https://www.matec-conferences.org/



https://www.matec-conferences.org/articles/matecconf/abs/2018/13/matecconf_ice t4sd2018_01069/matecconf_icet4sd2018_01069.html

ecp sciences Jou	rnals Books	Conferences				EDPS Account Web of Conferences
MATEC Web o	l Conferences	All issues	Series	Forthcoming	About	Q Search ≡ Menu
olissues ► Volume 15	4 (2018) • MATEC \	Veb Conf., 154 (2018)	01069 • Abs	tract	A	
Open Access						Table of Contents
Issue	MATEC Web Conf. Volume 154, 2018					Article
Article Number	The 2 nd Internation (ICET45D 2017) 01069	nal Conference on Engi	neering and T	echnology for Sustainable	e Development	Abstract PDF (323.0 KB) References
Number of	5					
page(s) Section	Engineering and Te	choology				III Metrics
DOI Bublished only	https://doi.org/10.1	1051/matecconf/20181	5401069			Show article metrics
ATEC Web of Conf	erences 154, 010	59 (2018)				() Services
						Same authors
Determini	ng the vel	nicles rout	es by c	onsidering	its	- Google Scholar
different ty	vpes and	capacity (h	etero	geneous) to	minimize	- EDP Sciences database
he total d	stributio	n cost		5,		
ine cocar a	Scribacio	ii cosc				Download citation
lurhidayat and An	inie Purwani [*]					
ndustrial Engineerir	ng University of A	hmad Dahlan, Jl. Dr	. Soepornoja	anturan Yogyakarta In	donesia	Related Articles
* Corresponding author: annie.purwani@ie.uad.ac.id					Relationship of Exogen Variables for Customer Use and Satisfaction of Delivery Services E35 Web of Conferences 328, 05013 (2021)	
bstract						
ackaged sugar is c	one of the produ	cts in sugar cane r	nanufacture	, PT Madubaru Yogy	akarta. Currently, the	Partial Discharge Analysis on Isolator of
ompany deals with	a high distributi	on cost because th	ere is no pl	an to determine the	vehicle route, vehicle	MATEC Web of Conferences 215 01019 (2018)
ype, and capacity f	or distributing the	a product. In this re	search, the	optimum route of th	e distributing vehicles	
s developed. The co	ompany has three	e different types an	d capacity o	of vehicles: L300, HD,	and PS. A problem in	Test Equipment Adding Electric Supercharger on
determining the dis	tribution route h	ere is called as Vel	hicle Routin	g Problem (VRP). The	basic form of classic	Injection Machine for Biofuel Optimization
companies have the	vehicles with sar	ne capacity. The he	terogeneou	s variant is used to m	inimize the fixed cost	E3S Web of Conferences 328, 07009 (2021)
of vehicles and di	stribution varian	t cost using Sequ	ential Inser	tion Algorithm. This	research has three	P. On all standing
ourposes; are mini	mizing the num	ber of vehicles u	sed (NV), T	otal time of Comple	etion tour (TCT) and	
Distribution Total Cost (TCD). The results based on a test calculation of a shipment date (August 19, 2016) of the company show three alternative solutions to distribute the packaged sugar to 12 consumers. The third						f 🗾 in 🙆 🛤
Based on the calcu	lation results, it	is needed 2 vehicl	es type HD	with capacity 7,000	kg and type PS with	
apacity 3,500 kg, w	ith total time of	completion tour (T	CT) is 828.4	9 minutes or 13.81 h	ours, and distribution	Reader's services
otal cost is IDR. 959	,011.					Email-alert
D The Authors, pub	lished by EDP Scie	ences, 2018				
the original work is p	is an Open Acces ise 4.0, which perr properly cited. (http	s article distributed nits unrestricted use c//creativecommons.	under the t distribution org/licenses	terms of the Creative , and reproduction in a (by/4.0/).	Commons Attribution any medium, provided	
MATEC Web of	Conferences					🔊 🔕

https://www.matec-conferences.org/articles/matecconf/pdf/2018/13/matecconf_ice t4sd2018_01069.pdf

MATEC Web of Conferences 154, 01069 (2018) ICET4SD 2017 https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201815401069

Determining the vehicles routes by considering its different types and capacity (heterogeneous) to minimize the total distribution cost

Nurhidayat and Annie Purwani*

Industrial Engineering University of Ahmad Dahlan, Jl. Dr. SoepomoJanturan Yogyakarta Indonesia

Abstract. Packaged sugar is one of the products in sugar cane manufacture, PT Madubaru Yogyakarta. Currently, the company deals with a high distribution cost because there is no plan to determine the vehicle route, vehicle type, and capacity for distributing the product. In this research, the optimum route of the distributing vehicles is developed. The company has three different types and capacity of vehicles: L300, HD, and PS. A problem in determining the distribution route here is called as Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP). The basic form of classic VRP says that all vehicles owned by a company have the same capacity (homogenous), meanwhile not all companies have the vehicles with same capacity. The heterogeneous variant is used to minimize the fixed cost of vehicles and distribution variant cost using Sequential Insertion Algorithm. This research has three purposes; are minimizing the number of vehicles used (NV), Total time of Completion tour (TCT) and Distribution Total Cost (TCD). The results based on a test calculation of a shipment date (August 19, 2016) of the company show three alternative solutions to distribute the packaged sugar to 12 consumers. The third alternative solution is the optimal solution and chosen as the decision result of the packaged sugar shipment. Based on the calculation results, it is needed 2 vehicles type HD with capacity

Determining the vehicles routes by considering its different types and capacity (heterogeneous) to minimize the total distribution cost

Nurhidayat and Annie Purwani*

Industrial Engineering University of Ahmad Dahlan, Jl. Dr. SoepomoJanturan Yogyakarta Indonesia

Abstract. Packaged sugar is one of the products in sugar cane manufacture, PT Madubaru Yogyakarta. Currently, the company deals with a high distribution cost because there is no plan to determine the vehicle route, vehicle type, and capacity for distributing the product. In this research, the optimum route of the distributing vehicles is developed. The company has three different types and capacity of vehicles: L300, HD, and PS. A problem in determining the distribution route here is called as Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP). The basic form of classic VRP says that all vehicles owned by a company have the same capacity (homogenous), meanwhile not all companies have the vehicles with same capacity. The heterogeneous variant is used to minimize the fixed cost of vehicles and distribution variant cost using Sequential Insertion Algorithm. This research has three purposes; are minimizing the number of vehicles used (NV), Total time of Completion tour (TCT) and Distribution Total Cost (TCD). The results based on a test calculation of a shipment date (August 19, 2016) of the company show three alternative solutions to distribute the packaged sugar to 12 consumers. The third alternative solution is the optimal solution and chosen as the decision result of the packaged sugar shipment. Based on the calculation results, it is needed 2 vehicles type HD with capacity 7,000 kg and type PS with capacity 3,500 kg, with total time of completion tour (TCT) is 828.49 minutes or 13.81 hours, and distribution total cost is IDR. 959,011.

1 Introduction

PT. Madubaru Yogyakarta is a sugar cane manufacture which has packaged sugar as the final product. *PT. Madubaru* does not have yet optimal configuration of distribution line in distributing the products to retails. Lack of delivery products planning along with the selection of vehicles routes, vehicles capacity, to the vehicles schedules affects improperly configured distribution channels which leads to the high transportation cost. The selection of unorganized vehicle routes leads to a longer vehicle route with longer distance. Therefore, the product distribution requires effective and efficient distribution strategy and planning.

Researches on the distribution of packaged sugar at PT. Madubaru had previously conducted by Ikhsan (2013) which discusses about optimizing the products distribution using *saving matrix* method, considers that all vehicles have same capacity (homogeneous), with optimizing indicator is the shortest distance. The next study is from Azizah (2015) who compared the saving matrix and general assignment method by considering the same parameters as Ikhsan used. The saving matrix and general assignment methods are part of the heuristic algorithm used to minimize the distance and cost by considering the constraints. In fact, PT. Madubaru has different types and capacity of vehicles so that the method is less effective to optimize the distribution cost of the company.

The problem of determining the distribution route is often known as the Vehicle Routing Problem which refers to a problem where there are several routes must be passed by a number of vehicles that depart from a depot to some destinations that have been determined and ends at the same depot (Hasanah, 2013). To decrease the transportation costs and also improve the services to the customer, it is necessary to find the best path or route, which can minimize the distance and time. Problems that aim to create an optimal route, for a group of vehicles, in order to serve a number of consumers, referred to as Vehicle Routing Problems (Hermansyah, 2011). The basic form of VRP is to assume that all vehicles owned have the same capacity (homogeneous) (Arvianto, 2014). In fact, not all companies have vehicles in the same capacity, either big or small companies. Therefore, the old VRP settlement is difficult to implement to solve this problem. The new VRP variant of Heterogenous Vehicle is used to solve the problems of companies that have vehicles with different capacities in the delivery of their products.

One of the methods that can be applied to solve VRP and its variation is the heuristic method. The heuristic

^{*}Corresponding author: <u>annie.purwani@ie.uad.ac.id</u>

[©] The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

method is a technique for solving problems with more emphasis on simple computing performance. The heuristic algorithm used in this research is sequential insertion algorithm. Arvianto (2014) explains that the sequential insertion algorithm that has been developed in his model can build a viable solution by repeatedly trying to include customers who have not entered yet to any route should be inserted and the selection of location where the customer is inserted. This algorithm has advantages in the selection of customers, named by considering the customer's position on the available insertion, so the best results can be obtained. This heuristic algorithm is expected to overcome the problems of previous research in the settlement of new variants of the heterogeneous vehicle.

2 Research Methods

This study aims to facilitate the decision-making process in determining vehicle routes by considering the type and capacity of different vehicles. The stages of route determination, selection, and scheduling of vehicles consist of preliminary studies and literature, defining problems and objectives, collecting data, processing data, and analyzing the route determination, vehicle selection, and vehicle scheduling

3 System Characterization

This study adopts the model of the route and scheduling of vehicles from Arvianto(2014). Products that become the objects of research in PT. Madubaru are packaged sugar consisting of 1 kg and 0.5 kg. The calculation of the algorithm is done on one of the company's shipment date, August 19, 2016, with 12 customers. Delivery has a planning horizon according to working hours of 8.5 hours by ignoring the rest time. The vehicles used are as follows:

- a. Vehicle type L300 capacity 1,500 kg of 1 unit,
- b. Vehicle type PS capacity of 3500 kg as much as 2 units,
- c. Vehicle type HD capacity of 7,000 kg as much as 1 unit

The speed of the vehicle used is 50 km / hour. The loading speed (LT) per minute is 5 sacks and unloading (UT) per minute is 3 sacks. 1 plastic bag containing 25 kg and loading time (WLT) for each different type of vehicle

4 Vehicle Routing Model

The model to be developed in the distribution of packaged sugar has several restrictions as follows:

4.1 Heterogeneity

The vehicles used in this research have different types and capacities.

4.2 Multiple Trips

One vehicle can serve one or more customers or routes within a single product delivery tour.

4.3 Split Delivery

Delivery of products to consumers can be sent directly in one delivery (entirely delivery) or several times the delivery process (partial delivery) based on the condition and the capacity of the remaining vehicles at the time of product delivery

4.4 Periodic

Delivery is done by considering the planning horizons that have been determined. If the condition of the cargo on the vehicle has been empty in sending the goods but planning horizon is still there, then the vehicle will return to the warehouse to take the goods and send back to the customer until the planning horizon runs out

4.5 Stochastic

The number of subscribers is random or unsystematic. Each customer has the possibility to not be visited every day.

5 Mathematical Formulations

According to the distributed characteristics above, a mathematical model will be used as an interpreter of the algorithm to solve the problem. This model adopts from the algorithm which is conducted in the study (Arvianto, 2014):

i	= Location index; $I = 0$ is the						
	warehouse, $i = 1,2,3$, N is the						
	store/retail.						
t	= Tour index; $t = 1, 2, 3, NT$						
r	= Route index; $r = 1, 2, 3, NR_{[t]}$						
k	= Position index, $k = 1, 2, 3, NL_{[t,r]}$						
Ζ	= Vechile type index; $z = 1, 2, Z$						
N	= Number of stores / retail sets i						
$q_{Ltz,r,k}$	= The amount of sugar demand at						
	locations with r routes, t tours and z						
	vehicles (kg units)						
LT	= Loading speed (units: number of						
	products per unit time)						
UT	= Unloading speed (units: number of						
	products per unit time)						
V_z	= Speed of vehicle z (unit: distance per						
	unit time)						
$\tau_{[Ltz,z,r,k],[Lt,z,r,k+]}$	= Travel time of location k to location k						
	+ 1, on route r , tour t vehicle z (time						
	unit)						
Q_z	=Capacity on vehicle z						
Ĥ	= Horison planning (time unit)						
NV	= Total number of vehicles (unit units)						
NTz	= Number of tour vehicles z						

$NR_{[t,z]}$	= Number of routes in tour t by vehicle						
	Ζ						
$NL_{[t,z,r]}$	= Number of locations on route r in tour						
	<i>t</i> by vehicle <i>z</i>						
$L_{t,z,r,k}$	= Location at position k , route r in tour						
	<i>t</i> vehicle <i>z</i>						
$b_{Lt,z,r}$	= The amount of charge sent on route r ,						
	the tour of vehicle z						
Wp	= Travel time						
WLT	= Loading time (time unit)						
WUT	= Unloading time (time unit)						
$CT_{[t,z]}$	= Tour completion time t by vehicle z						
TCT	= Total completion tour time (unit						
time)							
TCD	= Total cost distribution						
C_{SK}	=The fixed cost of the vehicle						
C_{BB}	= Fuel costs per km						
C_{G}	=Driver's salary visits						

6 Function Goals

This research has three objective functions of minimizing as follows:

6.1 To minimize the number of vehicles (NV)

In the context of this research problem, by considering the existence of multiple trips, the purpose function is to minimize the number of vehicles (NV). It is because minimizing the number of vessels is the main target model of multiple trips aspects in VRP.

6.2 To minimize total completion time (TCT)

This function is required to see the best combination of tours and routes which is generated from the algorithm by considering the work balance represented by the *TCT* variable

6.3 To minimize cost distribution (TCD)

Minimizing total distribution costs (*TCD*) is a measure of performance as a result of the goal of minimizing *NV* and *TCT*.

$$\min \text{TCD} = \sum_{r=1}^{NR} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} C_{SKZ} N_{TZ} + C_G N_R + \sum_{r=1}^{NR} \sum_{Z=1}^{Z} C_{BB} V_Z W_P$$
 (1)

6.3.1 Warehouse and Store

The travel time taken by each vehicle from the starting point to each location is expressed by $\tau_{[Lt,z,r,k],[Lt,z,r,k+I]}$. Each customer has a different request that is stated with the q_{Ltz} notation. For the notation $L_{t,z,r,1}$ describes the location of the warehouse where i = 0 and will end up in the same location, meaning the journey starts from the warehouse and ends in the warehouse.

The location of the warehouse is described as follows:

$$L_{t,z,r,1} = L_{t,z,r,NL[t,r]} = 0; \quad t=1,2,...NT; \quad z = 1,2,...,Z;$$

$$r=1,2,...,NR_{[t]}$$
(2)

The store/retail location is defined as:

$$L_{t,z,r,k} = i; t = 1, 2, ..., NR_{[t]}; z = 1, 2, ..., Z; k = 2, NR_{[t,r]} - 1; i = 1, 2, ... N$$
 (3)

6.3.2 Route and Tour

Route r is a trip made by one vehicle from a single depot (warehouse) and ends at the same location. The route represents the order of visits of a vehicle to customers, starting from the warehouse and back to the warehouse. A route consists of one depot and at least one customer. The tour is the set of routes that must be passed by vehicle z.

6.3.3 Number of Vehicle

A number of vehicles denoted by NV, for VRP issues with multiple trip characteristics of one vehicle doing one tour. In accordance to the characteristic of the time window, one vehicle may only operate within work hours on a work day determined by the company. This statement is written with the following equation:

Number of vehicle tour type z = number of vehicles

$$NT_Z = NV \tag{4}$$

The product capacity in vehicle z is expressed by Qz and vehicle speed is v. The number of routes in the tour is expressed by the tour t (t = 1, ..., NT) is $NR_{[t]}$. This type of z-vehicle serves multiple routes in a single trip (multiple trips) with a heteroneous fleet so the vehicle begins its journey to route r in the tour t which is the final route location of the previous r-1 route in the same t tour.

$$L_{t,z,r,1} = L_{t,z,r-1,NL[t,z,r-1]} = 0; t = 1,2, \dots NT; z = 1,2, \dots, Z; r = 1,2, \dots, NR_{[tz]}$$
(5)

A tour consists of a set of routes with $NR_{[t,z]}$ shows the number of routes which is included in a single tour by vehicle z. A vehicle route describes the order of vehicle visits to a retail/store which goes and ends to the depot. The split delivery constraint ensures that each subscriber will get at least one delivery so that the demand on location or customer can be delivered with more than one delivery.

$$L_{t,z,r,k} \ge 1; t = 1,2, \dots NT; z = 1,2, \dots, r = 1,2, \dots, NR_{[tz]}; k = 2, \dots NL_{[t,z,r]} - 1$$
(6)

The load on each route should be smaller or equal to the capacity of the vehicle. In the case of product delivery, the amount of cargo of each vehicle z which serves a route r in tour t shall not exceed the capacity of the vehicle z.

$$b_{L,t,z,r,} \leq Q_z, \quad \forall t = 1,2, \dots NT; z = 1,2, \dots, Z; r = 1,2, \dots NR_{tz};$$
 (7)

The amount of cargo carried by the vehicle in a tour t by vehicle z(t,z), route r is less or equal to the number of customer requests on route r from tour (t,z).

$$b_{L,t,z,r,} \leq \sum_{k=2}^{NL_{[t,z,r]-1}} q_{Lt,z,r,k}; t = 1,2, \dots NT; z = 1,2, \dots, Z; r = 1,2, \dots NR_{[tz]}$$
(8)

Constraint (9) ensures that each subscriber will receive a full demand shipment.

$$\sum_{r=1}^{NT} y_{Lt,z,r,k} = 1; t = 1,2, \dots NT; = 1,2, \dots, z; r = 1,2, \dots NR_{[t,z]};$$
(9)

6.3.3 Tour Completion Time

The completion time of a tour which is expressed by $CT_{[t,z]}$ includes the travel time, and the loading / unloading time available on the tour. The completion time of each tour should not exceed the planning horizon. This tour time is the sum of trip time, loading and unloading time.

$$CT_{[t]} = (WDT + WUT) \sum_{r=1}^{NR_{[t,z]}} \sum_{l=1}^{n} b_{L_{t,z,r}} + \sum_{r=1}^{NR_{[t,z]}} \sum_{k=1}^{NL_{[t,z,r]}-1} \tau_{[L[t,z,r,k]], [L[t,z,r,k+1]]}$$
(10)

6.3.4 Travel Time

Travel time $\tau_{[Ltz,z,r,k],[Lt,z,r,k+1]}$, is the total time needed by the vehicle z from the store / retail warehouse up to the warehouse location again. The speed of the ship and the distance between the first location to the second location determine the time of travel.

$$WP = \tau_{[L[t,z,r,k]],[L[t,z,r,k+1]]}$$
(11)

6.3.5 Loading Time

WLT loading time is the time to load the cargo onto the vehicle z in the warehouse. The loading time is generated from the amount of packaged sugar which are transported. It means the more cargo to load, the more time needed.

$$WLT = \frac{b_{[L[t,z,r]]}}{LT} \tag{12}$$

6.3.6 Unloading Time

The unloading time of WUT is the unloading time from the vehicle at the store / retail location. The unloading time of WUT is also obtained from the amount of packed sugar taken out from the vehicle. It means the more cargo to unload, the more time needed.

$$WUT = \frac{b_{[L[t,z,r]]}}{LT}$$
(13)

6.3.7 Horizon Planning

This planning horizon limits the total tour of completion time. The length of the planning horizon is denoted by H. completion time for the z-t ride tour should not exceed the planning horizon.

$$CT_{[t,z]} \leq H$$
 (14)

6.3.8 Total Completion Time

Total Completion Time (TCT) is the sum of all the completion time of all the t tours needed as a solution.

$$TCT = \sum_{t=1}^{NT} CT_{[t,z]} \tag{15}$$

The algorithm used to solve the problem in this research is Sequential Insertion Algorithm. Arvianto (2014) explains that this algorithm builds a viable solution by repeatedly trying to include customers who have not entered any route into the temporary portion of the current route. The advantage of the Sequential Insertion Algorithm is trying to generate the smallest possible number of vehicles (tour) by utilizing the capacity of the vehicle as much as possible.

Suprayogi (2005) in his research explains that this algorithm begins by choosing a customer to occupy a position as a seed customer on the first route and tour. In the first customer selection, there are several criteria: earliest deadline, earliest ready time, shortest time window, longest travel time, and random. The criteria for selecting the initial customers (seed customers) included in the next route (second, third, and so on) on a tour that is using completion time of the tour.

7 Discussion and Conclusion

The algorithm described previously will be used in the completion of the company's distribution. The selection of stores / retails that deserve to be inserted to the arc insertion is the retails / stores that have the smallest completion time of tour (CT). Calculation will be done for all vehicle types. The optimal decision-making process is done by comparing 3 alternatives for each vehicle type and options of each alternative, which has options and vehicles type combination on the established tour and route.

Comparative analysis results related to the objective functions which are minimizing the number of vehicles used (NV), the total time of completion of the Tour (TCT), and the total cost of distribution (TCD) are presented in the Table 1.

The result of minimizing vehicle is on the third alternative solution with 2 types of vehicle used; those are type HD (7,000 kg) and type PS (3,500kg). Using these vehicles to serve all customers requests of packaged sugar, it needs 2 times of tour with 2 vehicles. This indicates that the more amount of demand, the small capacity vehicles will have many tours and routes which lead to the longer total tour completion time and higher distribution cost as well. The shortest total tour completion time is the third alternative solution which results 828.49 minutes.

Information	NV	TCT	TCD (Rp)
	(units)	(minute)	
Exsisting	4	856,70	Rp 1.810.826
Solution 1	2	879,97	Rp 1.703.960
Solution 2	3	1053,01	Rp 1.370.303
Solution 3	2	828,49	Rp 959.011

Table 1 Comparison Results Based on Objective Functions

This indicates that TCT is influenced by the duration of tour completion time; the more routes formed in one tour, the longer completion time of the tour will be generated. The duration of the tour completion time is influenced by the vehicle used; the greater of the capacity use, the longer the time will take. The more vehicles to use, the shorter the time will be to serve all customer needs. The impact of the total number of vehicles used is seen on the total cost of packaged sugar distribution. Alternative solution 3 has the least total cost of distribution (TDT) which is IDR 959,011. This shows that if the tours run are fewer, equal to the number of vehicles used (NV), so the total cost of distribution (TDT) will be smaller.

References

1. Arvianto, A., Setiawan, A.H., Saptadi, S. (2014). Model Vehicle Routing Problem dengan Karakteristik Rute Majemuk, Multiple Time Windows, Multiple Products dan Heterogeneous Fleet untuk Depot Tunggal, Jurnal Teknik Industri, Vol. 16, No. 2, Desember 2014, 85-96.

- Azizah, U.N., Oesman, T.I. (2015). Optimalisasi Biaya Distribusi Produk PT. Madubaru Dengan Pendekatan Metode Saving Matrix dan Generalized Assignment, Jurnal REKAVASI, Vol. 3, No. 2, Desember 2015, 104-107.
- Hasanah, Matondang, N., Ishak, A. (2013). Penentuan Rute Distribusi Barang Yang Optimal Dengan Menggunakan Algoritma Heuristik Pada PT. XYZ, e-Jurnal Teknik Industri FT USU Vol 3, No. 3, Oktober 2013 pp. 48-51.
- 4. Hermansyah, B. (2011). *Penyelesaian Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP) Menggunakan Algoritma Genetika*, Skripsi Fakultas Sains Dan Teknologi Universitas Islam Negeri Sultan Syarif Kasim, Riau Pekanbaru.
- Ikhsan, A.N., Oesman, T.I., Yusuf, M. (2013). *Optimalisasi Distribusi Produk Menggunakan Daerah Penghubung Dan Metode Saving Matrix*, Jurnal REKAVASI Vol.1 No.1, 1-10, Desember, 2013.
- Munawir, H., Narima, A. (2012). Penentuan Rute Pendistribsian Kertas Karton Model Studi Kasus: PT. Papertech Indonesia Unit Ii Magelang, Simposium Nasional RAPI XI FT UMS – 2012.
- Suprayogi dan Imawati, D. (2005). Algoritma Sequential Insertion dengan Forward dan Backward Pass untuk memecahkan Vehicle Routing Problem dengan Multiple Trips dan Time Windows, Jurnal Teknik dan Manajemen Industri, Vol. 25 No. 1, April 2005