute, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia

²Department of Food Technology, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan (UAD), Yogyakarta, Indonesia

³Department of Environmental Engineering, Institut Teknologi Yogyakarta (ITY), Yogyakarta, Indonesia

⁴Department of Chemical and Process Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia

⁵Department of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Malaysia

⁶Water Environment Research Centre, Korean Institute of Science and Technology, Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁷State Key Laboratory of Urban Water Resource and Environment, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China

Correspondence

Ibdal Satar, Department of Food Technology, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Ahmad Dahlan (UAD), Umbulharjo 55166, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. Email: ibdalsatar@yahoo.com

Funding information

Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia, Grant/Award Number: FRGS/2/2013/ TK06/UKM/02/9; Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), Grant/Award Number: MI-2018-015

Summary

The low cost, low over-potential loss, good catalytic properties for hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), high corrosion stability, commercially available, and could be applied in pH-neutral solution and ambient temperature are important properties for the cathode materials when it is applied in microbial electrolysis cell (MEC) technology. This study has two-pronged objectives: the first is to investigate the feasibility of titanium (Ti) and graphite felt (GF) coated with nickel (Ni), and the second is to generate hydrogen from the fermentation effluent (FE). The electrodeposition (ED) method was used to deposit Ni catalyst onto Ti (Ni/Ti) and GF (Ni/GF) surfaces. The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy were used to characterize the cathode morphology and element composition. The catalytic properties of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF could be evaluated using the linear sweep voltammetry tests. The maximum volumetric H₂ production rates of MEC using Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes were obtained at 0.39 ± 0.01 and 0.33 \pm 0.03 m³ H₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹ respectively. The Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes could be used as alternative cathodes while producing hydrogen from FE.

K E Y W O R D S

alternative catalyst, dark fermentation effluent, electrodeposition (ED) method, hydrogen evolution reaction

1 | INTRODUCTION

Biohydrogen production through anaerobic degradation can be generated from simple substrates such as acetate,^{1,2} glucose ³ or complex substrates such as wastewater⁴ and dark fermentation effluent (FE).^{5,6} In a microbial electrolysis cell (MEC) system, the electroactive bacteria (EAB) generate the currents (electrons) and protons from substrate.² The EAB such as Shewanella oneidensis, Pseudomona aeroginosa⁷ or mixed-culture, consume(s) organic substrates, which produce electrons, protons, and release carbon dioxide (CO_2) . The electrons are transferred by EAB to the anode material while the protons are released to the anolyte. The electrons and protons were then move toward the cathode to produce hydrogen. In theory, a 0.2 V of the additional voltage $(E_{\rm ap})$ should be supplied into the system to overcome endothermic drawback of -0.414 V during hydrogen production.⁸ However, an additional voltage of 0.3 V or higher E_{ap} is required to overwhelm the over-potential effects on electrode. These documented voltage input is much more lower when compared to that in water splitting method which necessitate up to the voltage range of 1.8-2.0 V.⁹

The use of expensive material as cathode such as platinum (Pt) give a major drawback in the MEC technology due to its cost and environmental problem. Pt has been widely used as cathode or catalyst in many applications including bio-electrochemical technologies. In general, Pt catalyst is coated onto substrates such as carbon cloth⁹⁻¹¹ or carbon paper¹² using Nafion solution as a binder where these two materials (Pt and Nafion) are expensive.¹³ In addition, Pt substances are easily become poisonous when act with the chemicals presented in wastewater such as sulfide.^{9,14} The presence of microorganisms may be able to convert Pt substances into dangerous materials.¹⁵ These facts become important reasons to explore the feasibility of alternative materials such as non-precious metals.

Feasibility of non-precious metals have been investigated for hydrogen production in MEC application. The non-precious metals in the first row of periodic table show promising properties such as their good stabilty, low overpotential loss, commercially available, cheap, and having low toxicity to living organisms. Among of them, nickel (Ni) becomes the best option because the catalytic properties for hydrogen evolution reaction (HER)¹⁶ is relatively high. In addition to the high corrosion stability,¹ also, Ni can be applied effectively at ambient temperature condition and pH-neutral.¹⁷ The application of Ni-based cathodes in MEC have widely been reported by researchers, for instance, Ni foam (NF),¹⁸ alloy,^{2,19} Ni mesh (NM),²⁰ Ni-based composites²¹ and Ni-based catalyst.¹⁷

In addition to the cathode material, Ni can also be used as HER catalyst. For instance, different forms of nickel alloys (ie, NiFe, NiMo, NiW, NiFeMo, NiFeP, NiCr) and Ni particles can be electrochemically or chemically deposited onto stainless steel (SS), nickel alloy (Ni625/Nix)² and nickel foam (NF).¹⁷ The electrochemical processes are generally known as the electrodeposition (ED) method. These cathodes also show the good stability in long term operation. Based on these reports, Ni-based materials show the promising properties for cathode and or catalyst for hydrogen production in MEC system. Also, it was well known that the price of Ni/Ti (36.49 USD/unit) and Ni/GF (15.69 USD/unit) cathodes are relative cheaper compared with platinum-based cathodes such as Ti/Pt (40.95 USD/unit) and Pt/GF (25.04 USD/unit),²² respectively.

It is well known that the dark fermentation (DF) is an efficient process to produce hydrogen, but a substantial amount of chemical oxygen deman (COD) remains in the effluent. The use of dark FE as the substrate in a MEC leads to the nearly complete conversion of COD into hydrogen. According to our previous report²³ that the dark FE was composed by volatile fatty acids (VFAs) such as acetic, lactic, malic, butyric, and propionic. Among these VFAs, acetic is more dominant compared to the other one. As is known to all, the acetic is a simple organic acid that can be easily converted into hydrogen gas by EAB in MEC system. Therefore, FE becomes an interesting substrate in MEC for generating more hydrogen gas as value-added product with a complete conversion of COD into hydrogen. In addition, FE can also be assumed as a model substrate to represent the real wastewater, thus, this study was a step toward real application of MEC.

Both Ni/Ti and Ni/GF have only been used as cathode material in MFC as demonstrated in our previous study.²⁴ However, no reports were documented using Ni/Ti and Ni/GF as cathode material in MEC to produce hydrogen from FE. Inspired on all facts, this study try to investigate the performance of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes under pH-neutral (pH = 7.0) condition at room temperature (~26°C) using FE as anolyte in comparison with the control (Pt/GF). Thus, the study could describe the feasibility of MEC with Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes to generate hydrogen and to treat the effluent of fermentation. To evaluate their performances, several parameters such as the maximum volumetric hydrogen production rate, cathodic hydrogen recovery, energy efficiency achieved with both cathodes are evaluated.

2 | MATERIALS AND EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

2.1 | Preparation of cathodes

In this study, graphite felt (GF) and titanium foam (Ti) were used as raw materials for cathode while nickel (Ni) as catalyst. The dimensions of GF and Ti were prepared in size (long \times width) of 5 cm \times 5 cm and 3.5 cm \times 3.5 cm, respectively. The dimension of Ti was smaller than GF due to material availability obstacle. Both GF and Ti materials were cleaned before ED processes were conducted. The GF was deep into 0.1 M HCl for 1 hour and rinsed with distilled water thrice. Then, the material was deep into 0.1 M NaOH for 1 hour and rinsed with distilled water thrice to neutralize the GF material. Meanwhile, Ti material was cleaned with ethanol and rinsed with deionized water (DW) thrice. Lastly, both GF and Ti materials were dried in oven overnight at 80°C.

Then, GF and Ti surfaces were deposited with Ni using ED method and were then denoted as Ni/GF and Ti/Ni, respectively. The Ni ED process was performed in an electrochemical cell as described by Satar et al.²⁴ NF was used as anode while Ti or GF as cathode. Acidic solution (pH = 2, adjusted with H₂SO₄) containing 12 mM NiSO₄ and 20 mM (NH₄)₂.SO₄ was used as electrolyte. The direct current (DC) power source (PS) (Keithley 2230-30-1, US)¹ was used to supply the voltage (20 V) into the electrochemical cell. During the ED process, the electrolyte was heated upto 55°C and stirred (350 rpm) using a magnetic bar for 30 minutes. Lastly, the

morphologies and element compositions of Ni/GF and Ni/Ti were analyzed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) respectively. As a cathode control, GF coated with 0.5 mg cm⁻² Pt catalyst (denoted as Pt/GF in this study) was prepared by chemical process using Nafion solution as a binder.

2.2 | Microbial electrolysis cell (MEC) construction and operation

A photograph (Figure 1A) and schematic (Figure 1B) of dual-chamber MEC reactor was fabricated from solid block acrylic. The dimensions of both anode and cathode compartments were prepared in size of 5 cm long \times 5 cm high \times 3 cm width (active volume 50 cm³). The GF (without catalyst) was used as anode while Ni/Ti or Ni/GF as cathode. The GF anode was enriched with EAB using anolyte from MFC reactor that have been operating for 12 months.²⁵ The FE was used as substrate during the enrichment and production process at the anode. This stage, the anode was under biotic condition. Meanwhile, a 100 mM KCl solution (pH = 7.0) was used as catholyte.²⁶ The cathode compartment was operated under abiotic conditions in order that no biofilm is formed in the cathode. To maintain the cathode in an abiotic conditions, cation exchange membrane (CEM 7000s) was used to separate the anode and cathode chambers, thus, there were no microorganisms cross over into the cathode.

All MECs were run in a fed batch mode at ambient temperature of 26°C. An additional voltage was



FIGURE 1 Photograph A, and schematic B, of dual chamber MEC construction having an anode, cathode, separator (CEM, CMI 7000s) and gas collector [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

4 WILEY-ENERGY RESEAR

supplemented by a PS (Keithley 2230-30-1 Triple Channel DC Power Supply, US) into the reactors. The reactors were refreshed with fresh electrolytes (anolyte and catholyte) after 24 hours. The high purity nitrogen (99.9%) was purged into the reactors for 5 minutes to maintain the anaerobic conditions. After the reactors achieved the constant current production and biogas volume after three consecutive feeding cycles, the applied voltage (E_{ap}) was increased to a higher voltage (in the range of 0.5-1.0 V).

2.3 Surface morphology and catalytic performance analysis

The morphologies and element compositions of cathodes were characterized by using the SEM and EDX. The SEM-EDX analysis were performed by using JEOL JSM 5800 as described by Satar et al.²⁴ Initially, the cathodes were cut with size of $10 \text{ mm} \times 10 \text{ mm}$ (100 mm^2) and washed with deionized water (DW) for three times to remove impurities and then dried in the oven at 80°C overnight. Lastly, the cathode surface morphology and chemical compositions were analyzed using JEOL JSM 5800.

The catalytic performance can be investigated by using the Linear Sweep Voltammetry (LSV) tests. The LSV tests were performed using a Potentiostat-Galvanostat (Autolab PGSTAT128N, Netherlands) in a chamber with three-electrode configuration with scan rate of 25 mV s⁻¹. The anode (Pt rod) was used as counter electrode (CE) while the cathode as working electrode (WE) and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode (RE). The LSV tests should be performed to generate the voltammograms of the cathodes. To obtain the Tafel plot, voltammograms (scans) were transformed into the current density (J) as a function of the potential (V) as described by Salembo et al.² From the Tafel plot, the slopes, y-intercepts, and V-intersects were used to predict the catalytic performance.

2.4 Gas analysis and calculations

The produced biogas was collected using a 20 mL vial containing acidic solution $(2.5\% H_2SO_4)$. The biogas compositions were analyzed by using a gas chromatography (GC HP-4890D series, USA) equipped with stainless tubing columns (Altech Molesieve 5A 80/100) and thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The mixture of helium (He) and air were used as a carrier gas.

In principle, as described by Logan et al⁹ and Salembo et al² that the MEC performance is evaluated based on the parameters such as H₂ yield, H₂ recovery, and

maximum volumetric H₂ production rates, energy recovery, and volumetric density.^{1,9} Equation (1) is used to calculate the H₂ yield (Y_{H2} , mL H₂ mL⁻¹ _{COD}) based on the COD removal, as follows:

$$Y_{H2} = \frac{n_{H2}M_{H2}}{V_l \Delta COD},\tag{1}$$

where M_{H2} is the molecular mass of H₂ (2 g mol⁻¹), V_l is the volume (L) of substrate in anode chamber, ΔCOD is the amount of the COD removal in g L⁻¹. The n_{H2} is the actual amount of H₂ moles (mol) generated from the reactor, calculated by using ideal gas law (Equation 2):

$$n_{H2} = \frac{PV_{H2}}{RT},\tag{2}$$

where P and V_{H2} are gas pressure (1 atm) and volume of H_2 generated from the reactor (L). The R and T are gas constant (0.0821 L atm K⁻¹ mol⁻¹) and absolute temperature (303 K), respectively. The H₂ recovery is calculated based on the substrate $(r_{H2} [S])$ as shown in Equation (3). The H_2 recovery is the ratio of the actual amount of H_2 moles produced from the reactors (n_{H2}) compared to the maximum theoretical number H₂ moles produced based on substrate $(n_{H_2} [S])$. The theoretical number of H₂ moles produced $(n_{H2}[S])$ is calculated by using Equation (4), as follows:

$$r_{H2\,[S]} = \frac{n_{H2}}{n_{H2}[S]} \tag{3}$$

$$n_{H2[S]} = \frac{b_{eO_2} V_s \Delta COD}{2(M_{O2})},$$
(4)

where b_{eO2} Equation (4) and M_{O2} (32 g mol⁻¹) are the number of electrons exchanged per mole O2 and the molecular weight of O_2 . The V_s is volume of the analyte in anode chamber (50 mL) and 2 is the number of electrons per moles H_2 . The amount of H_2 moles that can be recovered based on the measured current from experiment $(n_{H2} [CE])$ is calculated using Equation (5), as follows:

$$n_{H2\ [CE]} = \frac{\int_0^t I dt}{2F},\tag{5}$$

where I(A) is the current calculated from the voltage (volt) across the external resistor used ($R_{ex} = 1 \Omega$) and dt is the time interval for data collection. The F is Faraday's constant (96 485 C mol⁻¹) and 2 is the number of electrons per moles H_2 . Equation (6) is used to calculate the columbic H₂ recovery($r_{H2 \ [CE]}$), as follows:

$$r_{H_2[CE]} = \frac{nH_2[CE]}{nH_2[S]} = CE \text{ or } CE = \frac{nH_2[CE]}{nH_2[S]} = \frac{rH_2[S]}{rH_2[cat]}.$$
(6)

Based on Equation (7), the cathodic H₂ recovery (r_{H2} [*cat*]) can be defined as a fraction from the total amount of electrons in the cathode that can be converted to H₂. The overall H₂ recovery (r_{H2} [*tot*]) is determined using Equation (8). The total amount of recovered H₂ moles versus the theoretical value is known as the efficiency of H₂ production.

$$r_{H2\,[cat]} = \frac{nH_2}{nH_2[CE]} \tag{7}$$

$$r_{H2 \ [tot]} = r_{H2 \ [cat]x} \operatorname{CE} = \frac{nH_2}{nH_2[S]}.$$
 (8)

The energy efficiency relative ($\eta_{\rm E}$) refers to the ratio of energy content of H₂ produced to the input electrical energy. The $\eta_{\rm E}$ is calculated based on the Equation (9), as follows:

$$n_E = \frac{WH_2}{W_E} = \frac{nH_2 \, x \, \Delta H \, H_2}{\sum_1^n \left(I \, E_{ap} \, \Delta t - I^2 R_{ex} \, \Delta t\right)},\tag{9}$$

where W_{H2} (kJ) is the energy produced by H₂ based on the total amount of produced H₂ moles (nH_2) multiplied by the energy content of H₂ (ΔH_{H2} (285.83 kJ mol⁻¹). W_E (kJ) is the total amount of energy added into the circuit by the PS minus the losses across the external resistor (R_{ex} , 1 Ω) and E_{ap} (V) is the applied voltage to the reactors. The number of substrate moles (n_s) consumed during experiment based on COD removal is determined by Equation (10), as follows:

$$n_S = \frac{\Delta COD \, V_l}{M_s},\tag{10}$$

where M_S is molecular weight of substrate. The energy efficiency relative to the substrate (η_S) is calculated using Equation (11), as follows:

$$n_{S} = \frac{WH_{2}}{W_{S}} = \frac{n_{H_{2}}\Delta H_{H_{2}}}{n_{H2}\Delta H_{s}},$$
(11)

where ΔH_s is heat combustion for substrate. The overall energy recovery (n_{E+S}) can be calculated using Equation (12), as follows:

$$n_{E+S} = \frac{WH_2}{W_E + W_S}.$$
(12)

The maximum volumetric H_2 production rate, Q_{max} (m³ H_2 m⁻³ d⁻¹) directly corresponds to the volumetric

5

current density.⁹ Theoretically, the Q_{max} can be calculated using Equation (13), as follows:

$$Q = 3.68 \,\mathrm{x} \, 10^{-5} \, I_V \, Tr_{H_{2[cat]}}, \tag{13}$$

where 3.68×10^{-5} is a constant that includes the Faraday's constant (96 485 C mol⁻¹), pressure (1 atm), and unit conversion. The volumetric current density (I_V , Am⁻³) is averaged over 4-hours period of maximum current production and divided by the volume of substrate, and *T* (K) is the temperature of experiment.

3 | **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

3.1 | Conditions of electrolytes before and after MEC operation

Dual chamber MECs were constructed by using GF as anode, while Ni/Ti or Ni/GF as cathodes and CEM (CMI 7000s) as separator. Before and after MEC operations, the electrolyte properties such as COD, pH, and conductivity were evaluated. The change in the properties could elaborate the effect of electrolyte conditions on MEC performances. The change in pH and conductivity were shown in Table 1. The pH value refers to the concentration of protons $[H^+]$ in electrolyte. The high $[H^+]$ concentration results the low pH value. On the other hand, the electrolyte conductivity is closely related to the amount of charged ions in the solution.

The high concentration of proton $[H^+]$ in the analyte was due to the low transfer efficiency of protons from anolyte to catholyte,^{27,28} consequently, the pH value was low. Meanwhile, the decrease in conductivity might be due to the most of VFAs ions were converted into carbon dioxide (CO₂), and/or the most of cations were move toward catholyte. Overall, the pH values and conductivities of anolytes were decreased (Table 1). For example MEC with Pt/GF, the pH value and conductivity were decreased from 7.01 ± 0.01 to 5.73 ± 0.02 and 15.86 \pm 0.03 to 13.01 \pm 0.02 mScm⁻¹, respectively. On the other hand, the pH and conductivity of catholyte were increased from 7.01 to 12.20 and 13.08 ± 0.02 to 18.25 ± 0.02 mScm⁻¹, respectively. These facts might due to the accumulation of charged ions in the catholyte. The increase in pH might due to the amount of hydroxide ions in the catholyte as resulted the water electrolysis.²⁹ As shown in Equation (20), the water was electrolyzed by currents to produce protons and hydroxide ions. Since the current was simultaneously supplied into the reactor, the protons were then reduced to form hydrogen gas while hydroxide ions were accumulated in catholyte.

	pH		Conductivity (mSe	cm ⁻¹)
Cathodes	Anolyte	Catholyte	Anolyte	Catholyte
At the beginning	g of MEC run			
Pt/GF	7.01 ± 0.01	7.01 ± 0.01	15.86 ± 0.03	13.08 ± 0.02
Ni/Ti	7.01 ± 0.01	7.01 ± 0.01	15.86 ± 0.03	13.08 ± 0.02
Ni/GF	7.01 ± 0.01	7.01 ± 0.01	15.86 ± 0.03	13.08 ± 0.02
At the end of MI	EC run			
Pt/GF	5.73 ± 0.02	12.20 ± 0.02	13.01 ± 0.02	18.25 ± 0.02
Ni/Ti	6.02 ± 0.01	12.00 ± 0.01	13.49 ± 0.02	17.00 ± 0.02
Ni/GF	5.78 ± 0.02	11.90 ± 0.02	13.81 ± 0.02	15.50 ± 0.02

TABLE 1 Summary of electrolyte conditions at the beginning and at the end of MEC run at 1.0 V of $E_{ap} = 1.0$ V for 48 h

These facts illustrate that the pH and conductivity (correspond to resistance of electrolyte) are contributed to the HER at the cathode. The pH affects the EAB ability to generate protons while the conductivity responsible on electron transfer. Due to the HER depend on the proton supply from anolyte to undergo reduction at the cathode,³⁰ so the effect of pH on hydrogen production is more significant compared to the electrolyte conductivity.³¹ In addition to the pH and conductivity, the additional voltage and catalyst are needed to overcome the endothermic barrier. In general, the additional voltage in the range of 0.5-1.0 V was applied into the reactor using Pt or other non-noble metals as the catalyst.¹⁸ Usually, metal based cathodes or catalysts are guite sensitive to the change in pH of catholyte.³² Therefore, the pH of catholyte must be considered during MEC operation.

In this study, FE was used as anolyte while KCl as catholyte. FE degradation and H₂ formation can be described based on the VFAs reactions at the anode and hydrogen production at the cathode. In these stages, H⁺, e^- and CO₂ productions were generated by EAB at the anode while H₂ generated via HER at the cathode. As mentioned above, the FE composed by a various VFA such as acetic, propionic, butyric lactic, and malic. It was well known that the acetate was degraded more rapidly compared to the other one.³³ Generally, the VFAs were oxidized by microorganisms (ie, EAB) to produce currents, proton, and carbon dioxide. To better understand the FE oxidation in the anode, the mechanisms of each VFA can generally be described as follows:

Anode reaction;

Acetate;
$$C_2H_4O_2 + 2H_2O \xrightarrow{EAB} 8H^+ + 8e^- + 2CO_2$$
 (14)

Propionate;
$$C_3H_6O_2 + 4H_2O \xrightarrow{EAB} 14H^+ + 14e^- + 3CO_2$$
(15)

Butyrate;
$$C_4H_8O_2 + 6H_2O \xrightarrow{EAB} 20H^+ + 20e^- + 4CO_2$$

(16)

Lactate;
$$C_3H_6O_3 + 3H_2O \xrightarrow{EAB} 12H^+ + 12e^- + 3CO_2$$
 (17)

Malate;
$$C_4H_6O_5 + 3H_2O \xrightarrow{EAB} 12H^+ + 12e^- + 4CO_2$$
 (18)

Cathode reaction;

Hydrogen evolution reaction (HER);
$$2H^+ + 2e^-$$

 $\xrightarrow{0.5-1.0 V} H_2$ (19)

Water electrolysis;
$$2H_2O + 2e^-$$

 $\Leftrightarrow^{0.5-1.0V} 2H^+ + 2OH^- + 2e^- \xrightarrow{0.5-1.0V} H_2 + 2OH^-.$ (20)

The EAB activities in the anode compartment could be identified by measuring the change in COD. The high COD removal (Δ COD) indicates the high amount of substrate consumed by EAB, which contributes to the hydrogen production.³⁴ From the Figure 2, MEC with Pt/GF shows the highest $COD_{removal}$ (43.2 ± 0.3%), followed by Ni/Ti (40.1 \pm 0.5%) and Ni/GF (37.8 \pm 0.5%). Since high HER consumes the protons and electrons efficiently thus increasing cathode redox potential and proton gradient between the membrane. The increase in the cathode redox potential and proton gradient improve the conditions for a higher anode reaction.³⁵ The CODs were gradually decreased along with the hydrogen production. These facts describe that the cathode materials were positively contributed to the COD removal. However, some part of COD was removed by other microorganisms not only by EAB but also particularly when mixed-culture is used as source of inoculum during the anode enrichment process.



FIGURE 2 The change in COD at the beginning and at the end of MEC operation [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Therefore, existence of other microorganisms should be accounted in the MEC systems.

3.2 | Characterization of cathodes

A simple and effective approach in the electrochemical depositions process is known as the ED technique using the DC method. The current flows in the electrolyte (solution) via the conducting anode and cathode. The ED technique homogeneously deposits and distributes the metal ions (cations) onto the surface or pores of material.³⁶ To obtain the desired thickness and homogenous distribution, the several factors such as concentration and pH of solution, impurities present in the solutions, temperature, current density, operation time and agitation, should be controlled during the process.³⁷ In this study, the simple Ni salt solution was used to deposit Ni particles. Based on the results, the ED technique successfully deposits Ni particles on the Ti and GF surfaces. These fact was proved by the SEM and EDX analysis. Based on the EDX analysis, there were no Ni element detected on the Ti and Ni surfaces before the ED process, in which the element compositions of Ti and GF were recorded as 100% of titanium and carbon, respectively. Meanwhile, the element compositions of the Ti and GF surfaces were changed after the ED process, in which the Ni compositions on Ti and GF surfaces were recorded as 36.6% and 48.6%, respectively (Table 2). However, the other elements such as chlorine (Cl), calcium (Ca), iron (Fe), potassium (K), sodium (Na), magnesium (Mg), phosphorus (P) and sulfur (S) were also obtained on the cathode surface. The presence of other elements might due to the presence of impurities in the solution which were contributed to the element compositions on the cathode surface. The

TABLE 2 The selected element compositions on the cathode surfaces using EDX analysis

	Element	t composi	tions (%)	on the su	urfaces
Cathodes	с	Ni	0	Ti	Others
Before ED					
GF	100	-	-	-	-
Ti	-	-	-	100	-
Start up ME	C operati	on: after	ED		
Ni/GF	10.3	48.6	5.4	-	35.7
Ni/Ti	-	36.6	14.9	2.2	46.3
After 12 mo	MEC ope	ration			
Ni/GF	66.4	2.9	23.5	-	7.2
Ni/Ti	-	5.2	41.9	14.3	38.6

presence of impurities in the solution have negative effect on the current density, quality, and the growth morphology.

After 12 months of MEC operation, however, the Ni element compositions of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF surfaces were drastically decreased from 36.6% to 5.2% and 48.6% to 2.9%, respectively. These cases might be due to the presence of other elements covered the cathode surface and the Ni particles detach from the cathode surfaces during MEC operation. Meanwhile, the increase in oxygen composition on Ni/Ti and Ni/GF surfaces might be due to the presence of oxide layer as a result of the electrolysis process at the cathode during the supply of the additional voltage into the reactors. In detail, MEC performance after 12 months will be discussed in Section 3.5.

The use of Ni catalyst to improve the cathode performances has also been studied by Salembo et al² and Vij et al.¹⁶ For instance, Ni catalyst can be used to enhance the stainless steel (SS A286) performance. This fact was proved by the maximum volumetric hydrogen production rate (Q) of SS A286/Ni (after deposited with Ni) was increased from 0.01 ± 0.0001 to $0.76 \pm 0.16 \text{ m}^3\text{H}_2 \text{ m}^{-3}\text{d}^{-1}$. Whereas, this study shows the Q of Ti and GF after deposited with Ni were obtained 0.39 $m^3 H_2 \ m^{-3} d^{-1}$ and 0.33 $m^{3}H_{2}$ $m^{-3}d^{-1}$, respectively, which were comparable to that of Pt/CC (0.4 $m^{3}H_{2}$ $m^{-3}d^{-1}$) reported by Sleutels et al³⁸ (Table 4). However, the Q of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF were lower compared to that of SS A286/Ni. This fact might due to the difference substrate and configuration of MEC that were used during experiment. It is well known that the simple substrate (ie, acetate) easily consumed by EAB to generate hydrogen compared to the complex substrate (ie, FE.⁴¹ In addition, the single chamber MEC generally shows better performance compared to that of the dual chamber MEC.42



FIGURE 3 SEM images for GF and titanium foam (Ti); the images for A, GF and D, Ti before deposited with Ni, B, GF and E, Ti after deposited with Ni, C, Ni/GF and F, Ni/Ti at the end of MEC experiment (after 12 months)

Cathode's morphologies were successfully characterized by using SEM, as shown in Figure 3. The images of GF and Ti before ED were shown in Figure 3A,D while after elctrodeposition were shown in Figure 3B,E, respectively. Before ED, the surfaces of GF and Ti were clean and smooth. These images show the significant difference compared to that of GF and Ti after ED which were quite coarse and uneven. Compositions of main elements such as C, Ti, Ni, and O on GF and Ti surfaces were listed in Table 2. Furthermore, Figure 3C, F were Ni/GF and Ni/Ti images after 12 months of MEC operation. The images show the cathode surfaces were much rougher than before and after ED. These cases might due to the cathode surfaces were covered by other components such as salt and/or oxide layer. The presence of salt on the cathode surfaces might be due to the use of catholyte (KCl) although the cathodes were washed before SEM analysis. Also, oxygen (O) compositions on Ni/GF and Ni/Ti were increased from 5.4% to 23.5% and 14.9% to 41.9%, respectively. The presence of other constituents (impurities) such as salt or oxide layer on the surface might contribute to the change in physicochemical properties of cathode (ie, ohmic resistance and charge transfers). These surface conditions affect the catalytic properties which leads to reduce electron flow,⁴³ consequently, the hydrogen production was reduced (Section 3.5).

As shown in Table 2, the other elements were observed on the surfaces. Several elements such as Ca, Cl, Fe, K, Na, Mg, Ca, P, Si, and S were obtained in low compositions (average < 4% for each element) on Ni/Ti

and Ni/GF surfaces after 12 months of MEC operation. The presence of these elements might due to the impurities, the use of catholyte (KCl) and diffusion of anolyte ions (ie, Ca, Mg, Na, P) from anode to cathode. These elements affect the cathode performance, therefore, to ensure the effect of each element on the catalytic properties, the extended study should be performed in the further work.

3.3 | Catalytic properties of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF

In electrochemical analysis, LSV tests can generally be used to investigate the catalytic performance of materials. LSV tests generate the electrochemical data (voltammogram) that can be converted to Tafel plot. To obtain Tafel plot, the current density (J, mAcm^{-2}) is plotted as y-axis while the potential (V) as x-axis. Tafel plot's slopes and y-intercepts are useful to identify the electrocatlytic properties of the materials. Commonly, the steeper slopes and y-intercepts (at low current density) indicate a better electrocatalytic performance.^{1,44} As predicted the slope of Pt/GF (Figure 4A) was steeper than Ni/Ti (Figure 4B) and Ni/GF (Figure 4C). For this study, the slopes and y-intercepts of cathodes were presented in Table 3.

As shown in Table 3, the best cathode was Pt/GF with slope of 23.628 dec mAcm⁻² V⁻¹, followed by Ni/Ti (22.962 dec mAcm⁻² V⁻¹) and Ni/GF (21.123 dec



FIGURE 4 Tafel plots for MEC for A, Pt/GF, B, Ni/Ti and C, Ni/GF cathodes [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 3 The Tafel plots's slopes and y-intercepts for Pt/GF, Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes

	Low current density		High current density		
Material	Slope (decade mAcm ⁻² V ⁻¹)	y-intercept (mAcm ⁻²)	Slope (decade mAcm ⁻² V ⁻¹)	y-intercept (mAcm ⁻²)	V-intersect (V)
Pt/GF	-23.628	-6.9320	-0.1365	-3.6569	-0.04
Ni/Ti	-22.962	-6.8401	-0.6171	-4.2569	-0.12
Ni/GF	-21.123	-7.9983	-1.0198	-5.2178	-0.16

mAcm⁻² V⁻¹). Whereas, the *y*-intercept for Pt/GF was obtained $-6.9320 \text{ mAcm}^{-2}$ followed by $-6.8401 \text{ mAcm}^{-2}$ (Ni/Ti) and $-7.9983 \text{ mAcm}^{-2}$ (Ni/GF). The V-intersect are the intersection between two linear regressions, which refers to the potential where cathodic reaction (ie, HER) occurs. The lower V-intersect indicates a better cathode performance due to HER started at the lower onset potential. From the Table 3, Pt/GF shows the lowest V-intersect (-0.04 V) followed by Ni/Ti (-0.12 V) and Ni/GF (-0.16 V). Therefore, the performance of MEC with Pt/GF was better compared to that of Ni/Ti and

Ni/GF. In terms of the maximum volumetric hydrogen production rate, however, Ni/Ti was better compared to that of Pt metal as reported by Salembo et al² while Ni/GF better than that of C/Pt as reported by Sleutels et al⁴⁵ (Section 3.5). These facts indicate the Ni/Ti and Ni/GF can also be used as alternative cathodes in MEC application. Figure 4 shows the Tafel plots for Pt/GF (a), Ni/Ti (b) and Ni/GF (c). The Tafel plots consisting two linear regressions; one at high current densities (dashed line) and one at low current densities (solid line). MEC should be theoretically operated at high current density

	outilitiery of		Iallees at 0.1 V UI $B_{\tilde{c}}$	₁ р ани 24 и орстанк	un unue compared	in itte selected te	sentences			
Cathodes	Subs.	E_{ap} V)	r _{H2} [cod] (%)	r _{H2} [<i>Cat</i>] (%)	n_E (%)	n_{E+S} (%)	$I_{\nu} ({\rm Am}^{-3})$	H ₂ (%)	$Q (m^3 H_2 m^{-3} d^{-1})$	References
Pt/GF	FE	1.0	43.9 ± 4.5	46.5 ± 4.4	138 ± 13	38 ± 4	115.7 ± 0.4	70.7 ± 4.1	0.59 ± 0.00	This study
Ni/Ti	FE	1.0	36.9 ± 0.8	39.0 ± 0.9	131 ± 4	31 ± 1	91.3 ± 1.4	68.6 ± 0.6	0.39 ± 0.01	This study
Ni/GF	FE	1.0	29.9 ± 2.3	35.4 ± 2.1	107 ± 9	26 ± 2	85.5 ± 7.9	55.6 ± 2.4	0.33 ± 0.03	This study
NiMo/NF	WM	0.6	NA	89	238.4 ± 11.3	NA	NA	NA	0.13 ± 0.01	17
SS A 286/Ni	Ac.	0.9	56 ± 2	52 ± 4	137 ± 12	48 ± 3	130 ± 21	76 ± 2	0.76 ± 0.16	2
Ni powder	Ac.	0.9	75 ± 1	86 ± 1	215 ± 8	ı	103 ± 4	67 ± 0	0.9 ± 1.0	1
Pt metal	Ac.	0.9	46 ± 4	47 ± 2	81 ± 3	35 ± 3	129 ± 7	74 ± 2	0.68 ± 0.06	2
Pt/GB	Ac.	0.9	23 ± 4	53±6	ı	ı	93 ± 22	74 ± 4	0.8 ± 0.2	39
Pt/CC	Ac.	1.0	ı	ı	I	ı	ı		0.4	38
SS	FE	1.0	$2 \pm 0^*$	41 ± 12	ı		19.8 ± 3.7		0.016*	40
Abbreviations: . on the carbon c	Ac., acetate; I loth; Pt/GB, _I	₹E, fermentati əlatinum coate	on effluent; WW, w ed on the graphite t	/astewater; NA, not vrush; Pt/GF, platin	t available; Ni/GF, uum coated on the	nickel deposited GF; SS, stainless	on the GF; Ni/Ti. steel; *, calculate	, nickel deposite d.	d on the titanium; Pt/CC,	platinum coated

SATAR ET AL.

3.4 | Maximum volumetric hydrogen production rate (Q) for Ni/Ti and Ni/GF

The hydrogen production rate (Q) is one of important parameters that can be used to evaluate the performance of MEC with Ni/Ti and Ni/GF. As predicted, Pt/GF shows the highest $Q (0.59 \pm 0.00 \text{ m}^3 \text{ H}_2 \text{ m}^{-3} \text{d}^{-1})$ followed by Ni/Ti $(0.39 \pm 0.01 \text{ m}^3 \text{ H}_2 \text{ m}^{-3}\text{d}^{-1})$ and Ni/GF (0.33 \pm 0.03 m³ H₂ m⁻³d⁻¹). The Q of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF were comparable with that measured of the Pt-catalyzed carbon cloth (Pt/CC, 0.4 m³ H₂ m⁻³d⁻¹).³⁸ The Q of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF were directly affected by the EAB activity. As discussed above, hydrogen production at the cathode is closely related to supply of protons by EAB from the anode.²⁹ Low pH and conductivity affects the EAB activity, consequently the supply of protons from anode to undergo reduction through electrolysis at the cathode is low.⁴⁶ Commonly, low pH and conductivity result the low Q. In addition to the pH and conductivity, the operation time affects the performances of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF. As shown in Figure 5, the optimum of operation time for Ni/Ti and Ni/GF were observed at 24 hours. Low Q at 8 hours of operation time was due to the low protons supply. Meanwhile, Low Q at 48 hours of operation time caused by the EAB activity was decreased at low pH. To better understand the effect of electrolyte conductivity on the Q, the further experiment should be performed. After 24 hours of MEC run, the pH and conductivity of anolyte were decreased, otherwise, the pH and conductivity of catholyte were increased (see Table 1). Also, type of substrate plays an important role to the EAB activity which contributes to the MEC performance. Based on the Table 4, simple substrate such as acetate was easier to generate hydrogen compared to complex substrate such as WW and FE.

3.5 | Performance of Pt-based cathodes compared to Ni/Ti and Ni/GF

Commonly, the cathode performance is evaluated by measuring the several parameter such as the r_{H2} [*Cat*], n_E , I_v , and Q. Overall, the Ni/Ti and Ni/GF performances were lower compared to that of Pt/GF, except the n_E of 131.3 ± 4.3% for Ni/Ti (Table 4). Meanwhile, the hydrogen compositions (H %) of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF were obtained 68.6 ± 0.6% and 55.6 ± 2.4% respectively, which



FIGURE 5 Trends of the maximum volumetric hydrogen production rates (*Q*) of MEC for 48 hours of operation time. These *Q* data were collected at the first month of experiment [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

were lower compared to that of Pt metal $(74 \pm 2\%)^2$ and Pt/GB $(74 \pm 4\%)$.³⁹ Increase in volume and hydrogen composition were positively related to increase in the applied voltage. For example, the hydrogen production and composition of Pt/GF were obtained 18.2 ± 1.2 mL and $23.2 \pm 2.1\%$ (data not shown) at 0.6 V which were lower compared to 27.2 ± 2.6 mL and $70.7 \pm 4.1\%$ at 1.0 V. This fact describes the additional voltage also plays a crucial role to the cathode performance. However, the higher voltage applied in the range of 1.0 V - 1.2 V has no significant contribution to increase in the hydrogen production (P > .05, t test). In this work, hydrogen production for Pt/GF at 1.1 V and 1.2 V were 28.1 ± 0.1 and 28.3 ± 0.1 mL respectively, while the hydrogen purities around 71%. In many researches, the applied voltage in the range of 0.5-1.0 V is used in MEC system which was consistent with the applied voltage used in this study. Based on the substrate, however, the Q of MEC with Ni/Ti and Ni/GF were much higher compared to that of SS using FE (0.016 $m^3 H_2 \; m^{-3} d^{-1})^{40}$ and NiMo/NF using WW $(0.13 \pm 0.01 \text{ m}^{3}\text{H}_{2} \text{ m}^{-3}\text{d}^{-1})$.¹⁷ This result indicates the feasibility of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes to generate hydrogen from real wastewater (ie, FE) was good. Overall, The Ni/Ti and Ni/GF show the promising performance to generate hydrogen from FE (as a real wastewater representative).

4 | CONCLUSIONS

The Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes were prepared by using a simple method such as ED technique. Feasibility of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF were successfully evaluated for

ENERGY RESEARCH -WILEY

generating hydrogen from dark FE in MEC. Also, FE with a small pH modification (ie, pH = 7.0) could be reused as substrate to generate hydrogen. The efficiency of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF using FE substrate (η_{E+S}) were obtained 31% and 26%, respectively. Low cost materials of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF show the promising performance in MEC. Due to FE could be assumed as a representation of real wastewater, the MEC with inexpensive cathodes could be applied toward real application. However, to declare the statement, the real wastewater must be used as substrate in MEC using Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes in future works.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support given by the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) via the research sponsorship of MI-2018-015 and the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia via the research sponsorship of FRGS/2/2013/TK06/UKM/02/9. The authors would also like to acknowledge plagiarism check assistance by Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azrina Md Ralib of International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM).

ORCID

Ibdal Satar D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2721-2575

REFERENCES

- Salembo PA, Merrill MD, Logan BE. Hydrogen production with nickel powder cathode catalysts in microbial electrolysis cells. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2010;35:428-437.
- Salembo PA, Merrill MD, Logan BE. The use of stainless steel and nickel alloys as low cost cathodes in microbial electrolysis cells. *J Power Sources*. 2009;190:271-278.
- Li Y, Liu W, Zhang Z, Du X, Yu L, Deng Y. A self-powered electrolytic process for glucose to hydrogen conversion. *Commun Chem.* 2019;2(67):1-9.
- Lu L, Ren ZJ. Microbial electrolysis cells for waste biorefinery: a state of the art review. *Bioresour Technol.* 2016;215: 254-264.
- Marone A, Ayala-Campos OR, Trably E, et al. Coupling dark fermentation and microbial electrolysis to enhance biohydrogen production from agro-industrial wastewaters and byproducts in a bio-refinery framework. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2017;42:1609-1621.
- Wang A, Sun D, Cao G, et al. Integrated hydrogen production process from cellulose by combining dark fermentation, microbial fuel cells, and a microbial electrolysis cell. *Bioresour Technol.* 2011;102:4137-4143.
- Ramírez-Vargas CA, Prado A, Arias CA, Carvalho PN, Esteve-Núñez A, Brix H. Microbial electrochemical technologies for wastewater treatment: principles and evolution from microbial fuel cells to bioelectrochemical-based constructed wetlands. *Water*. 2018;10(1128):1-29.
- Rozendal RA, Jeremiasse AW, Hamelers HVM, Buisman CJN. Hydrogen production with a microbial biocathode. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2007;42:629-634.

- Logan BE, Call D, Cheng S, et al. Microbial electrolysis cells for high yield hydrogen gas production from organic matter. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2008;42:8630-8640.
- 10. Logan BE, Cheng S, Watson V, Estadt G. Graphite fiber brush anodes for increased power production in air-cathode microbial fuel cells. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2007;41:3341-3346.
- Cheng S, Logan BE. Sustainable and efficient biohydrogen production via electrohydrogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007;104(47):18871-18873.
- Liu H, Grot S, Logan BE. Electrochemically assisted microbial production of hydrogen from acetate. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2005;39(11):4317-4320.
- Kundu A, Sahu JN, Redzwan G, Hashim MA. An overview of cathode material and catalysts suitable for generating hydrogen in microbial electrolysis cell. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2013;38: 1745-1757.
- Freguia S, Rabaey K, Yuan Z, Keller J. Non-catalyzed cathodic oxygen reduction at graphite granules in microbial fuel cells. *Elecrochim Acta*. 2007;53:598-603.
- 15. Sobrova P, Zehnalek J, Adam V, Beklova M, Kizek R. The effects on soil/water/plant/animal systems by platinum group elements. *Cent Eur J Chem.* 2012;10(5):1369-1382.
- Vij V, Sultan S, Harzandi AM, et al. Nickel-based electrocatalysts for energy related applications: oxygen reduction, oxygen evolution, and hydrogen evolution reactions. *ACS Catal.* 2017;7(10):7196-7225.
- Mitov M, Chorbadzhiyska E, Nalbandian L, Hubenova Y. Nickel-based electrodeposits as potential cathode catalysts for hydrogen production by microbial electrolysis. *J Power Sources*. 2019;xxx:1-6.
- Jeremiasse AW, Hamelers HVM, Saakes M, Buisman CJN. Ni foam cathode enables high volumetric H₂ production in a microbial electrolysis cell. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2010;35: 12716-12723.
- Tenca A, Cusick RD, Schievano A, Oberti R, Logan BE. Evaluation of low cost cathode materials for treatment of industrial and food processing wastewater using microbial electrolysis cells. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2013;38:1859-1865.
- Kadier A, Simayi Y, Chandrasekhar K, Ismail M, Kalil MS. Hydrogen gas production with an electroformed Ni mesh cathode catalysts in a single-chamber microbial electrolysis cell (MEC). *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2015;40(41):14095-14103.
- Wang L, Li Y, Yin X, et al. Comparison of three nickel-based carbon composite catalysts for hydrogen evolution reaction in alkaline solution. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2017;42:22655-22662.
- Malaysia S-A. Catalysts and metals. www.sigmaaldrich.com/ malaysia. 2019. Accessed December 12, 2019.
- Satar I, Daud WRW, Kim BH, Somalu MR, Ghasemi M. Immobilized mixed-culture reactor (IMcR) for hydrogen and methane production from glucose. *Energy*. 2017;139:1188-1196.
- 24. Satar I, Daud WRW, Kim BH, et al. Performance of titaniumnickel (Ti/Ni) and graphite felt-nickel (Ni/GF) electrodeposited by Ni as alternative cathodes for microbial fuel cells. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* 2018;89:67-76.
- 25. Cheng S, Logan BE. Ammonia treatment of carbon cloth anodes to enhance power generation of microbial fuel cells. *Electrochem Commun.* 2007;9:492-496.

- Liu H, Ramnarayanan R, Logan BE. Production of electricity during wastewater treatment using a single chamber microbial fuel cell. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2004;38:2281-2285.
- Wang A, Liu W, Ren N, Zhou J, Cheng S. Key factors affecting microbial anode potential in a microbial electrolysis cell for H₂ production. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2010;35:13481-13487.
- 28. Lovley DR. The microbe electric: conversion of organic matter to electricity. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2008;19:564-571.
- 29. Harnisch F, Schrçder U. Selectivity versus mobility: separation of anode and cathode in microbial bioelectrochemical systems. *ChemSusChem.* 2009;2:921-926.
- Shinagawa T, Garcia-Esparza AT, Takanabe K. Insight on Tafel slopes from a microkinetic analysis of aqueous electrocatalysis for energy conversion. *Sci Rep.* 2015;5:13801.
- Merrill MD, Logan BE. Electrolyte effects on hydrogen evolution and solution resistance in microbial electrolysis cells. *J Power Sources*. 2009;191:203-208.
- 32. Kyazze G, Popov A, Dinsdale R, et al. Influence of catholyte pH and temperature on hydrogen production from acetate using a two chamber concentric tubular microbial electrolysis cell. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2010;35:7716-7722.
- Cerrillo M, Viñas M, Bonmati A. Removal of volatile fatty acids and ammonia recovery from instable anaerobic digesters with a microbial electrolysis cell. *Bioresour Technol.* 2016;219: 348-356.
- Logan BE. Feature article: biologically extracting energy from wastewater: biohydrogen production and microbial fuel cells. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2004;38:160A-167A.
- Sarala P, Venkatesha TV. Effect of cathode materials on electrochemical degradation of Luganil Blue N and Acid Red I. Port Electrochim Acta. 2013;31:175-183.
- Chen P-C, Chang Y-M, Wu P-W, Chiu YF. Fabrication of Ni nanowires for hydrogen evolution reaction in a neutral electrolyte. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2009;34:6596-6602.
- Kumar S, Pande S, Verma P. Factor effecting electro-deposition process. *Int J Curr Eng Technol.* 2015;5:700-703.
- Sleutels THJA, Lodder R, Hamelers HVM, Buisman CJN. Improved performance of porous bio-anodes in microbial electrolysis cells by enhancing mass and charge transport. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2009;34:9655-9661.
- Wagner RC, Regan JM, Oh SE, Zuo Y, Logan BE. Hydrogen and methane production from swine wastewater using microbial electrolysis cells. *Water Res.* 2009;43:1480-1488.
- Chookaew T, Prasertsan P, Ren ZJ. Two-stage conversion of crude glycerol to energy using dark fermentation linked with microbial fuel cell or microbial electrolysis cell. *N Biotechnol.* 2014;31(1):179-184.
- Wang J, Wan W. Factors influencing fermentative hydrogen production: a review. Int J Hydrogen Energy. 2009;34: 799-811.
- Call DF, Logan BE. Hydrogen production in a single chamber microbial electrolysis cell lacking a membrane. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2008;42(9):3401-3406.
- Ghasemi M, Daud WRW, Hassan SHA, et al. Carbon nanotube/polypyrrole nanocomposite as a novel cathode catalyst and proper alternative for Pt in microbial fuel cell. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2015;41:4872-4878.

- 44. Merrill MD. Water Electrolysis at the Thermodinamic Limit [doctoral dissertation]. Tallahassee, FL: Florida State University; 2007.
- 45. Sleutels THJA, Hamelers HVM, Rozendal RA, Buisman CJN. Ion transport resistance in microbial electrolysis cells with anion and cation exchange membranes. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2009;34:3612-3620.
- Yossan S, Xiao L, Prasertsan P, He Z. Hydrogen production in microbial electrolysis cells: choice of catholyte. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2013;38:9619-9624.

How to cite this article: Satar I, Abu Bakar MH, Wan Daud WR, Mohd Yasin NH, Somalu MR, Kim BH. Feasibility of Ni/Ti and Ni/GF cathodes in microbial electrolysis cells for hydrogen production from fermentation effluent: A step toward real application. *Int J Energy Res.* 2020; 1–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/er.5466</u>